

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

W. A. BEYER

Inner product-magnitude-preserving transformations in complex Hilbert spaces

Compositio Mathematica, tome 21, n° 2 (1969), p. 137-142

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1969__21_2_137_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1969, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Inner product-magnitude-preserving transformations in complex Hilbert spaces¹

by

W. A. Beyer

Abstract

Let B be a continuous transformation of a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} onto a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{R} such that

$$|(B\varphi, B\psi)| = |(\varphi, \psi)|$$

for all φ and ψ in \mathcal{H} . Let $\{\psi_i\}$ be a complete orthonormal set in \mathcal{H} . There exist complex constants $\{c_i\}$ of magnitude 1, two complex-valued continuous functions f_1, f_2 on \mathcal{H} , each of magnitude 1, and a subspace \mathcal{H}_1 of \mathcal{H} such that for all $\varphi = \sum a_i \psi_i$ in \mathcal{H} :

$$B(\sum a_i \psi_i) = f_1(\sum a_i \psi_i) \sum_{\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_1} a_i c_i B\psi_i + f_2(\sum a_i \psi_i) \sum_{\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp} \bar{a}_i c_i B\psi_i.$$

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to investigate continuous transformations which map a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} onto a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{R} and preserve the magnitude of the inner product of any two vectors $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Such transformations are important in the study of time reversal symmetries in quantum mechanics. These transformations are discussed in Wigner's book (1959), page 233 and Wigner (1939), page 150.

2. Theorem and proof

\bar{a} denotes the conjugate of the complex number a ; $|a|$ denotes its absolute value. (φ, ψ) denotes the inner product of two vectors φ, ψ in a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp = \{\varphi | \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, (\varphi, \psi) = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{H}_1\}$. We assume the subscripts labelling the basis elements are well ordered.

¹ Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

THEOREM. Let B be a continuous transformation of a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} onto a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{R} such that $|(B\varphi, B\psi)| = |(\varphi, \psi)|$ for all φ and ψ in \mathcal{H} . Let $\{\psi_i\}$ be a complete orthonormal set in \mathcal{H} . There exist complex constants $\{c_i\}$ of magnitude 1, two complex-valued continuous functions f_1, f_2 on \mathcal{H} , each of magnitude 1, and a subspace \mathcal{H}_1 of \mathcal{H} such that for all $\varphi = \sum a_i \psi_i$ in \mathcal{H} :

$$B(\sum a_i \psi_i) = f_1(\sum a_i \psi_i) \sum_{\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_1} a_i c_i B\psi_i + f_2(\sum a_i \psi_i) \sum_{\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp} \bar{a}_i c_i B\psi_i.$$

REMARK. The theorem says that B is "almost linear" on \mathcal{H}_1 and "almost antilinear" on \mathcal{H}_1^\perp .

PROOF. The set $\{B\psi_i\}$ is orthonormal since

$$|(B\psi_i, B\psi_j)| = |(\psi_i, \psi_j)| = \delta_{ij}$$

and thus $(B\psi_i, B\psi_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Suppose φ is in \mathcal{R} and $(\varphi, B\psi_i) = 0$ for all i . Let ψ in \mathcal{H} be such that $B\psi = \varphi$. Then

$$0 = |(\varphi, B\psi_i)| = |(\psi, \psi_i)|$$

for all i and therefore $\psi = \theta$ (the null vector). Hence $\varphi = 0$. Therefore $\{B\psi_i\}$ is complete in \mathcal{R} . Hence \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{R} are isomorphic.

Put

$$\psi^i = \psi_1 + \psi_i.$$

Since $(B\psi^i, B\psi_k) = (\psi^i, \psi^k) = 0$ for $k \neq 1$ or i , there exist constants a_1^i and a_2^i such that

$$B\psi^i = a_1^i B\psi_1 + a_2^i B\psi_i,$$

with

$$|a_1^i| = |(B\psi^i, B\psi_1)| = |(\psi^i, \psi_1)| = 1$$

and

$$|a_2^i| = 1.$$

Put

$$c_i = a_1^i / a_2^i$$

and

$$B\varphi = \sum b_i B\psi_i \quad (\varphi = \sum a_i \psi_i \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Then

$$|b_j| = |(B\varphi, B\psi_j)| = |(\varphi, \psi_j)| = |a_j|.$$

Now suppose $a_1 \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_1 + a_i| &= |(\varphi, \psi_1 + \psi_i)| = |(B\varphi, B(\psi_1 + \psi_i))| \\
&= |(B\varphi, a_1^i B\psi_1 + a_2^i B\psi_i)| \\
&= \left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j B\psi_j, a_1^i B\psi_1 + a_2^i B\psi_i \right) \right| \\
&= |b_1 \bar{a}_1^i + b_i \bar{a}_2^i|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(a_1 + a_i, a_1 + a_i) = (b_1 \bar{a}_1^i + b_i \bar{a}_2^i, b_1 \bar{a}_1^i + b_i \bar{a}_2^i)$$

or

$$|a_1|^2 + \bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1 + |a_i|^2 = |b_1 \bar{a}_1^i|^2 + \bar{b}_1 \bar{a}_1^i b_i a_2^i + \bar{b}_i \bar{a}_2^i b_1 a_1^i + |b_i \bar{a}_2^i|^2,$$

or

$$\bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1 = \overline{b_1 a_1^i} b_i a_2^i + \overline{b_i a_2^i} b_1 a_1^i.$$

Observe that

$$a_2^i b_i \overline{a_2^i b_i} = a_i \bar{a}_i.$$

So

$$\bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1 = \overline{b_1 a_1^i} b_i a_2^i + a_i \bar{a}_i (a_2^i b_i)^{-1} b_1 a_1^i$$

or

$$(\bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1) a_2^i b_i = \overline{b_1 a_1^i} (b_i a_2^i)^2 + a_i \bar{a}_i b_1 a_1^i$$

or

$$(1) \quad \overline{b_1 a_1^i} (b_i a_2^i)^2 - (\bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1) a_2^i b_i + b_1 a_1^i |a_i|^2 = 0.$$

Since

$$(\overline{b_1 a_1^i})(b_1 a_1^i) = |a_1|^2$$

or

$$(\overline{b_1 a_1^i}) = |a_1|^2 (b_1 a_1^i)^{-1}$$

we obtain from (1) that

$$|a_1|^2 (b_1 a_1^i)^{-1} (b_i a_2^i)^2 - (\bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1) a_2^i b_i + b_1 a_1^i |a_i|^2 = 0$$

or

$$|a_1|^2 (b_i a_2^i)^2 - [\bar{a}_1 a_i + \bar{a}_i a_1] (a_2^i b_i) (b_1 a_1^i) + (b_1 a_1^i)^2 |a_i|^2 = 0$$

or

$$(a_1 b_i a_2^i - b_1 a_1^i a_i) (\bar{a}_1 b_i a_2^i - b_1 a_1^i \bar{a}_i) = 0.$$

Therefore either

$$b_i = a_i \frac{a_1^i b_1}{a_2^i a_1} = a_i c_i \frac{b_1}{a_1}$$

or

$$b_i = \bar{a}_i \frac{a_1^i b_1}{a_2^i \bar{a}_1} = \bar{a}_i c_i \frac{b_1}{\bar{a}_1}.$$

If $a_1 = 0$, replace in the above calculation the subscript 1 by

the first subscript (in the well ordering) $l = i$ for which $a_i \neq 0$.

Thus we conclude that if $\varphi = \sum a_i \psi_i$, then (replacing b_i by $b_i c_i$):

$$(2) \quad B\varphi = \sum b_i c_i B\psi_i$$

where

$$(i) \quad |c_i| = 1;$$

(ii) if l is the first index i for which $a_i \neq 0$ in a well-ordering of the subscripts i of the basis vectors $\{\psi_i\}$, then

$$b_l = g(\varphi)$$

with $g(\varphi)$ a continuous mapping of \mathcal{H} to the complex plane and

$$|g(\varphi)| = |a_l|;$$

(iii) for $i \neq l$ either

$$(3) \quad \frac{b_i}{b_l} = \frac{a_i}{a_l}$$

or

$$(4) \quad \frac{b_i}{b_l} = \left(\frac{\bar{a}_i}{a_l} \right).$$

For fixed i and l , a_i/a_l and b_i/b_l define a continuous and onto mapping of

$$\mathcal{H}_l = \{\varphi | \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, a_l(\varphi) \neq 0, a_1(\varphi) = a_2(\varphi) = \cdots = a_{l-1}(\varphi) = 0\}$$

onto the complex plane C . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_l^U = \{\varphi | \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_l, \text{Im } a_i/a_l > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_l^L = \{\varphi | \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_l, \text{Im } a_i/a_l < 0\},$$

$$C^U = \{z | \text{Im } z > 0\},$$

and

$$C^L = \{z | \text{Im } z < 0\}.$$

The function b_i/b_l , being continuous, must map \mathcal{H}_l^U either onto all of C^U or all of C^L . Hence in all of \mathcal{H}_l^U , b_i/b_l can have only one of the two forms, (3) or (4). If in \mathcal{H}_l^L , b_i/b_l has the other form, then b_i/b_l is not an onto mapping. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the subspace in which form (3) holds. Then from (2) we have

$$B(\sum a_i \varphi_i) = \frac{g(\varphi)}{a_l} \sum_{\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_1} a_i c_i B\psi_i + \frac{g(\varphi)}{\bar{a}_l} \sum_{\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_1^c} \bar{a}_i c_i B\psi_i.$$

Putting $f_1(\varphi) = g(\varphi)/a_l(\varphi)$ and $f_2(\varphi) = g(\varphi)/\bar{a}_l(\varphi)$ concludes the proof of the theorem.

3. Corollaries

A transformation $U : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{H}$ is unitary if

$$(U\varphi_1, U\varphi_2) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$$

for all φ_1, φ_2 in \mathcal{H} . A transformation $A : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{H}$ is unitary conjugate if $(A\varphi_1, A\varphi_2) = (\overline{\varphi_1}, \overline{\varphi_2})$ for all φ_1, φ_2 in \mathcal{H} . A transformation $C : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{H}$ is a multiplier transformation if for each φ in \mathcal{H} there exists a complex constant $a_\varphi \neq 0$ such that $C\varphi = a_\varphi\varphi$.

COROLLARY 1. *For each inner product magnitude preserving continuous transformation $B : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp = \theta$ there exists a multiplier transformation C^U such that C^UB is unitary.*

COROLLARY 2. *For each inner product magnitude preserving continuous transformation $B : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_1 = \theta$ there exists a multiplier transformation C^A such that C^AB is unitary conjugate.*

$C^U(C^A)$ is the operator which multiplies all vectors of the form $aB\psi_i$ by c_i^{-1} followed by a multiplication of all vectors $\varphi = \Sigma a_i\psi_i$ in \mathcal{H} by $f_1^{-1}(\Sigma a_i\varphi_i) (f_2^{-1}(\Sigma a_i\varphi_i))$.

4. Remarks

1. It follows easily that a unitary transformation is linear: $U(a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2) = aU(\varphi_1) + bU(\varphi_2)$. A unitary conjugate transformation A is semi-linear (or anti-linear):

$$A(a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2) = \bar{a}A(\varphi_1) + \bar{b}A(\varphi_2).$$

2. For literature on semi-linear (or anti-linear) transformations see Stone (1932), page 357, Jacobson (1943), page 26, and Dunford and Schwartz (1963), page 1231.

3. A unitary conjugate transformation K is called a conjugation if $K^2 = I$. A transformation $A : \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{H}$ is unitary conjugate if and only if there is a conjugation K and a unitary transformation U such that

$$A = UK.$$

This is shown by observing that for any conjugation K , AK is unitary since

$$(AK\varphi, AK\psi) = (\overline{K\varphi}, \overline{K\psi}) = (\varphi, \psi).$$

Hence $AK = U$ and $A = UK^{-1} = UK$.

REFERENCES

EUGENE P. WIGNER

- [1] *Group Theory and Its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra*, Academic Press, translated by J. J. Griffin, 1959.

M. H. STONE

- [2] *Linear Transformations in Hilbert Space and Their Applications to Analysis*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, volume XV, 1932.

N. JACOBSON

- [3] *The Theory of Rings*, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical Surveys Number II, 1943.

N. DUNFORD and T. J. SCHWARTZ

- [4] *Linear Operators, Part II*, Interscience Publishers, 1963.

E. WIGNER

- [5] "On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group", *Ann. Math.*, 40 (1939), 149–204.

(Oblatum 27-12-67)

University of California
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico