

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

N. H. WILLIAMS

On Grothendieck universes

Compositio Mathematica, tome 21, n° 1 (1969), p. 1-3

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1969__21_1_1_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1969, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On Grothendieck universes

by

N. H. Williams

The purpose of this note is to observe that by using the full set theory of Gödel [2], i.e. including the axiom D (Foundation), Grothendieck universes may be characterized as follows: U is a Grothendieck universe if and only if for some inaccessible cardinal α , U is the collection of all sets of rank α .

Define a set U to be a Grothendieck universe, after Gabriel [1], if

- UA1. For each x , $x \in U \Rightarrow x \subseteq U$,
- UA2. For each x , $x \in U \Rightarrow P(x) \in U$,
- UA3. For each x , $x \in U \Rightarrow \{x\} \in U$,
- UA4. For each family $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $I \in U$ and such that $x_i \in U$ for each $i \in I$, $\bigcup \{x_i : i \in I\} \in U$,
- UA5. U is non-empty.

A cardinal number is to be understood as an ordinal number which is equipollent to no smaller ordinal number.

Call a cardinal number α inaccessible in the narrower sense of Tarski [6] (henceforth referred to as inaccessible) if

- IA1. $\text{card}(\bigcup \{x_i : i \in I\}) < \alpha$ for each family $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of sets with $\text{card}(I) < \alpha$ and with $\text{card}(x_i) < \alpha$ for each $i \in I$,
- IA2. For any two cardinals ξ and η , $\xi^\eta < \alpha$ whenever $\xi < \alpha$ and $\eta < \alpha$.

Use transfinite induction to define a function Ψ over the class of all ordinals (after von Neumann [4]) by

- (1) $\Psi(0) = 0$,
- (2) $\Psi(\beta+1) = P(\Psi(\beta))$,
- (3) if λ is a limit ordinal, $\Psi(\lambda) = \bigcup \{\Psi(\beta) : \beta < \lambda\}$

Thus Ψ is the rank function: $\Psi(\beta)$ is the collection of all sets of rank $\leq \beta$. Then the following results are well known (e.g. Shepherdson [5]):

(a) For each set x , there is an ordinal β such that

$$x \in \Psi(\beta),$$

(b) If α is an inaccessible cardinal, then

$$\beta < \alpha \Rightarrow \text{card}(\Psi(\beta)) < \alpha,$$

(c) If α is an inaccessible cardinal, then

$$x \in \Psi(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow x \subseteq \Psi(\alpha) \ \& \ \text{card}(x) < \alpha.$$

It is shown in Kruse [3] that U is a Grothendieck universe to which an infinite set belongs if and only if U is a super-complete model in the sense of Shepherdson [5]. In Shepherdson [5], it is shown that all the super-complete models are of the form $\Psi(\alpha)$ for some uncountable inaccessible cardinal α . It is the purpose of this note to distinguish to which $\Psi(\alpha)$ a given universe U corresponds.

The following result is proved in Kruse [3]:

U is a Grothendieck universe if and only if there exists an inaccessible cardinal α such that $x \in U \Leftrightarrow x \subseteq U \ \& \ \text{card}(x) < \alpha$.

For a given universe U , this inaccessible cardinal is clearly uniquely determined. Write it as $\alpha(U)$. Then the main result is:

THEOREM 1. $U = \Psi(\alpha(U))$.

PROOF. First, to show that $\Psi(\alpha(U)) \subseteq U$. For this, use transfinite induction on the ordinal β to prove

$$A(\beta) : \beta < \alpha(U) \Rightarrow \Psi(\beta) \subseteq U.$$

(i) Trivially $A(0)$.

(ii) Assume $A(\beta)$; to show $A(\beta+1)$. Let $\beta+1 < \alpha(U)$, then $\beta < \alpha(U)$ and so $\Psi(\beta) \subseteq U$. But $\beta < \alpha(U) \Rightarrow \text{card}(\Psi(\beta)) < \alpha(U)$, by (b). Hence $\Psi(\beta) \subseteq U \ \& \ \text{card}(\Psi(\beta)) < \alpha(U)$, thus $\Psi(\beta) \in U$. But then $\Psi(\beta+1) = P(\Psi(\beta)) \in U$, by UA2, and so $\Psi(\beta+1) \subseteq U$, by UA1. Hence $A(\beta+1)$.

(iii) Let λ be a limit ordinal, and assume $\beta < \lambda \Rightarrow A(\beta)$; to show $A(\lambda)$. Let $\lambda < \alpha(U)$, and then $\beta < \lambda \Rightarrow \Psi(\beta) \subseteq U$. Hence $\Psi(\lambda) = \bigcup \{\Psi(\beta) : \beta < \lambda\} \subseteq U$; thus $A(\lambda)$.

Hence, for any ordinal β , $\beta < \alpha(U) \Rightarrow \Psi(\beta) \subseteq U$. But $\Psi(\alpha(U)) = \bigcup \{\Psi(\beta) : \beta < \alpha(U)\}$, hence $\Psi(\alpha(U)) \subseteq U$.

Now suppose that $U \setminus \Psi(\alpha(U)) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Then, by the axiom of foundation, there is a set x such that

$$x \in U \setminus \Psi(\alpha(U)) \ \& \ x \cap [U \setminus \Psi(\alpha(U))] = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since $x \in U \Rightarrow (x \subseteq U \ \& \ \text{card}(x) < \alpha(U))$, and

$$(x \subseteq U \ \& \ x \cap [U \setminus \Psi(\alpha(U))] = \mathbf{0}) \Rightarrow x \subseteq \Psi(\alpha(U)), \\ x \subseteq \Psi(\alpha(U)) \ \& \ \text{card}(x) < \alpha(U),$$

hence $x \in \Psi(\alpha(U))$, by (c). But this contradicts $x \in U \setminus \Psi(\alpha(U))$, and hence $U = \Psi(\alpha(U))$.

THEOREM 2.

If U is a Grothendieck universe, then $\text{card}(U) = \alpha(U)$.

This follows from the fact that for inaccessible cardinals α , $\text{card}(\Psi(\alpha)) = \alpha$, (which may be shown by relativizing to $\Psi(\alpha)$ the proof of the existence of a one-to-one mapping from the class of all ordinals to the class of all sets).

Note that the equivalence of the two statements:

(A) For every cardinal β , there is an inaccessible cardinal α such that $\beta < \alpha$,

(B) For every set x , there is a Grothendieck universe U such that $x \in U$,

follows immediately from (a) and Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

P. GABRIEL

[1] Des catégories abéliennes. Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Vol. 90 (1962), pp. 324–448.

K. GÖDEL

[2] The consistency of the continuum hypothesis . . . Princeton, 1940.

A. H. KRUSE

[3] Grothendieck universes and the super-complete models of Shepherdson. Compositio Mathematica, Vol. 17 (1965), pp. 96–101.

J. VON NEUMANN,

[4] Über eine Widerspruchsfreiheitsfrage in der axiomatischen Mengenlehre. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Vol. 160 (1929), pp. 227–241.

J. C. SHEPHERDSON

[5] Inner models for set theory — part II. The Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 17 (1952), pp. 225–237.

A. TARSKI

[6] Über unerreichbare Kardinalzahlen. Fundamenta Mathematicae, Vol. 30 (1938), pp. 68–89.

(Oblatum 6-3-1967)