

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

IAN STEWART

A note on 2-step subideals of Lie algebras

Compositio Mathematica, tome 27, n° 3 (1973), p. 273-275

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1973__27_3_273_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**A NOTE ON
 2-STEP SUBIDEALS OF LIE ALGEBRAS**

Ian Stewart

In this note we answer an implicit open question of de Ruiter [4].

We shall use the notation and terminology of [6, 7]. In particular \mathfrak{N}_c is the class of nilpotent Lie algebras of nilpotency class $\leq c$, and \mathfrak{D}_n the class of Lie algebras in which every subalgebra is an n -step subideal. Using the methods of Roseblade [3] it was shown in [7] that there exists a function μ such that

$$\mathfrak{D}_n \leq \mathfrak{N}_{\mu(n)}.$$

The proof was simplified by de Ruiter [4], yielding improved bounds for $\mu(n)$. Trivially we may take $\mu(1) = 1$. The general result of de Ruiter [4] yields $\mu(2) \leq 7$. In section 4 of that paper a special argument is used to show that $\mu(2) = 2$ is best possible, except perhaps over fields of characteristic 3 where $2 \leq \mu(2) \leq 3$. It may therefore be worth noting that for characteristic 3 also we may take $\mu(2) = 2$, so that the classes \mathfrak{D}_2 and \mathfrak{N}_2 are equal. The proof arose in conversation with R. K. Amayo and B. Hartley, and follows from an analysis of the structure of the free 3-generator 2-Engel Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 3. We therefore introduce the class \mathfrak{E}_n of n -Engel algebras, satisfying the identical relation $[x, {}_n y] = 0$. From Higgins [2] we know that $\mathfrak{E}_2 \leq \mathfrak{N}_3$. Thus the free 3-generator 2-Engel algebra E is a homomorphic image of the free 3-generator \mathfrak{N}_3 -algebra L . Let the generators be x, y, z . For convenience in computations write

$$x_1 \cdots x_n = [x_1, \cdots, x_n]$$

and left-norm all products. Then by basic commutator calculations (Hall [1]) we find that L has a basis consisting of

$$x, y, z, xy, xz, yz, xyx, xyy, xzx, xzy, xzz, yzx, yzy, yzz.$$

To obtain E we must quotient out the ideal I generated by all elements uvv ($u, v \in L$). Certainly I contains

$$xyx, xyy, xzx, xzz, yzy, yzz,$$

and also the element

$$xyz + xzy (*)$$

since this equals

$$x(y+z)(y+z) - xyy - xzz.$$

Consider the subspace J spanned by these 7 elements. Then J is an ideal of L since it is central. To show that $I = J$ it is sufficient to show that L/J is a 2-Engel algebra.

Working modulo J we have, from (*),

$$(1) \quad xyz + xzy = 0.$$

By the Jacobi identity,

$$xyz + yzx + zxy = 0,$$

so that

$$-xzy + yzx + zxy = 0,$$

or

$$(2) \quad yzx + yxz = 0$$

since the characteristic is 3. Similarly

$$(3) \quad zxy + zyx = 0.$$

Now let $a, b \in L/J$. We must show that $abb = 0$. Since $L \in \mathfrak{N}_3$ it suffices to prove this when a and b are linear combinations of the generators x, y, z (mod J). By linearity we may consider separately the cases $a = x, y$, or z . But now, if α, β, γ are scalars, and again working modulo J ,

$$\begin{aligned} & x(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z)(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z) \\ &= \beta\gamma(xyz + xzy) \\ &= 0, \text{ by (1).} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can deal with $a = y$ or $a = z$ using (2) or (3).

Hence $E = L/J$ is the free 3-generator 2-Engel algebra. We have $\dim E = 7$, and $E^3 = \langle xyz \rangle \neq 0$; so that $E \notin \mathfrak{N}_2$. Further the centre of E is equal to E^3 so is of dimension 1.

Now let D be a Lie algebra of characteristic 3 belonging to \mathfrak{D}_2 . We claim that $D \in \mathfrak{N}_2$. If every 3-generator subalgebra of D were in \mathfrak{N}_2 then so would D be. So if $D \notin \mathfrak{N}_2$ then D has a 3-generator subalgebra $H \notin \mathfrak{N}_2$. Now (as in de Ruiter [4] section 4) $\mathfrak{D}_2 \cong \mathfrak{C}_2$, so H is a homomorphic image of E . But any proper ideal of E intersects the centre non-trivially (Schenkman [5] lemma 4), so contains E^3 ; and therefore the quotient is in \mathfrak{N}_2 . It follows that $H \cong E$. But by considering the ideal closure series it is easy to see that $\langle xy \rangle$ is not a 2-step subideal of E . So $E \notin \mathfrak{D}_2$, so $H \notin \mathfrak{D}_2$, which is a contradiction.

Thus we have proved the:

THEOREM: *A Lie algebra is a \mathfrak{D}_2 -algebra if and only if it is nilpotent of class 2.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. HALL: A basis for free Lie rings and higher commutators in free groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1, (1950) 575–581.
- [2] P. J. HIGGINS: Lie rings satisfying the Engel condition. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 50 (1954) 8–15.
- [3] J. E. ROSEBLADE: On groups in which every subgroup is subnormal. *J. Algebra* 2 (1965) 402–412.
- [4] J. DE RUITER: An improvement of a result of I. N. Stewart, *Compositio Math.* 25 (1972) 329–333.
- [5] E. SCHENKMAN: Infinite Lie algebras. *Duke Math. J.* 19 (1952) 529–535.
- [6] I. N. STEWART: An algebraic treatment of Mal'cev's theorems concerning nilpotent Lie groups and their Lie algebras. *Compositio Math.* 22 (1970) 289–312.
- [7] I. N. STEWART: Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras in the spirit of infinite group theory, *ibid.* 313–331.

(Oblatum 15-I-1973)

Mathematics Institute
University of Warwick
Coventry
England