

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

STEVE LIGH

Near-rings with descending chain condition

Compositio Mathematica, tome 21, n° 2 (1969), p. 162-166

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1969__21_2_162_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1969, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Near-rings with descending chain condition¹

by

Steve Ligh

Near-rings on certain finite groups have been considered by Clay [3], Jacobson [9], Clay and Malone [4], Maxson [12] and Heatherly [7]. It was shown in [4] that any near-ring with identity defined on a finite simple group is a field. This result was generalized in [7] by showing that the above result holds under a weaker hypothesis: the existence of a nonzero right distributive element. It is the purpose of this paper to extend the above results to near-rings with a chain condition on arbitrary simple groups. We also extend some known theorems [1] in ring theory to distributively generated near-rings.

1. Definitions

A near-ring R is a system with two binary operations, addition and multiplication such that:

- (i) The elements of R form a group R^+ under addition,
- (ii) The elements of R form a multiplicative semigroup,
- (iii) $x(y+z) = xy+xz$, for all $x, y, z \in R$,
- (iv) $0x = 0$, where 0 is the additive identity of R^+ and for all $x \in R$.

In particular, if R contains a multiplicative semigroup S whose elements generate R^+ and satisfy

- (v) $(x+y)s = xs+ys$, for all $x, y \in R$ and $s \in S$, we say that R is a distributively generated (d.g.) near-ring.

The most natural example of a near-ring is given by the set R of identity preserving mappings of an additive group G (not necessarily abelian) into itself. If the mappings are added by adding images and multiplication is iteration, then the system $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a near-ring. If S is a multiplicative semigroup of

¹ Portions of this paper appear in the author's Ph.D. dissertation written under the direction of Professor J. J. Malone, Jr., at Texas A & M University.

endomorphisms of G and R' is the sub-near-ring generated by S , then R' is a d.g. near-ring. Other examples of d.g. near-rings may be found in [6].

A near-ring R that contains more than one element is said to be a division near-ring if and only if the set R' of nonzero elements is a multiplicative group. Every division ring is an example of a division near-ring. For examples of division near-ring which are not division rings, see [14].

An element r of R is right distributive if $(b+c)r = br+cr$; for all $b, c \in R$. An element $x \in R$ is anti-right distributive if $(y+z)x = zx+yx$, for all $y, z \in R$. It follows at once that an element r is right distributive if and only if $(-r)$ is anti-right distributive. In particular, any element of a d.g. near-ring is a finite sum of right and anti-right distributive elements.

A subgroup H of a near-ring R is called an R -subgroup if $HR = \{hr: h \in H, r \in R\} \subseteq H$.

Division near-rings were first considered by L. E. Dickson [5]. In 1936 H. Zassenhaus [14] proved that the additive group of a finite division near-ring is abelian. Four years later, B. H. Neumann [13] extended this result to the general case. For easy reference, we state

THEOREM 1. *The additive group of a division near-ring is abelian.*

2. Descending chain condition on principal R -subgroups

The element e in the d.g. near-ring R is an identity for R if $er = re = r$ for each r in R . The element $z \neq 0$ in R is a zero divisor if there exists $w \neq 0$ in R such that either $wz = 0$ or $zw = 0$. For each x in R , $xR = \{xr: r \in R\}$ is an R -subgroup of R . In particular, xR will be called a principal R -subgroup of R . The following results are generalizations of those given in [1].

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a d.g. near-ring with d.c.c. on principal R -subgroups. Then R has an identity if (and only if) at least one element in R is not a zero divisor.*

PROOF. Suppose $x \neq 0$ is not a zero divisor. Since

$$xR \supseteq x^2R \supseteq \dots,$$

the d.c.c. assures us that there must exist a positive integer n such that $x^n R = x^{n+1} R = \dots$. Thus $x^n x = x^{n+1} e$ for some e in R . It follows that $x^n(x-xe) = 0$. This implies that $x = xe$. From the

fact that $x(ex-x) = 0$, we see that e is a two-sided identity for x . Let w be any element in R . Then $x(ew-w) = 0$ and this implies that e is a left identity for w . Since R is a d.g. near-ring, any element in R is a finite sum of right and anti-right distributive elements. Let $x = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. Then

$$(we-w)x = (we-w)x_1 + (we-w)x_2 + \cdots + (we-w)x_n = 0.$$

This follows since $(we-w)x_i = -wx_i + we x_i = 0$ if x_i is anti-right distributive and $(we-w)x_i = we x_i - wx_i = 0$ if x_i is right distributive. The fact that x is not a zero divisor implies that $we = w$. Hence e is a two-sided identity for R .

In 1939 C. Hopkins [8] proved that if a ring R contains a left identity or a right identity for R , then the maximum condition for left ideals in R is a consequence of the minimum condition for left ideals in R . As Baer [1] pointed out, Hopkins theorem can be improved slightly by applying the ring analogue of Theorem 2. In 1966 Beidleman [2] proved a similar theorem for distributively generated near-rings with identity whose additive groups is solvable. Thus we can also improve Beidleman's theorem slightly as follows.

COROLLARY 1. *Let R be a d.g. near-ring whose additive group R^+ is solvable. If R satisfies the d.c.c. on R -subgroups, then either each element is a zero divisor or R is Noetherian.*

As another application of Theorem 2 we extend another result [1, p. 634] in ring theory to d.g. near-rings.

COROLLARY 2. *A d.g. near-ring R is a division ring if and only if R has no zero divisors and the d.c.c. is satisfied by the principal R -subgroups in R .*

PROOF. Necessity is quite clear. From Theorem 2 R has an identity e . For each $x \neq 0$ in R , there is a positive integer n such that $x^n R = x^{n+1} R$. Thus $x^n e = x^{n+1} y$ and this implies that $x^n(e-xy) = 0$. Thus $e = xy$ and each nonzero element in R has a right inverse and hence R is a division near-ring. By Theorem 1, R^+ is abelian. It now follows [6, p. 93] that R is a division ring.

COROLLARY 3. *A finite d.g. near-ring with no zero divisors is a field.*

COROLLARY 4. *Any finite integral domain is a field.*

By employing a similar argument used in Theorem 2 and Corollary 2, we have two other characterizations of division near-

rings. For other characterizations of division near-rings, see [10].

COROLLARY 5. *Let R be a near-ring with a nonzero right distributive element. Then R is a division near-ring if and only if R has no zero divisors and the d.c.c. is satisfied by the principal R -subgroups in R .*

COROLLARY 6. *A finite near-ring R with a nonzero right distributive element is a division near-ring if and only if R has no zero divisors.*

REMARKS. Let G be a finite additive group with at least three elements. For each $g \neq 0$ in G , define $gx = x$ for all x in G and $0y = 0$ for all y in G . Then $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a near-ring [11]. This near-ring is not distributively generated. Thus we see that Theorem 2, Corollaries 2, 3 and 4 cannot be extended to arbitrary near-rings.

3. Near-rings on simple groups

Clay and Malone [4] have shown that a near-ring with identity on a finite simple group is a field. Heatherly [7] has extended this result by assuming only the existence of a nonzero right distributive element. Now we generalize their results to near-rings with d.c.c. on principal R -subgroups defined on arbitrary simple groups.

THEOREM 3. *Let $(R, +)$ be any simple group and $(R, +, \cdot)$ a near-ring defined on $(R, +)$ such that $(R, +, \cdot)$ satisfies the d.c.c. on principal R -subgroups and has a nonzero right distributive element r . Then either $ab = 0$ for each $a, b \in R$ or $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a field.*

PROOF. Suppose $a \neq 0$. Define $T(a) = \{x \in R : ax = 0\}$. This is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$. If $ab \neq 0$ for some $b \neq 0$, then $T(a) = 0$. Let $L(r) = \{y \in R : yr = 0\}$. Since $L(r)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$ and since $(R, +)$ is simple it follows that $L(r) = 0$ or $L(r) = (R, +)$. In case $L(r) = (R, +)$ it follows easily that $ab = 0$ for each $a, b \in R$. Therefore suppose $L(r) = 0$. Now let c be any nonzero element in R . Then $T(c) = 0$ since $cr \neq 0$. It follows that no element is a zero divisor and thus Corollary 5 implies that $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a division near-ring. By Theorem 1, $(R, +)$ is abelian.

Let $M = \{r \in R : (x+y)r = xr+yr\}$. It is easily shown that M is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$. Since $e \in M$, it follows that $M = R$. Thus $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a division ring. Finally let $C = \{x \in R : xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in R\}$. Since $(R, +)$ is abelian, we see that C is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$. But $e \in C$, we conclude that $C = R$. This shows that $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a field.

COROLLARY 7. (Clay and Malone, Heatherly) *Any near-ring with identity defined on a finite simple group is a field.*

REFERENCES

R. BAER

- [1] Inverses and zero divisors, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 48 (1942), 630—8.

J. C. BEIDLEMAN

- [2] Distributively generated near-rings with descending chain condition, *Math. Zeitschr.* 91 (1966), 65—69.

J. R. CLAY

- [3] The Near-rings on a Finite Cyclic Group, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 71 (1964), 47—50.

J. R. CLAY and J. J. MALONE, JR.

- [4] The Near-rings with Identities on Certain Finite Groups, *Math. Scand.* 19 (1966), 146—150.

L. E. DICKSON

- [5] On Finite Algebras, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen*, (1904), 358—393.

A. FRÖHLICH

- [6] Distributively generated near-rings (I. Ideal Theory), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 8 (1958), 76—94.

H. E. HEATHERLY

- [7] Near-rings on Certain Groups (to appear).

C. HOPKINS

- [8] Rings with minimum condition for left ideals, *Annals of Math.* 40 (1939), 712—730.

R. A. JACOBSON

- [9] The Structure of Near-rings on a Group of Prime order, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 73 (1966), 59—61.

S. LIGH

- [10] On Division Near-rings, *Canad. J. Math.* (to appear).

J. J. MALONE, JR.

- [11] Near-rings with Trivial Multiplications, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 74 (1967), 1111—1112.

C. J. MAXSON

- [12] On Finite Near-rings with Identity, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 74 (1967), 1228—1230.

B. H. NEUMANN

- [13] On the commutativity of addition, *J. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1940), 203—208.

H. ZASSENHAUS

- [14] Über endlich Fastkörper, *Abh. Math. Sem., Univer. Hamburg*, 11 (1936), 187—220.

(Oblatum 15-7-68 and 24-12-68)

Texas A & M University
College Station, Texas 77843