

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

PIERRE LIARDET

## **Regularities of distribution**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 61, n° 3 (1987), p. 267-293

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1987\\_\\_61\\_3\\_267\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1987__61_3_267_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1987, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Regularities of distribution

PIERRE LIARDET

*U.E.R. de Mathématiques, Université de Provence, place V. Hugo F-13331 Marseille, Cedex 3,  
France and Institut für Mathematik, Universität in Salzburg Petersbrunnstrasse 19,  
A-5020 Salzburg, Austria*

Received 30 October 1985; accepted 1 April 1986

*dedicated to Jean Coquet †*

**Résumé.** Une partie  $A$  de  $X$ , espace compact métrisable, est dite à restes bornés pour une suite donnée  $x: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  s'il existe  $a$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ , tel que la suite  $n \rightarrow \text{card}\{m < n; x_m \in A\} - na$  soit bornée. L'étude de ces ensembles est étroitement liée aux propriétés spectrales du flot associé à  $x$ . Les cas particuliers des suites  $(n\alpha)$  dans  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , des suites de Weyl et des suites multiplicatives en base  $g$  sont examinés plus en détail.

**Abstract.** A subset  $A$  of a compact metrizable space  $X$  is said to be a bounded remainder set for a given sequence  $x: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ , if there exists  $a$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ , such that the sequence  $n \rightarrow \text{card}\{m < n; x_m \in A\} - na$  is bounded. The study of such sets is closely related to spectral properties of the flow associated with  $x$ . We particularly investigate sequences  $(n\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , Weyl sequences and multiplicative sequences to base  $g$ .

### I. Introduction

#### I.1.

The aim of this paper is to study regularities of distribution for sequences  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with values in a compact metrizable space  $X$ . One way to deal with regularities or as well irregularities of distribution is to describe *bounded remainder sets*, abbreviated B.R.S., in  $X$  for  $x$ . These are subsets  $A$  for which there exists  $a$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that the sequence of remainders  $N \rightarrow r_N(A; a)$  defined by

$$r_N(A; a) = \text{card}\{n < N; x_n \in A\} - Na$$

is bounded. In this case  $a$  is called an *admissible frequency* of  $x$  in  $A$ .

The first result is about the familiar sequence  $(n\alpha)$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}$ , with  $\alpha$  irrational. It was proved by [Hecke, 1922] that for all arcs  $I$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  of length  $|I| > 0$  in  $\alpha\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ , one has

$$|r_n(I; |I|)| \leq |h|,$$

where  $h$  is the unique integer such that  $|I| - h\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The proof derives from the relation

$$1_{[u,v[}(x) - (v - u) = \langle x - v \rangle - \langle x - u \rangle,$$

where  $1_I$  denotes the characteristic function of  $I$  in  $[0, 1[$  and  $\langle y \rangle$  denotes the fractional part of the real number  $y$ ; here  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $0 \leq u \leq v < 1$ . The converse, conjectured by [Erdős, 1964] was first proved by [Kesten, 1973]. Proofs and generalisations of this theorem in the framework of both topological dynamics and ergodic theory were given by [Furstenberg, Keynes and Shapiro, 1973; Petersen, 1973; Oren, 1982]. The method of Oren is purely topological. The proof of Petersen is ergodic and shows that the condition  $\beta \in \alpha\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{m \neq 0} \left( \frac{\sin(m\beta\pi)}{m \sin(m\alpha\pi)} \right)^2 < +\infty. \tag{1}$$

I.2.

In some cases the sequence  $(x_n)$  is derived from iteration of a map  $T: X \rightarrow X$ . The initial point  $x_0$  is given and

$$x_n = T(x_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

The fact that  $A(\subset X)$  is a B.R.S. depends on  $x_0$ , but in many examples this initial value is irrelevant. The subset  $A$  will be called *T-admissible* if  $A$  is a B.R.S. with the same admissible frequency for all sequences satisfying (2).

In the general case we look at a sequence as a dynamical system. Let  $S$  be the one-sided shift on the compact metrizable product space  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ , given by

$$S(t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots) = (t_1, t_2, \dots).$$

An  $X$ -valued sequence  $x$  is viewed as a point of  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  and we denote by  $K_x$  the orbit closure of  $x$  with respect to the shift. We have  $S(K_x) \subset K_x$ , so that the restriction  $T$  of  $S$  on  $K_x$  gives the flow  $\mathcal{K}(x) = (T; K_x)$ . Now let  $I(x)$  be the set of Borel probability measures on  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  which are accumulation points of the sequence

$$N \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \delta_{S^n x}$$

with respect to the weak topology. To each  $\lambda$  in  $I(x)$  we associate the measured flow  $\mathcal{K}(x; \lambda) = (T; K_x, \lambda)$ . We shall be concerned with spectral properties of such a flow.

I.3.

In part II we give the ergodic method following the work of [Petersen, 1973] and we derive some general results on B.R.S. called *regular* (Theorem 2). In part III we find all *blocks*  $A = \prod_{k=1}^d I_k$  with bounded remainders  $r_n(A; a)$  for sequences  $n \rightarrow (n\alpha_1, \dots, n\alpha_d)$  in  $\pi^d$  (Theorem 3). We also give examples of cylinders which are B.R.S. for these sequences (Theorem 4), generalizing earlier examples of [Szűsz, 1954] and [Rauzy, 1983–1984]. In part IV it is proved in particular that any arc  $A$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  which is B.R.S. for a *Weyl sequence* of degree  $d \geq 2$  is in fact trivial, namely is of length 0 or 1. The next part deals with *q-multiplicative sequences* such as  $n \rightarrow e^{2i\pi\alpha s_g(n)}$ , where  $\alpha$  is an irrational number and  $s_g(n)$  the sum of digits of  $n$  to the base  $g$ . Spectral properties of these sequences obtained in [Coquet *et al.*, 1977; Queffelec, 1979] are used to describe the related measured flows. In the case of *strongly q-multiplicative sequences*  $z$  with additional properties, Theorem 6 says that arcs which are B.R.S. are trivial. This extends a first result of [Queffelec, 1984] about sequences  $(\alpha s_g(n))$ .

II. Coboundaries

II.1.

Let  $E$  be a locally convex linear space (L.C.S.) over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Schauder-Tychonoff theorem [Dunford and Schwartz, 1967] says that if  $K$  is a compact convex subset of  $E$  and if  $F$  is a continuous map from  $K$  into  $K$ , then  $F$  has a fixed point. Following the method of [Petersen, 1973] we give a coboundary theorem in a general form using the notion of quasi-complete L.C.R. [Bourbaki, 1964].

**COBOUNDARY THEOREM.** *Let  $E$  be a quasi-complete L.C.R. and let  $U: E \rightarrow E$  be a linear map, continuous for the weak topology on  $E$ . Assume the  $E$ -valued sequence  $n \rightarrow a_n, n \geq 0$ , given by  $a_0 = 0$  and*

$$a_n = a + U(a) + \dots + U^{n-1}(a)$$

*is weakly relatively compact. Then there exists  $b$  in  $E$  such that*

$$a = b - U(b).$$

*$a$  is called a  $U$ -coboundary and moreover for every continuous linear functional  $L$ ,*

$$|L(b)| \leq \sup_{n \geq 0} |L(a_n)|$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Define  $F: E \rightarrow E$  by  $F(x) = a + U(x)$ .

This map is weakly continuous. Let  $K$  be the convex (weak)-closure in  $E$  of the points  $a_n, n \geq 0$ . Since  $E$  is quasi-complete we derive from a theorem of Krein [Bourbaki, 1964] that  $K$  is weakly compact. Now we note that for all  $n$ -tuples  $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$  of real numbers  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  with  $|\lambda| = \sum_{i < n} \lambda_i = 1$ , one has  $F(\sum_{i < n} \lambda_i a_i) = \sum_{i < n} \lambda_i a_{i+1}$ . Hence  $F(K) \subset K$  follows from the continuity of  $F$  and consequently there exists a fixed point  $b$  of  $F$  in  $K$ . Moreover for any continuous linear functional  $L$ ,

$$|L(b)| \leq \sup_{|\lambda|=1} \sum_{i < n} \lambda_i |L(a_i)| \leq \sup_{n \geq 0} |L(a_n)|. \quad \square$$

### II.2.

The above theorem will be used particularly for  $E = L^2$  and  $U$  a linear isometry arising from a measure-preserving transformation but we shall need an improvement of it. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product  $(\cdot | \cdot)$ , the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $U$  be a linear isometry of  $H$ . We do not require  $U$  to be unitary. Let  $x$  be an element of  $H$ . The map

$$(m, n) \rightarrow (U^m x | U^n x)$$

defined on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  has a constant value  $\gamma_x(a)$  on the half-line  $m - n = a$ ,  $a$  given in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and so  $a \rightarrow \gamma_x(a)$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The map  $\gamma_x$  is the well known *correlation function* of  $x$  with respect to  $U$ ; it is a positive-definite function. From the Bochner-Herglotz theorem, it follows that  $\gamma_x$  is the Fourier transform of a Borel measure  $\lambda_x$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}$ , called the *spectral measure* of  $x$  with respect to  $U$  and moreover, if  $h$  denotes the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\lambda_x(dt) = * - \lim_{N: \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n < N} e^{-2i\pi nt} U^n x \right\|^2 h(dt)$$

where the limit is taken in the space  $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbb{T})$  of complex measures on  $\mathbb{T}$ , dual of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ , endowed with the weak topology. We recall that if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  denotes the dual Banach space of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ , then the map  $x \rightarrow \lambda_x$  from  $H$  to  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  is continuous. By means of the above weak limit one gets  $\lambda_{cx} = |c|^2 \lambda_x$  for any complex number  $c$  and  $\lambda_{x+y} \leq 2\lambda_x + 2\lambda_y$  for any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $U$  be a linear isometry on the Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $x$  be in  $H$ ,  $\lambda_x$  its spectral measure with respect to  $U$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) The sequence  $n \rightarrow x + U(x) + \dots + U^{n-1}(x)$  is bounded in  $H$ .*

- ii)  $x$  is a  $U$ -coboundary; there exist  $y$  in  $H$  such that  $x = y - U(y)$ .
- iii) The map

$$t \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sin^2 \pi t}$$

from  $\mathbb{T}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  (with the value  $+\infty$  at  $t = 0$ ) is  $\lambda_x$ -integrable.

*Proof.* The unit ball of  $H$  is weakly compact according to the theorem of Alaoglu, therefore *i*) and *ii*) are equivalent by the coboundary theorem.

*ii*)  $\Rightarrow$  *iii*): Assume  $x = y - Uy$ , then a straightforward computation gives  $\gamma_x(n) = 2\gamma_y(n) - \gamma_y(n - 1) - \gamma_y(n + 1)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and using spectral measures we obtain

$$\gamma_x(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} 4 \sin^2(\pi t) e^{2i\pi n t} \lambda_y(dt)$$

in other words

$$\lambda_x(dt) = 4 \sin^2(\pi t) \lambda_y(dt).$$

Therefore  $\lambda_x(\{0\}) = 0$  since  $\lambda_x$  is bounded and we get *iii*) with the following value:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{4 \sin^2(\pi t)} \lambda_x(dt) = \lambda_y(\mathbb{T}) = \|y\|^2.$$

*iii*)  $\Rightarrow$  *i*): Assume that  $\frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi t)}$  is  $\lambda_x$ -integrable. A straightforward computation gives

$$\lambda_{x_N}(dt) = \left| \frac{1 - e^{2i\pi N t}}{1 - e^{2i\pi t}} \right|^2 \lambda_x(dt),$$

where  $x_N := \sum_{n < N} U^n(x)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,

$$\lambda_{x_N}(\mathbb{T}) = \left\| \sum_{n < N} U^n(x) \right\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi t)} \lambda_x(dt).$$

This proves *i*).  $\square$

Note that the above proof gives

**COROLLARY.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, the equality  $x = y - U(y)$  implies:*

$$\|y\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2 \sin \pi(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}; \lambda_x)}.$$

II.3.

Now we quote a simple but typical fact about bounded remainder sets for sequences arising from regular processes. By a *process*  $\mathcal{Z}$  we shall mean in this paper a triplet  $(T; X, \mu)$  where  $X$  is a compact metrizable space,  $T$  a Borel transformation from  $X$  to  $X$  and  $\mu$  a Borel measure which is preserved by  $T$ . We recall that a map defined on  $X$  into a topological space is said to be  $\mu$ -continuous if it is continuous at  $\mu$ -almost every point of  $X$ . A subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is then said to be  $\mu$ -continuous if its characteristic function  $1_Y$  is  $\mu$ -continuous. The process  $\mathcal{Z}$  is called *regular* if  $T$  is  $\mu$ -continuous. Let  $J$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . A point  $x$  in  $X$  is called  $(\mathcal{Z}, J)$ -generic if for all continuous complex maps  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , one has

$$\lim_{N \in J} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} f(T^n x) = \int_X f(t) \mu(dt). \tag{3}$$

When the map  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is only  $\mu$ -Riemann-integrable, that is to say bounded and  $\mu$ -continuous, then (3) is still true whenever  $\mathcal{Z}$  is regular.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} = (T; X, \mu)$  be a regular process and  $x$  a  $(\mathcal{Z}, J)$ -generic point. If  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -continuous B.R.S. for the sequence  $\xi = (T^n x)_n$  of admissible frequency  $a$  then there exists  $F$  in  $L^\infty(X; \mu)$  such that*

$$1_A - a = F \circ T - F \quad \mu - a.e. \tag{4}$$

Moreover  $t \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi t)}$  is integrable for the spectral measure  $\lambda_f$  of  $f = 1_A - a$  with respect to the linear isometry given by  $T$  on  $L^2(X; \mu)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $A$  is  $\mu$ -continuous subset of  $X$ . Put  $f = 1_A - a$ ,  $f_0 = 0$  and  $f_m = f + f \circ T + \dots + f \circ T^{m-1}$  for  $m \geq 1$ . The maps  $f_m$  are  $\mu$ -Riemann-integrable and by assumption on  $x$  for all  $p$  in  $[1, +\infty[$  one has:

$$\lim_{N \in J} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} |f_m(T^n x)|^p = \|f_m\|_{L^p(X; \mu)}^p.$$

Let  $A$  be a B.R.S. and let  $a$  be the corresponding admissible frequency. There exists  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$\sup_m |f_m(x)| \leq c,$$

hence for all integers  $m, n \geq 0$ ,

$$|f_m(T^n x)| \leq 2c.$$

This implies

$$\|f_m\|_{L^p(X;\mu)} \leq 2c$$

for all  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and consequently these inequalities also hold for  $p = +\infty$ . Now the sequence  $m \rightarrow f_m$  is strongly bounded in  $L^\infty(X; \mu)$ , dual of  $L^1(X; \mu)$ . From the theorem of Alaoglu ( $f_m$ ) is relatively compact for the weak topology  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$  and the coboundary theorem can be applied to  $E = L^\infty(X, \mu)$  endowed with the weak topology. Therefore  $f$  is a  $U_T$ -coboundary where  $U_T$  is the linear isometry derived from the map  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \circ T$  defined for complex maps  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.* The equality  $1_A - a = F \circ T - F$   $\mu$ -a.e. implies that for  $\mu$ -almost every point  $y$  in  $X$ , the set  $A$  is a B.R.S. for the sequence  $\eta = (T^n y)_n$ . Conversely, if  $A$  is a Borel set and if there exist a Borel subset  $Y$  of  $X$  with  $\mu(Y) > 0$  and  $A$  a B.R.S for all sequences  $(T^n y)_n$  with  $y \in Y$ , then there exists  $F$  in  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  satisfying (4) with  $a = \mu(A)$ . This derives directly from the individual ergodic theorem. Note that in [Halász, 1976] Halász gets the same result but the remainder  $r_N(y) = \sum_{n < N} 1_A(T^n y) - N\mu(A)$  is only assumed to be bounded below on  $Y$ .

*Remark 2.* Theorem 2 can be viewed as the metric version of the classical theorem of [Gottschalk and Hedlund, 1955].

### III. The sequences $(n\alpha)_n$ in $\mathbb{T}^d$

#### III.1.

Let  $\tau_\alpha: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  be the translation given by  $\tau_\alpha(x) = x + \alpha$ . It is well known that  $\tau_\alpha$  preserves the Haar measure  $h$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and so induces a unitary operator  $U_\alpha: L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, h) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, h)$  given by  $U_\alpha(f) = f \circ \tau_\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  be in  $\mathbb{T}^d$  such that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $P = I_1 \times \dots \times I_d$  be a block with arcs  $I_j$  of length  $|I_j| \neq 0$ . Then  $P$  is a bounded remainder set for  $(n\alpha)_n$  if and only if there exists an index  $k$  such that  $|I_k| \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha_k + \mathbb{Z}$  and for all other indices  $j \neq k$ , one has  $|I_j| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that the given block  $P$  satisfies  $|I_k| \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha_k + \mathbb{Z}$  for an index  $k$  and  $|I_j| = 1$  for the other indices  $j$ . Clearly we can as well assume  $I_j = \mathbb{T}$  so that  $P$  is a B.R.S. from Kesten's theorem. Note that the case  $h(P) = 0$  is obvious.



Now suppose that  $P$  is a B.R.S. for  $(n\alpha)_n$  with  $h(P) = \prod_{n=1}^d |I_n| \neq 0$  and put  $f = 1_P - h(P)$ . Since  $(n\alpha)_n$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{T}^{n=1}_d$  we derive as in Theorem 2 that the sequence

$$N \rightarrow f + f \circ \tau_\alpha + \dots + f \circ \tau_\alpha^{N-1}$$

is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; h)$  (and also in  $L^\infty$ ). By Theorem 1, the map  $\frac{1}{\sin^2 \pi(\cdot)}$  is integrable for the spectral measure  $h_f$  of  $f$  with respect to  $U_\alpha$ . Using the Fourier expansion of  $f$  we easily verify that

$$h_f = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ m \neq 0}} |(1_P | e_m)|^2 \delta_{(m|\alpha)},$$

where  $\delta_a$  is the Dirac measure at the point  $a$  ( $a \in \mathbb{T}$ ),  $(m|\alpha) = m_1\alpha_1 + \dots + m_d\alpha_d$  for  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $e_m$  is the character on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  given by  $e_m(t) = e^{2i\pi(m|t)}$ . The condition iii) in Theorem 1 is now equivalent to

$$\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ m \neq 0}} \frac{|(1_P | e_m)|^2}{(\sin \pi(m|\alpha))^2} < +\infty. \tag{5}$$

For  $d = 1$ , (5) is the Petersen's condition (1). For  $d \geq 2$  we get from (5)

$$\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} \frac{|(1_{I_j} | e_m)|^2}{(\sin \pi m \alpha_j)^2} < +\infty,$$

hence

$$|I_j| \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha_j + \mathbb{Z}$$

for all indices  $j$ . Assume that  $k$  is an index such that  $|I_k| \neq 1$ . From (5) we derive now:

$$\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} \left( \frac{\sin(\pi m |I_j|) \sin(\pi |I_k|)}{m \sin \pi(m\alpha_j + \alpha_k)} \right)^2 < +\infty \tag{6}$$

for all indexes  $j, j \neq k$ . By a result of [Kronecker, 1884] there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{m:\infty} |m \sin \pi(m\alpha_j + \alpha_k)| \leq c.$$

In other words, there is a sequence  $(m_n)_n$  of integers such that

$$\lim_{n: \infty} (m_n \alpha_j) = -\alpha_k \tag{7}$$

on the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  and

$$\frac{\sin(\pi m_n |I_j|)}{m_n \sin \pi(m_n \alpha_j + \alpha_k)} \geq \frac{\sin(\pi m_n |I_j|)}{2c}.$$

But now (6) implies

$$\lim_{n: \infty} \sin(\pi m_n |I_j|) = 0.$$

Since there exists  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|I_j| - p\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows

$$\lim_{n: \infty} (m_n p \alpha_j) = 0$$

in  $\mathbb{T}$  and (7) gives

$$p \cdot \alpha_k = 0,$$

so that  $p = 0$ , hence  $|I_j| = 1$ .  $\square$

### III.2.

We now give a construction of bounded remainder sets in  $d$ -dimensional torus,  $d \geq 2$ , which are not blocks. To do this we need some definitions. Let  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$  be in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $v_d \neq 0$  and let  $\rho: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  be the canonical map.  $\tau_v$  is the translation by  $v$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  to which is associated the *cross translation*  $\theta_v$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  given by

$$\theta_v(t_1, \dots, t_{d-1}, 0) = \left( t_1 + \frac{v_1}{v_d}, \dots, t_{d-1} + \frac{v_{d-1}}{v_d}, 0 \right) \bmod \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

We consider  $\mathbb{T}^d$  as  $Q_d = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, 1[$ . Now a subset  $\Sigma$  of  $Q_d$  will be called a *section* for  $v$  if for any point  $\sigma$  in  $\Sigma$  one has

$$\Sigma \cap (\sigma + \mathbb{R} \cdot v) = \{\sigma\}.$$

Put  $H_0 = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d; t_d = 0\}$  and define

$$\Sigma_0 := (\Sigma + \mathbb{R} \cdot v) \cap H_0.$$

Finally, a subset  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  will be called *simple* (with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ) if it is bounded and satisfies

$$\forall x, y \in B; x - y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \Rightarrow x = y.$$

The following theorem extends results of [Szűsz, 1954], its proof follows an idea of [Larcher, 1985].

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  be in  $Q_d$  with  $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$   $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent, let  $v$  in  $\mathbb{N}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $v \notin \mathbb{Z}^d$  and let  $\Sigma$  be a section for  $v$  such that  $\rho(\Sigma_0)$  is  $\theta_v$ -admissible. If the cylinder  $C = \Sigma + [0, 1[$ ,  $v$  is simple then  $\rho(C)$  is  $\tau_\alpha$ -admissible.*

*Proof.* We first prove the theorem in a particular case. Assume  $\Sigma = \Sigma_0$  and denote by  $\langle x \rangle$  the point in  $Q_d$  congruent to  $x$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ) modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \neq 0$ , and  $v = \langle p\alpha \rangle$ . For any  $x$  in  $Q_d$  we denote by  $X_n(x)$  the point  $x + n.v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and we define the half-straight lines

$$D := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d; \exists \lambda \geq 0, y = \lambda X_1(0)\},$$

$$D_x := D + x.$$

Let  $d_n(x)$  be the intersection point of  $D_x$  with the affine hyperplane  $P_n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d; y_d = n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any point  $\xi$  in  $Q_d$ , we have to prove that the sequence  $(\xi_N)_N$  given by

$$\xi_N := \sum_{n < N} 1_B(\rho(\xi + n\alpha)) - \langle p\alpha_1 \rangle \cdot \lambda N$$

is bounded, where  $B = \rho(C)$  and  $\lambda$  is the admissible frequency of  $\Sigma_0 (= \Sigma)$  for the cross translation  $\theta_v$ . Let  $k_N$  be the integer such that  $X_{\lfloor N/p \rfloor}(0)$  belongs to the segment  $[d_{k_N-1}(0), d_{k_N}(0)]$ , then

$$k_N = \frac{N}{p} \langle p\alpha_1 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

and with  $\Sigma' = \Sigma + \mathbb{Z}^d$ :

$$\sum_{n < N} 1_B(\rho(\xi + n\alpha)) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k < k_N} c_{k,r} 1_{\Sigma'}(d_k(\langle \xi + r\alpha \rangle)) + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

where  $c_{k,r}$  is the number of points  $X_m(\langle \xi + r\alpha \rangle)$  on the segment  $[d_k(\langle \xi + r\alpha \rangle), d_{k+1}(\langle \xi + r\alpha \rangle)]$  such that  $m < \frac{N}{p}$  and

$$\langle \xi_d + r\alpha \rangle + m \langle p\alpha_d \rangle \in [k, k + \langle p\alpha_1 \rangle].$$

But for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $t \in [0, 1[$  and  $a \in [0, 1[$  one has

$$\text{card}\{m \in \mathbb{N}; k \leq t + ma < k + a\} = 1$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < N} 1_B(\rho(\xi + n\alpha)) &= \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k < k_N} 1_\Sigma \circ \theta_v^k(\langle \xi + r\alpha \rangle) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &= p\lambda k_N + \mathcal{O}(1) = \langle p\alpha_1 \rangle \lambda N + \mathcal{O}(1), \end{aligned}$$

this proves the particular case.

Now let  $A$  be any  $\tau_\alpha$ -admissible part of  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and let  $B$  be a subset of  $A$ . Then for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ , the set  $(A \setminus B) \cup (\tau_\beta B)$  is  $\tau_\alpha$ -admissible. From this we derive the theorem in its general form.  $\square$

#### IV. Weyl sequences

##### IV.1. Weyl flows

Let  $P(X) = a_0 X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + a_d$  be a polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$  with real coefficients  $a_i$ . When  $a_0$  is irrational  $P$  is said to be a *Weyl polynomial*. Put  $\alpha = d!a_0$ . Following [Furstenberg, 1981] we define the flow  $W_\alpha: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  by

$$W_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_d) = (t_1 + \alpha, t_2 + t_1, \dots, t_d + t_{d-1}).$$

This flow is uniquely ergodic, preserving the Haar measure. Let  $\Delta$  be the transformation defined on the space of real polynomials by

$$\Delta Q(X) = Q(X + 1) - Q(X).$$

The following formula will be useful:

$$W_\alpha^m(\Delta^{d-1}p(0), \dots, \Delta p(0), p(0)) = (\Delta^{d-1}p(m), \dots, \Delta p(m), p(m)) \quad (8)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

##### IV.2.

The next theorem derives from spectral properties of  $W_\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $h$ -continuous subset of  $\mathbb{T}$  for the Haar measure  $h$ . Let  $P$  be a Weyl polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$ . If  $A$  is a B.R.S. for the sequence  $(p(n) \bmod 1)_n$  then the Haar measure  $h(A)$  of  $A$  is 0 or 1.*

*Proof.* Define  $B := \{(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d; t_d \in A\}$  and put  $f := 1_B - h(B)$ . By assumption and (8) there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{m < M} f \circ W_\alpha^m(\Delta^{d-1}p(0), \dots, \Delta p(0), p(0)) \right| \leq C.$$

But any point  $x$  in  $\mathbb{T}^d$  is  $(W_\alpha, \mathbb{N})$  – generic hence by Theorem 2 the map  $t \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sin^2 \pi t}$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is integrable for the spectral measure  $h_f$  of  $f$  with respect to  $W_\alpha$ . The Fourier expansion

$$f = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} (1_A | e_m) e_m$$

holds in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, h)$  with  $e_m$  viewed as the character

$$(t_1, \dots, t_d) \rightarrow e^{2i\pi m t_d}$$

on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . By finite induction we easily obtain that for  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists a continuous map

$$\psi_{m,n} : \mathbb{T}^{d-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

which is constant if  $d = 2$  (and in this case, we put  $\mathbb{T}^0 = \{0\}$ ) such that

$$e_m \circ W_\alpha^n(t_1, \dots, t_d) = \psi_{m,n}(t_1, \dots, t_{d-2}) e_{mn}(t_{d-1}) e_m(t_d)$$

on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . Hence

$$(e_m \circ W_\alpha^n | e_{m'}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq m' \text{ or } n \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } m = m' \text{ and } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$(f \circ W_\alpha^n | f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ \|f\|^2 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows

$$h_f(dt) = \|f\|^2 h(dt).$$

Since  $\|f\|^2 = h(A)(1 - h(A))$ , the integrability condition iii) of Theorem 1 implies  $h(A) = 0$  or 1.  $\square$

**V.  $q$ -Multiplicative sequences**

*V.1.*

Let  $q = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of integers  $q_n \geq 2$ . We put  $q_0 = 1$  and  $p_n = q_0 q_1 \dots q_n$ . A complex valued sequence  $z : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be  $q$ -multiplicative if for all integers  $b \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq a < p_n$ , one has

$$z(a + bp_n) = z(a)z(bp_n). \tag{9}$$

The special case where  $z(bp_n) = e^{2i\pi b/p_{n+1}}$  for  $0 \leq b < q_{n+1}$  corresponds to a generalisation of Halton sequences. The set of arcs of bounded remainder for such sequences is almost completely determined by [Hellekalek, 1984]. Let  $g$  be an integer  $\geq 2$  and assume that in place of (9) one has

$$z(a + bp_n) = z(a)z(b) \tag{10}$$

where  $p_n = g^n$ . Then  $z$  is said to be a *strongly multiplicative* sequence to base  $g$ . An example is provided by the sequence  $n \rightarrow e^{2i\pi \alpha g(n)}$  given in the introduction and for which the corresponding dynamical system is known to be a uniquely ergodic flow [Kamae, 1977]. Another example is the Kakutani sequence studied in part V.4. below.

From now on we assume that the  $q$ -multiplicative sequences take their values in the group of complex numbers of modulus 1. This group will be denoted by  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $z$  be a strongly multiplicative ( $\mathbb{U}$ -valued) sequence to base  $g$ . The following hold:*

- i) The associated flow  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  is uniquely ergodic and  $z$  is well-distributed in the closed subgroup  $\mathbb{U}(z)$  generated by all the values of  $z$ .*
- ii) Assume moreover that  $z$  takes a value which is not a root of unity (hence  $\mathbb{U}(z) = \mathbb{U}$ ) and suppose there exists an integer  $m \neq 0$  prime to  $g$  such that:*

$$(*) \quad \left| \sum_{j < g} (z(j))^m \right| > 1.$$

*Then an arc  $I$  of  $\mathbb{U}$  is a B.R.S. for  $z$  if and only if the length of  $I$  is 0 or  $2\pi$ .*

Before we are going to prove Theorem 6 we will study  $q$ -multiplicative flows.

*V.2.  $q$ -Multiplicative flows*

Let  $z : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  be a  $q$ -multiplicative sequence and let  $\mathcal{X}(z; \nu)$  be the corresponding measured flow with  $\nu \in I(z)$ . For any integer  $k \geq 0$  we define the

sequences  $q^{(k)}$ ,  $p^{(k)}$  and  $z^{(k)}$  respectively by

$$q_0^{(k)} = 1, \quad q_n^{(k)} = q_{n+k} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

$$p_n^{(k)} = q_0^{(k)} \dots q_n^{(k)}$$

and

$$z^{(k)}(n) = z(np_k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Clearly  $z^{(k)}$  is a  $q^{(k)}$ -multiplicative sequence. Finally for each  $m = (m_0, \dots, m_s)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$  we associate the character  $\chi_m$  on  $\mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{N}}$  given by

$$\chi_m(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots) := u_0^{m_0} \dots u_s^{m_s}$$

and for short, we put

$$\Omega := \mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$\chi_m(j) := \chi_m(S^j(z)),$$

$$|m| := m_0 + \dots + m_s.$$

We prove the following extension of earlier results [Coquet *et al.*, 1977; Liardet, 1980; Queffelec, 1984].

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $z: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  be a  $q$ -multiplicative sequence. For any  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$  the spectral measure  $\Lambda_{z,m}$  of  $\chi_m$  with respect to  $\mathcal{X}(z; \nu)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\nu$  in  $I(z)$ . Moreover, let  $P_{m,N}$  be the polynomial*

$$P_{m,N}(t) := \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) e^{-2i\pi jt} \right|^2,$$

then

- i)  $\Lambda_{z,m}$  is the weak limit of  $\mu_{m,N}(dt) = P_{m,N}(t)h(dt)$ .
- ii) Let  $\lambda$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  and let  $p$  be an integer  $> 0$ . We denote by  $\lambda^p$  the Borel measure given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t) \lambda^p(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_{l < p} f\left(\frac{u}{p} + \frac{l}{p}\right) \right) \lambda(du)$$

for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then  $\Lambda_{z,m}$  is the limit in the sense of total variance (i.e. in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ ) of the sequence of measures

$$\sigma_{m,k}(dt) = P_{m,p_k}(t) (\Lambda_{z^{(k)}, |m|})^{p_k}(dt).$$

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be a given integer and define  $\chi_m^{(k)}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  by

$$\chi_m^{(k)}(j) := \left( z \left( \left[ \frac{j}{p_k} \right] p_k \right) \right)^{|m|} \chi_m^*(j) \tag{11}$$

where  $[x]$  is the integral part of  $x$  and  $\chi_m^*(\cdot)$  is the periodic sequence of period  $p_k$  given by

$$\chi_m^*(j) = \chi_m(j)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p_k - 1$ . By construction  $\chi_m^{(k)}(j) = \chi_m(j)$  for all integers  $j$  which do not belong to

$$A_k = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} ([lp_k - s, lp_k[ \cap \mathbb{N}).$$

From now on we assume  $s < p_k$  so that  $A_k$  has the density  $s/p_k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $J$  be an infinite part of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\nu$  is the weak limit of  $N \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} \delta_{S^j z}$  ( $N \in J$ ). Hence

$$\lim_{N \in J} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_m(u) \nu(du).$$

Assume  $|m| = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{N: \infty} \sup \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} |\chi_m(j) - \chi_m^*(j)| \leq \frac{s}{p_k}$$

and  $\chi_m^*$  has the mean

$$M_k = \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{j < p_k} \chi_m(j).$$

This proves that

$$\lim_{k: \infty} M_k = \int_{\Omega} \chi_m(u) \nu(du)$$

and implies finally:

LEMMA 1. *If  $|m| = 0$ , then for any  $\nu$  in  $I(z)$ :*

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi_m(u) \nu(du) = \lim_{N: \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j).$$



Now let  $\gamma_m$  be the correlation function

$$\gamma_m(n) := \int_{\Omega} \chi_m(S^n u) \overline{\chi_m(u)} \nu(du) = \lim_{N \in J} \frac{1}{N} \sum \chi_m(j+n) \overline{\chi_m(j)}.$$

On the other hand there exist  $s' \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m' \in \mathbb{Z}^{s'+1}$  such that  $|m'| = 0$  and  $\chi_m(j+n) \overline{\chi_m(j)} = \chi_{m'}(j)$  for all  $j$ , hence from the above Lemma  $\gamma_m(n)$  does not depend on  $\nu$  and the same is true for  $\Lambda_{z,m}$  with Fourier coefficients given by

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{z,m}(n) = \lim_{N: \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n) \overline{\chi_m(j)}.$$

For  $n$  fixed, a straightforward computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{m,N}(t) e^{2i\pi nt} dt &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{u, v < N \\ u = n+v}} \chi_m(u) \overline{\chi_m(v)} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{v < N} \chi_m(n+v) \overline{\chi_m(v)} + \sigma(1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

hence i) holds.

Set for short

$$\sigma_k(dt) = P_{m,p_k}(t) (\Lambda_{z^{(k)}, |m|})^{p_k}(dt)$$

where  $\Lambda_{z^{(k)}, |m|}$  is the spectral measure of the  $q^{(k)}$ -multiplicative sequence

$$n \rightarrow (z(np_k))^{ |m| } = \chi_{|m|}(np_k).$$

By a classical result [Coquet *et al.*, 1977],  $\Lambda_{z^{(k)}, |m|}$  is the weak limit of the sequence

$$N \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_{|m|}(jp_k) e^{-2i\pi jt} \right|^2 dt.$$

We claim that, in the sense of total variance (i.e. for the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  in the dual of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T})$ ) the inequality

$$\| \sigma_k - \Lambda_{z,m} \| \leq 4 \left( \frac{s}{p_k} + \left( \frac{s}{p_k} \right)^{1/2} \right) \tag{12}$$

holds. In fact, put  $P_{m,N}^{(k)}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m^{(k)}(j) e^{-2i\pi jt} \right|^2$ , so that  $\sigma_k$  is the weak limit of

$$P_{m,Np_k}^{(k)}(t) dt = \frac{1}{N} P_{m,p_k}(t) \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_{|m|}(jp_k) e^{-2i\pi jp_k t} \right|^2 dt,$$

then from i):

$$\| \sigma_k - \Lambda_{z,m} \| \leq \liminf_{N: \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P_{m,Np_k}(t) - P_{m,Np_k}^{(k)}(t)| dt.$$

The equality

$$\chi_m(j) = \chi_m(j') \chi_{|m|}(lp_k) (= \chi_m^{(k)}(j))$$

with  $j = j' + lp_k$ ,  $0 \leq j' < p_k$  is true for all  $j$  in  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A_k$ , therefore, using the inequality

$$| |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 | \leq |\alpha - \beta|^2 + 2|\alpha| |\alpha - \beta|$$

satisfied for all complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_{m,N}(t) - P_{m,N}^{(k)}(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{\substack{j < N \\ j \in A_k}} (\chi_m(j) - \chi_m^{(k)}(j)) e^{-2i\pi jt} \right|^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{N} \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) e^{-2i\pi jt} \right| \left| \sum_{j < N} (\chi_m(j) - \chi_m^{(k)}(j)) e^{-2i\pi jt} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Integration on the torus and Schwarz inequality lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P_{m,N}(t) - P_{m,N}^{(k)}(t)| dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j < N \\ j \in A_k}} |\chi_m(j) - \chi_m^{(k)}(j)|^2 \\ & + 2N^{-1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{m,N}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{\substack{j < N \\ j \in A_k}} |\chi_m(j) - \chi_m^{(k)}(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

but  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{m,N}(t) dt = 1$ , hence we get in fact

$$\lim_{N: \infty} \sup \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P_{m,N} - P_{m,N}^{(k)}| dt \leq 4 \left( \frac{s}{p_k} + \left( \frac{s}{p_k} \right)^{1/2} \right).$$

Taking  $Np_k$  in place of  $N$  above we derive (12).  $\square$

*Remark 3.* Assume  $|m| = 0$ , then  $\Lambda_{z^{(k)},0} = \delta_0$  (the Dirac measure at 0 on  $\mathbb{T}$ ) and

$$P_{m,p_k}(t) \cdot (\delta_0)^{p_k}(dt) = \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{l < p_k} P_{m,p_k} \left( \frac{l}{p_k} \right) \delta_{l/p_k},$$

hence: if  $|m| = 0$  then:

$$\Lambda_{z,m} \text{ is discrete, supported by the group } G_q = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{p_k} \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \right) \text{ modulo } 1.$$

*Remark 4.* In the general case for any  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\sigma_{m,k}(\{\alpha\}) = \frac{1}{p_k} P_{m,p_k}(\alpha) \Lambda_{z^{(k)},|m|}(\{p_k \alpha\}),$$

and

$$\left( \Lambda_{z^{(k)},|m|}(\{p_k \alpha\}) \right)^{1/2} = \lim_{l: \infty} \frac{1}{p_l^{(k)}} \left| \sum_{u < p_l^{(k)}} \chi_{|m|}(p_k u) e^{-2i\pi u p_k \alpha} \right|$$

by a classical result in [Coquet *et al.*, 1977], therefore

$$\left( \sigma_{m,k}(\{\alpha\}) \right)^{1/2} = \lim_{l: \infty} \frac{1}{p_l} \left| \sum_{j < p_l} \chi_m^{(k)}(j) e^{-2i\pi j \alpha} \right|$$

and since  $\chi_m^{(k)} = \chi_m$  on  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A_k$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \Lambda_{z,m}(\{\alpha\}) \right)^{1/2} &= \lim_{l: \infty} \frac{1}{p_l} \left| \sum_{j < p_l} \chi_m(j) e^{-2i\pi j \alpha} \right| \\ &= \lim_{N: \infty} \sup \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) e^{-2i\pi j \alpha} \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

the last equality following from a result of J.P. Bertrandias [Bertrandias, 1964; Coquet *et al.*, 1977]. The next result supplies to a misstatement in ([Liardet, 1980], thm 4) and furnishes a proof.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $z: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  be a  $q$ -multiplicative sequence. The flow  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  is uniquely ergodic if and only if for all integers  $s \geq 0$  and  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$  one has:*

$$\Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\}) > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{k: \infty} \left( \sup_{j \geq 0} |(z(p_k j))^{|\mathbf{m}|} - 1| \right) = 0. \tag{14}$$

*Proof.* Since the functions  $\chi_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  generate a dense subspace in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{N}})$ , the unique ergodicity of  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  is equivalent to the uniform convergence in  $n$  of the following sequences of means:

$$N \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n).$$

Assume this condition holds. Let  $m = (m_0, \dots, m_s)$  be in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$  given there exists  $N_0$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n) - \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) \right| \leq \epsilon N \tag{15}$$

for all  $N \geq N_0$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, take  $n = p_k l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_k \geq N + |m|$ , then (15) gives

$$|\chi_{|m|}(p_k l) - 1| \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) \right| \leq \epsilon N.$$

Suppose  $\Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\}) = c_m > 0$  and choose  $N = p_s$  large enough such that by (13):

$$\left| \sum_{j < p_s} \chi_m(j) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} p_s (c_m)^{1/2},$$

then

$$|\chi_{|m|}(p_k l) - 1| \leq 2\epsilon (c_m)^{1/2}$$

therefore

$$\sup_l |\chi_{|m|}(p_k l) - 1| \leq 2\epsilon (c_m)^{1/2}$$

for any  $k$  such that  $p_k \geq p_s + |m|$ . This proves (14).

Conversely, assume that (14) holds. Let  $\chi_m^{(k)}(\cdot)$  be defined as in Theorem 7. By a straightforward computation one has

$$\left| \sum_{j < N} (\chi_m(j+n) - \chi_m^{(k)}(j+n)) \right| \leq \left( \frac{N}{p_k} + 1 \right) s$$

for all integers  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $n$ ,  $p_k$  and  $N$  be given and let  $a$ ,  $b$  integers defined by

$$ap_k \leq n < (a + 1)p_k, \quad bp_k \leq n + N - 1 < (b + 1)p_k.$$

Since  $\chi_m^{(k)}(r + lp_k) = \chi_{|m|}(lp_k)\chi_m(r)$  for  $0 \leq r < p_k$ ,

one has

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq j < n+N} \chi_m^{(k)}(j) - \left( \sum_{r < p_k} \chi_m(r) \right) \left( \sum_{a \leq l < b} \chi_{|m|}(p_k l) \right) \right| \leq 2p_k$$

and then

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq u < n+N} \chi_m^{(k)}(u) - \sum_{0 \leq v < N} \chi_m^{(k)}(v) \right| \leq 4p_k + \left| \sum_{r < p_k} \chi_m(r) \right| (\Delta_m(a, b) + 1)$$

with

$$\Delta_m(a, b) = \left| \sum_{a \leq l < b} \chi_{|m|}(p_k l) - \sum_{0 \leq l' < b-a} \chi_{|m|}(p_k l') \right|,$$

hence

$$\left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n) - \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j) \right| \leq 2 \left( \frac{N}{p_k} + 1 \right) s + 4p_k + p_k (\Delta_m(a, b) + 1). \tag{16}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and assume  $\Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\}) > 0$ . By (14) there exists  $t$  such that  $k \geq t$  implies  $|\chi_{|m|}(p_k l) - 1| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $l$ , therefore

$$\Delta_m(a, b) \leq 2\epsilon(b-a) \leq 2\epsilon \left( \frac{N}{p_k} + p_k \right)$$

and (16) now gives

$$\left| \sum_{j < N} (\chi_m(j+n) - \chi_m(j)) \right| \leq N \left( \frac{2s}{p_k} + 2\epsilon \right) + 2\epsilon p_k^2 + 5p_k + 2s.$$

Choose  $k \geq t$  such that  $\frac{s}{p_k} \leq \epsilon$ , then for

$$N \geq 2p_k^2 + \frac{5p_k + 2s}{\epsilon}$$

we get for all integers  $n$ :

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} (\chi_m(j+n) - \chi_m(j)) \right| \leq 5\epsilon,$$

so that  $(1/N) \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n)$  converges uniformly in  $n$ .

Assume now that  $\Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\}) = 0$ , then with the above notations one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n) \right| &\leq 2p_k + \left| \sum_{ap_k \leq u < bp_k} \chi_m^{(k)}(u) \right| + \left( \frac{N}{p_k} + 1 \right) s \\ &\leq 2p_k + \left( \frac{N}{p_k} + 1 \right) s + \left| \sum_{r < p_k} \chi_m(r) \right| (b-a) \\ &\leq 2p_k + \left( \frac{N}{p_k} + 1 \right) s + (N + p_k^2) \left| \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{r < p_k} \chi_m(r) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k$  be such that  $\frac{s}{p_k} \leq \epsilon$  and by (13)

$$\left| \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{r < p_k} \chi_m(r) \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

Now with  $N \geq p_k^2 + \frac{2p_k + s}{\epsilon}$  we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} \chi_m(j+n) \right| \leq 3\epsilon$$

for all integers  $n$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

### V.3. Proof of Theorem 6

We continue the study of flows  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  but now we assume that  $z: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  is a strong multiplicative sequence to base  $g$ .

V.3.1.  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  is uniquely ergodic. Let  $m$  be a rational integer. By (13) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\})^{1/2} &= \lim_{k:\infty} \frac{1}{g^k} \left| \sum_{j < g^k} (z(j))^m \right| = \lim_{k:\infty} \left| \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j < g} (z(j))^m \right|^k \\ &= \lim_{N:\infty} \sup \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j < N} (z(j))^m \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

hence  $\Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\})$  is 0 or 1 and the value 1 is taken if and only if  $z^m$  is the constant sequence  $n \rightarrow 1$  since  $z(0) = 1$ .

Now let  $m$  be in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ . By assumption on  $z$ , the equality  $z^{(k)} = z$  holds for any integer  $k$ , so that by Theorem 7:

$$\sigma_{m,k}(\{0\}) = \left| \frac{1}{g^k} \sum_{j < g^k} \chi_m(j) \right|^2 \Lambda_{z,|m|}(\{0\}).$$

If  $z^{|m|}$  is a constant sequence then the implication (14) of theorem 8 is obvious. If  $z^{|m|}$  is not constant then  $\sigma_{m,k}(\{0\}) = 0$  and by Theorem 7, part ii):

$$\Lambda_{z,m}(\{0\}) = 0, \tag{18}$$

hence (14) holds again. This proves the unique ergodicity of  $\mathcal{X}(z)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the corresponding unique invariant measure on  $\mathcal{X}(z)$ . The projection  $\lambda_{|1}$  of  $\lambda$  onto the first factor of  $\Omega (= \mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{N}})$  is carried by  $\mathbb{U}(z)$  and from (17) we easily derive that  $\lambda_{|1}$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{U}(z)$ , as expected to complete the proof of i), Theorem 6.

V.3.2.  $\Lambda_{z,1}$  is  $g$ -invariant. For short we put  $\Lambda_z := \Lambda_{z,1}$  and  $P := P_{1,g}$  (see Theorem 7). The  $g$ -invariant property of  $\Lambda_z$  means that  $\Lambda_z$  is an invariant measure for the transformation  $t \rightarrow g.t$  on the torus. This result is contained in [Queffelec, 1979], it derives from i) Theorem 7 and the identity

$$\frac{1}{g} \sum_{l < g} P\left(\frac{t}{g} + \frac{l}{g}\right) \equiv 1. \tag{19}$$

V.3.3. A spectral criterium. Define  $t \rightarrow \|t\|$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  by

$$\|t\| = \text{Min}\{|\theta|; \quad t = \theta + \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

LEMMA 2

$$\left| \sum_{j < g} z(j) \right| > 1 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{\|t\|^2} \Lambda_z(dt) = +\infty.$$

*Proof.* We follow an idea of [Queffelec, 1984]. Let  $I_k = \left[ \frac{1}{g^k}, \frac{1}{g^{k-1}} \right]$  with  $k \geq 2$ . The  $g$ -invariant property of  $\Lambda_z$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}} 1_{I_k}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\|t\|^2} \Lambda_z(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} 1_{I_k}(gt) \frac{1}{\|gt\|^2} \Lambda_z(dt) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{j < g} 1_{I_{k+1}}\left(u + \frac{j}{g}\right) \frac{1}{\|gu\|^2} \Lambda_z(du) \\ &= \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{I_{k+1}} \frac{1}{\|t\|^2} \left( \sum_{j < g} \delta_{\{j/g\}} * \Lambda_z \right) (dt). \end{aligned}$$

But from i) Theorem 7 and (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j < g} \delta_{\{j/g\}} * \Lambda_z &= \lim_{k: \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j < g} P\left(t + \frac{j}{g}\right) \right) P(gt) \dots P(g^k t) h(dt) \right] \\ &= (\Lambda_z)^g(dt) = \frac{1}{P(t)} \Lambda_z(dt), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$J_k = \int_{\mathbb{T}} 1_{I_{k+1}}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{gP(t) \|t\|^2} \Lambda_z(dt).$$

Assume that  $gP(0) > 1$ , then for  $k$  large enough the inequality  $J_k \leq J_{k+1}$  holds and consequently the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{\|t\|^2} \Lambda_z(dt)$  diverges.

*V.3.4. End of the proof.* Let  $I$  be an arc of  $\mathbb{U}$  and suppose that  $I$  is a B.R.S. for  $z$  satisfying the assumptions of ii). Define  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f(\omega) = 1_I(\omega_0) - |I|$$

and let the above invariant measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  be viewed as a measure on  $\Omega$ . By i)  $\lambda|_1$  is equal to the Haar measure  $h$  on  $\mathbb{U}$ , hence the Fourier expansion of  $f$  in  $L^2(\Omega; \lambda)$  is given by

$$f = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} (1_I | \chi_m) \chi_m$$



where  $(1_I | \chi_m)$  is the ordinary Fourier coefficient  $\int_I t^m h(dt)$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_m \circ S^n | \chi_{m'}) &= \lim_{N: \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k < N} (z(k+n))^m \overline{z(k)^{m'}} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_M(t) \lambda(dt) = \Lambda_{z, M}(\{0\}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $M = (-m', 0, \dots, 0, m)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . Therefore  $(\chi_m \circ S^n | \chi_{m'}) = 0$  by (18) if  $m \neq m'$  and finally it follows

$$\lambda_f = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} |(1_I | \chi_m)|^2 \lambda_m$$

in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  where  $\lambda_m$  is set for the spectral measure  $\Lambda_{z, m}$ . Theorem 2 implies that  $1/\sin^2 \pi(\cdot)$ , or equivalently  $1/\|\cdot\|^2$ , is integrable for  $\lambda_m$  if  $(1_I | \chi_m) \neq 0$ .

Assume  $|I| \neq 0$  and  $\neq 2\pi$ . We are going to derive a contradiction proving that  $1/\sin^2 \pi(\cdot)$  is not integrable for  $\lambda_f$ . The functional equation (4) in Theorem 2 is satisfied with  $a = |I|/2\pi$  since  $z$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $I$  is a B.R.S. Take the exponential of each member of (4), we obtain  $\varphi$  in  $L^2(\Omega; \lambda)$ ,  $\varphi \neq 0$ , such that  $U_s(\varphi) = e^{i|I|s} \varphi$  and in terms of spectral measures  $\lambda_\varphi = \delta_{\{a\}}$ . Now let  $\psi = \sum_{\chi \in F} c_\chi \chi$  be a finite sum over the characters  $\chi$  on  $\Omega$ , with complex coefficients  $c_\chi$ , then by induction on the cardinal  $|F|$  of  $F$  one has

$$\lambda_\psi \leq 2^{|F|} \sum_{\chi \in F} |c_\chi|^2 \lambda_\chi. \tag{20}$$

Since the subspace generated by characters  $\chi$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega, \lambda)$ , the continuity of  $x \rightarrow \lambda_x$  from  $L^2(\Omega, \lambda)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  and (20) imply that there exists an integer  $s \geq 0$  and  $M$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ ,  $M \neq 0$ , such that

$$\lambda_M(\{a\}) > 0.$$

If  $|M| = 0$ , the Remark 3 §V.2. means that there exists  $k > 0$  and  $q \in \{1, \dots, g^k - 1\}$  with  $a = \frac{q}{g^k}$ . If  $|M| \neq 0$ , Theorem 7 implies that there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$\sigma_{M, k}(\{a\}) > 0$$

and Remark 4, §V.2. gives  $\lambda_{|M|}(\{g^k a\}) > 0$ . Put  $\alpha = g^k a$  and use formula (13), then the infinite product

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j < g} (z(j))^{M|} e^{-2i\pi j g^n \alpha} \right|$$

converges to  $\lambda_{|M|}(\{\alpha\}) (> 0)$  so that

$$\lim_{n: \infty} \frac{1}{g} \left| \sum_{j < g} (z(j))^{M|} e^{-2i\pi j g^n \alpha} \right| = 1.$$

It follows by convexity that

$$\lim_{n: \infty} (z(j))^{M|} e^{-2i\pi j g^n \alpha} = 1$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, g - 1$  (the case  $j = 0$  being obvious), hence  $(g^n \alpha)_n$  converges modulo 1 to  $\beta$  such that  $g\beta \equiv \beta \pmod{1}$  and  $(z(j))^{M|} = e^{2i\pi j \beta}$ . This leads to a contradiction, namely all the values of  $z$  are roots of unity. Finally we have just proved that  $|I|/2\pi$  is the  $g$ -adic number  $q/g^k$ . Now let  $m, m \neq 0$ , be an integer prime to  $g$  such that  $\left| \sum_{j < g} (z(j))^m \right| > 1$ . Then  $m|I|/2\pi \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 2,  $1/\sin^2 \pi(\cdot)$  is not integrable for  $\lambda_m$  and consequently is also not integrable for  $\lambda_f$ .  $\square$

For  $g = 2$  there is an odd integer  $m$  satisfying (\*). In the general case, it can only be proved there exists an integer  $q \geq 1$  such that (\*) holds for many integers  $m = qm'$  with  $m'$  prime to  $g$ . From the above proof we derive:

**COROLLARY.** *For any strongly multiplicative  $\mathbb{U}$ -valued sequence to base  $g$ , the set of admissible frequencies is finite (reduced to the set  $\{0, 1\}$  for  $g = 2$ ).*

#### V.4. Applications

Let  $g$  be again an integer  $\geq 2$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *The only arcs  $I$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  which are B.R.S. for the sequence  $n \rightarrow \alpha_s g(n) \pmod{1}$ , with a given irrational number  $\alpha$ , are the trivial ones, that is to say  $|I| = 0$  or 1.*

*Proof.* One has

$$\left| \sum_{j < g} e^{2i\pi \alpha j m} \right| = \left| \frac{\sin \pi g m \alpha}{\sin \pi m \alpha} \right|$$

and there exists a sequence  $(m_k)$  of integers such that  $g$  is prime to each  $m_k$  and  $(m_k\alpha)_k$  converges to 0 modulo 1. In particular  $\lim_k \left| \frac{\sin \pi g m_k \alpha}{\sin \pi m_k \alpha} \right| = g$  so that the required inequality (\*) in Theorem 6 holds and the corollary follows.  $\square$

We apply the above method directly to the study of the Kakutani sequence  $\xi$  introduced in [Kakutani, 1967]. Let  $p$  be a prime number  $\geq 3$ , set  $\varphi = e^{2i\pi/(p-1)}$  and let  $L$  be the logarithmic function which identifies the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ , with generator  $e$ , to the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  in such a way that  $L(e) = 1$ . Finally let  $\nu_p(m)$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $m$ . The sequence  $\xi$  is defined by

$$\xi(n) := \varphi^{L(\frac{n!}{p^{\nu_p(n)}})}.$$

It is proved in [Coquet *et al.*, 1977] that  $\xi$  is a strongly multiplicative sequence to base  $p^2$  and that all sequences  $\xi^j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, p-2$ , are pseudo-random. This implies that the measure  $\Lambda_{\xi, m}$ , for  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , is:

- continuous if  $|m| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ ,
- discrete, carried by  $G_{p^2}$  if  $|m| \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Since  $\xi$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{U}(\xi) = \{1, \varphi, \dots, \varphi^{p-2}\}$ , an admissible frequency for a B.R.S. has necessary the form  $\frac{a}{p-1}$  with  $a$  in  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ .

But measures  $\delta_{\{(a)/p-1\}}$  with  $a \in \{1, \dots, p-2\}$  are not spectral measures for  $\mathcal{X}(\xi)$ , hence we have:

**COROLLARY 3.** *The only subsets  $A$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  which are B.R.S. for the Kakutani sequence  $\xi$  are the trivial ones (i.e. either  $A$  contains all the  $p-1$ -th roots of unity or  $A$  contains none of these).*

**Acknowledgements**

The author wants to thank Peter Hellekalek whose interest in this work has been helpful during the one semester stay at the University of Salzburg.

**References**

Bertrandias, J.-P.: Suites pseudo-aléatoires et critères d'équirépartition modulo 1. *Compositio Math.* 16 (1964) 23-28.  
 Bourbaki, N.: *Espaces vectoriels topologiques*, ch III., *Actualités scientifiques et industrielles*. Hermann (1964).  
 Coquet, J., Kamae, T. and Mendès-France, M.: Sur la mesure spectrale de certaines suites arithmétiques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 105 (1977) 369-384.

- Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J.T.: *Linear operator, part I, Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. VII. Interscience Publishers, New York (1967).
- Erdős, P.: Problems and results on diophantine approximations. *Compositio Math.* 16 (1964) 52–65.
- Furstenberg, H.: *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*. Princeton Univ. Press (1981).
- Furstenberg, H., Keynes, M. and Shapiro, L.: Prime flows in topological dynamics. *Israel J. Math.* 14 (1973) 26–38.
- Gottschalk, W.H. and Hedlund, G.A.: *Topological Dynamics, Colloquium Publication XXXVI*. American Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1955).
- Halász, G.: Remarks on the remainder in Birkhoff's ergodic theorem. *Acta Mathematica Acad. Sc. Hungaricae* 28 (1976) 389–395.
- Hecke, E.: Analytische Funktionen und die Verteilung von Zahlen mod. eins. *Abh. Math. Semin. Hamburg Univ.* (1922) 54–76.
- Hellekalek, P.: Regularities in the distribution of special sequences. *J. Number Theory* 18 (1984) 41–55.
- Kakutani, S.: Ergodic theory of shift transformations, *Proceedings of the 5th Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability* [1965, Berkeley], vol. 2, part 2. University of California Press, Berkeley (1967) 405–414.
- Kamae, T.: Mutual singularity of spectra of dynamical systems given by 'sum of digits' to different bases, Société Math. France. *Astérisque* 49 (1977) 109–114.
- Kesten, H.: On a conjecture of Erdős and Szűs related to uniform distribution mod 1. *Acta Arith.* 14 (1973) 26–38.
- Kronecker, L.: *Berliner Sitzungsberichte*, 11 Déc. 1884. *Werke* 3 (1884) 49.
- Larcher, G.: Private communication, Salzburg (May 1985).
- Liardet, P.: Propriétés dynamiques de suites arithmétiques. *Journées Mathématiques SMF-CNRS, Théorie Analytique et élémentaire des nombres*. Caen (1980) 58–73.
- Oren, I.: Admissible functions with multiple discontinuities. *Israel J. Math.* 42 (1982) 353–360.
- Petersen, K.: On a series of cosecants related to a problem in ergodic theory. *Compositio Math.* 26 (1973) 313–317.
- Queffelec, M.: Mesures spectrales associées à certaines suites arithmétiques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 107 (1979) 385–421.
- Queffelec, M.: *Contribution à l'étude spectrale de suites arithmétiques*. Thèse d'Etat, Université Paris-Nord (1984).
- Rauzy, G.: Ensembles à restes bornés. *Sém. Théorie des nombres*. Bordeaux exp. 24 (1983–1984) 1–12.
- Szűs, P.: Über die Verteilung der Vielfachen einer komplexen Zahl nach dem Modul des Einheitsquadrates. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae* 5 (1954) 35–39.