

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

A. M. OSTROWSKI

**A regularity condition for a class of
partitioned matrices**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 15 (1962-1964), p. 23-27

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1962-1964__15__23_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1962-1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A regularity condition for a class of partitioned matrices

by

A. M. Ostrowski

1. We consider in this note an Hermitian matrix A partitioned into blocks $A_{\mu\nu}$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where each $A_{\mu\nu}$ is a square matrix of order m and generally

$$A_{\mu\nu} = A_{\nu\mu}^*. \quad (2)$$

In the case $m = 1$, that is to say if *all* $A_{\mu\nu}$ are *scalars*, a regularity condition for A is contained in the so-called Hadamard's theorem (see O. Tausski-Todd [1] and the extensive bibliography given there), stating that A is regular if

$$|A_{\mu\mu}| > \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} |A_{\mu\nu}| \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n). \quad (3)$$

2. One possibility of generalizing this theorem to the case $m > 1$ is given by the so-called *polar decomposition* of a general $(k \times k)$ -matrix A into the product

$$A = EP, \quad (4)$$

where E is a unitary matrix and P a non-negative Hermitian matrix and E and P are uniquely determined by these properties. Then $P = P(A)$ corresponds to the modulus and E to the complementary factor of a complex number, containing its argument. On the other hand, there exists in the case of Hermitian matrices a *partial ordering* based on the concept of a non-negative Hermitian matrix. We say of two Hermitian matrices H_1 and H_2 of the same order k that H_1 is *majorated by* H_2 . $H_1 \leq H_2$, if $H_2 - H_1$ is a *non-negative* Hermitian matrix, and that H_1 is *properly majorated by* H_2 , $H_1 \ll H_2$, if $H_2 - H_1$ is *positive*.

¹) Sponsored by the U.S. Army under contract No. DA-11-022-ORD-2059. I am indebted for discussions to Mr. Howard Bell.

3. The relation corresponding to (3) would then be

$$P(A_{\mu\mu}) \gg \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} P(A_{\mu\nu}) \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n), \quad (6)$$

and the question arises whether (6) is then sufficient for the regularity of A . However, this is not generally true. A counterexample is given for $n = m = 2$ by

$$A_{11} = A_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P(A_{12}) = P = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{12} = EP$$

Here we have $A_{11} \gg P$, $A_{22} \gg P$; and since

$$A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{21} = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 9 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

4. On the other hand, we can prove that the relations (6) are indeed sufficient for the regularity of A if the corresponding E factors are *scalars*. Indeed, we are going to prove

THEOREM. Suppose that for $N = nm$ the blocks $A_{\mu\mu}$ in (1) are non-negative Hermitian matrices of order m . Suppose that we have for all $\mu \neq \nu$: $A_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}$, where $H_{\mu\nu}$ are non-negative Hermitian matrices with $H_{\mu\nu} = H_{\nu\mu}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ are scalars of modulus 1 with $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} = \overline{\varepsilon_{\nu\mu}}$. Suppose finally that we have

$$A_{\mu\mu} \gg \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} H_{\mu\nu} \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n). \quad (7)$$

Then the matrix A is a non-negative Hermitian matrix and we have

$$A \gg (A_{11} - \sum_{\nu \neq 1} H_{1\nu}) + (A_{22} - \sum_{\nu \neq 2} H_{2\nu}) + \dots + (A_{nn} - \sum_{\nu \neq n} H_{n\nu}), \quad (8)$$

and in particular

$$|A| \geq \prod_{\mu=1}^n |A_{\mu\mu} - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} H_{\mu\nu}|; \quad (9)$$

we have even, if the right-side expression in (9) is positive,

$$|A| > \prod_{\mu=1}^n |A_{\mu\mu} - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} H_{\mu\nu}|, \quad (10)$$

unless all $A_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ($\mu \neq \nu$).

5. Before giving the proof of our theorem, we make some observations on the majoration relation for $k \times k$ matrices.

If we have $H_1 \leq H_2$ and if the eigenvalues of H_1 and H_2 , decreasingly ordered, are respectively $\lambda_\nu(H_1)$, $\lambda_\nu(H_2)$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, k$), then we have by a theorem of H. Weyl

$$\lambda_\nu(H_1) \leq \lambda_\nu(H_2) \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k) \quad (11)$$

and even

$$P \geq \lambda_\nu(H_2) - \lambda_\nu(H_1) \geq p \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k), \quad (12)$$

where P is the greatest and p the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $H_2 - H_1$, see H. Weyl [1].

6. If $H_2 - H_1$ is *semidefinite*, we have $p = 0$ and obtain no sharpening of (11) from (12). However, we can prove:

LEMMA. If $H_1 \leq H_2$ and $H_1 \neq H_2$, then we have in one at least of the inequalities (11) the sign $<$. If further H_1 is positive, we have

$$|H_1| < |H_2|. \quad (13)$$

PROOF. If we add to both matrices λI , the differences $\lambda_\nu(H_2) - \lambda_\nu(H_1)$ are not changed. We can therefore without loss of generality assume, that H_1 and H_2 are both positive. But then it follows from

$$|H_1| = \lambda_1(H_1)\lambda_2(H_1) \dots \lambda_k(H_1), \quad |H_2| = \lambda_1(H_2)\lambda_2(H_2) \dots \lambda_k(H_2)$$

and (11) that it is sufficient to prove (13). By a simultaneous transformation of co-ordinates the Hermitian forms corresponding to H_1 and H_2 can be brought into the "sum of the squares" and the matrices H_1 and H_2 into the diagonal matrices

$$D_1 = \text{Diag} (p_1, \dots, p_n), \quad D_2 = \text{Diag} (q_1, \dots, q_n).$$

It is then sufficient to prove that $|D_1| < |D_2|$, that is, that

$$\prod_\nu p_\nu < \prod_\nu q_\nu.$$

But we have $D_1 \leq D_2$, and therefore

$$p_\nu \leq q_\nu \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k).$$

If we had here the equality sign for every ν , then we would have $D_1 = D_2$, $H_1 = H_2$. Therefore, we have indeed (13) and our lemma is proved.

7. Assume again that H_1 and H_0 are two positive Hermitian matrices with $H_1 \leq H_2$. By an inequality due to Minkowski we have for two arbitrary non-negative Hermitian matrices A and B of order k

$$\sqrt[k]{|A|} + \sqrt[k]{|B|} \leq \sqrt[k]{|A + B|}. \quad (14)$$

Applying this to $A = H_1$, $B = H_2 - H_1$ we can sharpen (13) to

$$\sqrt[k]{|H_2|} \geq \sqrt[k]{|H_1|} + \sqrt[k]{|H_2 - H_1|}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, if p is the smallest eigenvalue of $H_2 - H_1$, we have

$$\sqrt[k]{|H_2|} \geq \sqrt[k]{|H_1|} + p. \quad (16)$$

8. PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Put

$$R_\mu = \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} H_{\mu\nu} \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n) \quad (17)$$

and denote by S the matrix obtained from A by replacing each $A_{\mu\mu}$ by the corresponding R_μ ,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 A_{12} \cdots A_{1n} \\ A_{21} R_2 \cdots A_{2n} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ A_{n1} A_{n2} \cdots R_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Then we have

$$A = \sum_{\mu} (A_{\mu\mu} - R_\mu) + S, \quad (19)$$

and (8) follows at once if we prove that S is *non-negative*; and (9) and (10) follows from (8) immediately by the Lemma of sec. 6.

9. In order to discuss the inertia character of S , decompose the general N -dimensional vector ξ into a Cartesian sum corresponding to the decomposition (1)

$$\xi = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \xi_\nu \quad (20)$$

and consider the corresponding Hermitian form

$$H(\xi) = \xi S \xi'. \quad (21)$$

We have then, using (17)

$$\begin{aligned} H(\xi) &= \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \xi_\mu A_{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^* + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \xi_\mu R_\mu \xi_\mu^* \\ &= \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \xi_\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^* + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \xi_\mu H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\mu^*. \end{aligned}$$

As $H_{\mu\nu} = H_{\nu\mu}$, we re-order the terms of this sum into groups, each containing four terms with the same $H_{\mu\nu}$, $\mu > \nu$. We obtain for a general $H_{\mu\nu}$ the group

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^* + \xi_\nu \varepsilon_{\nu\mu} H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\mu^* + \xi_\mu H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\mu^* + \xi_\nu H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^* \\ &= (\xi_\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} + \xi_\nu) H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^* + (\xi_\nu \varepsilon_{\nu\mu} + \xi_\mu) H_{\mu\nu} \xi_\mu^*. \end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \xi_\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} + \xi_\nu \quad (\mu > \nu),$$

we have, using $\varepsilon_{\nu\mu} = \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}}$,

$$\xi_\nu \varepsilon_{\nu\mu} + \xi_\mu = \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu\nu}(\xi_\nu + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \xi_\mu) = \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu}.$$

Therefore our group of four terms containing $H_{\mu\nu}$ becomes $\eta_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu}^*$, and we have

$$H(\xi) = \sum_{\mu > \nu} \eta_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu}^* \geq 0,$$

as $H_{\mu\nu}$ are assumed to be non-negative. Our theorem is proved.

9. If we now assume, under the conditions of our theorem, that the relations (6) are true, that is, that we have

$$A_{\mu\mu} \gg \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} H_{\mu\nu} \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n),$$

then the differences $A_{\mu\mu} - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} H_{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu = 1, \dots, n$) are positive and have positive determinants. But then by (10) we have $|A| > 0$ and A is indeed regular.

REFERENCES

OLGA TAUSSEY-TODD

- [1] A recurring theorem on determinants, Amer. Math. Mon., Vol. LVI, No. 10, 1949, pp. 672—676.

H. WEYL

- [1] Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte der linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung), Math. Ann., Vol. 71, 441—479 (1912), Weyl's Theorem I on p. 447.

Mathematics Research Center of the
U.S. Army, Madison, Wisc.

(Oblatum 2-5-60).