

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

BODO VOLKMANN

On non-normal numbers

Compositio Mathematica, tome 16 (1964), p. 186-190

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1964__16__186_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On non-normal numbers *

by

Bodo Volkmann

It was first discovered by D. D. Wall [13] in 1949 that a real number x is normal to the base $g \geq 2$ if and only if the sequence $g^n x (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. But it had been known since the occurrence of Borel's [2] celebrated theorem in 1909 that almost all real numbers are normal to any base, and consequently the problem arose to investigate various types of sets of non-normal numbers. In particular, several authors have determined the Hausdorff (or fractional) dimension (cf. Hausdorff [6]) of such sets. The following results are typical:

1. Given digit frequencies

For any real number $x \in (0, 1]$, we consider the g -adic expansion

$$(*) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_i}{g^i}$$

where $g \geq 2$ is a fixed integer and the digits e_i , $0 \leq e_i < g$, are so chosen that infinitely many of them are different from zero. Let

$$A_j(x, n) = \sum_{i=1, e_i=j}^n 1 \quad (j = 0, \dots, g-1)$$

and define, for given non-negative numbers $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{g-1}$ with $\sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \zeta_j = 1$, $G = G(\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{g-1})$ to be the set of all such x satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_j(x, n)}{n} = \zeta_j \quad (j = 0, \dots, g-1).$$

Then, as was shown in 1949 by H. G. Eggleston [4],

$$\dim G = -\frac{1}{\log g} \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \zeta_j \log \zeta_j \quad ("0 \log 0" = 1).$$

* Nijenrode lecture.

For reference, we denote the function in this equation by $d(\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{g-1}) = d(\zeta)$.

In the special case $g = 2$ this theorem has been proved independently by V. Krichal [7] in 1933 and by A. S. Besicovitch [1] in 1934, in slightly different forms.

2. Missing digits.

The Cantor ternary set C may be interpreted as the set of all $x \in (0, 1]$ in whose expansion (*) to the base $g = 3$ all digits e_i are different from 1, united with a certain countable set. It was shown by F. Hausdorff [6] in 1918 that

$$\dim C = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}.$$

The following generalization was proved by the speaker [9] in 1953: Let $g \geq 2$ be fixed and let $F = f_1 f_2 \dots f_i$ be any finite block of (not necessarily distinct) g -adic digits. Furthermore, let K_F be the set of all $x \in (0, 1]$ in whose g -adic expansion no block of i consecutive digits equals F . Then, if $P(F)$ denotes the set of all integers p for which the block of the first p digits and the block of the last p digits of F are equal, and if $\gamma(F)$ is the greatest positive root of the i -th degree equation

$$\sum_{p \in P(F)} (z^p - g z^{p-1}) + 1 = 0,$$

then

$$\dim K_F = \frac{\log \gamma(F)}{\log g}.$$

In a later paper [11] the case was studied where a finite set $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ of such blocks are excluded.

3. Given digit averages

For each $x \in (0, 1]$ with the g -adic expansion (*), we define $S(x, n) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ and consider, for a given $\zeta \in [0, g-1]$, the set $M(\zeta)$ of all x satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S(x, n) = \zeta.$$

It was shown in 1951 by H. G. Eggleston [5] that

$$\dim M(\zeta) = \frac{\log(1+r+\dots r^{g-1}) - \zeta \log r}{\log g}$$

where r is the greatest positive root of the equation.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{g-1} (j-\zeta)x^j = 0.$$

This theorem was generalized by the speaker [10] to the case where the set $\{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$ is subdivided into mutually disjoint subsets $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{G}_m$, and weighted averages

$$S_\mu(x, n) = \sum_{i=1, e_i \in \mathfrak{G}_\mu}^n \lambda_{e_i} \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, m)$$

with given non-negative weights $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{g-1}$ are considered. Then the dimension of the set $M(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$ of all $x \in (0, 1]$ was determined for which the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_\mu(x, n) \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, m)$$

exist and have given values $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m$.

4. Oscillating digit frequencies

In order to study real numbers x for which some or all of the frequencies $A_j(x, n)/n$ oscillate, the speaker [12] used the following method: For any index n let $p_n(x)$ be the point in the simplex $H_g = \{0 \leq \zeta_j \leq 1 \ (j = 0, \dots, g-1); \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} \zeta_j = 1\}$ which has coordinates $(A_0(x, n)/n, \dots, A_{g-1}(x, n)/n)$. Furthermore, let $V_g(x)$ be the set of limit points of the sequence $p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots$. Obviously, $V_g(x)$ may consist of a single point, and this happens, in particular, whenever x is normal. But it was shown in 1957 (cf. [12]) that, given any continuum (i.e. a closed, connected set) $C \subseteq H_g$, there exists a non-empty set $G(C)$ of numbers $x \in (0, 1]$ for which $V_g(x) = C$. Furthermore,

$$\dim G(C) = \min_{\zeta \in C} d(\zeta)$$

where $d(\zeta)$ is the function defined above. Conversely, for any number x , the set $V_g(x)$ is a continuum contained in the simplex H_g .

5. Unsolved problems

In connection with results mentioned above, the following questions appear to be of interest:

A) Given two integers $g \geq 2$, $h \geq 2$ such that $g^n \neq h^m$ for all positive integers m, n , and two continua $C_1 \subseteq H_g$, $C_2 \subseteq H_h$, do there exist numbers $x \in (0, 1]$ for which

$$V_g(x) = C_1 \text{ and } V_h(x) = C_2?^*$$

B) If so, what is the Hausdorff dimension of the set of all such x ?

C) Which of the sets $G(C)$ contain, and which do not contain, any algebraic number?

REFERENCES

BESICOVITCH, A. S.

- [1] On the sum of digits of real numbers represented in the dyadic number system. *Math. Ann.* 110, 321–330 (1934).

BOREL, E.

- [2] Les probabilités dénombrables et leurs applications arithmétiques. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 27, 247–271 (1909).

CASSELS, J. W. S.

- [3] On a problem of Steinhaus, *Colloq. Math.* 7 (1959), 95–101.

EGGLESTON, H. G.

- [4] The fractional dimension of a set defined by decimal properties. *Quart. J. Math.* 20, 31–36 (1949).

EGGLESTON, H. G.

- [5] Sets of fractional dimensions which occur in some problems of number theory. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 54, 42–93 (1951).

HAUSDORFF, F.

- [6] Dimension und äusseres Mass. *Math. Ann.* 79, 157–179 (1918).

KNICHAL, V.

- [7] Dyadische Entwicklungen und Hausdorffsches Mass. *Mém. Soc. Roy. Sci. Bohême, Cl. des Sciences*, 1933, Nr. 14, 1–18.

SCHMIDT, W.

- [8] On normal numbers, *Pac. Journ. Math.* 10, 661–672 (1960).

VOLKMAN, B.

- [9] Über Hausdorffsche Dimensionen von Mengen, die durch Zifferneigenschaften charakterisiert sind. III. *Math. Z.* 59, 279–290 (1953).

* It was shown by W. Schmidt [8] and also by J. W. S. Cassels [3] that x need not be normal to the base h if it is normal to the base g .

VOLKMANN, B.

[10] IV. Math. Z. 59, 425—433 (1954).

[11] V. Math. Z. 65, 389—413 (1956).

[12] VI. Math. Z. 68, 439—449 (1958).

WALL, D. D.

[13] Normal Numbers. Ph. D. Thesis, 1949, University of California, Berkeley, California.

(Oblatum 29-5-63).

Universität Mainz.