

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

MASATO KUWATA

**The field of definition of the Mordell-Weil group
of an elliptic curve over a function field**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 76, n° 3 (1990), p. 399-406

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1990__76_3_399_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

The field of definition of the Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic curve over a function field

MASATO KUWATA

Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, U.S.A.

Received 8 September 1989; accepted 20 March 1990

1. Introduction

Let $\pi: S \rightarrow C$ be an elliptic surface over a perfect field K . Let E be the fiber of S at the generic point of C . E is a curve of genus 1 defined over the function field $K(C)$ of C . In the following we assume E has a $K(C)$ -rational point O , and regard E as an elliptic curve over $K(C)$. We also assume the j -invariant of E is non-constant. Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K . By the Mordell-Weil theorem, the group of $\bar{K}(C)$ -rational points, $E(\bar{K}(C))$, is a finitely generated abelian group. Unfortunately, there is no algorithm currently known to compute this group. Though it is not guaranteed, a descent argument often works to determine the Mordell-Weil group over a number field (cf. [Sil]). In the case of a function field, however, this method does not work very well when the coefficient field is so large that the Mordell-Weil group of each fiber is no longer finitely generated.

Since $E(\bar{K}(C))$ is finitely generated, there exists a finite Galois extension L/K such that all the $\bar{K}(C)$ -rational points are defined over $L(C)$. We call the smallest of these fields the *field of definition* of the Mordell-Weil group. Once we know this field K_0 , it is often possible to compute $E(\bar{K}(C))$ by a descent argument. In this paper we obtain a slightly weaker result, but one which is just as useful for practical purposes. Our main result is that there is an explicitly computable integer $m > 0$ and an explicitly computable finite extension L/K such that $mE(\bar{K}(C)) = m(E(L(C)))$. If $E(L(C))$ can be computed, it is easy to find $E(\bar{K}(C))$ itself. For example, the method in [K] may be very useful.

Our result has an important application to algebraic geometry. Let $S \rightarrow C$ be an elliptic surface defined over a number field K . The Néron-Severi group $NS(S, \mathbb{C})$ over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} is spanned by

- (i) The loci of generators of $E(\mathbb{C}(C))$ and the 0-section, and
- (ii) a general fiber and the components of the singular fibers.

Suppose that all the components of the singular fibers are defined over K and that there exists a point of order 6 defined over $K(C)$. Choosing a base point in C , we embed C in its Jacobian $J(C)$; $j: C \hookrightarrow J(C)$. We denote by $J(C)[n]$ the

subgroup of $J(C)$ consisting of all the n -torsion points. We define $K(J(C)[n])$ as the smallest extension of K such that all the points in $J(C)[n]$ are defined. With these assumptions and notations, one of our main results (Corollary 3.5) translates to:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let*

$$L = \begin{cases} K(J(C)[6]), & \text{if } \text{genus}(C) > 0, \\ K(\mu_3), & \text{if } \text{genus}(C) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and let m be the exponent of $E(\mathbb{C}(C))_{\text{tors}}$. Then

$$mNS(S, \mathbb{C}) = mNS(S, L).$$

In other words, any element in $mNS(S, \mathbb{C})$ can be represented by an element that is defined over L .

Our result tends to be simpler when $E(\bar{K}(C))$ has enough torsion points. In §2, we consider curves with full l -torsion for some prime number l . When the genus of the base curve C is 0 and l is greater than 2, L is simply a splitting field of the discriminant. When the genus of C is greater than 0, the geometry of C affects the result. In §3, we consider the case when E has only one l -torsion point. In this case, the result is not readily computable. However, if E has torsion for more than one prime, we can obtain a very simple estimate of the field of definition. In case E does not have torsion points at all, we choose a finite cover $C' \rightarrow C$ and a finite extension L/K such that $E(L(C'))$ has the necessary torsion points. We consider this case in §4.

The field L tends to be very big, but this seems to be in the nature of this problem, especially when E can have a lot of twists. It is not hard to construct a surface with a large field of definition. In fact, Swinnerton-Dyer [S-D] constructed a surface whose field of definition K_0 satisfies $[K_0 : K] = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$.

The idea of this work came from the paper by Swinnerton-Dyer [S-D], in which elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 are the main concern. The author thanks Professors A. Bremner, M. Rosen, J. Silverman, and G. Stevens for their useful suggestions.

2. Elliptic curves with full l -torsion

In general, the torsion subgroup of the Mordell-Weil group can be determined easily (cf. [Sil] Ch. VIII). Suppose the torsion subgroup is determined and it is

$$E(\bar{K}(C))_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z} \quad (m_2 \mid m_1).$$

Extending the field K if necessary, we assume all these torsion elements are defined over $K(C)$.

In this section, we assume $m_2 \neq 1$ or the characteristic of K . Let l be a prime divisor of m_2 different from the characteristic of K . In order to state the main theorem in this section, we have to make a few definitions. For a function $f \in \bar{K}(C)$, we denote by (f) the divisor on the curve C determined by f . The discriminant Δ of E is the divisor on C determined by a minimal model for E/C . Suppose the discriminant Δ is written $\Delta = \sum n_i P_i$. We define $K(\Delta)$ as the smallest finite extension of K such that all these P_i 's are defined over $K(\Delta)$. By $K((1/l)\Delta)$ we mean the smallest finite extension of $K(\Delta)$ such that all the l -th roots of all the $j(P_i)$'s in the Jacobian $J(C)$ are defined over $K((1/l)\Delta)$.

With these notations, we can state our main theorem as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let L be the field $K((1/l)\Delta)$ defined as above.*

(i) *If $l > 2$, then*

$$m_1 E(L(C)) = m_1 E(\bar{K}(C)).$$

(ii) *If $l = 2$, then there exist elements d_1, \dots, d_r in L such that the extension field $M = L(d_1^{1/2}, \dots, d_r^{1/2})$ has the property:*

$$m_1 E(M(C)) = m_1 E(\bar{K}(C)).$$

For simplicity we use the notation $F = \bar{K}(C)$. The main idea of the proof is to consider the Galois action of $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ on $E(F)/lE(F)$. The following lemma will serve as a bridge between this group and $E(F)$ itself.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let A be a finitely generated free abelian group. Suppose that a finite group G acts on A and the induced action on A/lA is trivial.*

(i) *If $l > 2$, then G acts trivially on A .*

(ii) *If $l = 2$, then there exists a basis $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ for A such that each element $g \in G$ acts $g(\sigma_i) = \pm \sigma_i$ for all i .*

Proof. By choosing a basis of A , we embed G into $GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$, where r is the rank of A . Let σ be an element of order n in G . Let p be a prime dividing n and let $\sigma_1 = \sigma^{n/p}$. Since σ_1 acts trivially on A/lA , we can write $\sigma_1 = 1 + l^m \tau$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $\tau \in M_r(\mathbb{Z})$. We assume $\tau \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l}$. Then we have

$$0 = (1 + l^m \tau)^p - 1 = pl^m \tau + \binom{p}{2} l^{2m} \tau^2 + \dots + l^{pm} \tau^p.$$

When l is greater than 2, it is easy to see that the power of l dividing the coefficient of τ is the smallest among all the terms. This implies that τ is congruent to zero modulo l , which contradicts the assumption. Thus, we have $\sigma_1 = \sigma^{n/p} = 1$, which contradicts the fact that the order of σ is n . Hence σ must be 1. In the case $l = 2$, we refer to Christie [C]. □

If we take $m_1 E(F)$ as A in this lemma, the theorem follows immediately as

soon as we prove that $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ acts trivially on $m_1 E(F)/lm_1 E(F)$. In order to prove the latter fact, we review the proof of the weak Mordell-Weil theorem. We start from the exact sequence of $G_{\bar{F}/F}$ -module:

$$0 \rightarrow E[l] \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{[l]} E \rightarrow 0,$$

where $[l]$ stands for multiplication by l and $E[l]$ is the kernel of $[l]$. From this, we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow E[l](F) \longrightarrow E(F) \xrightarrow{[l]} E(F) \xrightarrow{\delta_E} \\ H^1(G_{\bar{F}/F}, E[l]) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{F}/F}, E) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{F}/F}, E) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since $E[l] \subset E(F)$ and thus $G_{\bar{F}/F}$ acts trivially on $E[l]$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow E(F)/lE(F) \xrightarrow{\delta_E} \text{Hom}(G_{\bar{F}/F}, E[l]) \rightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{F}/F}, E).$$

Similarly we consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_l \rightarrow \bar{F}^* \xrightarrow{l} \bar{F}^* \rightarrow 1,$$

and we get

$$1 \rightarrow F^*/F^{*l} \xrightarrow{\delta_F} \text{Hom}(G_{\bar{F}/F}, \mu_l) \rightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{F}/F}, \bar{F}^*).$$

The last term vanishes by Hilbert's theorem 90. So we have an isomorphism

$$\delta_F: F^*/F^{*l} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(G_{\bar{F}/F}, \mu_l).$$

With these notation we state the key lemma to prove the weak Mordell-Weil theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *There is a bilinear pairing*

$$b: E(F)/lE(F) \times E[l] \rightarrow F^*/F^{*l}$$

satisfying for $P \in E(F)$, $T \in E[l]$, and $\sigma \in G_{\bar{F}/F}$

$$e_l(\delta_E(P)(\sigma), T) = \delta_F(b(P, T))(\sigma),$$

where e_l is the Weil pairing (cf. [Sil] Ch. III).

- (i) *This pairing is non-degenerate on the left.*
- (ii) *Let S be the set of primes at which E has bad reduction. Then the image of the pairing lies in the subgroup of F^*/F^{*l} given by*

$$F(S, l) = \{b \in F^*/F^{*l} \mid \text{ord}_v(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \text{ for all } v \notin S\}.$$

(iii) The pairing may be computed as follows: For each $T \in E[l]$, choose functions f_T and g_T on E defined over $L(C)$ satisfying the condition

$$(f_T) = lT - lO, \quad f_T \circ [l] = g_T^l.$$

Then, provided $P \neq T$,

$$b(P, T) \equiv f_T(P) \pmod{F^{*l}}.$$

(iv) The pairing b is compatible with the action of $G_{\bar{K}/L}$.

Proof. Assertions (i) through (iii) are similar to [Sil] Ch., X Th. 1.1. As for (iv), for all $T \in E[l]$ and $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/L}$, we have

$$b(P^\sigma, T) = f_T(P^\sigma) = f_T(P)^\sigma = b(P, T)^\sigma$$

since T and f_T are defined over L . □

Choose generators $T_1, T_2 \in E[l]$, and we have a map

$$\begin{aligned} E(F)/lE(F) &\rightarrow F(S, l) \times F(S, l) \\ P &\mapsto (b(P, T_1), b(P, T_2)). \end{aligned}$$

This is an injection by (ii) and this injection is compatible with the action of $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ by (iv).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 2.2 we only have to show that $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ acts trivially on $E(F)/lE(F)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 2.3, we only have to show that $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ acts trivially on $F(S, l)$.

Suppose $b \in F^*$ satisfies $\text{ord}_v(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ for all $v \notin S$. Then the divisor determined by b is

$$(b) = \sum \alpha_i P_i + \sum l\beta_j Q_j, \quad P_i \in S, Q_j \notin S.$$

Since $\sum \alpha_i j(P_i) + \sum l\beta_j j(Q_j) = 0$ in $J(C)$, we can choose suitable l -th roots of $j(P_i)$'s and we have

$$\sum \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{l} j(P_i) \right) + \sum \beta_j j(Q_j) = 0.$$

By Abel's theorem there exists a function h whose divisor corresponds to $\sum \alpha_i ((1/l)j(P_i)) + \sum \beta_j j(Q_j)$. Hence the support of the divisor of the function b/h^l is contained in the union of $\{P_i\}$ and the support of $(1/l)j(P_i)$ for all i . By the definition of L , these are defined over L . Hence $b^\sigma \equiv b \pmod{F^{*l}}$ for all $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/L}$. □

3. Elliptic curves with one l -torsion point

In this section, we consider the case $m_2 = 1$ and $m_1 > 1$. Let T be a torsion point

of order l , a prime. Then we have an elliptic curve $E'/K(C)$ and an isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ such that the kernel of ϕ is the group generated by T .

First we note a couple of properties of E' .

PROPOSITION 3.1. (i) *There is an l -torsion point T' in E' defined over $K(\mu_l)(C)$. The kernel of the dual isogeny $\hat{\phi}$ is the group generated by T' .*

(ii) *Let v be a place in $K(C)$. Then either both E and E' have good reduction at v , or neither does.*

Proof. The assertion (i) is the consequence of the following generalization of the Weil pairing with respect to ϕ (See [Sil] Ch. III §8 and Ex. 3.15).

LEMMA 3.2. (Generalization of the Weil pairing). *Let $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of degree l . Then there exists a pairing*

$$e_\phi: \ker \phi \times \ker \hat{\phi} \rightarrow \mu_l$$

which is bilinear, non-degenerate, and Galois invariant.

As for (ii), see [Sil] Ch. VIII. □

Now we state the main result of this section. As in §2, we assume

$$E(\bar{K}(C))_{\text{tors}} = E(K(C))_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_2, \quad (m_2 | m_1).$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that $E(K(C))$ contains a point of order l prime to the characteristic of K and that K contains all the l -th roots of unity. Let L be the field $K((1/l)\Delta)$. Then there exists a field M such that $[M:L] = l^k$ for some k and*

$$m_1(E(M(C))) = m_1(E(\bar{K}(C))).$$

Proof. We need a generalization of Proposition 2.3.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *There is a bilinear pairing*

$$b: E'(F)/\phi(E(F)) \times E'[\hat{\phi}] \rightarrow F^*/F^{*l}.$$

satisfying for $P \in E(F)$, $T \in E'[\hat{\phi}]$, and $\sigma \in G_{\bar{F}/F}$

$$e_\phi(\delta_E(P)(\sigma), T) = \delta_F(b(P, T))(\sigma),$$

where e_ϕ is the Weil pairing.

(i) *This pairing is non-degenerate on the left.*

(ii) *Let S be the set of primes at which E' has bad reduction. Then the image of the pairing lies in the subgroup of F^*/F^{*l} given by*

$$F(S, l) = \{b \in F^*/F^{*l} \mid \text{ord}_v(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \text{ for all } v \notin S\}.$$

(iii) *The pairing may be computed as follows: For each $T \in E'[\hat{\phi}]$, choose function f_T and g_T on E' defined over $L(C)$ satisfying the condition*

$$(f_T) = lT - lO, \quad f_T \circ \hat{\phi} = g_T^l.$$

Then, provided $P \neq T$,

$$b(P, T) \equiv f_T(P) \pmod{F^{*l}}.$$

(iv) The pairing b is compatible with the action of $G_{\bar{K}/L}$.

By the same argument as in Theorem 2.1 we can show that $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ acts trivially on $E'(F)/\phi(E(F))$. In the meantime, since we have $K((1/l)\Delta_E) = K((1/l)\Delta_{E'})$ from Proposition 3.1, we get the same result on $E(F)/\hat{\phi}(E'(F))$ by exchanging the rôle of ϕ and $\hat{\phi}$. Now consider the exact sequence:

$$E'(F)/\phi(E(F)) \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} E(F)/lE(F) \rightarrow E(F)/\hat{\phi}(E'(F)).$$

Since all these three groups are l -torsion groups, it is easy to see if $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/L}$ acts on $E(F)/lE(F)$, the order of σ must be either 1 or l . Hence the assertion of the theorem follows. □

Let $K(\Delta, J(C)[l])$ be the smallest extension of $K(\Delta)$ such that all the l -torsion points in $J(C)$ are defined. When E has torsion points for two different primes, we have very simple estimate of the field of definition.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let l_1 and l_2 be two distinct primes dividing m_1 , neither of them is equal to the characteristic of K . Let L be the field $K(\Delta, J(C)[l_1 l_2])$. Then*

$$m_1 E(L(C)) = m_1 E(\bar{K}(C)).$$

Proof. Let M_1 and M_2 be the fields in Theorem 3.3 for l_1 and l_2 respectively. The assertion follows if we show $L = M_1(J(C)[l_2]) \cap M_2(J(C)[l_1])$. However, this is clear from the facts $[M_1(J(C)[l_2]):L] = l_1^r$ and $[M_2(J(C)[l_1]):L] = l_2^s$ for some r and s . □

REMARK. (1) We can make better estimate if we can compute the intersection of M_1 and M_2 .

(2) If the genus of C is 0, then L equals $K(\Delta)$.

4. Elliptic curves with no torsion points

In this section we assume that $E(\bar{K}(C))_{\text{tors}} = 0$. For simplicity, we assume that the characteristic of K is neither 2 nor 3. From the previous section, our estimate of the field of definition is simplest when $E(\bar{K}(C))$ contains 2 and 3-torsion at the same time. Let F be a finite extension of $K(C)$ such that $E(F)_{\text{tors}} \supset \mathbb{Z}/6$. There exist a finite extension L/K and a curve C' defined over L such that F is a function field of the curve C' . Let m_1 be the smallest integer to kill $E(F)_{\text{tors}}$ and let M be the field $L(\Delta, J(C)[6])$. Note that here we are considering the divisors on the curve C' .

THEOREM 4.1. *With above notations, we have*

$$m_1 E(M(C)) = m_1 E(\bar{K}(C)).$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that $E(M(C))$ is a subgroup of $E(M(C'))$ and $G_{\bar{K}/M}$ acts trivially on $E(M(C'))$. \square

REMARK. In [S-D], Swinnerton-Dyer extends the field to have full 2-torsion points. In that case, you have to determine d_i 's in Theorem 2.1. They are determined by considering the twists of the elliptic curve E . Usually it is hard to tell which method is more efficient and practical.

References

- [C] M. R. Christie, Positive definite rational functions of two variables which are not the sum of three squares, *Journal of Number Theory*, 8 (1976), 224–232.
- [K] Masato Kuwata, *The Canonical Height and Elliptic Surfaces*, (to appear from *Journal of Number Theory*).
- [Sil] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [S-D] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, The field of definition of the Néron-Severi group, *Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 719–731.