

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

RYUJI SASAKI

**Some remarks on the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with level  $(2, 4)$ -structure**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 85, n° 1 (1993), p. 87-97

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1993\\_\\_85\\_1\\_87\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1993__85_1_87_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Some remarks on the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with level (2, 4)-structure

RYUJI SASAKI

*Nikon University, College of Science and Technology, 8 Kanda Surugadai, 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan*

Received 3 July 1991; accepted 11 November 1991

### Introduction

We shall first explain the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with level  $(n, 2n)$ -structure. For a positive integer  $n$ , we define subgroups of the modular group  $\Gamma_g(1) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\Gamma_g(n) = \left\{ \sigma \in \Gamma_g(1) \mid \sigma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{n} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_g(n, 2n) = \left\{ \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g(n) \mid \mathrm{diag}(a^t b) \equiv \mathrm{diag}(c^t d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n} \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  denote the Siegel upper half-space of degree  $g$  on which  $\Gamma_g(1)$  acts by the map:  $\tau \rightarrow \sigma \circ \tau = (a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1}$ . We denote by  $A_g(n, 2n)$  the quotient space of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  by  $\Gamma_g(n, 2n)$ , which we call the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with level- $(n, 2n)$  structure. For the moduli theoretic meaning of this space, we refer to [13].

If  $n \geq 2$ , then we have the holomorphic map of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  to the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $N = n^g - 1$ , defined by  $\tau \rightarrow (\dots, \theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (n\tau | 0), \dots)$ , where  $\theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (n\tau | 0)$  are theta constants and  $a$  runs over a complete set of representatives of  $n^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^g$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^g$ . It induces

$$\Phi_n: A_g(n, 2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N.$$

Igusa ([7], [8]) proved that  $\Phi_n$  is an immersion for  $n \geq 4$  and  $4 \mid n$ . Moreover Mumford proved ([11], [13]) in a purely algebraic situation that  $\Phi_n$  is an immersion for all  $n \geq 4$ . In this paper we treat the map  $\Phi_2$ . Very few facts about the injectivity of  $\Phi_2$  is known. The main result of this paper is:

**THEOREM.** *If  $x \in A_g(2, 4)$  corresponds to the period matrix of a hyperelliptic*

curve of genus  $g$ , then  $\Phi_2^{-1}(\Phi_2(x)) = \{x\}$ ; hence  $\Phi_2(x)$  is a non-singular point of the Zariski closure of  $\Phi_2(A_g(2, 4))$ .

For a good application of the above result, we refer to B. van Geemen's works [3] and [4]. As another application, we have the following:

**THEOREM.** *If  $g \leq 3$ , then  $\Phi_2$  is injective.*

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 1 we discuss the local injectivity of the map  $\Phi_2$ , and in Section 2 we prove our main result. In the last section 3 we prove the injectivity of  $\Phi_2$  for  $g \leq 3$ .

### 1. Local injectivity of $\Phi_2$ and irreducibility of a point of $\mathfrak{S}_g$

Let  $m = \begin{pmatrix} m' \\ m'' \end{pmatrix}$  denote an element in  $1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  ( $m'$  and  $m'' \in 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^g$ ). Then we define the *theta function*  $\theta[m](\tau | z)$  of characteristic  $m$  and of modulus  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$  by

$$\theta[m](\tau | z) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e(1/2 \cdot {}^t(m' + p)\tau(m' + p) + {}^t(m' + p)z + m'')$$

where  $z$  is a variable in  $\mathbb{C}^g$  and  $e(*) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}*)$ .  $\theta[m](\tau) = \theta[m](\tau | 0)$  is called a *theta constant* of characteristic  $m$ . We call an element  $[m]$  in  $1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  a *theta characteristic*. We say that a theta characteristic  $[m]$  is even or odd according as  $e(2{}^t m' m'') = e(m) = \pm 1$ . The number of even theta characteristics is  $M = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ . Since  $\theta[m](\tau | -z) = e(m)\theta[m](\tau | z)$ , it follows that  $[m]$  is odd if and only if  $\theta[m](\tau | z)$  is an odd function. Moreover  $[m]$  is odd if and only if  $\theta[m](\tau) \equiv 0$ ; cf. [8], Th. 6.

We shall recall the *transformation formula* of theta functions: if  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is an element of  $\Gamma_g(1)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta[\sigma \circ m](\sigma \circ \tau | {}^t(c\tau + d)^{-1}z) \\ = \kappa(\sigma)\det(c\tau + d)^{1/2}e(\phi_m(\sigma))e(1/2 \cdot {}^t z(c\tau + d)^{-1}cz)\theta[m](\tau | z) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma \circ m = {}^t\sigma^{-1}m + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(c^t d) \\ \text{diag}(a^t b) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m(\sigma) = -1/2({}^t m'' b d m' + {}^t m''' a c m'' - 2{}^t m'' b c m'' \\ - {}^t \text{diag}(a^t b)(d m' - c m'')) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\kappa(\sigma)$  is an eighth root of unity depending only on  $\sigma$  and on the choice of the square root sign in  $\det(c\tau + d)^{1/2}$ ; the correspondence  $m \rightarrow \sigma \circ m$  gives rise to an action of  $\Gamma_g(1)$  on  $1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . For details we refer to [8].

A point  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$  is said to be *reducible* if there exists  $\sigma \in \Gamma_g(1)$  such that

$$\sigma \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_2}.$$

Otherwise it is said to be *irreducible*. Let  $(A_\tau, \Theta_\tau)$  denote the principally polarized abelian variety associated with  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ , i.e.,  $A_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\tau, 1_g)\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  and  $\Theta_\tau$  is the zero divisor of the theta function  $\theta[0](\tau|z)$ . Then  $\tau$  is reducible if and only if  $(A_\tau, \Theta_\tau)$  is a product of principally polarized abelian varieties of smaller dimension. For  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  the  $2^g \times (\frac{1}{2}g(g+1) + 1)$  matrix:

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \left( \theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2\tau|0) \cdots \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2\tau|0) \cdots \right)$$

where  $a$  runs over  $1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^g/\mathbb{Z}^g$  and  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq g$ . Since the theta series satisfies the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \theta[m](\tau|z) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(1 + \delta_{ij}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{ij}} \theta[m](\tau|z),$$

$\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  is a non-zero constant multiple of

$$\left( \theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2\tau|0) \cdots \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_{ij}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2\tau|0) \cdots \right)$$

As a criterion for irreducibility, we have the following, which is a combination of [2] Cor. 3.23 or [18] Lem. 1.6 and [16] Th. 1.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ ; then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\tau$  is irreducible.
- (2) The theta divisor  $\Theta_\tau$  on  $A_\tau$  is irreducible.
- (3)  $\text{rank } \mathcal{L}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}g(g+1) + 1$ .
- (4)  $\text{rank } \mathcal{L}(\sigma \circ \tau) = \frac{1}{2}g(g+1) + 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \Gamma_g(1)$ .

The following two propositions are proved by A. Seyama [19]. Let  $(A, \Theta)$  be a principally polarized abelian variety with an irreducible theta divisor  $\Theta$ . Then the restriction homomorphism:

$$\{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(A) \mid \sigma^{-1}\Theta \text{ is algebraically equivalent to } \Theta\} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_2)$$

is injective, where  $A_2$  is the kernel of  $2 \cdot 1_A$ . This fact yields

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$  be irreducible; then the point  $\tau \bmod \Gamma_g(2, 4)$  in  $A_g(2, 4)$  is non-singular.*

If  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$  is of the form:

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_i},$$

then theta constants enjoy the following vanishing property:

$$(P) \quad \theta[m](\tau) = 0 \text{ for all } m = \begin{bmatrix} m'_1 \\ m'_2 \\ m''_1 \\ m''_2 \end{bmatrix} \in 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$$

$$\text{with } e(m_1) = e(m_2) = -1$$

where  $m'_1$  and  $m''_1$  (resp.  $m'_2$  and  $m''_2$ ) are the first  $g_1$  (resp. the last  $g_2$ ) coefficients of  $m'$  and  $m''$ . Conversely we have the following:

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ . Assume  $\tau$  satisfy the property (P). Then there exists  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g(1)$  such that*

$$\sigma \cdot \tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_i}$$

and  $a_{ij} \equiv b_{ij} \equiv c_{ij} \equiv d_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  where

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad a_i \in M_{g_i}(\mathbb{Z}), \text{ etc.}$$

## 2. Main results

We define two holomorphic maps:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_2: \mathfrak{S}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N, \quad N = 2^g - 1$$

and

$$\tilde{\Psi}_2: \mathfrak{S}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^M, \quad M = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) - 1$$

by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau) = \left( \dots, \theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}(\tau), \dots \right), \quad a \in 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g$$

and

$$\tilde{\Psi}_2(\tau) = (\dots, \theta^2[m](\tau), \dots),$$

where  $[m]$  runs over the set of even theta characteristics. They induce the maps:

$$\Phi_2: A_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_2: A_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^M.$$

By the addition formula of theta functions; cf. [8] IV Th.2, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}_g/\Gamma_g(2, 4) = A_g(2, 4) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & \mathbb{P}^N \\ \Psi_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ \mathbb{P}^M & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathbb{P}^M \end{array}$$

where  $v$  is the Veronese map and  $L$  is an appropriate linear transformation. Since the map  $\Psi_4: A_g(4, 8) = \mathfrak{S}_g/\Gamma_g(4, 8) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^M$  induced by the map  $\tau \rightarrow (\dots, \theta[m](\tau | 0), \dots)$  is an immersion; cf. [8] V Cor. of Th. 4, it follows that any fiber of  $\Psi_2$  is a finite set.

Now we shall utilize the Satake compactification  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4)$  of  $A_g(2, 4)$ ; cf. [1]. It is known that  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4)$  is a complete, normal algebraic variety and contains  $A_g(2, 4)$  as an open algebraic subvariety and that the boundary  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4) - A_g(2, 4)$  is a finite disjoint union of  $A_k(2, 4)$ 's with  $0 \leq k \leq g - 1$ . The action of  $\Gamma_g(1)/\Gamma_g(2, 4)$  on  $A_g(2, 4)$  can be extended on  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4)$  naturally. Moreover the maps  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Psi_2$  can be extended to  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4)$  naturally. Let  $\bar{B}_g(2, 4)$  denote the Zariski closure of  $B_g(2, 4) = \Phi_2(A_g(2, 4))$ ; then  $\Phi_2$  induces the map  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \bar{B}_g(2, 4)$ , which we denote the same letter.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *The map  $\Phi_2: \bar{A}_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \bar{B}_g(2, 4)$  is a finite surjective morphism,  $B_g(2, 4)$  is a Zariski open subset of  $\bar{B}_g(2, 4)$  and  $\Phi_2^{-1}(B_g(2, 4)) = A_g(2, 4)$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known that  $\Phi_2$  is a proper algebraic morphism. By Prop. 1.1 and 1.2, we see that  $\Phi_2$  is a locally immersion at every irreducible point of  $A_g(2, 4)$ ; hence we have  $\dim \bar{B}_g(2, 4) = \dim B_g(2, 4) = \frac{1}{2}g(g + 1)$ . It follows that  $\Phi_2$  is surjective. Since  $\Phi_2(\bar{A}_g(2, 4) - A_g(2, 4))$  is closed in  $\bar{B}_g(2, 4)$ , it suffices to show

$\Phi_2^{-1}(B_g(2, 4)) = A_g(2, 4)$ . Let  $P$  denote any point of  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4)$ . Then there exists  $\sigma \in \Gamma_g(1)$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} \cdot P$  is a *special point* defined by a sequence in  $\mathfrak{S}_g$ :

$$\tau_n = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1n} & * \\ * & \tau_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_{in} \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_i}; n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where  $\{\tau_{1n}\}_n$  converges to  $\tau_{10} \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}m \tau_{2n} \rightarrow \infty$  and the other entries remain bounded. Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$  such that  $\Phi_2(\bar{\tau}) = \Phi_2(P)$ , where  $\bar{\tau}$  is the point in  $A_g(2, 4)$  induced by  $\tau$ ; hence we have  $\Psi_2(\bar{\tau}) = \Psi_2(P)$ . The point  $\Psi_2(P) \in \mathbb{P}^M$  is given by

$$(\dots, \mathbf{e}(2\phi_{\sigma^{-1} \circ m}(\sigma)) \times \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta^2[\sigma^{-1} \circ m](\tau_n | 0), \dots).$$

Suppose  $g_2 = g - g_1 \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\lim \theta^2[\sigma^{-1} \circ m](\tau_n | 0) = \begin{cases} \theta^2[(\sigma^{-1} \circ m)_1](\tau_{10} | 0) & \text{if } (\sigma^{-1} \circ m)'_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $(\sigma^{-1} \circ m)'_1$  (resp.  $(\sigma^{-1} \circ m)'_2$ ) is the first  $g_1$  (resp. the last  $g_2$ ) coefficients of  $(\sigma^{-1} \circ m)'$  and  $(\sigma^{-1} \circ m)''_1$  is the first  $g_1$  coefficients of  $(\sigma^{-1} \circ m)''$ ; cf. [8] V Lem. 28.

We put  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $a', b', c', d'$  and  $a'', b'', c'', d''$  denote matrices of size  $g \times g_1$  and  $g \times g_2$  such that  $a = (a', a'')$ , etc. Then we have

$$(\sigma^{-1} \cdot m)_2 = {}^t a'' m' + {}^t c'' m'' - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{diag}({}^t a'' c'').$$

If  $\theta[m](\tau | 0) \neq 0$  then the corresponding coordinate of  $\Phi(P)$  is different from 0. In particular we must have  $(\sigma^{-1} \cdot m)'_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ . Thus we see that  ${}^t a'' m' + {}^t c'' m'' \equiv \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{diag}({}^t a'' c'') \pmod{1}$  is independent of  $m$  for which  $\theta[m](\tau | 0) \neq 0$ . By the Lemma below, we have  $a'' \equiv c'' \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . This contradicts that  $\sigma$  is contained in  $\Gamma_g(1)$ ; hence  $g_2 = 0$ . Thus we see that  $P$  is contained in  $A_g(2, 4)$ . Since  $\Phi_2: A_k(2, 4) \rightarrow B_k(2, 4)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq g$ , has finite fibers, we see that  $\Phi_2: \bar{A}_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \bar{B}_g(2, 4)$  is a finite morphism.  $\square$

REMARK. The essential part of the above proof is given by Geemen [3].

REMARK. Combining with Th. 2.4 below, we see that the degree of  $\Phi_2$  is in fact one.

The following lemma is proved by Igusa; [9] Lem. 7.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $r$  be an even positive integer. Let  $\tau$  denote any point of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  and  $\xi$  an element of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ ; suppose that  ${}^t \xi m \pmod{1}$  is independent of  $m$  in  $r^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  for which  $\theta[m](\tau | 0) \neq 0$ ; then  $\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$ .*

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\tau$  denote any point of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  and  $\sigma$  an element of  $\Gamma_g(2)$ . If there exists a non-zero constant  $c$  satisfying

$$\theta^2[m](\tau|0) = c\theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau|0)$$

for all  $m \in 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , then  $\sigma$  is contained in  $\Gamma_g(2, 4)$ .

*Proof.* We put  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $\sigma \in \Gamma_g(2)$ , we have

$$\theta^2[\sigma \circ m](\sigma \circ \tau|0) = \theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau|0)$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}(2 \cdot \phi_m(\sigma)) = \mathbf{e}(-{}^t m' b d m' + {}^t m'' a c m'').$$

Hence, by the transformation formula, we get

$$\theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau|0) = \kappa(\sigma)^2 \det(c\tau + d) \mathbf{e}(-{}^t m' b d m' + {}^t m'' a c m'') \theta^2[m](\tau|0).$$

By the assumption, we see that  $-{}^t m' b d m' + {}^t m'' a c m'' \pmod 1$  is independent of  $m$  for which  $\theta^2[m](\tau|0) \neq 0$ . Since  ${}^t b d$  and  ${}^t a c$  are symmetric, it follows that  $-{}^t m' b d m' + {}^t m'' a c m'' \equiv ({}^t \text{diag}({}^t b' d), {}^t \text{diag}({}^t a c')) m \pmod 1$ , where  $b = 2b'$  and  $c = 2c'$ . By Lem. 2.2, we have  $\text{diag}({}^t b' d) \equiv \text{diag}({}^t a c') \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ ; hence  $\text{diag}({}^t b d) \equiv \text{diag}({}^t a c) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ . Thus we have shown that

$$\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} t_d & -{}^t b \\ -{}^t c & t_a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g(2, 4).$$

Since  $\Gamma_g(2, 4)$  is a group,  $\sigma$  is contained in  $\Gamma_g(2, 4)$ . □

Following [14], we shall recall the definition of the period matrix of a hyperelliptic curve. Let  $C$  denote a hyperelliptic curve of genus  $g$  defined by an equation:

$$y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_{2g+1}), \quad a_i \neq a_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We denote by  $\{A_i, B_i\}$  the *standard* homology basis on  $C$ ; cf. [14] III §5 and  $\{\omega_i\}$  the normalized basis of the space of the holomorphic 1 forms on  $C$ ; hence we have

$$\left( \int_{A_i} \omega_j \right) = 1_g \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \int_{B_i} \omega_j \right) = \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g.$$



We call  $\tau$  a *standard* period matrix of  $C$  associated with the branch points  $B = \{a_1, \dots, a_{2g+1}, \infty\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  denote the subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  consisting of points which are  $\Gamma_g(1)$ -equivalent to period matrices of hyperelliptic curves and let  $\mathfrak{H}_g(2, 4) = \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma_g(2, 4)$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *If  $x$  is a point of  $\mathfrak{H}_g(2, 4)$ , then  $\Phi_2^{-1}(\Phi_2(x)) = \{x\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  denote a point of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  such that  $\tau$  induces  $x$ . By definition, there exists a hyperelliptic curve  $C$  defined by an equation:  $y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_{2g+1})$  such that the standard matrix  $\tau_0$  associated with  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2g+1}, \infty\}$  is  $\Gamma_g(1)$ -equivalent to  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau_0$  for some  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g(1)$ . Let  $\tau'$  be another point of  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  such that  $\tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau) = \tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau')$ ; hence  $\tilde{\Psi}_2(\tau) = \tilde{\Psi}_2(\tau')$ . By the transformation formula, we have

$$\frac{\theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau)}{\theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau')} = \frac{\det(c\tau + d)}{\det(c\tau' + d)} \cdot \frac{\theta^2[\sigma^{-1} \circ m](\tau)}{\theta^2[\sigma^{-1} \circ m](\tau')}$$

which does not depend on  $m$ . Therefore we have  $\tilde{\Psi}_2(\tau_0) = \tilde{\Psi}_2(\sigma \cdot \tau) = \tilde{\Psi}_2(\sigma \cdot \tau')$ . By Th. 1 in [17], we see that  $\sigma \cdot \tau'$  is also the standard period matrix of a hyperelliptic curve defined by an equation:  $y^2 = (x - a'_1)(x - a'_2) \cdots (x - a'_{2g+1})$ . Since

$$\frac{\theta^4[m](\tau_0)}{\theta^4[n](\tau_0)} = \frac{\theta^4[m](\sigma \circ \tau')}{\theta^4[n](\sigma \circ \tau')}$$

we get, by III Cor. 8.13 in [14],

$$(a_k - a_l)/(a_k - a_m) = (a'_k - a'_l)/(a'_k - a'_m)$$

for all  $k, l$  and  $m$ ; hence  $\tau_0 = \sigma \circ \tau = (\sigma' \circ \tau')$  for some  $\sigma' \in \Gamma_g(2)$  by III Lem. 8.12 in [14]. Since  $\Gamma_g(2)$  is a normal subgroup, we have  $\sigma_0 = \sigma^{-1} \cdot \sigma' \cdot \sigma \in \Gamma_g(2)$ . Moreover we have  $\tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau) = \tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau') = \tilde{\Phi}_2(\sigma_0 \circ \tau')$ . By Lem. 2.3, we see  $\sigma_0 \in \Gamma_g(2, 4)$ . □

### 3. The injectivity of $\Phi_2$ for $g \leq 3$

In this section we discuss the injectivity of the canonical map:

$$\Phi_2^{(g)} = \Phi_2: A_g(2, 4) = \mathfrak{S}_g/\Gamma_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N, N = 2^g - 1.$$

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Assume that  $\Phi_2^{(k)}$  is injective for  $1 \leq k \leq g - 1$  and that  $\Phi_2^{(g)}$  is injective on the irreducible points. Then  $\Phi_2^{(g)}$  is injective.*

*Proof.* We shall prove that  $\Phi_2^{(g)}$  is injective on the reducible points. Let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be two points in  $\mathfrak{S}_g$  such that  $\tilde{\Phi}_2^{(g)}(\tau) = \tilde{\Phi}_2^{(g)}(\tau')$ . Suppose  $\tau$  is reducible; hence there exists an element  $\sigma \in \Gamma_g(1)$  such that

$$\sigma \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_i}; g_i > 0.$$

Since  $\tilde{\Psi}_2^{(g)}(\tau) = \tilde{\Psi}_2^{(g)}(\tau')$ , by the transformation formula, we have a non-zero constant  $c$  such that

$$\theta^2[\sigma \circ m](\sigma \circ \tau) = c \cdot \theta^2[\sigma \circ m](\sigma \circ \tau')$$

for all  $m \in 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . It follows that the  $\theta[m](\sigma \circ \tau')$ 's satisfy the vanishing property (P) in Section 1. By Prop. 1.3, we get an element  $\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$  in  $\Gamma_g(1)$  such that

$$\sigma' \circ (\sigma \circ \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau'_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau'_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{g_i}$$

and that  $a'_{ij} \equiv b'_{ij} \equiv c'_{ij} \equiv d'_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , where

$$a' = \begin{pmatrix} a'_1 & a'_{12} \\ a'_{21} & a'_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a'_i \in M_{g_i}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

etc. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'_i & b'_i \\ c'_i & d'_i \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \in \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since the canonical homomorphism  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is surjective, there exists  $\sigma_i \in \Gamma_{g_i}(1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $\sigma' \equiv \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 \pmod{\Gamma_g(2)}$ , where

$$\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & b_2 \\ c_1 & 0 & d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $\sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\sigma' \circ m \equiv (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2) \circ m \pmod{1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta^2[\sigma' \circ m](\sigma' \circ (\sigma \circ \tau')) \\ &= \theta^2[(\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2) \circ m] \left( \begin{pmatrix} \tau'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau'_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \theta^2[\sigma_1 \circ m_1](\tau'_1) \cdot \theta^2[\sigma_2 \circ m_2](\tau'_2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^2 \kappa(\sigma_i)^2 \det(c_i(\sigma_i^{-1} \circ \tau'_i) + d_i) \mathbf{e}(2 \cdot \phi_{m_i}(\sigma_i)) \theta^2[m_i](\sigma_i^{-1} \circ \tau'_i). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta^2[\sigma' \circ m](\sigma' \circ (\sigma \circ \tau)) \\ &= \kappa(\sigma)^2 \det(c'(\sigma \circ \tau) + d') \mathbf{e}(2 \cdot \phi_m(\sigma')) \theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau) \\ &= \kappa(\sigma)^2 \det(c'(\sigma \circ \tau) + d') \mathbf{e}(2 \cdot \phi_{m_1}(\sigma_1) + 2 \cdot \phi_{m_2}(\sigma_2)) \theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^2 \theta^2[m_i](\sigma_i^{-1} \circ \tau'_i) = c_1 \cdot \theta^2[m](\sigma \circ \tau) \\ &= c_2 \prod_{i=1}^2 \theta^2[m_i](\tau_i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are non-zero constants independent of  $m$ . By these equalities, we have  $\tilde{\Psi}_2^{(g)}(\tau_i) = \tilde{\Psi}_2^{(g)}(\sigma_i^{-1} \circ \tau'_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By the assumption we have  $\mu_i \in \Gamma_g(2, 4)$  such that  $\sigma_i^{-1} \circ \tau'_i = \mu_i \circ \tau_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then we have  $(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2) \circ (\sigma \circ \tau) = (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)^{-1} \circ (\sigma' \circ \sigma \circ \tau)$ . Since both of  $(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)$  and  $(\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)^{-1} \sigma'$  are elements of  $\Gamma_g(2)$ ,  $\sigma^{-1} \circ ((\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)^{-1} \circ (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2)^{-1} \circ \sigma') \circ \sigma$  is also contained in  $\Gamma_g(2)$ ; hence it is contained in  $\Gamma_g(2, 4)$  by Lemma 2.4. Thus we have shown the injectivity of  $\Phi_2^{(g)}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.**  $\Phi_2^{(g)}$  is injective for  $g \leq 3$ .

*Proof.*  $\Phi_2^{(1)}$  is injective by Th. 2.4. Hence by Lemma 3.1 and Th. 2.4,  $\Phi_2^{(2)}$  is injective. By Lemma 3.1 and Th. 2.4, the injectivity of  $\Phi_2^{(3)}$  comes from the following lemma, which is proved in [6].

**LEMMA 3.3.** Let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be two points in  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  such that  $\tau$  is the period matrix of a non-hyperelliptic curve. If  $\tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau) = \tilde{\Phi}_2(\tau')$ , then  $\tau = \sigma \circ \tau'$  for some  $\sigma \in \Gamma_3(2, 4)$ .

*Proof.* We shall give a sketch of the proof. Since  $\tau$  is the period matrix of a non-hyperelliptic curve, no even theta constants  $\theta[m](\tau)$  vanishes. The number of even theta characteristics is  $M + 1 = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) = 36$ . We recall that the map:  $\Psi_4: \mathfrak{S}_3/\Gamma_g(4, 8) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{35}$  defined by  $\tau \pmod{\Gamma_g(4, 8)} \rightarrow (\dots, \theta[m](\tau), \dots)$  is in-

jective. Since  $\tilde{\Psi}_2(\tau) = \tilde{\Psi}_2(\tau')$ , we have a non-zero constant  $c$  such that  $\theta^2[m](\tau) = c^2 \cdot \theta^2[m](\tau')$  for all  $m \in 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ ; hence  $\theta[m](\tau) = c\varepsilon(m)\theta[m](\tau')$  with  $\varepsilon(m) = \pm 1$ . Using a set of generators for the group  $\Gamma_3(2, 4)/\Gamma_3(4, 8)$ ; cf. [7], we see that there exist a non-zero constant  $d$  independent of  $m$ , an element  $\sigma \in \Gamma_3(2, 4)$  and 29 even theta characteristics  $[m_i]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 29$ , satisfying  $\theta[m_i](\tau) = d\varepsilon(m_i)\theta[m_i](\sigma \circ \tau)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 29$ . Therefore we have  $\theta[m_i](\tau') = (d/c)\theta[m_i](\sigma \circ \tau)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 29$ . By theta relations; cf. e.g., [15] II Th. 18, we have  $\theta[m](\tau') = (d/c)\theta[m](\sigma \circ \tau)$  for all even theta characteristics  $[m]$ . Hence, by the injectivity of  $\Psi_4$ , there is an element  $\mu \in \Gamma_3(4, 8)$  such that  $\tau' = \mu \circ (\sigma \circ \tau)$ . Then  $\mu \circ \sigma \in \Gamma_3(2, 4)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK. The extended morphism

$$\Phi_2^{(g)}: \bar{A}_g(2, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

to the Satake compactification  $\bar{A}_g(2, 4)$  of  $A_g(2, 4)$  is also injective for  $g \leq 3$ . This is pointed out by the referee. I appreciate here the unknown referee's kind advice.

## References

1. H. Cartan, *Fonctions automorphes*, Seminaire E.N.S. 1957/1958.
2. C. H. Clemens and P. A. Griffith, The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold, *Ann. of Math.* 95 (1972), 281–356.
3. B. van Geemen, Siegel modular forms vanishing on the moduli space of curves, *Inv. Math.* 78 (1984), 329–349.
4. B. van Geemen, *The Schottky problem and moduli spaces of Kummer varieties*, Thesis, Utrecht, Netherland, 1985.
5. B. van Geemen and G. van der Geer, Kummer varieties and the moduli spaces of abelian varieties, *Amer. J. Math.* 108 (1986), 615–642.
6. J. P. Glass, Theta constants of genus three. *Compositio Math.* 40 (1980), 123–137.
7. J. Igusa, On the graded ring of theta constants, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964), 219–246.
8. J. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Grund. Math. Wiss. 194, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
9. J. Igusa, On the variety associated with the ring of Thetanullwerte, *Amer. J. Math.* 103 (1981).
10. D. Mumford, *Introduction to algebraic geometry*, Lecture Notes, Harvard Univ. 1967.
11. D. Mumford, Varieties defined by quadratic equations, Questioni sulle varieta algebraiche. Corsi dal C.I.M.E. Edizioni Cremonese Roma, 1969.
12. D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata studies in Math. Oxford Univ. Press, 1969.
13. D. Mumford and J. Fogarty, *Geometric invariant theory*, Ergebnisse der Math. 34, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
14. D. Mumford, *Lectures on theta II*, Progr. in Math. 43 Birkhäuser (1984).
15. H. Rauch and H. Farkas, *Theta functions with applications to Riemann surfaces*, Williams and Wilkins, 1974.
16. R. Sasaki, Modular forms vanishing at the reducible points of the Siegel upper-half space, *J. für die reine angew. Math.* 345 (1983), 111–123.
17. R. Sasaki, Moduli space of hyperelliptic period matrices with level 2 structure, to appear.
18. T. Sekiguchi, On the cubics defining abelian varieties, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 30 (1978), 703–721.
19. A. Seyama, A characterization of reducible abelian varieties, to appear.