Astérisque

K. R. PARTHASARATHY

Maassen Kernels and self-similar quantum fields

Astérisque, tome 236 (1996), p. 227-247
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1996__236__227_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Maassen Kernels and Self-Similar Quantum Fields

K.R. Parthasarathy

Abstract. — In his Lecture Notes [Maj] P. Major has outlined a theory of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals with respect to a stationary Gaussian random field ξ over the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of rapidly decreasing smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore, he has exploited the same to construct self-similar random fields subordinate to ξ. Here, we observe that the Hilbert space of functions square integrable with respect to the probability measure P of ξ can be identified in a natural way with the Hilbert space of functions square integrable with respect to the symmetric Guichardet measure [Gui] constructed from the spectrum of ξ. Under such an identification, multiplication of random variables on the probability space of ξ becomes the twisted convolution of Lindsay and Maassen [Li M 1,2] for Maassen kernels [Maa], [Mey]. The multiple Wiener-Itô integral of Major is described neatly by a twisted version of Meyer's multiplication formula (see (IV.4.1 in [Mey]). Following Lindsay and Parthasarathy [Li P] we introduce the weighted and twisted convolution of Maassen kernels, present a generalization of Meyer's formula and exploit it to construct a family of operator fields whose expectations in the vacuum state exhibit a simultaneous self-similarity property. Such a construction includes Major's examples and at the same time yields a self-similar Clifford field.

1 An involutive Gaussian random field and the Lindsay-Maassen twisted convolution algebra

Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$ be a σ-finite measure space equipped with an m-preserving involution $x \to \tilde{x}$ on X satisfying $(\tilde{x})^{\sim} \equiv x$. For any measure μ, denote by $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$ and $L^2(\mu)$ respectively the real and complex Hilbert spaces of functions square integrable with respect to μ. Then the following holds:

Theorem 1.1 There exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_m, P_m)$ and a linear map $\xi : L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m) \to L^2(P_m)$ satisfying the following:

(a) For each $f \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$, $\xi(f)$ is a complex-valued Gaussian random variable of mean 0.

(b) For any $f, g \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\,\overline{\xi(f)}\xi(g) = \int f(x)g(x)dm(x).$$

(c) If $\tilde{f}(x) \equiv f(\tilde{x})$ and $f \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$ then $\xi(\tilde{f}) = \overline{\xi(f)}$.

(d) The σ algebra generated by $\{\xi(f), f \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)\}$ is $\mathcal{F}_m$.

Proof: For any $f, g \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$ define

$$K_{\pm}(f,g) = \int \frac{1}{2}(f(x) \pm f(\tilde{x}))g(x)dm(x). \tag{1.1}$$

From the $\sim$ - invariance of m and Schwarz's inequality we have $K_{\pm}(f,g) = K_{\pm}(g,f)$,

$$|\int f(\tilde{x})f(x)dm(x)| \leq \int f^2(x)dm(x)$$

and therefore

$$K_{\pm}(f,f) = \frac{1}{2}\int (f^2(x) \pm f(\tilde{x})f(x))dm(x) \geq 0.$$

In other words K_+ and K_- are non-negative definite bilinear forms on $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$ with non-trivial kernel (consisting of odd functions for K_+ and even functions for K_-). Hence there exist two independent real Gaussian random fields ξ_+ and ξ_- over $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$ on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_m, P_m)$ for which

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_{\pm}(f) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}\xi_+(f)\xi_+(g) = K_+(f,g), \mathbb{E}\xi_-(f)\xi_-(g) = K_-(f,g) \tag{1.2}$$

and $\mathcal{F}_m$ is generated by $\{\xi_+(f), \xi_-(f), f \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)\}$. Elementary algebra using (1.1), (1.2) and $\sim$-invariance of m yields

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_+(f) - \xi_+(\tilde{f}))^2 = \mathbb{E}(\xi_-(f) + \xi_-(\tilde{f}))^2 = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where $\tilde{f}(x) = f(\tilde{x})$. Define

$$\xi(f) = \xi_+(f) + i\xi_-(f).$$

Clearly, ξ is a linear map satisfying (a) and (c). Furthermore

$$\mathbb{E}\,\overline{\xi(f)}\,\xi(g) = K_+(f,g) + K_-(f,g) = \int f(x)g(x)dm(x)$$

proving (b). Property (d) is immediate. ■

Corollary 1.2 Let $\{\xi(f), f \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)\}$ be as in Theorem 1.1. For any f in the complex Hilbert space $L^2(m)$ with $f = f_1 + if_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are respectively the real and imaginary parts of f, let $\xi(f) = \xi(f_1) + i\xi(f_2)$. Then $\{\xi(f), f \in L^2(m)\}$ satisfies the following:

(a) The correspondence $f \to \xi(f)$ is complex linear.

(b) For each $f, \xi(f)$ is a complex-valued Gaussian random variable of mean 0.

(c) $\mathbb{E}\,\overline{\xi(f)}\,\xi(g) = \int \overline{f}(x)g(x)dm(x)$.

(d) If $\tilde{f}(x) \equiv \overline{f(\tilde{x})}$, then $\xi(\tilde{f}) = \overline{\xi(f)}$.

(e) $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(f)} = \exp\,\frac{1}{2}\int f(\tilde{x})f(x)dm(x)$.

Proof: The first four parts (a) - (d) are immediate from Theorem 1.1. The last part follows from the $\sim$-invariance of m and the relation

$$\xi(f) = \xi_+(f_1) + i\xi_+(f_2) + i(\xi_-(f_1) + i\xi_-(f_2))$$

where ξ_+ and ξ_- are the independent real Gaussian random fields over $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(m)$ with respective covariance kernels K_+ and K_- in the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

Remark 1.3 In Corollary 1.2 define the normalised exponential random variable $e_\xi(f)$ by

$$e_\xi(f) = \exp(\xi(f) - \frac{1}{2}\int f(\tilde{x})f(x)dm(x)) \tag{1.4}$$

for $f \in L^2(m)$. Then $\{e_\xi(f), f \in L^2(m)\}$ is a linearly independent and total set in $L^2(P_m)$. Furthermore

$$\mathbb{E}\,\overline{e_\xi(f)}\,e_\xi(g) = \exp\int \overline{f(x)}\,g(x)dm(x), \tag{1.5}$$

$$e_\xi(f)e_\xi(g) = e_\xi(f+g)\exp\int f(\tilde{x})g(x)dm(x) \tag{1.6}$$

for all $f, g \in L^2(m)$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{E}_\xi \subset L^2(P_m)$ the dense linear manifold generated by $\{e_\xi(f), f \in L^2(m)\}$. Then (1.6) implies that $\mathcal{E}_\xi$ is an algebra of random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_m, P_m)$. Owing to property (d) in Corollary 1.2 we may call ξ an *involutive Gaussian random field.*

From now on we assume that $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$ is a separable, nonatomic and σ-finite measure space. Our aim is to identify $L^2(P_m)$ in Theorem 1.1 with $L^2(m_\Gamma)$ where m_Γ is the symmetric measure of Guichardet [Gui] in the space $\Gamma(X)$ of all finite subsets of X, constructed from m. We denote the Guichardet symmetric measure space by $(\Gamma(X), \mathcal{F}_\Gamma, m_\Gamma)$ so that integration with respect to m_Γ is determined by

$$\int_{\Gamma(x)} f(\sigma)dm_\Gamma(\sigma) = f(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\int f(\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\})m(dx_1)\cdots m(dx_n) \tag{1.7}$$

for any $f \in L^1(m_\Gamma)$ where, on the right hand side, $f(\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\})$ is viewed as a symmetric measurable function of n variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ with all the $x_i's$ distinct. It is to be noted that the n-fold product of the nonatomic measure m has its support

in the subset $\{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) : x_i \in X \text{ and } x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$. Denote by $\Gamma^n(X)$ the n-fold cartesian product of $\Gamma(X)$ and by $\Gamma^{(n)}(X) \subset \Gamma^n(X)$ the subset

$$\{\underline{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n) | \sigma_i \in \Gamma(X), \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset \text{ if } i \neq j\}.$$

Then the product measure m_Γ^n satisfies $m_\Gamma^n(\Gamma^n(X) \backslash \Gamma^{(n)}(X)) = 0$. For simplicity we write $d\sigma = dm_\Gamma(\sigma)$ in $\Gamma(X)$. If $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n$ are disjoint elements of $\Gamma(X)$ we write $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_n$ or $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ to denote $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$. Then one has the following Maassen's sum-integral formula for $f \in L^1(m_\Gamma^n)$:

$$\int_{\Gamma^{(n)}(X)} f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n) d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 ... d\sigma_n = \int_{\Gamma(X)} \{ \sum_{\sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_n = \sigma} f(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n)\} d\sigma. \quad (1.8)$$

For a proof see [Mey], [Li P]. Following [Maa] we introduce the space $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X, m, \sim) \subset L^2(m_\Gamma)$ of Massen kernels:

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \{f | \int a^{\#\sigma} |f(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma < \infty \ \forall \ a > 1\}. \quad (1.9)$$

The Lindsay-Maassen twisted convolution $f * g$ between any two Maassen kernels f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \sigma} \int f(\sigma_1 + \tilde{\omega}) g(\omega + \sigma_2) d\omega \quad (1.10)$$

where the summation on the right hand side is over all partitions of σ into a pair σ_1, σ_2 of subsets (which can be empty). Then $f * g \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and satisfies the inequality

$$\int |a^{\#\sigma}(f * g)(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma \leq \int |(a\sqrt{3})^{\#\sigma} f(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma \cdot \int |(a\sqrt{3})^{\#\sigma} g(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma \text{ for all } a \geq 1. \quad (1.11)$$

For a proof see Proposition 3.2 in [Li P]. The $\sim$ - invariance of m implies the invariance of the associated Guichardet measure m_Γ on $\Gamma(X)$ under the involution transformation $\omega \to \tilde{\omega} = \{\tilde{x} | x \in \omega\}$ and hence it is clear from (1.10) that $f * g = g * f$. It follows from the sum-integral formula (1.8) that $*$ is even associative. This will also follow from our Theorem 1.4. Thus $\mathcal{K}(X)$ becomes a commutative and associative algebra equipped with the involution $f \to \tilde{f}$ where $\tilde{f}(\sigma) = \overline{f(\tilde{\sigma})}$. A simple computation shows that $(f * g)^\sim = \tilde{f} * \tilde{g}$.

For any $\varphi \in L^2(m)$ define the associated exponential kernel $e(\varphi) \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ by

$$e(\varphi)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset, \\ \prod_{x \in \sigma} \varphi(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

Then

$$e(\varphi) * e(\psi) = e(\varphi + \psi) \exp \int \varphi(\tilde{x}) \psi(x) dm(x), \quad (1.13)$$

$$e(\varphi)^{\sim} = e(\tilde{\varphi}). \tag{1.14}$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(m)$. The set $E = \{e(\varphi), \varphi \in L^2(m)\}$ is linearly independent and total in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$. The linear manifold $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}(X)$ generated by E is an involutive subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}(X)$. A comparison of (1.12) - (1.14) with (1.4) - (1.6) leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4: Let ξ be the complex Gaussian random field over $L^2(m)$ in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_m, P_m)$ satisfying the properties (a) - (e) of Corollary 1.2 and property (d) of Theorem 1.1. Then there exists a unique unitary isomorphism $V : L^2(P_m) \to L^2(m_\Gamma)$ satisfying the following:

(a) $V\, e_\xi(\varphi) = e(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in L^2(m)$;

(b) $V\bar{g} = (Vg)^{\sim}$ for all $g \in L^2(P_m)$;

(c) $V(e_\xi(\varphi)e_\xi(\psi)) = e(\varphi) * e(\psi)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(m)$;

where $e_\xi(\varphi)$ and $e(\varphi)$ are defined by (1.4) and (1.12) respectively.

Proof: First observe that $\langle e(\varphi), e(\psi)\rangle = \exp\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle = \langle e_\xi(\varphi), e_\xi(\psi)\rangle$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(m)$. The totality of $\mathcal{E}_\xi$ in $L^2(P_m)$ and $\mathcal{E}$ in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$ yields the existence of a unique unitary operator V satisfying (a). Now (b) and (c) are immediate. ■

Remark 1.5: The map V^{-1} identifies the Lindsay-Maassen twisted convolution algebra $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X, m, \sim)$ with the ordinary multiplication algebra of random variables on a Gaussian random field ξ satisfying the involutive property $\xi(\tilde{\varphi}) = \overline{\xi(\varphi)}, \varphi \in L^2(m)$. The involution $\sim$ of $\mathcal{K}(X, m, \sim)$ is then carried over to the complex conjugation of random variables.

We now describe a topology on $\mathcal{K}(X)$.To this end consider the selfadjoint number operator N in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$ defined by

$$(Nf)(\sigma) = (\#\sigma)f(\sigma), \quad f \in L^2(m_\Gamma)$$

with maximal domain. Define

$$\|f\|^{(a)} = \|a^N f\|, \quad a > 1, \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(X). \tag{1.15}$$

With the family $\{\|\cdot\|^{(a)}, \ a > 1\}$ of norms $\mathcal{K}(X)$ becomes a topological vector space.

Theorem 1.6 The twisted convolution operator $*$ is continuous. The subalgebra $\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}(X)$.

Proof: By Proposition 3.2 in [Li P] we have the inequality

$$\|a^N f * g\| \leq \|(a\sqrt{3})^N f\| \, \|(a\sqrt{3})^N g\| \text{ for all } f, g \in \mathcal{K}(X), a > 1.$$

If $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\|f_n - f\|^{(a)} + \|g_n - g\|^{(a)}) = 0$ for every $a > 1$ then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|a^N(f_n * g_n - f * g)\| &\leq \|a^N((f_n - f) * g_n)\| + \|a^N(f * (g_n - g))\| \\ &\leq \|(a\sqrt{3})^N(f_n - f)\| \, \|(a\sqrt{3})^N g_n\| + \|(a\sqrt{3})^N f\| \, \|(a\sqrt{3})^N(g_n - g)\|\end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|a^N(f_n * g_n - f * g\| = 0.$$

This proves the first part.

To prove the second part consider an element $(f, a^N f)$ in the graph of the operator a^N with $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. Suppose that this element is orthogonal to every element of the form $(e(\varphi), a^N e(\varphi))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (e(\varphi), a^N e(\varphi)),\ (f, a^N f)\rangle &= \langle e(\varphi), f\rangle + \langle e(\varphi), a^{2N} f\rangle \\ &= \langle e(\varphi), f + a^{2N} f\rangle \\ &= 0 \text{ for all } \ \varphi \in L^2(m).\end{aligned}$$

The totality of exponential kernels implies that $f + a^{2N} f = 0$. Since the spectrum of N is $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ it follows that $f = 0$. This enables us to conclude that for any fixed $a > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ there exists a $g \in \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\|g - f\| + \|a^N g - a^N f\| < \varepsilon.$$

Choose $a = n, \varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ and denote the corresponding g by g_n. Since a^N is monotonic increasing in a for $a > 1$ it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|g_n - f\| + \|a^N g_n - a^N f\| = 0 \text{ for every } a > 1.$$

■

2 Weighted and twisted convolution of Maassen kernels

Following Lindsay and Parthasarathy [Li P] we shall now investigate deformations of the twisted convolution operator $*$ in (1.10) by introducing a *weight function* or *multiplier* p inside the integral on the right hand side of (1.10). To this end we introduce the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of all complex-valued bounded measurable functions defined on $\Gamma^{(3)}(X)$ and call any element $p \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ a multiplier. Thus p is a function of three arguments $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ which are disjoint finite subsets of X. For any two Maassen kernels $f, g \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and any multiplier $p \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ define the *weighted* and *twisted convolution* $f *_p g$ by

$$(f *_p g)(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\sigma} \int p(\omega, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) f(\sigma_1 + \tilde{\omega}) g(\omega + \sigma_2) d\omega \tag{2.1}$$

where $d\omega = dm_\Gamma(\omega)$ as in Section 1. It is to be noted that for any fixed $\sigma \in \Gamma(X)$, the complement of the set $\{\omega | \omega \cap \sigma = \emptyset\}$ in $\Gamma(X)$ has m_Γ - measure 0.

Proposition 2.1 In the Hilbert space $L^2(m_\Gamma)$, for any $p \in \mathcal{M}(X), f, g \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\|a^N f *_p g\| \leq \sup\ |p|\ \|(a\sqrt{3})^N f\|\ \|(a\sqrt{3})^N g\| \text{ for all } \ a > 1. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is closed under the multiplication operation $*_p$.

Proof: This is the same as the first part of Proposition 3.2 in [Li P]. ■

The next proposition is a twisted and weighted version of the Wiener product for Maassen kernels. (See IV.4.1 in [Mey]).

Theorem 2.2 For any multiplier p and Maassen kernel f define the operator $B_p(f)$ in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$ with domain $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and

$$B_p(f)g = f *_p g$$

where the right hand side is given by (2.1). Then, for any given $f_i \in \mathcal{K}(X), p_j \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}(B_{p_1}(f_1)B_{p_2}(f_2)\cdots B_{p_{n-1}}(f_{n-1})f_n)(\delta)\\ &= \sum_{\Sigma\delta_i=\delta} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_k((\sum_{i<k}\sigma_{ik}) + \delta_k + \sum_{j>k}\tilde{\sigma}_{kj})\\ &\times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} p_\ell(\sum_{j>\ell}\sigma_{\ell j}, (\sum_{i<\ell}\sigma_{i\ell}) + \delta_\ell, (\sum_{i<\ell<j}\sigma_{ij}) + \sum_{j>\ell}\delta_j)\\ &\times \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} d\sigma_{ij} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where all the sets $\sigma_{ij}, \delta_k, 1 \le i,j,k \le n$, $i<j$ are disjoint and the indices k, ℓ are kept fixed under the Σ-signs inside f_k and p_ℓ.

Proof When $n = 2$, (2.3) is same as (2.1) if we put $\sigma_{12} = \omega$, $\delta_1 = \sigma_1, \delta_2 = \sigma_2$. We prove (2.3) inductively. Assume (2.3) for n. To prove the same for $n+1$ put $g_n = f_n *_{p_n} f_{n+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(B_{p_1}(f_1)...B_{p_n}(f_n)f_{n+1})(\delta) &= (B_{p_1}(f_1)\cdots B_{p_{n-1}}(f_{n-1})g_n)(\delta)\\ &= \sum_{\Sigma\delta_i=\delta} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k((\sum_{i<k}\sigma_{ik}) + \delta_k + \sum_{j>k}\tilde{\sigma}_{kj})\\ &\times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} p_\ell(\sum_{j>\ell}\sigma_{\ell j}, (\sum_{i<\ell}\sigma_{i\ell}) + \delta_\ell, (\sum_{i<\ell<j}\sigma_{ij}) + \sum_{j>\ell}\delta_j)\\ &\times \sum_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2=(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sigma_{in})+\delta_n} p_n(\sigma_{n\,n+1}, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) f_n(\varepsilon_1 + \tilde{\sigma}_{n\,n+1}) f_{n+1}(\sigma_{n\,n+1} + \varepsilon_2)\\ &\times \left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} d\sigma_{ij}\right) d\sigma_{n\,n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Introduce new disjoint set variables $\sigma'_{in}, \sigma'_{in+1}, \delta'_n, \delta'_{n+1}, 1 \le i \le n-1$ by putting

$$\sigma'_{in} = \varepsilon_1 \cap \sigma_{in}, \quad \sigma'_{i\,n+1} = \varepsilon_2 \cap \sigma_{in},$$

$$\delta'_n = \varepsilon_1 \cap \delta_n, \quad \delta'_{n+1} = \varepsilon_2 \cap \delta_n.$$

Then $\sigma'_{in} + \sigma'_{i\,n+1} = \sigma_{in} \cap (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) = \sigma_{in}$ and $\delta'_n + \delta'_{n+1} = \delta_n \cap (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) = \delta_n$. Substituting these new variables in (2.4) and using the sum-integral formula (1.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(B_{p_1}(f_1)\cdots B_{p_n}(f_n)f_{n+1})(\delta) = \\
&= \sum_{(\Sigma_{i=1}^{n-1}\delta_i)+\delta'_n+\delta'_{n+1}=\delta} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k(\sum_{i<k}\sigma_{ik} + \delta_k + \sum_{k<j\le n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{kj} + \tilde{\sigma}'_{kn} + \tilde{\sigma}'_{k\,n+1}) \\
&\times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} p_\ell((\sum_{\ell<j\le n-1}\sigma_{\ell j}) + \sigma'_{\ell n} + \sigma'_{\ell n+1}, (\sum_{i<\ell}\sigma_{i\ell}) + \delta_\ell, \\
&\sum_{i<\ell<j\le n-1}\sigma_{ij} + \sum_{i<l}(\sigma'_{in} + \sigma'_{in+1}) + \sum_{\ell\le j\le n-1}\delta_j + \delta'_n + \delta'_{n+1}) \\
&\times f_n(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sigma'_{in} + \delta'_n + \tilde{\sigma}_{n\,n+1})f_{n+1}(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sigma'_{i\,n+1} + \sigma_{n\,n+1} + \delta'_{n+1}) \\
&\times p_n(\sigma_{n\,n+1}, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sigma'_{in} + \delta'_n, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sigma'_{i\,n+1} + \delta'_{n+1}) \\
&\times (\prod_{1\le i<j\le n-1} d\sigma_{ij})(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} d\sigma'_{in} d\sigma'_{i\,n+1}) d\sigma_{n\,n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we now drop the primes $'$ in the expression above it is the same as (2.3) with n replaced by $n+1$. ■

Proposition 2.3 For any $f, g, h \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and $p \in \mathcal{M}(X)$

$$\langle f, g *_p h\rangle = \langle \tilde{g} *_q f, h\rangle$$

where $\tilde{g}(\sigma) = \overline{g(\tilde{\sigma})}$ and $q(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \overline{p(\sigma_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_3)}$.

Proof: By (2.1) and an application of (1.8) twice for the case $n = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, g *_p h\rangle &= \int \bar{f}(\sigma)\{\sum_{\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\sigma} \int p(\omega, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) g(\sigma_1 + \tilde{\omega}) h(\omega + \sigma_2) d\omega\} d\sigma \\
&= \int \bar{f}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) p(\omega, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) g(\sigma_1 + \tilde{\omega}) h(\omega + \sigma_2) d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 d\omega
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int \{ \sum_{\omega+\sigma_2=\gamma} \int \bar{q}(\sigma_1,\omega,\sigma_2)\tilde{g}(\omega+\tilde{\sigma}_1)\bar{f}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)d\sigma_1\} h(\gamma)d\gamma$$
$$= \langle \tilde{g} *_q f, h\rangle.$$

■

Corollary 2.4 For any multiplier p and Maassen kernel f the operators $B_p(f)$ and $B_q(\tilde{f})$ are adjoint to each other on the domain $\mathcal{K}(X)$, where $q(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3) = \overline{p(\sigma_2,\sigma_1,\sigma_3)}$.

Proof : Immediate . ■

Proposition 2.5 Let $f_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ be Maassen kernels. Then

$$|(f_1 * f_2 * \cdots * f_k)(\emptyset)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|(k-1)^{N/2} f_i\|.$$

Proof: When $k = 2, (f_1 * f_2)(\emptyset) = \langle f_1, f_2\rangle$ and hence the required inequality coincides with Schwarz's inequality. To deal with the general case introduce the operation A by

$$(Af)(\sigma) = \int f(\sigma+\omega)d\omega.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.2, putting $p_i = 1$ for all i and $n = k$ we get from a repeated application of Schwartz's inequality in the integrating variables $\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{13}, ..., \sigma_{1n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f_1 * \cdots * f_k(\emptyset)| \\
&= |\int f_1(\tilde{\sigma}_{12}+\tilde{\sigma}_{13}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{1k}) f_2(\sigma_{12}+\tilde{\sigma}_{23}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{2k}) \\
&\quad \cdots f_k(\sigma_{1k}+\sigma_{2k}+\cdots+\sigma_{k-1\,k}) d\sigma_{1k}\cdots d\sigma_{k-1\,k}| \\
&\leq \int (A|f_1|^2)^{1/2}(\tilde{\sigma}_{13}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{1k})(A|f_2|^2)^{1/2}(\tilde{\sigma}_{23}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{2k}) \\
&\quad \times |f_3|(\sigma_{13}+\sigma_{23}+\tilde{\sigma}_{34}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{3k}) \\
&\quad \cdots |f_k|(\sigma_{1k}+\sigma_{2k}+\cdots+\sigma_{k-1\,k}) \prod_{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq n \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}} d\sigma_{ij} \\
&\leq \int (A^2|f_1|^2)^{1/2}(\tilde{\sigma}_{14}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{1k})(A|f_2|^2)^{1/2}(\tilde{\sigma}_{23}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{2k}) \\
&\quad \times (A|f_3|^2)^{1/2}(\sigma_{23}+\tilde{\sigma}_{34}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{3k})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \quad |f_4|(\sigma_{14}+\sigma_{24}+\sigma_{34}+\tilde{\sigma}_{45}+\cdots+\tilde{\sigma}_{4k})\cdots|f_k|(\sigma_{1k}+\cdots+\sigma_{k-1\,k})$$
$$\times \quad \prod_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n\\ (i,j)\notin\{(1,2),(1,3)\}}} d\sigma_{ij}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$\le \left(\int (A^{k-2}|f_1|^2)(\sigma)d\sigma\right)^{1/2}\{(A|f_2|^2)^{1/2} * (A|f_3|^2)^{1/2} * \cdots * (A|f_k|^2)^{1/2}(\emptyset)\}.$$

A repeated application of the inequality above yields

$$|f_1 * \cdots * f_k(\emptyset)| \le \prod_{j=1}^{k}\{\int (A^{k-2}|f_j|^2)(\sigma)d\sigma\}^{1/2}. \tag{2.5}$$

For any Maassen kernel f we have from (1.8)

$$\begin{aligned}\int (A^k|f|^2)(\sigma)d\sigma &= \int |f|^2(\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\cdots+\sigma_{k+1})d\sigma_1\cdots d\sigma_{k+1}\\ &= \int \sum_{\sigma_1+\cdots+\sigma_{k+1}=\sigma} |f|^2(\sigma)d\sigma\\ &= \int (k+1)^{\#\sigma}|f|^2(\sigma)d\sigma\\ &= \|(k+1)^{N/2}f\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now the proposition follows from (2.5). ■

Proposition 2.6 Let $f, f_i, 1 \le i \le k$ be Maassen kernels and let $p_i, 1 \le i \le k$ be multipliers. Then

$$\|B_{p_1}(f_1)\cdots B_{p_k}(f_k)f\| \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}(\sup|p_i|)\|(2k+1)^{N/2}f_i\|\right)\|(2k+1)^{N/2}f\|.$$

Proof: From Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\|B_{p_1}(f_1)\cdots B_{p_k}(f_k)f\| \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\sup|p_i|\right)\|\,|f_1| * \cdots * |f_n| * |f|\,\|. \tag{2.6}$$

From the $\sim$ - invariance of m_Γ we have for any Maassen kernel g

$$\|g\|^2 = (g * \tilde{g})(\emptyset).$$

By Proposition 2.5 and commutativity of the operation $*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \, |f_1| * \cdots * |f_k| * |f| \, \|^2 \\
&\quad = (|f_1| * |f_1|^{\sim} * |f_2| * |f_2|^{\sim} * \cdots * |f_k| * |f_k|^{\sim} * |f| * |f|^{\sim})(\emptyset) \\
&\quad \leq \{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \|(2k+1)^{N/2}(|f_j|)\|\}^2 \, \|(2k+1)^{N/2}(|f|)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.6) and Proposition 2.1 with $p = 1$ we now have

$$\|B_{p_1}(f_1) \cdots B_{p_k}(f_k) f\|^2 \leq \{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \sup \, |p_i|^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|(2k+1)^{N/2} f_i\|^2\} \|(2k+1)^{N/2} f\|^2.$$

■

Corollary 2.7 Let $f, f_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ be Maassen kernels and let $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ be multipliers. Then, for any $a \geq 1$,

$$\|a^N B_{p_1}(f_1) \cdots B_{p_k}(f_k) f\| \leq (\prod_{i=1}^{k} (\sup \, |p_i|) \|(a\sqrt{2k+1})^N f_i\|) \|(a\sqrt{2k+1})^N f\|.$$

Proof: From (2.3) it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|a^N B_{p_1}(f_1) \cdots B_{p_{k-1}}(f_{k-1}) f|(\delta) \\
&\qquad \leq (\prod_{i=1}^{k} \sup \, |p_i|) \, (a^N |f_1|) * \cdots * (a^N |f_k|) * (a^N |f|)(\delta)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $a \geq 1$. The required inequality is immediate from Proposition 2.6. ■

Proposition 2.8: Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ have support in $\{\sigma | \#\sigma = 1\}$. Define the symmetric operator $A(f)$ with domain $\mathcal{K}(X)$ by $A(f) = B_p(f) + B_q(\tilde{f})$ where p and q are as in Corollary 2.4. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ is such that either it has support in $\{\sigma | \#\sigma \leq n\}$ for some positive integer n or $g \in \mathcal{E}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|A(f)^k g\|}{k!} < \infty.$$

Proof: Let g be an n-particle element in $\mathcal{K}(X)$, in the sense that its support is contained in $\{\sigma | \#\sigma = n\}$. It follows from Corollary 2.7 that

$$\|A(f)^k g\| \leq (2 \sup \, |p|)^k (2k+1)^{\frac{k+n}{2}} \|f\|^k \|g\|$$

for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ On the other hand, if $g = e(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in L^2(m)$, we have

$$\|A(f)^k g\| \leq (2 \sup |p|)^k (2k+1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \|f\|^k e^{\frac{2k+1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}.$$

Thus, in either case,

$$\|A(f)^k g\| \leq C^k \, k^{\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

for some positive constant C. Now the required result follows from Stirling's formula. ■

Remark: The symmetric operator $A(f)$ of Proposition 2.8 is essentially selfadjoint on the domain of finite particle vectors as well as the exponential domain $\mathcal{E}$.

3 Covariance properties of the family $\{B_p(f)\}$ under a group action

Let $(X, m, \sim)$ be a nonatomic, separable and σ-finite measure space equipped with an m-preserving involution as in Section 2. Suppose G is a group of transformations acting as measurable automorphisms of X, leaving m quasi-invariant and satisfying the relation $g\tilde{x} = (gx)^\sim$ for all $x \in X$. Let

$$\rho(g, x) = \{\frac{dm}{dmg}(x)\}^{1/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\alpha = \alpha(g, x)$ be a measurable complex-valued 1-cocycle of unit modulus in the sense of Mackey [Mac] for the G-action with quasi-invariant measure m. Then

$$\alpha(g_1 g_2, x) = \alpha(g_1, g_2 x)\alpha(g_2, x) \text{ a.e. } x(m) \tag{3.2}$$

for each $g_1, g_2 \in G$. We assume that

$$\alpha(g, \tilde{x}) \equiv \overline{\alpha(g, x)}, \quad g \in G, \quad x \in X. \tag{3.3}$$

Extend the G-action to $\Gamma(X)$ by putting

$$g\sigma = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset, \\ \{gx, x \in \sigma\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the Guichardet measure m_Γ is quasi-invariant under the extended G action on $\Gamma(X)$ and

$$\rho(g, \sigma) := \left\{ \left(\frac{dm_\Gamma}{dm_\Gamma g} \right)(\sigma) \right\}^{1/2} \tag{3.4}$$

is given by

$$\rho(g, \sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset, \\ \prod_{x \in \sigma} \rho(g, x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Define $\alpha(g,\sigma)$ by

$$\alpha(g,\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset, \\ \prod_{x\in\sigma} \alpha(g,x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Then we have the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(g,\sigma_1+\sigma_2) &= \alpha(g,\sigma_1)\alpha(g,\sigma_2), \\ \alpha(g_1g_2,\sigma) &= \alpha(g_1,g_2\sigma)\alpha(g_2,\sigma), \\ \alpha(g,\tilde{\sigma}) &= \overline{\alpha(g,\sigma)}, \\ \rho(g,\sigma_1+\sigma_2) &= \rho(g,\sigma_1)\rho(g,\sigma_2), \\ \rho(g_1g_2,\sigma) &= \rho(g_1,g_2\sigma)\rho(g_2,\sigma), \\ \rho(g,\tilde{\sigma}) &= \rho(g,\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the unitary representation $g \to U_g$ of G in $L^2(m)$ defined by

$$(U_g f)(x) = \alpha(g,g^{-1}x)\rho(g,g^{-1}x)f(g^{-1}x), \quad f \in L^2(m) \tag{3.7}$$

and its second quantization $g \to \Gamma(U_g)$ defined by

$$(\Gamma(U_g)h)(\sigma) = \alpha(g,g^{-1}\sigma)\rho(g,g^{-1}\sigma)h(g^{-1}\sigma), h \in L^2(m_\Gamma) \tag{3.8}$$

where $\rho(g,\sigma)$ and $\alpha(g,\sigma)$ are given by (3.5) and (3.6). Then $g \to \Gamma(U_g)$ is a unitary representation of G in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$.

Theorem 3.1: Let $p \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and let $\{B_p(f), f \in \mathcal{K}(X)\}$ be defined as in Theorem 2.2. Then $\Gamma(U_g)$ leaves $\mathcal{K}(X)$ invariant and

$$\Gamma(U_g)B_p(f)\Gamma(U_g)^{-1}h = B_{pg^{-1}}(\Gamma(U_g)f)h$$

for all $f,h \in \mathcal{K}(X), g \in G$, where

$$pg^{-1}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3) \equiv p(g^{-1}\sigma_1, g^{-1}\sigma_2, g^{-1}\sigma_3).$$

Proof: Straightforward substitution from (3.7) and (3.8) using (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Gamma(U_g)B_p(f)\Gamma(U_g)^{-1}h)(\sigma) \\ &\quad = \alpha(g,g^{-1}\sigma)\rho(g,g^{-1}\sigma) \sum_{\sigma_1+\sigma_2=g^{-1}\sigma} \int p(\omega,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\alpha(g^{-1},g(\omega+\sigma_2)) \\ &\qquad \times \rho(g^{-1},g(\omega+\sigma_2)) \; f(\sigma_1+\tilde{\omega})h(g(\omega+\sigma_2))d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\delta_i = g\sigma_i, g\omega = \omega'$ and using the relations satisfied by α and ρ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Gamma(U_g)B_p(f)\Gamma(U_g)^{-1}h)(\sigma) \\
&= \sum_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\sigma} \int pg^{-1}(\omega', \delta_1, \delta_2)\alpha(g, g^{-1}\delta_1)\rho(g, g^{-1}\delta_1)f(g^{-1}(\delta_1 + \tilde{\omega}')) \\
&\qquad \times \ h(\omega' + \delta_2)\alpha(g^{-1}, \omega')\rho(g^{-1}, \omega')^{-1}d\omega' \\
&= \sum_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\sigma} \int pg^{-1}(\omega', \delta_1, \delta_2)\alpha(g, g^{-1}(\delta_1 + \tilde{\omega}'))\rho(g, g^{-1}(\delta_1 + \tilde{\omega}'))f(g^{-1}(\delta_1 + \tilde{\omega}')) \\
&\qquad \times h(\omega' + \delta_2)d\omega' \\
&= (B_{pg^{-1}}(\Gamma(U_g)f)h)(\sigma). \qquad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

4 Construction of self-similar operator fields

We shall now describe how the covariance property of the operator fields $B_p(\cdot)$ under the group action G can be exploited to construct a family of simultaneously self-similar fields. To this end consider a topological vector space $\mathcal{S}$ equipped with a homomorphism $g \to \pi(g)$ of the group G into the group of all bicontinuous linear isomorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_G(X) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ be the subset of all G-invariant multipliers and let $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_G(X)$ be a fixed subset. Suppose that for every $p \in \mathcal{M}_0$ there exists a continuous linear map $L_p : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{K}(X)$ satisfying the relation

$$L_p\, \pi(g)\varphi = \tau_p(g)\Gamma(U_g)L_p\, \varphi, \ \ p \in \mathcal{M}_0, \ \ g \in G, \ \ \varphi \in \mathcal{S} \tag{4.1}$$

where τ_p is a homomorphism from G into the multiplicative group of all nonzero real scalars and $\Gamma(U_g)$ is defined by (3.8). Recall that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is equipped with the topology induced by the family of norms given by (1.15). Define the operators $A_p(\varphi), A_p^\dagger(\varphi), \ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ by

$$A_p(\varphi) = B_p(L_p\varphi), \ \ A_p^\dagger(\varphi) = B_{\tilde{p}}((L_p\varphi)^\sim), p \in \mathcal{M}_0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S} \tag{4.2}$$

where $B_p(\cdot)$ is as in Theorem 2.2 and $\tilde{p}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \overline{p(\sigma_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_3)}$. From Corollary 2.4 we know that $A_p(\varphi)$ and $A_p^\dagger(\varphi)$ are adjoint to each other on the domain $\mathcal{K}(X)$. With these notations we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1: For any $p \in \mathcal{M}_0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ let $A_p^\#(\varphi)$ denote either of the operators $A_p(\varphi), A_p^\dagger(\varphi)$ defined by (4.2). Then the following holds:

(i) For any fixed Maassen kernel f and multipliers $p_i \in \mathcal{M}_0, \ \ 1 \le i \le n$ the correspondence $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_n) \to A_{p_1}^\#(\varphi_1)A_{p_2}^\#(\varphi_2)...A_{p_n}^\#(\varphi_n)f$ from $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}$ (n-fold) into $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is real multilinear and continuous;

(ii) If $\delta_\emptyset$ denotes the Maassen kernel defined by $\delta_\emptyset(\sigma) = 0$ or 1 according as $\sigma = \emptyset$

or $\neq \emptyset$ then

$$\langle \delta_\emptyset , A^{\#}_{p_1}(\pi(g)\varphi_1)A^{\#}_{p_2}(\pi(g)\varphi_2)...A^{\#}_{p_n}(\pi(g)\varphi_n)\delta_\emptyset\rangle >$$
$$= \{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\tau_{p_i}(g)\}\langle \delta_\emptyset, A^{\#}_{p_1}(\varphi_1)A^{\#}_{p_2}(\varphi_2)...A^{\#}_{p_n}(\varphi_n)\delta_\emptyset\rangle$$

for all $g \in G$, $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $p_i \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

Proof: The first part is immediate from Corollary 2.7. To prove the second part observe that (4.1) and Theorem 3.1 together with the G-invariance of the p_i's imply

$$A^{\#}_{p_i}(\pi(g)\varphi_i) = \tau_{p_i}(g)\Gamma(U_g)A^{\#}_{p_i}(\varphi_i)\Gamma(U_g)^{-1}$$

and $\Gamma(U_g)\delta_\emptyset = \delta_\emptyset$. ■

Remark Property (ii) of the fields $\{A_p(\cdot), p \in \mathcal{M}_0\}$ may be interpreted as the simultaneous self-similarity of all their expectation values in the state $\delta_\emptyset$ where the self-similarity parameter for $A_p(\cdot)$ under the action of the group G is described by the homomorphism τ_p of G into the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.

We shall now illustrate Proposition 4.1 when $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Schwartz's space of rapidly decreasing C^∞ functions in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $G = \mathbb{R}^d o(0,\infty)$, the semidirect product of the additive group $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the multiplicative group of positive real scalars with the group operation

$$(x,a)(x',a') = (x + a^{-1}x', aa'), \quad x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad a, a' > 0.$$

Put $\tilde{x} = -x$ and define the measure m in X by

$$dm(x) = |x|^\mu \ h(\frac{x}{|x|})dx \tag{4.3}$$

where $|x|$ is the Euclidean norm of x and h is a nonnegative bounded measurable function on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $h(y) = h(-y), |y| = 1$. Then $(\mathbb{R}^d, m, \sim)$ is a nonatomic separable and σ-finite measure space with m-preserving involution. Define the G-action on this measure space by $(x,a)y = ay$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, a > 0$. Then

$$\rho(g,y) = \left\{\frac{dm}{dmg}(y)\right\}^{1/2} = a^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)} \text{ if } g = (x,a). \tag{4.4}$$

Define

$$\alpha((x,a),y) = e^{iax.y} \tag{4.5}$$

where $x \cdot y$ is the scalar product between x, y in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then α is a 1-cocycle of modulus unity for the G-action in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with quasi-invariant measure m given by (4.3) and

furthermore $\alpha((x,a),-y) = \overline{\alpha((x,a),y)}$. Following the notations in (3.5) - (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha((x,a),\sigma) &= \exp\ ia\, x\cdot \Sigma_{y\in\sigma} y,\\
\rho((x,a),\sigma) &= a^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)\#\sigma},\\
(U_{(x,a)}f)(y) &= e^{i\,x.y} a^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)} f(a^{-1}y), f\in L^2(m),\\
\{\Gamma(U_{(x,a)})g\}(\sigma) &= e^{ix\cdot\Sigma_{y\in\sigma}y} a^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)\#\sigma} g(a^{-1}\sigma),\ \ g\in L^2(m_\Gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(\pi(x,a)\varphi)(y) = \varphi(a(y-x)),\ \ (x,a)\in G,\ \ \varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

With these notations we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2: For each G-invariant multiplier $p\in\mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ let $L_p:\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^d,m,\sim)$ be a map satisfying

$$(L_p\varphi)(\sigma) = \hat{\varphi}(\sum_{y\in\sigma} y)F_p(\sigma), \sigma\in\Gamma(X)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of φ and F_p satisfies the relation

$$F_p(a\sigma) = a^{d-\beta_p-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)\#\sigma} F_p(\sigma),\ \ \sigma\in\Gamma(X), \tag{4.6}$$

β_p being a real scalar. Then

$$L_p\pi(x,a)\varphi = a^{-\beta_p}\,\Gamma(U_{(x,a)})L_p\varphi \tag{4.7}$$

for all $(x,a)\in G, \varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), p\in\mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof: We have

$$(\pi(x,a)\varphi)^\wedge(y) = e^{ix.y}a^{-d}\hat{\varphi}(a^{-1}y).$$

By the definition of L_p we obtain

$$(L_p\pi(x,a)\varphi)(\sigma) = a^{-d}e^{ix.\Sigma_{y\in\sigma}y}\hat{\varphi}(a^{-1}\sum_{y\in\sigma} y)F_p(\sigma).$$

Now (4.7) follows from (4.6) and the definition of $\Gamma(U_{(x,a)})$. ■

In order to construct functions $F_p, p\in\mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the properties of Proposition 4.2 we shall make use of the following inequality.

Proposition 4.3 (P. Major [Maj]) Let $\theta_i > 0,\ \ 1 \le i \le n,\ \ n \ge 2, \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n < d$. Then

$$\int_{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n=x} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^{\theta_i - d} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_{n-1}$$
$$\le C(\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_n)|x|^{\theta_1+\cdots+\theta_n - d} \text{ for all } x \in I\!R^d,$$

where $|x|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $I\!R^d, dx_j$ indicates integration with respect to the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure and $C(\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_n)$ is a positive constant.

Proof: This is done by straightforward induction in n. (For details see the proof of Proposition 6.3 in [Maj].). ■

Proposition 4.4 Let $r_j > 0, 1 \le j \le n, \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j < \frac{d}{2}, -\infty < \beta < d$ and let

$$G_n(x_1, x_2, .., x_n) = |x_1 + \cdots + x_n|^{d-\beta-\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |x_j|^{r_j - \frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)}, x_j \in I\!R^d.$$

Then the following holds:
(i) $G_n(ax_1, ax_2, ..., ax_n) = a^{d-\beta-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)n} G_n(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$.
(ii) For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(I\!R^d)$

$$\int |\hat{\varphi}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) G_n(x_1, ..., x_n)|^2 dm(x_1)...dm(x_n)$$
$$\le C \sup_x (1 + |x|^N)|\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2 \text{ for } N > 2(d - \beta)$$

where C is a constant independent of φ.

Proof (i) is immediate from definitions. To prove (ii) we denote by $C_1, C_2, ...$ constants independent of φ and observe that the boundedness of h in (4.3) together with Proposition 4.3 implies that, for $N > 2(d - \beta)$,

$$\int |\hat{\varphi}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) G_n(x_1, ..., x_n)|^2 \, dm(x_1)...dm(x_n)$$
$$\le C_1 \int |\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2 |x|^{2(d-\beta-\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j)} (\int_{x_1+\cdots+x_n=x} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |x_j|^{2r_j - d} dx_1 ... dx_{n-1}) dx$$
$$\le C_2 \int |\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2 \ |x|^{d-2\beta} dx$$
$$\le C_2 \sup_{|x|\le 1} |\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2 \int_{|x|\le 1} |x|^{d-2\beta} dx + C_2 \sup_{|x|>1} |x|^N |\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2 \int_{|x|>1} |x|^{d-2\beta-N} dx$$
$$\le C \sup_x (1 + |x|^N)|\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2.$$ ■

Proposition 4.5: For each G-invariant multiplier $p \in \mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ let F_p be a function on $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$$F_p(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset \\ c_p(n)\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_n} G_{n,p}(x_{\pi(1)}, ..., x_{\pi(n)}) & \text{if } \sigma = \{x_1, ..., x_n\} \end{cases}$$

where $c_p(n)$ is a scalar, $c_p(n) = 0$ for $n > n_p$,

$$G_{n,p}(x_1, ..., x_n) = |x_1 + \cdots + x_n|^{d-\beta_p - \sum_{j=1}^n r(j,n,p)} \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j|^{r(j,n,p) - \frac{1}{2}(\mu+d)},$$

$-\infty < \beta_p < d, r(j,n,p) > 0, \sum_{j=1}^n r(j,n,p) < \frac{d}{2}$ and $\mathcal{G}_n$ is the group of all permutations on the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Define the linear map L_p on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$(L_p\varphi)(\sigma) = \hat{\varphi}(\sum_{x \in \sigma} x) F_p(\sigma), \quad p \in \mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then the following are fulfilled:

(i) L_p is a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into the space $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^d, m, \sim)$ of all Maassen kernels, satisfying

$$\|(L_p\varphi)\| \leq C_p(N) \sup_x (1 + |x|^N)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{\varphi}(x)| \text{ for } N > 2(d - \beta_p).$$

(ii) $L_p\pi(x,a)\varphi = a^{-\beta_p}\Gamma(U_{(x,a)})L_p\varphi$ for all $(x,a) \in G, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(iii) If $A_p(\varphi), A_p^\dagger(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are defined by (4.2) then properties (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4.1 are fulfilled with

$$\tau_p((x,a)) = a^{-\beta_p} \text{ for all } (x,a) \in G, \quad p \in \mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Proof: This is immediate from Proposition 4.2 and 4.4. ■

Remark 4.6 Note that $p \in \mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ simply means that p is a bounded measurable function of disjoint triplets $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ of finite subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the identity $p(a\sigma_1, a\sigma_2, a\sigma_3) \equiv p(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ for all $a > 0$. Thus Proposition 4.5 yields explicit examples of families of simultaneously self-similar fields $\{A_p(\cdot), p \in \mathcal{M}_G(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ in the vacuum state $\delta_\emptyset$. If the measure m defined by (4.3) has the additional property that the function h on the unit sphere is a constant then the self-similarity property extends to the group of orthogonal transformations also. It follows from Proposition 4.5, Corollary 2.7 and the Schwartz's kernel theorem that the real multilinear functionals $\langle \delta_\emptyset, A_{p_1}^\#(\varphi_1)...A_{p_n}^\#(\varphi_n)\delta_\emptyset \rangle, (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are, indeed, restrictions of tempered distributions on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ with self-similarity property under the G-action: $(x,a)(y_1, ..., y_n) = (ay_1 + x, ..., ay_n + x)$. It should be interesting to find out, under

what conditions on p, the operators $A_p(\varphi) + A_p^\dagger(\varphi)$ are essentially selfadjoint on the domain $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.7 Consider the special case when $p \equiv 1$. Then for any two Maassen kernels $f, g, B_p(f)g = f * g$. By Theorem 1.4 the operators $A_p(\varphi) = A(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Proposition 4.5 can be identified through the unitary conjugation by V as multiplication operators by random variables on a Gaussian random field with involution. Then the example in Proposition 4.5 yields a self-similar classical random field subordinate to a Gaussian random field. This is just a translation of the construction by P. Major [Maj] in terms of Maassen kernels.

We now conclude our discussion with the construction of a self-similar Clifford field by choosing an appropriate weight p. To this end we begin with a general proposition concerning *one-particle Maassen kernels*, i.e., kernels with support in $\{\sigma | \#\sigma = 1\}$.

Proposition 4.8 Let $(X, m, \sim)$ be as in Section 3, $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and let q be a scalar. For any two one-particle Maassen kernels f, g, the operator $B_{p_1}(f)B_{p_2}(g) + qB_{p_2}(g)B_{p_1}(f)$ is also the operator of multiplication by a bounded measurable function b on $\Gamma(X)$ if, for any $x, y \in X, \sigma \in \Gamma(X)$ such that $x \neq y, \{x, y\} \cap \sigma = \emptyset$ the following four relations hold:

(i) $p_1(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma + \{y\})p_2(\emptyset, \{y\}, \sigma) + qp_1(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma)p_2(\emptyset, \{y\}, \sigma + \{x\}) = 0$;
(ii) $p_1(\{x\}, \emptyset, \sigma)p_2(\{y\}, \emptyset, \sigma + \{x\}) + qp_1(\{x\}, \emptyset, \sigma + \{y\})p_2(\{y\}, \emptyset, \sigma) = 0$;
(iii) $p_1(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma)p_2(\{y\}, \emptyset, \sigma) + qp_1(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma + \{y\})p_2(\{y\}, \emptyset, \sigma + \{x\}) = 0$;
(iv) $p_1(\{x\}, \emptyset, \sigma + \{y\})p_2(\emptyset, \{y\}, \sigma + \{x\}) + qp_1(\{x\}, \emptyset, \sigma)p_2(\emptyset, \{y\}, \sigma) = 0$.

In such a case b is given by

$$b(\sigma) = \int p_1(\{x\}, \emptyset, \sigma)p_2(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma) + qp_1(\emptyset, \{\tilde{x}\}, \sigma)p_2(\{\tilde{x}\}, \emptyset, \sigma)f(\tilde{x})g(x)dm(x).$$

Proof: This is a consequence of somewhat tedious but straightforward verification by using the definition of $B_p(\cdot)$ in Theorem 2.2 and (2.1). ■

Proposition 4.9: In Proposition 4.8 let $p_1 = p_2 = p$ and $q = 1$. Suppose that $p(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma) \equiv \overline{p(\{x\}, \emptyset, \sigma)}$ and

$$p(\emptyset, \{x\}, \sigma) = \prod_{y \in \sigma} k(x, y)$$

where $k(x, y)$ is a complex-valued function of modulus unity on $\{(x, y) : x \neq y\} \subset X \times X$ satisfying the relation

$$k(x, y) + k(y, x) \equiv 0.$$

Then, for any two one-particle Maassen kernels f, g, the following holds:

(i) $B_p(f)B_p(g) + B_p(g)B_p(f) = 2\int f(\tilde{x})g(x)dm(x)$;
(ii) $B_p^\dagger(f) = B_p(\tilde{f})$

where $f(x) \equiv f(\{x\})$.

Proof: (i) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.8 whereas (ii) follows from Corollary 2.4. ■

Remark 4.10 It is clear from Proposition 4.9 that $B_p(f)$ extends to a bounded operator in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$. Indeed,

$$B_p(f)B_p^\dagger(f) + B_p^\dagger(f)B_p(f) = 2\int |f(x)|^2 dm(x).$$

Thus the closure of the operators $B_p(f), f \in L^2(m)$ yields a Clifford field.

Remark 4.11: Choose $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{x} = -x$,

$$dm(x) = |x|^\mu \, h(\frac{x}{|x|})dx$$

where μ is a real scalar, h is a bounded, nonnegative and measurable function on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the multiplier p in Proposition 4.9 is chosen with

$$k(x,y) = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta} & \text{if } x > y\ , \\ -e^{-i\theta} & \text{if } x < y \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^d$ is equipped with the lexicographic order and θ is a fixed real scalar. Then p is invariant under the action of the group $G = \mathbb{R}^d o(0,\infty)$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(L_p\varphi)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \hat{\varphi}(x)|x|^{\frac{1}{2}(d-\mu)-\beta} & \text{if } \sigma = \{x\},\ \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ 0 & \text{if } \#\sigma \neq 1,. \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of φ and β is a real scalar satisfying $-\infty < \beta < d$. Let $A(\varphi)$ denote the closure of $B_p(L_p\varphi)$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.5, 4.9 and Remark 4.6, 4.10 that the family $\{A(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ satisfies the following:
(i) $A(\varphi)$ is a bounded operator in $L^2(m_\Gamma)$ and $A(\varphi)^* = A(\bar{\varphi})$;
(ii) $A(\varphi)A(\psi) + A(\psi)A(\varphi) = 2\int \hat{\varphi}(-x)\hat{\psi}(x)|x|^{d-2\beta}h(\frac{x}{|x|})dx$;
(iii) The correspondence $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n) \to A(\varphi_1)...A(\varphi_n)$ is strongly continuous;
(iv) $\langle \delta_\emptyset, A(\pi(x,a)\varphi_1)...A(\pi(x,a)\varphi_n)\delta_\emptyset\rangle = a^{-n\beta}\langle \delta_\emptyset, A(\varphi_1)...A(\varphi_n)\delta_\emptyset\rangle$, where

$$(\pi(x,a)\varphi)(y) = \varphi(a(y-x)),\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ a > 0.$$

Thus we have constructed a self-similar Clifford field with self-similarity parameter β. If β is varied in the interval $(-\infty, d)$ the fields constructed above are jointly self-similar. If, in addition, h is a constant then, in the vacuum state, the expectation values of the Clifford field thus constructed are invariant under the action of the orthogonal group.

References

[Li M 1] Lindsay, J.M., Maassen, H.: The stochastic calculus of bose noise, Preprint, 1988.

[Li M 2] Lindsay, J.M., Maassen, H.: An integral kernel approach to noise. In: Quantum Probability and Applications III (Proceedings, Oberwolfach 1987). Accardi, L., von Waldenfels, W. (eds). LNM 1303, pp. 192-208. Springer, Berlin 1988.

[Li P] Lindsay, J.M., Parthasarathy, K.R.: Cohomology of power sets with applications in quantum probability, Commun. Math. Phys. 124, 337-364 (1989).

[Maa] Maassen, H.: Quantum Markov processes on Fock space described by integral kernels. In: Quantum Probability and Applications II. Accardi, L., von Waldenfels, W. (edrs). LNM 1136, pp. 361-374, Springer, Berlin 1985.

[Mac] Mackey, G.W.: Induced Representations of Groups and Quantum Mechanics, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York 1968.

[Maj] Major, P.: Multiple Wiener-Itô Integrals, LNM 849, Springer, Berlin 1981.

[Mey] Meyer, P.: Quantum Probability for Probabilists, LNM 1538, Springer, Berlin 1993.

K.R. Parthasarathy
Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre,
7, S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg,
New Delhi - 110016, India
e-mail: krp@isid.ernet.in