

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

A. ZULAUF

On the number of representations of an integer as a sum of primes belonging to given arithmetical progressions

Compositio Mathematica, tome 15 (1962-1964), p. 64-69

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1962-1964__15_64_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1962-1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the Number of Representations of an Integer as a Sum of Primes belonging to given Arithmetical Progressions

by

A. Zulauf

1. Introduction: Let K_1, \dots, K_s be s given positive integers, and K their least common multiple. Let further a_1, \dots, a_s be given integers, $(a_\sigma, K_\sigma) = 1$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, s$), and denote by $\kappa(n)$ the number of sets of residues $x_1, \dots, x_s \pmod{K}$ which (i) are relatively prime to K , and (ii) satisfy the following system of congruences.

$$\begin{cases} x_\sigma \equiv a_\sigma \pmod{K_\sigma} & (\sigma = 1, \dots, s), \\ \sum_{\sigma=1}^s x_\sigma \equiv n \pmod{K}. \end{cases}$$

Finally let $N(n)$ denote the number of representations of the positive integer n in the form

$$(1) \quad n = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_s, \quad p_\sigma \equiv a_\sigma \pmod{K_\sigma} \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, s)$$

where the p_σ are odd prime numbers.

I have proved [†]) that if $n \equiv s \pmod{2}$, and $s > 2^\dagger$), then

$$(2) \quad N(n) = \kappa(n) \frac{1}{\varphi^s(K)(s-1)!} \frac{n^{s-1}}{\log^s n} \mathfrak{S}^*(n) + O\left\{ \frac{n^{s-1} \log \log n}{\log^{s+1} n} \right\},$$

where φ denotes Euler's function,

$$\mathfrak{S}^*(n) = \Omega K \prod_{p \nmid nK} \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{-1}{p-1} \right]^s \right\} \times \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \nmid K}} \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{-1}{p-1} \right]^{s-1} \right\},$$

where p runs through the odd prime numbers, and where $\Omega = 1$, or $= 2$, according as K is even, or odd.

In this paper we shall prove an explicit formula for $\kappa(n)$.

2. Lemma: Let p be a prime number ≥ 2 , let $s \geq 1$, $1 \leq l \leq L$, and let $\bar{\kappa}_p(s, l, L; n)$ denote the number of sets of residues $x_1, \dots, x_s \pmod{p^L}$ which satisfy simultaneously

[†]) see [1], p. 228.

[‡]) If $s = 2$ this results holds for "almost all" n . See [3] and [4].

$$\begin{cases} (x_\sigma, p) = 1 & (\sigma = 1, \dots, s), \\ \sum_{\sigma=1}^s x_\sigma \equiv n \pmod{p^i}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\bar{\kappa}_p(s, l, L; n) = \begin{cases} p^{s(L-1)-l} (p-1) \{(p-1)^{s-1} - (-1)^{s-1}\} & \text{if } p|n \\ p^{s(L-1)-l} \{(p-1)^s - (-1)^s\} & \text{if } p \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The lemma is evidently true for $s = 1$, since the system

$$\{(x_1, p) = 1; x_1 \equiv n \pmod{p^l}\}$$

has p^{L-l} , or no, solutions $x_1 \pmod{p^L}$ according as $p \nmid n$ or $p|n$. Next, assuming the lemma true for $s = t$, we shall prove that it is also true for $s = t+1$.

The system

$$\{(x_{t+1}, p) = 1; x_{t+1} \equiv n - \sum_{\sigma=1}^t x_\sigma \pmod{p^l}\}$$

has p^{L-l} , or no, solutions $x_{t+1} \pmod{p^L}$ according as

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^t x_\sigma \not\equiv n \pmod{p},$$

or not. Thus

$$(3) \quad \bar{\kappa}_p(t+1, l, L; n) = p^{L-l} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \not\equiv n \pmod{p}}}^p \bar{\kappa}_p(t, l, L; m).$$

If $p|n$ then $p \nmid m$ for every $m \not\equiv n \pmod{p}$, and we obtain, by assumption, from (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\kappa}_p(t+1, l, L; n) &= p^{L-l} (p-1) p^{t(L-1)-l} \{(p-1)^t - (-1)^t\} \\ &= p^{(t+1)(L-1)-l} (p-1) \{(p-1)^t - (-1)^t\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \nmid n$ then $p \nmid m$ for $(p-2)$ residues $m \not\equiv n \pmod{p}$, and $p|m$ for one residue $m \equiv n \pmod{p}$. In this case we obtain, therefore, from (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\kappa}_p(t+1, l, L; n) &= p^{L-l+t(L-1)-l} [(p-2) \{(p-1)^t - (-1)^t\} \\ &\quad + (p-1) \{(p-1)^{t-1} - (-1)^{t-1}\}] \\ &= p^{(t+1)(L-1)-l} \{(p-1)^{t+1} - (-1)^{t+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, by induction, that our lemma is true for all $s \geq 1$.

3. Theorem. Let $\kappa(n)$ be defined as in the introduction. Let $k = (K_1, \dots, K_s)$, let q run through all prime factors of K , and put

$$m_q = n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma=1 \\ q|K_\sigma}}^s a_\sigma, \quad s_q = \sum_{\substack{\sigma=1 \\ q \nmid K_\sigma}}^s 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(n) &= K^{s-1}k \times \prod_{\sigma=1}^s K_{\sigma}^{-1} \times \prod_{a \nmid km_q} q^{-s_a} \{ (q-1)^{s_a} - (-1)^{s_a} \} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{q \nmid k \\ q | m_q}} q^{-s_a} (q-1) \{ (q-1)^{s_a-1} - (-1)^{s_a-1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

or $\kappa(n) = 0$, according as

$$(4) \quad n \equiv \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_{\sigma} \pmod{k},$$

or not.

PROOF. Since the congruences

$$\{x_{\sigma} \equiv a_{\sigma} \pmod{K_{\sigma}} \ (\sigma = 1, \dots, s), \sum_{\sigma=1}^s x_{\sigma} \equiv n \pmod{K}\}$$

imply

$$n \equiv \sum_{\sigma=1}^s x_{\sigma} \equiv \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_{\sigma} \pmod{k},$$

it is trivial that $\kappa(n) = 0$ if n does not satisfy the congruence (4).

Suppose now that n does satisfy the congruence (4). Let

$$K = \prod_q q^{L_q}, \quad k = \prod_q q^{l_q}, \quad K_{\sigma} = \prod_q q^{\lambda_{q\sigma}}, \quad \prod_{\sigma=1}^s K_{\sigma} = \prod_q q^{\Sigma_q},$$

so that

$$0 \leq l_q \leq \lambda_{q\sigma} \leq L_q \ (\sigma = 1, \dots, s), \quad L_q \geq 1, \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \lambda_{q\sigma} = \Sigma_q.$$

If $\kappa_q(n)$ denotes the number of sets of residues $x_1, \dots, x_s \pmod{q^{L_q}}$ which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} x_{\sigma} \equiv a_{\sigma} \pmod{q^{\lambda_{q\sigma}}}, & (x_{\sigma}, q) = 1 \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, s), \\ \sum_{\sigma=1}^s x_{\sigma} \equiv n \pmod{q^{L_q}}, \end{cases}$$

then, obviously,

$$(5) \quad \kappa(n) = \prod_q \kappa_q(n).$$

For finding the value of $\kappa_q(n)$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that

$$l_q = \lambda_{q1} \leq \lambda_{q2} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{qs} = L_q.$$

Consider first the case $q|k$. If $q|k$ we have $s_q = 0$ and

$$(6) \quad 1 \leq l_q = \lambda_{q1} \leq \lambda_{q\sigma} \leq L_q \quad (\sigma = 1, \dots, s).$$

Since $\lambda_{q1} = l_q$, and hence

$$\sum_{\sigma=2}^s (L_q - \lambda_{q\sigma}) = (s-1)L_q + l_q - \Sigma_q,$$

the number of different sets of residues $x_2, \dots, x_s \pmod{q^{L_q}}$ satisfying

$$(7) \quad x_\sigma \equiv a_\sigma \pmod{q^{\lambda_{q\sigma}}} \quad (\sigma = 2, \dots, s)$$

is evidently given by

$$q^{(s-1)L_q + l_q - \Sigma_q}.$$

Now the congruence

$$x_1 \equiv n - \sum_{\sigma=2}^s x_\sigma \pmod{q^{L_q}}$$

uniquely determines a residue $x_1 \pmod{q^{L_q}}$, and if x_1 is so determined, then, by (6), (7) and (4), also

$$(8) \quad x_1 \equiv n - \sum_{\sigma=2}^s x_\sigma \equiv n - \sum_{\sigma=2}^s a_\sigma \equiv a_1 \pmod{q^{\lambda_{q1}}}.$$

Since $(a_\sigma, K_\sigma) = 1$ and $\lambda_{q\sigma} \geq 1$, the congruences (7) and (8) imply $(x_\sigma, q) = 1$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, s$), and hence we conclude that

$$(9) \quad \kappa_q(n) = q^{(s-1)L_q + l_q - \Sigma_q}$$

if $q|k$, and n satisfies (4).

Now consider the case $q \nmid k$. If $q \nmid k$ we have $1 \leq s_q \leq s-1$, and

$$(10) \quad 0 = l_q = \lambda_{q1} = \dots = \lambda_{qs_q} < \lambda_{q(s_q+1)} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{qs} = L_q.$$

Since $0 = l_q = \lambda_{q1} = \dots = \lambda_{qs_q}$, and hence

$$\sum_{\sigma=s_q+1}^s (L_q - \lambda_{q\sigma}) = (s-s_q)L_q + l_q - \Sigma_q,$$

the number of different sets of residues $x_{s_q+1}, \dots, x_s \pmod{q^{L_q}}$ which satisfy

$$(11) \quad x_\sigma \equiv a_\sigma \pmod{q^{\lambda_{q\sigma}}} \quad (s_q < \sigma \leq s)$$

is evidently given by

$$q^{(s-s_q)L_q + l_q - \Sigma_q}.$$

It follows that there are

$$(12) \quad q^{(s-s_q)L_q+l_q-\Sigma_q} \bar{\kappa}_q(s_q, L_q, L_q; n - \sum_{\sigma=s_q+1}^s x_\sigma)$$

different sets of residues $x_1, \dots, x_s \pmod{q^{L_q}}$ which satisfy the congruences (11) and

$$\begin{cases} (x_\sigma, q) = 1 & (\sigma = 1, \dots, s_q), \\ \sum_{\sigma=1}^s x_\sigma \equiv n \pmod{q^{L_q}}. \end{cases}$$

But $\lambda_{q\sigma} = 0$ and, consequently,

$$x_\sigma \equiv a_\sigma \pmod{q^{\lambda_{q\sigma}}} \quad \text{for } \sigma = 1, \dots, \sigma_q.$$

Further, the congruences (11) and the conditions $(a_\sigma, K_\sigma) = 1$ imply

$$(x_\sigma, q) = 1 \quad \text{for } s_q < \sigma \leq s,$$

since then $\lambda_{q\sigma} \geq 1$. Hence, if $q \nmid k$, then $\kappa_q(n)$ is given by the expression (12). By (10) and (11), the condition

$$q \mid \left\{ n - \sum_{\sigma=s_q+1}^s x_\sigma \right\}$$

is equivalent to $q \mid m_q$. We deduce, therefore, from (12) and the lemma that, in the case $q \nmid k$,

$$(13) \quad \kappa_q(n) = \begin{cases} q^{(s-1)L_q+l_q-\Sigma_q-s_q} (q-1) \{ (q-1)^{s_q-1} - (-1)^{s_q-1} \} & \text{if } q \mid m_q \\ q^{(s-1)L_q+l_q-\Sigma_q-s_q} \{ (q-1)^{s_q} - (-1)^{s_q} \} & \text{if } q \nmid m_q. \end{cases}$$

The truth of our theorem, when n satisfies (4), is thus established by (5), (9) and (13).

4. Conclusion. We have $\kappa(n) > 0$ if simultaneously

$$(14a) \quad n \equiv s \pmod{2},$$

$$(14b) \quad n \equiv \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_\sigma \pmod{k},$$

$$(14c) \quad n \not\equiv \sum_{\substack{\sigma=1 \\ \sigma \neq \sigma^*}}^s a_\sigma \pmod{q} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every odd prime number } q \text{ which} \\ \text{divides all } K_\sigma \text{ except one, } K_{\sigma^*} \text{ say.} \end{array} \right.$$

(Condition (14c) may be stated as $q \nmid m_q$ for every odd prime number $q \mid K$ for which $s_q = 1$.)

It follows that all sufficiently large integers n satisfying the conditions (14) can be represented as a sum of primes in the form (1), and (2) will be an asymptotic formula for the number of such representations. ¹⁾

To prove the above statement about $\kappa(n) > 0$, we observe that, since $(a_\sigma, K_\sigma) = 1$,

$$m_2 = n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma=1 \\ 2|K_\sigma}}^s a_\sigma \equiv n - (s - s_2) \equiv s_2 \pmod{2}$$

provided that n satisfies (14a). Hence s_2 is odd if $2 \nmid m_2$, and $(s_2 - 1)$ is odd if $2 | m_2$. It follows that, if (14a) and (14b) are satisfied, then $\kappa(n)$ vanishes only if there is an odd prime number q for which $s_q = 1$ and $q | m_q$.

¹⁾ The above conclusions could also be drawn from general results proved in my paper [2].

REFERENCES

A. ZULAUF

- [1] Über die Darstellung natürlicher Zahlen als Summen von Primzahlen aus gegebenen Restklassen und Quadraten mit gegebenen Koeffizienten, I: Resultate für genügend große Zahlen, Journ. f. Math, 192 (1954), 210—229.
- [2] — — — ditto, II: Die singuläre Reihe, Journ. f. Math. 193 (1954), 39—53.
- [3] — — — ditto, III: Resultate für fast alle Zahlen, Journ. f. Math. 193 (1954), 54—64.

J. G. VAN DER CORPUT

- [4] Über Summen von Primzahlen und Primzahlquadraten, Math. Annalen. 116 (1938), 1—50.

University College, Ibadan, Nigeria.
March 19th, 1959.

(Oblatum 30-5-1959).