

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

W. H. SCHIKHOF

Borel's theorem for C^∞ -functions on a non-archimedean valued field

Compositio Mathematica, tome 55, n° 3 (1985), p. 289-294

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__55_3_289_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

BOREL'S THEOREM FOR C^∞ -FUNCTIONS ON A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN VALUED FIELD

W.H. Schikhof

In this note we prove the following theorem (for explanations see below).

THEOREM 1: *Let K be a non-archimedean nontrivially valued field. Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ be any sequence in K . Then there exists a C^∞ -function $f: K \rightarrow K$ such that $D_n f(0) = \lambda_n$ for all $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.*

DEFINITION ([1], [2]): For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\nabla^n K := \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in K^n: \text{if } i \neq j \text{ then } \xi_i \neq \xi_j\}$. Let $f: K \rightarrow K$.

Define $\Phi_n f: \nabla^{n+1} K \rightarrow K$ inductively as follows. $\Phi_0 f := f$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) := & -(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^{-1}(\Phi_{n-1} f(\xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \\ & - \Phi_{n-1} f(\xi_1, \xi_3, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_{n+1})) \\ & ((\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \in \nabla^{n+1} K). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. f is a C^n -function if $\Phi_n f$ can be extended to a continuous function $\bar{\Phi}_n f$ on K^{n+1} . For such a C^n -function we set (for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $x, y \in K$)

$$\begin{aligned} D_n f(x) &:= \bar{\Phi}_n f(x, x, \dots, x) \\ T_{n+1} f(x, y) &:= \sum_{j=0}^n (x-y)^j D_j f(y) \\ R_{n+1} f(x, y) &:= f(x) - T_{n+1} f(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

f is a C^∞ -function if f is a C^n -function for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

REMARK 1: The “ordinary” definition of a C^n -function (f is n times differentiable and $f^{(n)}$ is continuous) does not lead to nice properties. The stronger definition of above restores somewhat the damage caused by the absence of the Mean Value Theorem.

REMARK 2: The following statements can be obtained by elementary and straightforward arguments (see [2]).

- (i) A C^{n+1} -function is also a C^n -function. (Locally) analytic functions are C^∞ -functions, “ f is a C^n -function” is a local property.
- (ii) (Taylor) if f is a C^n -function then

$$R_n f(x, y) = (x - y)^n \bar{\Phi}_n f(x, y, y, \dots, y) \quad (x, y \in K)$$

so that

$$\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (a, a)} \frac{R_n f(x, y)}{(x - y)^n} = D_n f(a) \quad (a \in K)$$

Further, we have $n! D_n f = f^{(n)}$.

- (iii) (Polynomials). Let \varkappa denote the function $x \mapsto x$ ($x \in K$). Then $D_j \varkappa^n = \binom{n}{j} \varkappa^{n-j}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$). For a polynomial function f defined by $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \dots + \lambda_m x^m$ ($x \in K$) we have $D_j f(0) = \lambda_j$ ($0 \leq j \leq m$) and $\bar{\Phi}_{m+1} f = 0$.

REMARK 3: Observe that the characteristic of K is allowed to be $\neq 0$. For this reason we prefer to work with D_n rather than the less informative n -th derivative.

The next theorem reduces the number of variables involved.

THEOREM 2. ([2], 10.7): *Let K be as in Theorem 1, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The following conditions on a C^{n-1} -function $f: K \rightarrow K$ are equivalent.*

- (α) f is a C^n -function.
- (β) For each $a \in K$, $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (a, a)} (x - y)^{-n} R_n f(x, y)$ exists.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need two estimates on polynomial functions $K \rightarrow K$.

LEMMA 1: *Let $Q: x \mapsto \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \dots + \lambda_s x^s$ be a polynomial function. Then*

$$|D_j Q(x)| \leq \max(|\lambda_0|, |\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_s|)$$

$$(j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, x \in K, |x| \leq 1).$$

If, in addition, $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$ for some $m \leq s$ then

$$|D_j Q(x)| \leq |x|^{m+1-j} \max(|\lambda_{m+1}|, \dots, |\lambda_s|)$$

$$(j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, j \leq m + 1, x \in K, |x| \leq 1).$$

PROOF: Everything follows from the formula

$$D_j Q(x) = \sum_{i=j}^s \lambda_i \binom{i}{j} x^{i-j}.$$

LEMMA 2: Let $P: x \mapsto \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \dots + \lambda_m x^m$ be a polynomial function and let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $n \leq m$. Then

$$|(x-y)^{-n} R_n P(x, y) - \lambda_n| \leq \max(|x|, |y|) \cdot$$

$$\max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) (x, y \in K, |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1, x \neq y).$$

PROOF: We have $\Phi_{m+1} P = 0$ so that

$$R_{m+1} P(x, y) = (x-y)^{m+1} \bar{\Phi}_{m+1} P(x, y, y, \dots, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in K$. Hence

$$P(x) = T_{m+1} P(x, y)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_n P(x, y) &= P(x) - T_n P(x, y) = T_{m+1} P(x, y) - T_n P(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{j=n}^m (x-y)^j D_j P(y). \end{aligned}$$

We find for $x, y \in K$, $|x| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq 1$, $x \neq y$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad (x-y)^{-n} R_n P(x, y) - \lambda_n &= D_n P(y) - \lambda_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=n+1}^m (x-y)^{j-n} D_j P(y) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$D_n P(y) = \lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1} \binom{n+1}{n} y + \dots + \lambda_m \binom{m}{n} y^{m-n}$$

so that

$$|D_n P(y) - \lambda_n| \leq |y| (\max|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|).$$

Further, by Lemma 1 we have

$$|D_j P(y)| \leq \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|)$$

so

$$\left| \sum_{j=n+1}^m (x-y)^{j-n} D_j P(y) \right| \leq |x-y| \cdot \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|).$$

Together with (*) this proves Lemma 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Let

$$r_n := \{n(\max(|\lambda_0|, |\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|) + 1)\}^{-1} (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then

$$r_1 > r_2 > \dots, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$$

and

$$r_n \max(|\lambda_0|, |\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|) \leq n^{-1}$$

for each n . Define $f: K \rightarrow K$ as follows.

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| > r_1 \\ P_n(x) := \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \dots + \lambda_n x^n & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, r_{n+1} < |x| \leq r_n \\ \lambda_0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Clearly f is a C^∞ -function at a for each $a \in K$, $a \neq 0$. If $r_{m+1} < |x| \leq r_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - \lambda_0| &= |P_m(x) - \lambda_0| = |\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_m x^m| \\ &\leq |x| \max(|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \\ &\leq r_m \max(|\lambda_0|, |\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \leq m^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the case $n=0$ of the following statement. For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the function f is C^n and $D_j f(0) = \lambda_j$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$). To prove the step from $n-1$ to n it suffices, according to Theorem 2 and Remark 2 (ii), to show that

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} (x-y)^{-n} R_n f(x,y) = \lambda_n.$$

In its turn, this statement follows, by continuity of R_n , from "if

$$k \geq n, 0 < |x| \leq r_k, 0 < |y| \leq r_k, x \neq y$$

then

$$|(x - y)^{-n} R_n f(x, y) - \lambda_n| < k^{-1}$$

and it is the latter statement that we are intended to prove. So let

$$r_{m+1} < |x| \leq r_m, \quad r_{s+1} < |y| \leq r_s$$

for some $m, s \geq k$. Then

$$R_n f(x, y) = P_m(x) - T_n P_s(x, y).$$

We consider two cases.

(i) $s \geq m$. Writing $P_s = P_m + Q$ where $Q(t) = \lambda_{m+1} t^{m+1} + \dots + \lambda_s t^s$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(x - y)^{-n} R_n f(x, y) - \lambda_n| &= |(x - y)^{-n} (P_m(x) - T_n P_m(x, y)) \\ &\quad - \lambda_n + (x - y)^{-n} (T_n P_m(x, y) - T_n P_s(x, y))| \\ &\leq |(x - y)^{-n} R_n P_m(x, y) - \lambda_n| \vee |x - y|^{-n} |T_n Q(x, y)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 the first part is $\leq \max(|x|, |y|) \cdot \max(|\lambda_0|, |\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \leq r_m \max(|\lambda_0|, |\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \leq m^{-1} \leq k^{-1}$.

To estimate the second part we may assume that $s > m$. Then $|x - y| > |y|$. Using this, the definition of T_n , and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y|^{-n} |T_n Q(x, y)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (x - y)^{j-n} D_j Q(y) \right| \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq j < n} |x - y|^{j-n} |y|^{m+1-j} \cdot \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_s|) \\ &\leq |y|^{m+1-n} \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_s|) \leq r_s \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_s|) \leq s^{-1} \leq k^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $s < m$. Then set $P_m = P_s + Q$ where $Q(t) = \lambda_{s+1} t^{s+1} + \dots + \lambda_m t^m$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} |(x - y)^{-n} R_n f(x, y) - \lambda_n| &= |(x - y)^{-n} (P_s(x) - T_n P_s(x, y)) \\ &\quad - \lambda_n + (x - y)^{-n} (P_m(x) - P_s(x))| \\ &\leq |(x - y)^{-n} R_n P_s(x, y) - \lambda_n| \vee |(x - y)^{-n} Q(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 the first part is $\leq \max(|x|, |y|) \cdot \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_s|) \leq r_s \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_s|) \leq s^{-1} \leq k^{-1}$. For the second part observe that $|x - y| > |x|$ and $|Q(x)| = |\lambda_{s+1}x^{s+1} + \dots + \lambda_mx^m| \leq |x|^{s+1} \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(x-y)^{-n}Q(x)| &\leq |x|^{s+1-n} \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \\ &\leq |x| \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \\ &\leq r_m \max(|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_m|) \leq m^{-1} \leq k^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] D. BARSKY: Fonctions k -lipschitziennes sur un anneau local et polynômes à valeurs entières. *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* 101, (1973) 397–411.
- [2] W.H. Schikhof: Non-archimedean calculus (Lecture notes). Report 7812, Mathematisch Instituut, Katholieke Universiteit, Nijmegen (1978).

(Oblatum 20-VII-1983)

Mathematisch Instituut
Katholieke Universiteit
Toernooiveld
Nijmegen
The Netherlands