

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

SHIGEYUKI KONDŌ

The rationality of the moduli space of Enriques surfaces

Compositio Mathematica, tome 91, n° 2 (1994), p. 159-173

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1994__91_2_159_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

The rationality of the moduli space of Enriques surfaces

SHIGEYUKI KONDO

*Department of Mathematics, Saitama University,
 Shimo-Okubo 255, Urawa, Saitama 338, Japan*

Received 5 October 1992; accepted in final form 5 April 1993

0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove the rationality of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of Enriques surfaces (defined over $\mathbb{C}$) suggested by Dolgachev [D1]. Recall that $\mathcal{M}$ is described as a Zariski open set of $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is a bounded symmetric domain of type IV and of dimension 10, and Γ is an arithmetic subgroup acting on $\mathcal{D}$ (Horikawa [H]). It is known that $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ is a quasi-projective variety (Baily, Borel [B-B]). We shall prove:

THEOREM. *$\mathcal{M}$ is birationally isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ of plane quintic curves with a cusp.*

It is known that $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ is rational ([D1]). Hence we have:

COROLLARY. *$\mathcal{M}$ is rational.*

Let C be a plane quintic curve with a cusp. Let X be a K3 surface with an involution τ obtained as the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branched at C and the tangent line at the cusp. Then $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\langle \tau \rangle} \simeq U \oplus D_8$ as lattices. As in the case of Enriques surfaces (Namikawa [Na]), by using the Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces (Piatetskii-Shapiro, Shafarevich [P-S]) and the surjectivity of the period map (Kulikov [K]), we can see that the moduli space of pairs (X, τ) is described as a Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ where $\mathcal{D}'$ is a bounded symmetric domain of type IV and of dimension 10, and Γ' is an arithmetic subgroup (Theorem 3.7). We shall prove that the map from $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ to $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ obtained as above is birational (Theorem 3.21). We remark here that a general K3 surface as above has no fixed point free involution, and hence it is *not* the unramified double cover of any Enriques surfaces. However, forgetting $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ being moduli spaces, we shall see that there is an equivariant map from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$ with respect to Γ and Γ' , and this induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ (Theorem 4.1).

1. Preliminaries

(1.1) A *lattice* L is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of finite rank endowed with an integral symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If L_1 and L_2 are lattices, then $L_1 \oplus L_2$ denotes the orthogonal direct sum of L_1 and L_2 . An isomorphism of lattices preserving the bilinear forms is called an *isometry*. For a lattice L , we denote by $O(L)$ the group of self-isometries of L . A sublattice S of L is called *primitive* if L/S is torsion free.

A lattice L is *even* if $\langle x, x \rangle$ is even for each $x \in L$. A lattice L is *non-degenerate* if the discriminant $d(L)$ of its bilinear form is non zero, and *unimodular* if $d(L) = \pm 1$. If L is a non-degenerate lattice, the *signature* of L is a pair (t_+, t_-) where $t_{\pm}$ denotes the multiplicity of the eigenvalues ± 1 for the quadratic form on $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Let L be a non-degenerate even lattice. The bilinear form of L determines a canonical embedding $L \rightarrow L^* = \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$. The factor group L^*/L , which is denoted by A_L , is an abelian group of order $|d(L)|$. We denote by $l(L)$ the number of minimal generator of A_L . We extend the bilinear form on L to one on L^* , taking value in $\mathbb{Q}$, and define

$$q_L: A_L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}, q_L(x + L) = \langle x, x \rangle + 2\mathbb{Z} (x \in L^*).$$

We call q_L the *discriminant quadratic form* of L . We denote by $O(q_L)$ the group of isomorphisms of A_L preserving the form q_L . Note that there is a canonical homomorphism from $O(L)$ to $O(q_L)$.

A non-degenerate even lattice L is called *2-elementary* if $A_L \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{l(L)}$. It is known that the isomorphism class of an even indefinite 2-elementary lattice L is determined by the invariants $(r(L), l(L), \delta(L))$ ([N1], Theorem 3.6.2) where $r(L)$ is the rank of L and

$$\delta(L) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \langle x, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } x \in L^* \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by U the hyperbolic lattice defined by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ which is an even unimodular lattice of signature $(1, 1)$, and by A_m , D_n , or E_l an even negative definite lattice associated to the Dynkin diagram of type A_m , D_n or E_l ($m \geq 1$, $n \geq 4$, $l = 6, 7, 8$). We remark that E_8 is unimodular. Also we denote by $\langle m \rangle$ the lattice of rank 1 defined by the matrix (m) . For a lattice L and an integer m , $L(m)$ is the lattice whose bilinear form is the one on L multiplied by m . In section 3, we shall use the fact that both $U(2)$ and D_{4n} are 2-elementary lattices with $l = 2$, $\delta = 0$.

(1.2) A compact complex smooth surface Y is called an *Enriques surface* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the geometric genus $p_g(Y)$ and the irregularity $q(Y)$ vanish;
- (ii) if K_Y is the canonical divisor on Y , $2K_Y = 0$.

Note that the unramified double covering of Y defined by the torsion K_Y is a *K3 surface* X , a smooth surface with $q(X) = 0$ and $K_X = 0$. The second cohomology group $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the canonical class. The free part of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ admits a canonical structure of a lattice induced from the cup product. It is an even unimodular lattice with signature $(1, 9)$ and hence isometric to $U \oplus E_8$ (e.g. [N1], Theorem 1.1.1). In the same way, the lattice $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to $L = U \oplus U \oplus U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_8$. By definition of K3 surface, the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ is a subgroup of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ which admits a structure of lattice induced from that of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We call this $\text{Pic}(X)$ the *Picard lattice* of X .

Let Y be an Enriques surface and X its covering K3 surface. Let σ be the covering transformation of X and σ^* the involution of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induced from σ . Then σ^* determines two primitive sublattices

$$M = \{x \in L \mid \sigma^*x = x\}, \quad N = \{x \in L \mid \sigma^*x = -x\}.$$

It is known that $M \simeq U(2) \oplus E_8(2)$ and $N \simeq U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(2)$ (e.g. [B-P], §1.2). Let ω_X be the cohomology class of a non-zero holomorphic 2-form on X in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ which is unique up to constant. This class satisfies the following Riemann condition:

$$\langle \omega_X, \omega_X \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \omega_X, \bar{\omega}_X \rangle > 0$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the bilinear form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ induced from the cup product and $\bar{\omega}_X$ the complex conjugation of ω_X . We remark that ω_X is contained in $N \otimes \mathbb{C}$ since there are no global holomorphic 2-form on Y . Put

$$\mathcal{D} = \{[\omega] \in \mathbb{P}(N \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0, \langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0\} \text{ and } \Gamma = O(N).$$

Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a union of two copies of bounded symmetric domain of type IV and of dimension 10. By [B-B], the quotient $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ is a quasi-projective variety. The correspondence $Y \rightarrow [\omega_X] \bmod \Gamma$ defines a well-defined map from the set of isomorphy classes of Enriques surfaces to $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)_0 = (\mathcal{D}/\Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a closed irreducible subvariety. The corresponding point $[\omega_X]$ in $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)_0$ is called the *period* of Y . It follows from the Torelli theorem for Enriques surfaces that $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)_0$ is the coarse moduli space of Enriques surfaces. For more details we refer the reader to [H], and its improvement [Na].

2. The curves related to Enriques surfaces

In this section, we shall devote the observation of Dolgachev [D1, 2] on the relation between Enriques surfaces, plane quintic curves with two nodes and plane quintic curves with a cusp. For the proof of our theorem, we shall only use Proposition 2.2.

(2.1) Let Y be an Enriques surface. A *superelliptic polarization* D on Y of degree 8 is a divisor on Y such that $D = 2(E_1 + E_2)$, E_i an elliptic curve on Y with $E_1 \cdot E_2 = 1$ (see [C-D], Chap. IV, §7). It is known that a general Enriques surface, in the sense of Barth-Peters [B-P], has $2^7 \cdot 17 \cdot 31$ distinct superelliptic polarizations of degree 8 up to automorphisms ([B-P], Theorem 3.9).

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the moduli space of Enriques surfaces with a superelliptic polarization of degree 8. Then the above implies that the natural map ψ from $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)_0$ is of degree $2^7 \cdot 17 \cdot 31$. Note that $2^7 \cdot 17 \cdot 31 = 2^3(2^4 + 1)2^4(2^5 - 1)$ and recall that $2^3(2^4 + 1)$ is equal to the number of even theta characteristics on a smooth curve of genus 4 and $2^4(2^5 - 1)$ is the number of odd theta characteristics on a smooth curve of genus 5. In [D2], Dolgachev gave a map φ from $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ of degree $2^7 \cdot 17 \cdot 31$ which factorizes

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ is the moduli space of pointed curves of genus 4 and $\deg \varphi_1 = 2^3(2^4 + 1)$, $\deg \varphi_2 = 2^4(2^5 - 1)$. For the precise definition of φ_1, φ_2 , we refer the reader to [D2]. Here we mention that a pointed curve (C, q) of genus 4 is obtained as the normalization of a plane quintic curve with two nodes and q is the point residual to the line passing through the nodes. The last one is naturally appeared as the Hessian of a net of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The base locus of this net is the branched curve of the morphism of degree 2 defined by $|D|$ from Y to the intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4$ of rank 3, where (Y, D) is an Enriques surface with a superelliptic polarization D of degree 8. Also a plane quintic curve with a cusp is naturally appeared as the discriminant of the conic bundle associated to a cubic threefold. The last one is constructed from the canonical model of a curve of genus 4. Thus we have two maps of the same degree:

$$\mathcal{M} \xleftarrow{\psi} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}.$$

This and the following suggest that $\mathcal{M}$ may be rational too.

PROPOSITION (2.2) ([D1], §8, (e)). $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ is rational.

Proof. The moduli space of plane quartic curves with a cusp is rational

([D1], Example 5). The same proof implies the assertion. $\square$

3. K3 surfaces with some involution

The purpose of this section is to see that $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ is birationally isomorphic to the moduli space of the pairs of K3 surfaces X and its involution τ with $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\langle \tau \rangle} \simeq U \oplus D_8$.

(3.1) Let C be a plane quintic curve with one cusp q . Let L be the tangent line of C at the cusp. In the following, we assume:

ASSUMPTION (3.2). L meets C at another distinct two points p_1, p_2 .

Consider the plane sextic curve $C \cup L$. Let X' be the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branched at $C \cup L$ and X the minimal resolution of X' . Then X' has a rational double point of type E_7 over q and two rational double points of type A_1 over p_1, p_2 . The X is a K3 surface which is reconstructed as follows. Taking successive blowing-ups of $\mathbb{P}^2$, we have a rational surface R with the following curves as in Figure 1.




Fig. 1.

where C' and L' are the proper transforms of C and L respectively, and the horizontal lines except C' (resp. the vertical lines) are smooth rational curves with self-intersection number -4 (resp. -1). Then X is obtained as the double cover of R branched at C' and the smooth rational curves with self-intersection number -4 in the Figure 1. Hence X has smooth rational curves as in Figure 2:




Fig. 2.

Let τ be the covering transformation of $X \rightarrow R$. Note that each curve in Figure 2 is preserved by τ .

LEMMA (3.3). $\text{Pic}(X)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ contains a sublattice isometric to $U \oplus D_8$.

Proof. The 9 curves except F_{10} in Figure 2 define an elliptic pencil with a singular fibre of type $\tilde{D}_8$ (type I_8^* in the Kodaira's notation) and F_{10} is a section of this pencil. Hence these 10 curves generate a sublattice of $\text{Pic}(X)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ isometric to $U \oplus D_8$. $\square$

Taking an isometry $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq L = U \oplus U \oplus U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_8$, define

$$S = \{x \in L \mid \tau^*x = x\}, \quad N' = \{x \in L \mid \tau^*x = -x\}.$$

We remark here that S and N' are primitive in L , i.e. L/S and L/N' are torsion free.

PROPOSITION (3.4). $S \simeq U \oplus D_8$ and $N' \simeq U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8$.

Proof. Obviously $S \supset \text{Pic}(X)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$. Note that both S and $U \oplus D_8$ are 2-elementary lattices. It follows from [N2], Theorem 4.2.2 that

$$(22 - r(S) - l(S))/2 = g(C') = 5,$$

$$(r(S) - l(S))/2 = \#\{\text{smooth rational curves fixed by } \tau\} = 4$$

where $r(S)$ (resp. $l(S)$) is the rank of S (resp. the number of minimal generator of A_S). Hence $r(S) = 10$ and $l(S) = 2$. By Lemma 3.3, $\text{Pic}(X)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ contains $U \oplus D_8$ which has the same invariants. Thus we have $S = \text{Pic}(X)^{\langle \tau \rangle} \simeq U \oplus D_8$. Then N' is an even indefinite 2-elementary lattice with the invariant $(r(N'), l(N'), \delta(N')) = (12, 2, 0)$ because N' is the orthogonal complement of S in the unimodular lattice L ([N1], Proposition 1.6.1.). Since the isomorphism class of an even indefinite 2-elementary lattice is determined by (r, l, δ) ([N1], Theorem 3.6.2), we have $N' \simeq U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8$. $\square$

Remark (3.5). Both S and N' are even indefinite 2-elementary lattices and hence the homomorphisms

$$O(S) \rightarrow O(q_S), \quad O(N') \rightarrow O(q_{N'})$$

are surjective ([N1], Theorem 3.6.3). By [N1], Proposition 1.14.1, any $\gamma \in O(S)$ or $\gamma' \in O(N')$ can be lifted to an isometry of L . In particular, if γ acts on A_S trivially, then it can be lifted to an isometry acting trivially on N' . Since $S \simeq U \oplus D_8$, $q_S \simeq q_{D_8}$. Hence $A_S \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $q_S \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. A direct calculation shows that $O(q_S) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the generator of $O(q_S)$ is induced

from the isometry ι in $O(S)$ defined by $\iota([F_i]) = [F_i]$, $3 \leq i \leq 10$, and $\iota([F_1]) = [F_2]$ (Note that the classes $[F_i]$ of F_i ($1 \leq i \leq 10$) in Figure 2 give a base of S).

DEFINITION (3.6). Now we define:

$$\mathcal{D}' = \{[\omega] \in \mathbb{P}(N' \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0, \langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0\}, \quad \Gamma' = O(N').$$

Then $\mathcal{D}'$ is a union of two copies of bounded symmetric domain of type IV and of dimension 10, and Γ' acts properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{D}'$. By [B-B], $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ is a quasi-projective variety. Put

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{[\omega] \in \mathcal{D}' \mid \langle \omega, l \rangle = 0 \text{ for some } l \in N' \text{ with } \langle l, l \rangle = -2\}.$$

Then Γ' acts on $\mathcal{H}'$. By the same reason as in the case of Enriques surfaces ([H], II, Theorem 2.3), the period $[\omega_X]$ of any K3 surface X as above is contained in $(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma') \setminus (\mathcal{H}'/\Gamma')$. We remark here that $\mathcal{H}'/\Gamma'$ is a irreducible hypersurface in $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ (see the following Proposition 3.9). By the similar proof as that of Enriques surfaces ([Na]), using the Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces [P-S] and the surjectivity of the period map [K], we have the following theorem. For our purpose, we do not use this theorem, and hence we omit the proof.

THEOREM (3.7). $(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma') \setminus (\mathcal{H}'/\Gamma')$ is the coarse moduli space of the pairs (X, τ) where X is a K3 surface and τ is an involution of X with $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\langle \tau \rangle} \simeq U \oplus D_8$.

DEFINITION (3.8) ([N3], [Na], Theorem 2.15). A vector l in N' with $\langle l, l \rangle = -4$ is called of *even type* if there is a vector m in $S = (N')^\perp$ with $\langle m, m \rangle = -4$ and $(m + l)/2 \in L$. By Remark 3.5, the set of (-4) -vectors in N' of even type is invariant under the action of Γ' . Put

$$\mathcal{H}'' = \{[\omega] \in \mathcal{D}' \mid \langle \omega, l \rangle = 0 \text{ for some } (-4)\text{-vector } l \text{ in } N' \text{ of even type}\}.$$

Then by the following proposition, $\mathcal{H}''/\Gamma'$ is an irreducible hypersurface in $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$.

PROPOSITION (3.9). Let l and l' be two (-2) -vectors in N' (or (-4) -vectors of even type). Then there is an isometry $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ with $\gamma(l) = l'$.

Proof. This follows from the same proof as in the case of Enriques surfaces ([Na], Theorems 2.13, 2.15 and Proposition 2.16). $\square$

In the following, we shall see that the set

$$(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0 = (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma') \setminus (\mathcal{H}'/\Gamma') \cup (\mathcal{H}''/\Gamma')$$

bijectively corresponds to the set of projective isomorphism classes of plane quintic curves with a cusp satisfying the Assumption 3.2.

LEMMA (3.10). *Let X be a K3 surface constructed in (3.1) and $[\omega_X]$ its period. Then $[\omega_X] \bmod \Gamma' \in (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$.*

Proof. As mentioned in (3.6), $[\omega_X] \in \mathcal{D}' \setminus \mathcal{H}'$ and hence it suffices to see that $[\omega_X]$ is not contained in $\mathcal{H}''$. Assume $[\omega_X] \in \mathcal{H}''$. Then there exist (-4) -vectors m in S and l in N' with $(m+l)/2 \in L$ and $\langle \omega_X, l \rangle = 0$. Consider the lattice $S \oplus \langle -4 \rangle$ generated by S and l . By adding a vector $(m+l)/2$, we have a sublattice K in $\text{Pic}(X)$ in which $S \oplus \langle -4 \rangle$ is of index 2. Then $d(K) = d(S) \cdot d(\langle -4 \rangle) / [K : S \oplus \langle -4 \rangle]^2 = 4$.

Recall that X has an elliptic pencil π with a section F_{10} and a singular fibre F of type $\tilde{D}_8$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.3). It gives a decomposition

$$S = U \oplus D_8$$

where U is generated by the classes of a fibre and F_{10} , and D_8 is generated by $\{F_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 8}$. Since U is unimodular, U is primitive in K , i.e. K/U is torsion free. By using the fact $A_U = \{0\}$ and [N1], Proposition 1.5.1, we can easily see that this U is also the component of a decomposition $K = U \oplus K'$, where K' is a negative definite even lattice of rank 9 with $d(K') = 4$ and $K' \supset D_8$.

If D_8 is not primitive in K' , then there is an even lattice M of rank 8 with $K' \supset M \supset D_8$. Since $d(D_8) = 4$, $d(M) = 1$ and hence $M \simeq E_8$. Since E_8 is orthogonal to U , E_8 should be generated by components of F (see [Ko], Lemma 2.2), which is impossible.

If D_8 is primitive in K' , then the orthogonal complement of D_8 in K' is isometric to $\langle -2m \rangle$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). The primitiveness implies that $K'/(D_8 \oplus \langle -2m \rangle)$ is embedded into $A_{D_8} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $A_{\langle -2m \rangle} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ ([N1], §1.5). Hence $K'/(D_8 \oplus \langle -2m \rangle) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we have $m = 2$ by using the equation $d(K') = d(D_8) \cdot d(\langle -2m \rangle) / [K' : D_8 \oplus \langle -2m \rangle]^2$. By [N1], Proposition 1.5.1, K' is obtained from $D_8 \oplus \langle -4 \rangle$ by adding an element $\alpha = x^* + y^*$ where $x^* \in D_8^*$ and $y^* \in \langle -4 \rangle^*$ with $q_{D_8}(x^*) = q_{\langle -4 \rangle}(y^*) = 1$. Note that such x^* and y^* are unique modulo D_8 and $\langle -4 \rangle$ respectively. Hence we can put

$$x^* = -\{[F_1] + [F_2] + 2([F_3] + \cdots + [F_8])\}/2,$$

$$y^* = y/2 \text{ (} y \text{ is a base of } \langle -4 \rangle \text{)}.$$

Then $\alpha^2 = -2$, $\langle \alpha, [F_i] \rangle = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 7$) and $\langle \alpha, [F_8] \rangle = 1$. Thus $K' \simeq D_9$, which is also impossible. $\square$

Remark (3.11). If we drop the assumption (3.2), i.e. L tangents to C at a smooth point, then there is a (-4) -vector of even type in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Therefore, in this case, $[\omega_X] \in \mathcal{H}''$.

DEFINITION (3.12). Let X be a K3 surface with $[\omega_X] \in (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \{\delta \in \text{Pic}(X) \mid \delta^2 = -2, \delta \text{ is represented by an effective divisor}\}; \\ \Delta(S) &= \Delta \cap S; \\ P(X) &= \text{The connected component of } \{x \in \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, x \rangle > 0\} \\ &\quad \text{containing an ample class}; \\ P(S) &= P(X) \cap S \otimes \mathbb{R}; \\ C(X) &= \{x \in P(X) \mid \langle x, \delta \rangle > 0 \text{ for any } \delta \in \Delta\}; \\ C(S) &= \{x \in P(S) \mid \langle x, \delta \rangle > 0 \text{ for any } \delta \in \Delta(S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\overline{C(X)} \cap \text{Pic}(X)$ is nothing but the set of classes of numerically effective divisors. The following is an analogue of [Na], Proposition 4.7.

LEMMA (3.13). $C(S) = C(X) \cap S \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Obviously the left hand side contains the right. Let $x \in C(S)$ and $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta(S)$. The primitiveness of S in L implies that $\tau^*(\delta) \neq \delta$. By the Hodge index theorem, $(\delta - \tau^*(\delta))^2 < 0$ and hence $\langle \delta, \tau^*(\delta) \rangle > -2$. Note that $\tau^*(\delta) \neq -\delta$ and $\langle \delta, \tau^*(\delta) \rangle \neq 0, -1$ because $[\omega_X] \in \mathcal{D}' \setminus (\mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{H}'')$. Hence $\delta + \tau^*(\delta) \in S$ with $(\delta + \tau^*(\delta))^2 \geq -2$. If $(\delta + \tau^*(\delta))^2 = -2$, then $\delta + \tau^*(\delta) \in \Delta(S)$, and hence $\langle x, \delta + \tau^*(\delta) \rangle > 0$. If $(\delta + \tau^*(\delta))^2 \geq 0$, then $\delta + \tau^*(\delta) \in \overline{P(X)}$ and hence $\langle x, \delta + \tau^*(\delta) \rangle > 0$. Since $\langle x, \delta \rangle = \langle \tau^*(x), \tau^*(\delta) \rangle = \langle x, \tau^*(\delta) \rangle$, we have $\langle x, \delta \rangle > 0$. $\square$

(3.14). For $\delta \in \Delta(S)$, define $s_\delta \in O(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ by

$$s_\delta(x) = x + \langle x, \delta \rangle \delta.$$

Then the group $W(S)$ generated by $\{s_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta(S)\}$ acts on $P(S)$ and $\overline{C(S)}$ is a fundamental domain with respect to its action on $\overline{P(S)}$ ([V]).

(3.15) *Surjectivity.* We shall see that each point in $(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$ corresponds to a plane quintic curve with a cusp satisfying (3.2). Let X be a K3 surface with its period $[\omega_X] \in (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$. First note that X has an involution τ with $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\tau^*} \simeq U \oplus D_8$. In fact, the involution σ of $S \oplus N'$ defined by $\sigma|_S = 1_S$ and $\sigma|_{N'} = -1_{N'}$ acts on $A_S \oplus A_{N'}$ trivially because S and N' are 2-elementary. Hence by Remark 3.5, we can extend σ to an isometry $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Obviously $\tilde{\sigma}$ fixes the period of X . Moreover by Lemma 3.13, $\tilde{\sigma}$ preserves effective divisors on X . Therefore it now follows from the Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces [P-S] that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is induced from an involution τ of X with $\tau^* = \tilde{\sigma}$.

LEMMA (3.16). *There exists an elliptic pencil $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with a singular fibre F of type $\tilde{D}_n$ ($n \geq 8$) invariant under the action of τ .*

Proof. Consider an orthogonal decomposition $S = U \oplus D_8$ and take $f \in U$ satisfying that $f^2 = 0$ and f is primitive (i.e. $f = me$, $e \in U$, implies $m = \pm 1$). By

Lemma 3.13 and the fact stated in (3.14), we may assume that f is numerically effective, if necessary, replacing f by $\varphi(f)$ where $\varphi \in \mathrm{O}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ with $\varphi(S) \subset S$. Then f defines an elliptic pencil $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that f is the cohomology class of a fibre of π ([P-S], §3, Theorem 1). Consider the negative definite sublattice K in $\{\text{the orthogonal complement of } f \text{ in } \mathrm{Pic}(X)\}/\mathbb{Z}[f]$ generated by (-2) -elements. Then $K \simeq K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_r$, where K_i is a lattice isometric to A_m , D_n or E_l . By the same proof as that of [Ko], Lemma 2.2, π has singular fibres of type $\tilde{K}_1, \dots, \tilde{K}_r$. Since $D_8 \subset K$, π has a singular fibre F of type $\tilde{D}_n$ ($n \geq 8$). Since τ^* acts trivially on this D_8 , F is invariant under the action of τ . $\square$

LEMMA (3.17). *We keep the same notation as in Lemma 3.16. Then $n = 8$.*

Proof. Recall that F consists of the following smooth rational curves:




Fig. 3.

If $\tau(F_1) = F_2$ or $\tau(F_1) = F_n$, then $F_1 - F_2$ or $F_1 - F_n$ is (-4) -vector in N' of even type. Since $\langle \omega_X, \mathrm{Pic}(X) \rangle = 0$, this contradicts the assumption $[\omega_X] \in (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma)_0$. Hence $\tau(F_i) = F_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n+1$). Now if $n \geq 9$, then S contains a degenerate lattice of rank $n+1 \geq 10$ generated by components of F , which is impossible. $\square$

Thus the singular fibre F consists of smooth rational curves as in the following:




Fig. 4.

It follows from [N2], Theorem 4.2.2 that the set of fixed points of τ is the disjoint union of a smooth curve C of genus 5 and 4 smooth rational curves $E_1, \dots, E_4$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.4). Since $\tau(F_i) = F_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 9$), F_3 and F_7 are fixed curves of τ . This implies that F_5 is also fixed by τ and τ acts

on F_i ($i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9$) as an involution because the set of fixed points of τ is the disjoint union of smooth curves. Thus we may assume that $E_1 = F_3$, $E_2 = F_5$ and $E_3 = F_7$. Then F_i ($i = 1, 2, 8, 9$) meets either C or E_4 . If C meets all F_1, F_2, F_8 and F_9 , then $E_4 \cdot F_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 9$) and hence $S = U \oplus D_8$ contains a degenerate lattice of rank 10 generated by components of F and E_4 . This is a contradiction. Also if C meets only F_1 and F_2 , then E_4 meets F_8 and F_9 . In this case, $(F_7 + F_8 + F_9 + E_4)^2 = C \cdot (F_7 + F_8 + F_9 + E_4) = 0$. This contradicts the Hodge index theorem. Similarly it does not occur that C meets only F_1 or C meets only F_1 and F_8 . Thus we may assume that C meets F_1, F_2, F_8 and E_4 meets F_9 . Note that this is the same situation as in Figure 2.

Now taking the quotient $X/\langle \tau \rangle$ and contracting exceptional curves on $X/\langle \tau \rangle$ successively, we have a plane quintic curve with a cusp satisfying the Assumption 3.2.

(3.18) *Injectivity.* Let C and C' be plane quintic curves with a cusp satisfying (3.2). Let X and X' be the corresponding K3 surfaces to C and C' respectively.

PROPOSITION (3.19). *If $[\omega_X] = [\omega_{X'}]$ in $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)_0$, then C is projectively isomorphic to C' .*

Proof. Let $\{F_i\}$ or $\{F'_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 10$) be smooth rational curves on X or X' as in Figure 2, respectively. It suffices to see that there exists an isomorphism between the pairs (X, τ) and (X', τ') which sends $\{F_i\}$ to $\{F'_i\}$. Let

$$\gamma: H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$$

be an isometry with $\gamma([\omega_X]) = [\omega_{X'}]$ and $\gamma \circ \tau^* = (\tau')^* \circ \gamma$. By Remark 3.5, if necessary, changing F_1 and F_2 , and replacing γ by $\gamma \circ \varphi$ for some $\varphi \in O(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ with $\varphi \circ \tau^* = \tau'^* \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi|_{N'} = 1_{N'}$, we may assume that $\gamma([F_i]) = [F'_i]$ ($1 \leq i \leq 10$). Then by the following Lemma 3.20 and Lemma 3.13, $\gamma(C(X)) \subset C(X')$. Therefore it now follows from the Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces [P-S] that γ is induced from an isomorphism as desired. $\square$

LEMMA (3.20). $C(S) = \{x \in P(S) \mid \langle x, [F_i] \rangle > 0, 1 \leq i \leq 10\}$.

Proof. The following proof is an analogue of [Na], Proposition 6.9. We use the same notation as in [V]. Let W be the subgroup generated by reflections $s_{[F_i]}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 10$). Its Coxeter diagram Σ is defined as follows: the vertices of Σ correspond to $\{F_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 10}$ and two vertices F_i and F_j are joined by a simple line iff $F_i \cdot F_j = 1$. Then Σ contains only two parabolic subdiagram $\tilde{D}_8$ and $\tilde{E}_8$ which all have the maximal rank 8. Also Σ contains no Lanner's diagram and no dotted lines. Hence it follows from [V], Theorem 2.6 that W is of finite index in $O(S)$. Hence the polyhedral cone

$$\{x \in S \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, [F_i] \rangle \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq 10\}$$

is contained in $\overline{P(S)}$ (see [V], p. 335. By a direct calculation, we can also see

the last assertion without using the above Vinberg's theory). If there exists a smooth rational curve E with $E \neq F_i$ and $[E] \in S$, then $E \cdot F_i \geq 0$ for all i and hence $[E] \in \overline{P(S)}$, i.e. $E^2 \geq 0$, which is impossible. Similarly there is no smooth rational curve E with $\tau(E) \cdot E = 1$. Now let $\delta \in \Delta(S)$ and $x \in P(S)$. Let D be an irreducible component of δ which is a smooth rational curve. Then the above implies that $D = F_i$ for some i or $\tau(D) \cdot D \geq 2$ (Since $[\omega_x] \in (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$, $\tau(D) \cdot D \neq 0$). In the latter case, $(D + \tau(D))^2 \geq 0$ and hence $\langle x, [D + \tau(D)] \rangle > 0$. Thus $\langle x, \delta \rangle > 0$ for any $x \in P(S)$ with $\langle x, [F_i] \rangle > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 10$). $\square$

Thus $(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$ bijectively corresponds to the set of projective isomorphism classes of plane quintic curves with a cusp satisfying (3.2).

Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be an open set of $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ consisting plane quintic curves with a cusp satisfying (3.2). For any family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ of plane quintic curves with a cusp, we can construct a family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ of K3 surfaces with an involution as above. Associating its period with each member of $\mathcal{X}$, we obtain a holomorphic map from S to $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$. Therefore we have a holomorphic map $\lambda: \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma')_0$ which is bijective by the above argument. Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_0$ be a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_0$ with normal crossing boundary. Then by Borel's extension theorem [B], λ can be extended to a holomorphic map from $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_0$ to the projective compactification of $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ due to Baily-Borel [B-B]. Hence by GAGA, λ is regular. Since λ is smooth and bijective on a Zariski open set, we now conclude:

THEOREM (3.21). $\mathcal{M}_{5,\text{cusp}}$ is birationally isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$.

4. Proof of the rationality

The main purpose of this section is to prove the following:

THEOREM (4.1). $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'$ (as quasi-projective varieties).

Proof. For a lattice $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, we denote by $L(1/2)$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module L with the symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle/2$ valued in $\mathbb{Q}$. Fix an orthogonal decomposition $N = U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(2)$. Then $N(1/2) = U(1/2) \oplus U \oplus E_8$ and the sublattice $2U(1/2) = \{2x \mid x \in U(1/2)\}$ of $U(1/2)$ is isometric to $U(2)$. Under this isomorphism, we consider the lattice $N' \simeq U(2) \oplus U \oplus E_8$ as a sublattice $2U(1/2) \oplus U \oplus E_8$ in $U(1/2) \oplus U \oplus E_8$. Let $O(N(1/2))$ be the group of isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$ -module preserving the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle/2$. Obviously N and $N(1/2)$ define the same bounded symmetric domain $\mathcal{D}$ and $O(N) = O(N(1/2))$. Let e_1 ,

e_2 be a base of $U(1/2)$ with the matrix $(\langle e_i, e_j \rangle) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e_3, \dots, e_{12}$

a base of $U \oplus E_8$. With respect to this base, any $g \in O(N(1/2))$ has a matrix decomposition

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} A: U(1/2) \rightarrow U(1/2), \quad B: U \oplus E_8 \rightarrow U(1/2) \\ C: U(1/2) \rightarrow U \oplus E_8, \quad D: U \oplus E_8 \rightarrow U \oplus E_8. \end{array}$$

For $g' \in O(N')$, similarly, $g' = \begin{bmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to a base $\{2e_1, 2e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{12}\}$ of N' .

LEMMA (4.2). For $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in O(N(1/2))$, $g' = \begin{bmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{bmatrix} \in O(N')$, any entries of B and C' are even integers.

Proof. Put $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $K = (\langle e_i, e_j \rangle)_{3 \leq i, j \leq 12}$. Then

$$g \in O(N(1/2)) \Leftrightarrow {}^tAHA + {}^tCKC = H, {}^tAHB + {}^tCKD = 0, {}^tBHB + {}^tDKD = K.$$

Let $\{b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 10}$ be the first row vector of B , $\{b'_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 10}$ the second row vector of B and $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $U \oplus E_8$ is even, the diagonals of K , tCKC and tDKD are even integers. Then the equation ${}^tAHA + {}^tCKC = H$ implies that $a_1 \cdot a_3 \equiv 0$, $a_2 \cdot a_4 \equiv 0$ and $a_1 \cdot a_4 + a_2 \cdot a_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Since any entries of tCKD are integers, the equation ${}^tAHB + {}^tCKD = 0$ implies $a_1 \cdot b'_i + a_3 \cdot b_i \equiv 0$, $a_2 \cdot b'_i + a_4 \cdot b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$. These imply that b_i and b'_i are even.

Next let $g' = \begin{bmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{bmatrix} \in O(N')$. Let $\{c_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 10}$ be the first column vector of C' , (a_1, a_3) the first column vector of A' . Then

$$g'(e_1) = a_1 e_1 + a_3 e_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{10} (c_i/2) e_{i+2}.$$

Note that $N(1/2) = (N')^*$ and e_1, e_2 generate $A_{N'} = (N')^*/N'$. Since g' preserves $A_{N'}$ and $U \oplus E_8$ is unimodular, $\sum (c_i/2) e_{i+2} \in U \oplus E_8$ and hence c_i is even. Similarly any entries of the second column of C are even. $\square$

Let $z = \sum_{i=1}^{12} z_i e_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and $z' = z'_1(2e_1) + z'_2(2e_2) + \sum_{i=3}^{12} z'_i e_i \in \mathcal{D}'$ be homogeneous coordinates. We define a biholomorphic map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}', \quad \varphi(z) = (z_1/2, z_2/2, z_3, \dots, z_{12}).$$

Also by Lemma 4.2, the homomorphism

$$\psi: \mathrm{O}(N(1/2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(N'), \psi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} A & B/2 \\ 2C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

is well-defined and an isomorphism of groups. Since $\varphi(g(z)) = \psi(g)(\varphi(z))$ for any $g \in \mathrm{O}(N(1/2))$, φ induces an isomorphism from $\mathscr{D}/\Gamma = \mathscr{D}/\mathrm{O}(N) = \mathscr{D}/\mathrm{O}(N(1/2))$ to $\mathscr{D}'/\Gamma' = \mathscr{D}'/\mathrm{O}(N')$. Since $N(1/2)$ and N' define the same rational structure on $\mathscr{D}$, φ can be extended to an isomorphism between the projective compactifications of $\mathscr{D}/\Gamma$ and $\mathscr{D}'/\Gamma'$ due to Baily-Borel [B-B]. Thus we have proved Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.21, we have:

THEOREM (4.3). $\mathscr{D}/\Gamma$ is birationally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{5,\mathrm{cusp}}$.

By Proposition 2.2, we now conclude:

COROLLARY (4.4). *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of Enriques surfaces is rational.*

Remark (4.5). The author does not know the geometric meaning of the isomorphism in Theorem 4.1, in particular, whether there is a birational isomorphism g from $\mathcal{M}_{5,\mathrm{cusp}}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ forming the following commutative diagram (using the notation of §2), which is conjectured by Dolgachev [D2]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{\mathcal{M}} & \\ \varphi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\ \mathcal{M}_{5,\mathrm{cusp}} & \overset{g}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

Acknowledgement

This work was begun while the author was a visitor at the University of Michigan. I would like to thank Igor Dolgachev for inviting me and for valuable conversations.

References

- [B-B] Baily, W. L. and Borel, A., Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. Math.*, 84 (1966), 442–528.
- [B-P] Barth, W. and Peters, C., Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces, *Invent. math.*, 73 (1983), 383–411.

- [B] Borel, A., Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem, *J. Diff. Geometry* 6 (1972), 543–560.
- [C-D] Cossec, F. and Dolgachev, I., *Enriques surfaces I*, Boston: Birkhäuser 1989.
- [D1] Dolgachev, I., Rationality of fields of invariants, in “Algebraic Geometry, Bowdoin 1985”, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 46 (1987), Part II, 3–16.
- [D2] Dolgachev, I., Enriques surfaces: what is left, in “Proc. Conference on algebraic surfaces”, Cortona, 1988.
- [H] Horikawa, E., On the periods of Enriques surfaces I, *Math. Ann.*, 234 (1978), 73–108; II, *ibid.*, 235 (1978), 217–246.
- [Ko] Kondō, S., Automorphisms of algebraic K3 surfaces which act trivially on Picard groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 44 (1992), 75–98.
- [K] Kulikov, V. S., The epimorphicity of the period map for surfaces of type K3, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 32 (1977), 257–258 (Russian).
- [Na] Namikawa, Y., Periods of Enriques surfaces, *Math. Ann.*, 270 (1985), 201–222.
- [N1] Nikulin, V. V., Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications, *Math. USSR Izv.*, 14 (1980), 103–167.
- [N2] Nikulin, V. V., Factor groups of groups of the automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by 2-reflections, *J. Soviet Math.*, 22 (1983), 1401–1475.
- [N3] Nikulin, V. V., On the description of groups of automorphisms of Enriques surfaces, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 227 (1984), 1324–1327 (Russian).
- [P-S] Piatetskii-Shapiro, I. and Shafarevich, I. R., A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, 5 (1971), 547–588.
- [V] Vinberg, E. B., Some arithmetic discrete groups in Lobachevsky spaces, in “Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and applications to Moduli”, Tata-Oxford (1975), 323–348.