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## Numdam

# ON THE MAPS OF ONE FIBRE SPACE INTO ANOTHER 

by

I. M. James

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to study, in special cases, the Puppe exact sequence of ex-homotopy theory (for details ${ }^{1}$, see [4]). We begin by recalling the basic notions of the category of ex-spaces and ex-maps, with respect to a fixed base space $B$. By an ex-space we mean a space $X$ together with a pair of maps

$$
B \xrightarrow{\sigma} X \xrightarrow{\rho} B
$$

such that $\rho \sigma=1$. We refer to $\rho$ as the projection, to $\sigma$ as the section, and to $(\rho, \sigma)$ as the ex-structure. Let $X_{i}(i=0,1)$ be an ex-space with ex-structure $\left(\rho_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$. We describe a map $f: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ as an ex-map if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}, \rho_{1} f=\rho_{0}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in the following diagram.


In particular, we refer to $\sigma_{1} \rho_{0}$ as the trivial ex-map. We describe a homotopy $f_{t}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ as an ex-homotopy if $f_{t}$ is an ex-map at every stage. The set of ex-homotopy classes of ex-maps is denoted by $\pi\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$. Further notions, such as ex-homeomorphism and ex-homotopy equivalence, are defined in the obvious way.

Let $B$ be a pointed space, with basepoint $e \in B$. A functor $\Phi$ can be defined, as follows, from the category of ex-spaces to the category of pointed spaces. If $X$ is an ex-space with ex-structure $(\rho, \sigma)$, then $\Phi X$ is the space $\rho^{-1} e$ with $\sigma e$ as basepoint. If $f: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ is an ex-map, where $X_{0}, X_{1}$ are ex-spaces, then $\Phi f: \Phi X_{0} \rightarrow \Phi X_{1}$ is the map determined by

[^0]restriction of $f$. We refer to $\Phi$ as the fibre functor. Note that $\Phi$ determines a function
$$
\varphi: \pi\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi\left(\Phi X_{0}, \Phi X_{1}\right)
$$
where the codomain means the set of pointed homotopy classes of pointed maps.

In some cases this function $\varphi$ is both surjective and injective. For example, let $A$ be a pointed space. Regard the wedge-sum $A \vee B$ as an ex-space with section the inclusion and projection constant on $A$. Then $\Phi(A \vee B)=A$ and we have at once

Proposition (1.2). Let $X$ be an ex-space with fibre $\Phi X=Y$. Then the function

$$
\varphi: \pi(A \vee B, X) \rightarrow \pi(A, Y)
$$

is bijective.
By a fibre ex-space we mean an ex-space with a fibration as projection. When $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ are fibre ex-spaces there is a useful necessary condition for an element of $\pi\left(\Phi X_{0}, \Phi X_{1}\right)$ to belong to the image of $\varphi$. This condition involves the brace product, a pairing of homotopy groups derived from the Whitehead product as follows. Let $X$ be a fibre ex-space with ex-structure $(p, s)$ and fibre $Y$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi_{*}(Y) \underset{i *}{\rightarrow} \pi_{*}(X) \underset{p *}{\rightarrow} \pi_{*}(B) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $i: Y \subset X$. Given elements $\beta \in \pi_{*}(B), \eta \in \pi_{*}(Y)$ we form the Whitehead product $\left[s_{*} \beta, i_{*} \eta\right.$ ]. This element of $\pi_{*}(X)$ lies in the kernel of $p_{*}$, since $p_{*} i_{*}=0$, and so by exactness there exists a (unique) element $\{\beta, \eta\}$, say, of $\pi_{*}(Y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{*}\{\beta, \eta\}=\left[s_{*} \beta, i_{*} \eta\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operation $\{$,$\} , which we refer to as the brace product, is studied in$ [5] and [9], where various examples are given. From (1.1), (1.3) and the naturality of the Whitehead product we obtain

Proposition (1.4). Let $X_{i}(i=0,1)$ be a fibre ex-space with fibre $Y_{i}$. If $\alpha \in \pi\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}\right)$ belongs to the image of $\varphi$ then

$$
\alpha \circ\{\beta, \eta\}=\{\beta, \alpha \circ \eta\}
$$

for all $\beta \in \pi_{*}(B), \eta \in \pi_{*}\left(Y_{0}\right)$.
Here the brace product on the left refers to $X_{0}$ while that on the right refers to $X_{1}$. In certain cases, as we shall see, the condition is sufficient as well as necessary.

It appears that sphere-bundles play a special role in ex-homotopy theory just as spheres do in ordinary homotopy theory. Let $O_{q}$ ( $q=$
$1,2, \cdots$ ) denote the group of orthogonal transformations of euclidean $q$-space. For $m \geqq q$ we regard the $(m-1)$-sphere $S^{m-1}$ as an $O_{q}$-space, in the usual way. Given a principal $O_{q}$-bundle over $B$ let $E_{m}$ denote the associated $(m-1)$-sphere bundle. When $m>q$ we regard $E_{m}$ as an exspace by choosing a cross-section of the bundle. When $m>q+1$ we give $\pi\left(E_{m}, X\right)$ a natural group-structure, as described in $\S 2$ bslow, so that

$$
\varphi: \pi\left(E_{m}, X\right) \rightarrow \pi\left(S^{m-1}, Y\right)=\pi_{m-1}(Y)
$$

constitutes a homomorphism. We do not give a group-structure to $\pi\left(E_{q+1}, X\right)$.

Now consider the case when $B$ is a sphere, say $B=S^{n}(n>1)$. Let $E_{m}(m=q+1, q+2, \cdots)$ be associated with an $O_{q}$-bundle over $S^{n}$, as above, and let $X$ be a fibre ex-space over $S^{n}$ with fibre $Y$. Let $\boldsymbol{t}_{r} \in \pi_{r}\left(S^{r}\right)$ $(r=1,2, \cdots)$ denote the homotopy class of the identity map and let

$$
\psi: \pi_{r}(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{r+n-1}(Y) \quad(r \geqq q)
$$

denote the operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\alpha)=\alpha \circ\left\{\imath_{n}, l_{r}\right\}-\left\{\imath_{n}, \alpha \circ l_{r}\right\} \quad\left(\alpha \in \pi_{r}(Y)\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the brace products are to be interpreted as in (1.4). It follows from (1.7) below that $\psi$ is a homomorphism for $r>q$ but this is not true, in general, for $r=q$. Our aim is to set up an exact sequence containing $\psi$, as in (1.5), the fibre function $\varphi$, and a third operator

$$
\theta: \pi_{m+n}(Y) \rightarrow \pi\left(E_{m+1}, X\right)
$$

which can be defined as follows. Recall (see § 3 of [7]) that

$$
E_{m+1}=\left(S^{m} \vee S^{n}\right) \cup e^{m+n}
$$

as a cell-complex, where $S^{m}$ is the fibre and $S^{n}$ is embedded by the cross-section. If $f: E_{m+1} \rightarrow X$ is an ex-map such that $\Phi f$ is constant then the separation element $d(f, c) \in \pi_{m+n}(X)$ is defined, with respect to this cell-structure, where $c$ denotes the trivial ex-map. Since $p f=p c$ we have $p_{*} d(f, c)=0$ and so $d(f, c)=i_{*} \beta$, by exactness, where $\beta \in \pi_{m+n}(Y)$. Conversely, given $\beta$, there exists an ex-map $f$, as above, such that $d(f, c)=i_{*} \beta$. We define $\theta(\beta)$ to be the ex-homotopy class of $f$ in $\pi\left(E_{m+1}, X\right)$. It is not difficult to check that $\theta$ constitutes a homomorphism for $m>q$. Having made the necessary definitions we are now ready to state our main result

Theorem (1.6). The sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots \rightarrow \pi\left(E_{m+1}, X\right) & \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\varphi} \pi_{m}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi} \pi_{m+n-1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\theta} \pi\left(E_{m}, X\right) \\
& \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{q}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi} \pi_{q+n-1}(Y),
\end{aligned}
$$

is exact.

It is possible to prove (1.6) by using the methods of Barcus and Barratt [2]. However the proof we give shows, in my opinion, the advantages of exploiting the elementary properties of ex-homotopy theory.

Before we embark on the proof it is convenient to make a few further observations. Suppose that the original $q$-sphere bundle has classifying element $\beta \in \pi_{n-1}\left(O_{q}\right)$. By (3.7) of [7] the brace product in the case of $E_{m+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{l_{n}, l_{m}\right\}=S_{*}^{m-q} J \beta, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{*}$ denotes the suspension functor and $J \beta \in \pi_{n+q-1}\left(S^{q}\right)$ is defined by the Hopf construction in the usual way. Suppose that $Y=S^{r}(r \geqq 1)$, regarded as a pointed $O_{r}$-space, and that $X$ is the $r$-sphere bundle with cross-section associated with a principal $O_{r}$-bundle. Then $\left\{l_{n}, l_{r}\right\}=J \gamma$, similarly, where $\gamma \in \pi_{n-1}\left(O_{r}\right)$ is the classifying element, and hence $\left\{t_{n}, \alpha\right\}=J \gamma \circ S_{*}^{n-1} \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \pi_{m}\left(S^{r}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\alpha)=\alpha \circ S_{*}^{m-q} J \beta-J \gamma \circ S_{*}^{n-1} \alpha . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the relevant information on the homotopy groups is available we can calculate the kernel and cokernel of $\psi$, for a range of values of $m$, and hence calculate $\pi\left(E_{m}, X\right)$ to within a group extension.

For example, take $n=2, q=2$. Take $E_{m}$ to be the ( $m-1$ )-sphere bundle associated with the Hopf bundle over $S^{2}$. Using standard results on the homotopy groups of spheres we find that $\pi\left(E_{8}, E_{6}\right) \approx Z_{2}$, in this case. If instead we take $E_{m}$ to be the trivial ( $m-1$ )-sphere bund le we find that $\pi\left(E_{8}, E_{6}\right) \approx Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$.

## 2. The Puppe sequence

Let $(K, B)$ be a $C W$-pair, such that $B$ is a retract of $K$, and let $\rho: K \rightarrow B$ be a cellular retraction. We regard $K$ as an ex-space with the retraction as projection and the inclusion as section. Let $\Sigma K$ denote the complex obtained from the union of $K \times I$ and $B$ by identifying $(x, t) \in K \times I$ with $\rho x \in B$ if either $x \in B$ or $t=0,1$. A retraction of $\Sigma K$ on $B$ is given by $(x, t) \mapsto \rho x$. We give $\Sigma K$ cell-structure, in the obvious way, so that $B$ is a subcomplex and the retraction is cellular. We refer to $\Sigma K$ as the suspension of $K$, in the ex-category. The $r$-fold suspension $(r=1,2, \cdots)$ is denoted by $\Sigma^{r} K$. Suppose, for simplicity, that $K$ is locally finite.

Let $\varphi_{X} K$, for any ex-space $X$, denote the function-space of ex-maps $K \rightarrow X$, with the trivial ex-map as basepoint. By taking adjoints, in the usual way, we identify the homotopy group $\pi_{r}\left(\varphi_{X} K\right)$ with $\pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K, X\right)$. Thus $\pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K, X\right)(r=1,2, \cdots)$ receives a natural group-structure. This group is abelian for $r \geqq 2$ but not, in general, for $r=1$.

Now suppose that we have a locally finite complex $K^{\prime}$ containing $K$ as a subcomplex and suppose that $\rho$ can be extended to a cellular retraction $\rho^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \rightarrow B$. Let $K^{\prime \prime}$ denote the complex obtained from $K^{\prime}$ by identifying points of $K$ with their images under $\rho$. Let $\rho^{\prime \prime}: K^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B$ denote the retraction induced by $\rho^{\prime}$. We regard $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ as ex-spaces, with the retractions as projections and the inclusions as sections. Then

$$
K \xrightarrow{i} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{j} K^{\prime \prime}
$$

are ex-maps, where $i$ is the inclusion and $j$ is the identification map. Consider the maps

$$
\varphi_{X} K^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{j^{*}} \varphi_{X} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \varphi_{X} K
$$

given by functional composition. By a straightforward application of the covering homotopy property we obtain

Theorem (2.1). If $X$ is a fibre ex-space then $i^{*}: \varphi_{X} K^{\prime} \rightarrow \varphi_{X} K$ is a fibration.

Notice that the fibre, over the trivial ex-map, can be identified with $\varphi_{X} K^{\prime \prime}$ by means of $j^{*}$. Hence the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration can be written in the form

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K^{\prime \prime}, X\right) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} \pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K^{\prime}, X\right) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K, X\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

This is an example of the generalization to ex-homotopy theory of the notion of Puppe sequence. An alternative approach (see § 7 of [4]) is to construct a sequence of ex-maps

$$
K \rightarrow K^{\prime} \rightarrow K^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \Sigma K \rightarrow \Sigma K^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma K^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

and apply the functor $\pi(, X)$.
The Puppe sequence gives useful information if one of the three domain ex-spaces is ex-contractible. For example we have

Corollary (2.2). Suppose that $K^{\prime \prime}$ is ex-contractible. If $X$ is a fibre ex-space then

$$
i^{*}: \pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K^{\prime}, X\right) \rightarrow \pi\left(\Sigma^{r} K, X\right)
$$

is bijective, for $r \geqq 1$, and

$$
i^{*}: \pi\left(K^{\prime}, X\right) \rightarrow \pi(K, X)
$$

is monic.
Here the term monic is used to mean that the kernel of $i^{*}$ is trivial; it is not true that $i^{*}$ is injective, in general.

In $\S 1$ we are given a principal $O_{q}$-bundle over $B$, and consider the associated $(m-1)$-sphere bundle $E_{m}(m=q, q+1, \cdots)$. We regard $E_{m+1}$ as the fibre suspension of $E_{m}$ in the usual way (see § 7 of [7]). Let $m>q$.

Then $E_{m}$ admits a cross-section and so can be regarded as an ex-space. The suspension $\Sigma E_{m}$ is defined, as above, and the natural ex-map

$$
r: E_{m+1} \rightarrow \Sigma E_{m}
$$

is an ex-homotopy equivalence, as shown in $\S 6$ of [4]. We identify $\pi\left(E_{m+1}, X\right)$ with $\pi\left(\Sigma E_{m}, X\right)$ under the bijection induced by $r$, where $X$ is any ex-space. Thus $\pi\left(E_{m+1}, X\right)$ receives a group-structure, for $m>q$.

## 3. The operators in the sequence

Let $D^{r}(r=1,2, \cdots)$ denote the $r$-ball bounded by $S^{r-1}$. Choose a $\operatorname{map} b_{r}: D^{r} \rightarrow S^{r}$ which is constant on $S^{r-1}$ and non-singular ${ }^{2}$ on the interior of $D^{r}$. Given $q$, we regard $D^{m}$ and $S^{m-1}$ as $O_{q}$-spaces for $m \geqq q$, in the usual way, and choose $b_{m}$ to be an $O_{q}$-map.

We recall that an $O_{q}$-bundle over $S^{n}(n \geqq 2)$ corresponds to a map $T: S^{n-1} \rightarrow O_{q}$, in the standard classification. Let $m \geqq q$. Write $g(x, y)=$ $T(y) \cdot x\left(x \in S^{m-1}, y \in S^{n-1}\right)$ so that $g: S^{m-1} \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$. Let $E_{m}$ denote the space obtained from the union of $S^{m-1} \times D^{n}$ and $S^{m-1}$ by identifying points of $S^{m-1} \times S^{n-1}$ with their images under $g$, so that the identification map

$$
h:\left(S^{m-1} \times D^{n}, S^{m-1} \times S^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow\left(E_{m}, S^{m-1}\right)
$$

is a relative homeomorphism. Write $\pi h(x, y)=b_{n} y$, where $x \in S^{m-1}$, $y \in D^{n}$, so that $\pi: E_{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$. We recall (see § 3 of [7]) that $E_{m}$, with this projection, can be identified with the ( $m-1$ )-sphere bundle corresponding to $T$, i.e. the ( $m-1$ )-sphere bundle associated with the given $O_{q}$-bundle. If we replace $S^{\boldsymbol{m - 1}}$ by $D^{m}$, in this construction, we obtain the associated $m$-ball bundle $E_{m}^{\prime}$ with projection $\pi^{\prime}$, say. We regard $E_{m}$ as a subspace of $E_{m}^{\prime}$, in the obvious way, so that $\pi=\pi^{\prime} u$, where $u: E_{m} \subset E_{m}^{\prime}$.

Let $F_{m}, F_{m}^{\prime}$ denote the spaces obtained from $E_{m}, E_{m}^{\prime}$ by collapsing $S^{m-1}$ to a point. Then $p^{\prime} u=v p$, as shown below, where $v$ is the inclusion and $p, p^{\prime}$ are the collapsing maps.


Write $s y=h(e, y)$, where $y \in D^{n}$, so that $s: D^{n} \rightarrow E_{m}$. We regard $F_{m}$ as an ex-space with projection $\rho=\pi p^{-1}$ and section $\sigma=s b_{n}^{-1}$. Similarly

[^1]we regard $F_{m}^{\prime}$ as an ex-space with ex-structure $\left(\rho^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ so that $v$ is an ex-map. Using the method described in §3 of [7] we can endow these spaces with cell-structure so as to satisfy the preliminary conditions of § 2. Consider, therefore, the Puppe sequence associated with the pair $\left(F_{m}^{\prime}, F_{m}\right)$. Recall that $F_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, in the notation of $\S 2$, is obtained from $F_{m}^{\prime}$ by identifying points of $F_{m}$ with their images under the projection $\rho$. Now $p$ determines a homeomorphism $p^{\prime \prime}: E_{m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow F_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, where $E_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the space obtained from $E_{m}^{\prime}$ by identifying points of $E_{m}$ with their images under the projection $\pi$. We endow $E_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ with ex-structure so as to make $p^{\prime \prime}$ an ex-homeomorphism. The $0_{m}$-map $b_{m}: D^{m} \rightarrow S^{m}$ is constant on $S^{m-1}$ and so determines an ex-homeomorphism between $E_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ and $E_{m+1}$. By composing this with the inverse of $p^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain an ex-homeomorphism $\beta: F_{m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E_{m+1}$. Now $\Phi F_{m}$ is a point-space, and $\Phi F_{m}^{\prime}=S^{m}=\Phi E_{m+1}$, where $\Phi$ denotes the fibre functor. We use $\Phi \beta$ to identify $\Phi F_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ with $S^{m}$. Let $\omega$ denote the composition
$$
F_{m}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{j} F_{m}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\beta} E_{m+1},
$$
where $j$ is the identification ex-map. Then $\Phi \omega=\Phi$ and hence
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \omega^{*}=\varphi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

as shown in the following diagram where $X$ is a fibre ex-space with fibre $Y$.


The fibre of $F_{m}^{\prime}$ has been identified with $S^{m}$. We embed the base space $S^{n}$ in $F_{m}^{\prime}$ by means of the section. Let $S^{m} \vee S^{n}$ denote the union of these two spheres, with the standard ex-structure. If, in $F_{m}^{\prime}$, we identify points of $S^{m} \vee S^{n}$ with their images under the projection, we obtain an ex-space which is ex-contractible. Thus (2.2) applies to $\tau^{*}$, as shown below, where $\tau: S^{m} \vee S^{n} \subset F_{m}^{\prime}$.


By using (1.2) $\varphi$ is bijective, on the right of the above diagram, and so we obtain

Lemma (3.2). The fibre function

$$
\varphi: \pi\left(F_{m}^{\prime}, X\right) \rightarrow \pi\left(S^{m}, Y\right)
$$

is bijective for $m>q$, monic for $m=q$.
The next step is to set up a bijection between $\pi\left(F_{m}, X\right)$ and $\pi\left(S^{m+n-1}, Y\right)$. Certainly $F_{m}$ and $S^{m+n-1} \vee S^{n}$, as spaces, have the same homotopy type; however in general they do not, as ex-spaces, have the same ex-homotopy type. Situations of this kind can be dealt with as follows. Consider the induced fibration $\rho^{*} X$ over $F_{m}$. The section of $X$ over $S^{n}$ determines a cross-section of $\rho^{*} X$ over $S^{n}$. The extensions over $F_{m}$ of this partial cross-section correspond to the ex-maps of $F_{m}$ into $X$. Similarly the vertical homotopies of cross-sections, rel $S^{n}$, correspond to ex-homotopies. By standard theory (see [1]) such crosssections are classified by elements of $\pi_{m+n-1}(Y)$. The corresponding result, in our situation, is that

$$
\xi: \pi\left(F_{m}, X\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m+n-1}(Y)
$$

is bijective, where $\xi$ is given as follows. Let $f: F_{m} \rightarrow X$ be an ex-map of class $\gamma \in \pi\left(F_{m}, X\right)$, and let $c: F_{m} \rightarrow X$ denote the trivial ex-map. Let $i: Y \subset X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{*} \xi(\gamma)=d(f, c) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the separation element is defined with respect to the pair ( $F_{m}, S^{n}$ ). Let $l: S^{m+n-1} \rightarrow F_{m}$ be a map ${ }^{3}$ of degree 1 such that $\rho l: S^{m+n-1} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is nul-homotopic. By consideration of the induced fibration $l^{*} \rho^{*} X$ over $S^{m+n-1}$ we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{*} \xi(\gamma)=\gamma_{*}(\lambda) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \pi_{m+n-1}\left(F_{m}\right)$ denotes the homotopy class of $l$.
We identify $S^{m+n-1}$ with the boundary of $D^{m} \times D^{n}$ in the usual way. Let

$$
h^{\prime}:\left(D^{m} \times D^{n} ; D^{m} \times S^{n-1}, S^{m-1} \times D^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(E_{m}^{\prime} ; D^{m}, E_{m}\right)
$$

denote the identification map used in the construction of the $m$-ball bundle $E_{m}^{\prime}$. By restricting $p^{\prime} h^{\prime}$ to the boundary of $D^{m} \times D^{n}$ we obtain a map

$$
H: D^{m} \times S^{n-1} \cup S^{m-1} \times D^{n} \rightarrow F_{m}^{\prime}
$$

Notice that $H$ is constant on $S^{m-1} \times S^{n-1}$. Also $H$ maps $D^{m} \times S^{n-1}$ into $S^{m}$ and $S^{m-1} \times D^{n}$ into $F_{m}$. Let $\kappa \in \pi_{m+n-1}\left(S^{m}\right)$ denote the class of the map

[^2]$$
k: D^{m} \times S^{n-1} \cup S^{m-1} \times D^{n} \rightarrow S^{m}
$$
which agrees with $H$ on $D^{m} \times S^{n-1}$ and is constant on $S^{m-1} \times D^{n}$. Also let $\lambda \in \pi_{m+n-1}\left(F_{m}\right)$ denote the class of the map
$$
l: D^{m} \times S^{n-1} \cup S^{m-1} \times D^{n} \rightarrow F_{m}
$$
which agrees with $H$ on $S^{m-1} \times D^{n}$ and is constant on $D^{m} \times S^{n-1}$. By (3.9) of [10] the class of $H$ in $\pi_{m+n-1}\left(F_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is equal (with suitable conventions) to
$$
j_{*}(\kappa)+v_{*}(\lambda)-\tau_{*}\left[l_{n}, l_{m}\right],
$$
where $\tau, j, v$ are the inclusion maps and where $t_{m}, l_{n} \in \pi_{*}\left(S^{m} \vee S^{n}\right)$ are the classes of the inclusion maps of $S^{m}, S^{n}$ respectively. But $H$ is nulhomotopic, since $h^{\prime}$ extends over $D^{m} \times D^{n}$, and so we conclude that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{*}(\lambda)=\tau_{*}\left[l_{n}, l_{m}\right]-j_{*}(\kappa) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is easy to check that $l$, as above, is a map of degree 1 , and that

$$
\rho l: D^{m} \times S^{n-1} \cup S^{m-1} \times D^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}
$$

is nul-homotopic. Moreover it follows from the basic theory of the Hopf construction (see [10]) that

$$
\pm_{\kappa}=S_{*}^{m-q} J \alpha=\left\{l_{n}, l_{m}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha \in \pi_{n-1}\left(O_{q}\right)$ denotes the homotopy class of $T$ and the brace product is defined with respect to $E_{m}$. We arrange our orientation conventions so that $\kappa=\left\{l_{n}, l_{m}\right\}$.

The next step in the proof of our main theorem is to establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \varphi=\xi v^{*} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in the following diagram, where $\psi$ is defined by means of the brace product, as in (1.5).


Let $\gamma^{\prime} \in \pi\left(F_{m}^{\prime}, X\right)$. Write $\varphi \gamma^{\prime}=\eta \in \pi_{m}(Y), v^{*}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\gamma \in \pi\left(F_{m}, X\right)$. By naturality $\gamma_{*}^{\prime} \tau_{*}\left[l_{n}, l_{m}\right]=\left[s_{*} l_{n}, i_{*} \eta\right]=i_{*}\left\{l_{n}, \eta\right\}$, by (1.3), where $s$ : $S^{n} \rightarrow X$ denotes the section. Also $\gamma_{*}^{\prime} j_{*}(\kappa)=i_{*} \eta_{*}(\kappa)=i_{*} \eta_{*}\left\{l_{n}, l_{m}\right\}$, as we have just seen. Now compose both sides of (3.5) with $\gamma^{\prime}$ and we obtain the relation

$$
i_{*} \psi \phi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{*}^{\prime} v_{*}(\lambda)=\gamma_{*}(\lambda)=i_{*} \xi(\gamma)
$$

by (3.4). Since $i_{*}$ is injective this proves (3.6).

It follows at once from (3.3) by naturality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \xi=p^{*} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in the following diagram, where $\theta$ is defined by means of the separation element as in $\S 1$.


Now we are ready to complete the proof of (1.6). As we have seen, the Puppe sequence associated with the pair $\left(F_{q}^{\prime}, F_{q}\right)$ can be written in the form

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \pi\left(E_{m+1}, X\right) \xrightarrow{\omega^{*}} \pi\left(F_{m}^{\prime}, X\right) \xrightarrow{\nu^{*}} \pi\left(F_{m}, X\right) \xrightarrow{p^{*}} \pi\left(E_{m}, X\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

We use $\varphi$ and $\xi$ to replace the terms in the middle by homotopy groups of $Y$. We recall that $\varphi$ is monic for $m=q$, bijective for $m>q$; also $\xi$ is bijective for $m \geqq q$. Hence and from (3.1), (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain the exact sequence of $\S 1$.

## 4. Proper cross-sections

The above theory can be used to give an alternative proof of the main result of [3], which concerns the following problem. Let $B$ be a pointed space. Let $X_{i}(i=0,1)$ be an ex-space of $B$ with fibre $\Phi X_{i}=Y_{i}$, say. Suppose we have an ex-map $p: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}$ which, as an ordinary map, constitutes a fibration with fibre $Z$, say, over the basepoint of $Y_{0} \subset X_{0}$. Write $\Phi p=q$. Then $q: Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ can be regarded as a fibration with fibre $Z$ so that we have the situation indicated in the following diagram, where $u, v$ and $u_{i}$ are the inclusions.


Under what conditions does the fibration $p$ admit a cross-section? As in [3] we describe such a cross-section $f: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ as proper if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that proper cross-sections are ex-maps, since $p$ is an ex-map. If $f$ is proper then $g: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ constitutes a cross-section of $q$, where $g=\Phi f$. As in [3] we describe $g$, or its vertical homotopy class, as the type of $f$. We approach our problem by asking, for each cross-section $g$ of $q$, whether $p$ admits a proper cross-section of type $g$.

Now let $B$ be a (pointed) $C W$-complex. Suppose that $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ is a $C W$-pair, and that the section $\sigma_{i}$ embeds $B$ as a subcomplex. Then ( $X_{i}, B \vee Y_{i}$ ) forms a $C W$-pair, and the answer to our question is independent of the choice of $g$ in its class, by the homotopy lifting property. Consider the homomorphism

$$
\theta: \pi_{r}(B) \oplus \pi_{r}\left(Y_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{r}\left(X_{0}\right)
$$

given by $\sigma_{0^{*}}$ on the first summand and $\tau_{0^{*}}$ on the second. Certainly $\theta$ is an isomorphism for $r \geqq 2$, since $\sigma_{0}$ is a right inverse of $\rho_{0}$. Suppose that $\theta$ is also an isomorphism for $r=1$. This is the case, for example, if $\pi_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)$ is abelian, or if $\pi_{1}(B)$ is trivial. Under this hypothesis we prove

Lemma (4.2). Let $f: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ be an ex-map such that $\Phi f: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is a cross-section of $q$. Then there exists a proper cross-section $f^{\prime}$ of $p$ such that $\Phi f^{\prime}=\Phi f$.
Since $p f: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ maps $B \vee Y_{0}$ identically we have $(p f)_{*} \theta=\theta$, where $\theta$ is as above and

$$
(p f)_{*}: \pi_{*}\left(X_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{*}\left(X_{0}\right)
$$

Hence $(p f)_{*}=1$, since $\theta$ is an isomorphism, and so $p f$ is a homotopy equivalence, by Theorem 1 of [11]. Hence $p f$ determines a homotopy equivalence of the pair ( $X_{0}, B \vee Y_{0}$ ) with itself, by (3.1) of [6], since $p f$ maps $B \vee Y_{0}$ identically. Therefore there exists an inverse homotopy equivalence $k: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$, say, which also maps $B \vee Y_{0}$ identically. Write $f^{\prime \prime}=f k: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$. Then $f^{\prime \prime}$ agrees with $f$ on $B \vee Y_{0}$. Let $l_{t}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ be a homotopy of $p f^{\prime \prime}$ into the identity such that $l_{t}\left(B \vee Y_{0}\right) \subset B \vee Y_{0}$. By composing with $h=f \mid B \vee Y_{0}$ we lift $l_{t} \mid B \vee Y_{0}$ to a homotopy $l_{t}^{\prime}: B \vee Y_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ such that $l_{0}^{\prime}=h=l_{1}^{\prime}$. We extend $l_{t}^{\prime}$ over $X_{0}$ so as to cover $l_{t}$, using the homotopy lifting property, and thus deform $f^{\prime \prime}$ into $f^{\prime}$, say. Then $f^{\prime}$ is a proper cross-section of $p$ and $\Phi f^{\prime}=\Phi f^{\prime \prime}=\Phi f$, as required.

To apply (4.2) we return to the situation considered in $\S 1$, where $E_{m+1}$ is an $m$-sphere bundle over $S^{n}$. The fundamental group is abelian, since $n>1$. We apply (4.2) with $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=\left(E_{m+1}, S^{m}\right)$ and write $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(X, Y)$, so that $p: X \rightarrow E_{m+1}$ and $q: Y \rightarrow S^{m}$. Hence and from the exactness of our sequence we obtain

Corollary (4.3). Let $\gamma \in \pi_{m}(Y)$ be the class of a cross-section of $q$. Then $p$ admits a proper cross-section of type $\gamma$ if and only if

$$
\gamma \circ\left\{l_{n}, l_{m}\right\}=\left\{l_{n}, \gamma\right\} .
$$

In particular, suppose that $Y$ is a pointed $O_{m}$-space, and that $q$ is a pointed $0_{m}$-map. Suppose that $X$ and $E_{m+1}$ share the same principal $0_{m}$-bundle and that $p$ is the map associated with $q$. To obtain the main result of [3] from (4.3) it is only necessary to convert the brace products into the kind of product used in [3], as shown in [5].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theories of this type have been developed independently by J. C. Becker and J. F. McClendon, amongst others.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here, and elsewhere, it is unnecessary to specify orientation conventions since the validity of (1.6) is independent of the signs of the operators.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We use the phrase which follows to mean that $l$ determines a homeomorphism when $S^{n} \subset F_{m}$ is collapsed to a point.

