

Astérisque

ISAO NAKAI

A rigidity theorem for transverse dynamics of real analytic foliations of codimension one

Astérisque, tome 222 (1994), p. 327-343

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1994__222__327_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A rigidity theorem for transverse dynamics of real analytic foliations of codimension one

Isao Nakai

The purpose of this paper is to prove

Theorem 1. *Let $(M_i^n, \mathcal{F}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be real analytic and orientable foliations of n -manifolds of codimension 1 and $h : (M_1^n, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (M_2^n, \mathcal{F}_2)$ a foliation preserving homeomorphism. Assume that all leaves of $\mathcal{F}_1$ are dense and there exists a leaf of $\mathcal{F}_1$ with holonomy group $\neq 1, \mathbb{Z}$. Then h is transversely real analytic.*

This applies to prove the following topological rigidity of the Godbillon-Vey class of real analytic foliations of codimension one.

Corollary 2. *Let $(M_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$, h be as in Theorem 1. Then $h^*(\text{GV}(\mathcal{F}_2)) = \text{GV}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ holds.*

Here $\text{GV}(\mathcal{F}_i) \in H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the Godbillon-Vey class of $\mathcal{F}_i$, which is represented by the 3-form $\alpha \wedge d\alpha$ with a C^∞ -1-form α on M such that $d\theta = \theta \wedge \alpha$ holds with a C^∞ -1-form θ defining $\mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that the Godbillon-Vey class is invariant under C^2 -diffeomorphisms. Ghys, Tsuboi [9] and Raby [18] proved the invariance under C^1 -diffeomorphisms, while the invariance is known to fail in some C^0 -cases (see [5, 9, 11]). (Corollary 2 seems to admit the various generalisations allowing the existence of compact leaves. But we will not touch on those generalisations. See also the papers [5, 7].)

The proof of the C^1 -invariance due to Ghys and Tsuboi is based on a certain rigidity for C^1 -conjugacies of transverse dynamics of foliations along compact leaves as well as minimal exceptional leaves cutting Cantor sets on transverse

sections. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the topological rigidity theorem for pseudogroups of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ (Theorem 3(1)).

To state Theorem 3 we prepare some notions. Let Γ_+^ω be the pseudogroup of real analytic and orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of open neighbourhoods of the line $\mathbb{R}$ respecting 0. We call a mapping $\phi : G \rightarrow \Gamma_+^\omega$ of a group G to the pseudogroup Γ_+^ω a *morphism* if the set $\phi(G)_0$ of germs of $\phi(f)$, $f \in G$ form a group and ϕ induces a group homomorphism of G to $\phi(G)_0$. Therefore $\phi(f) : U_{\phi(f)}, 0 \rightarrow \phi(f)(U_{\phi(f)}), 0$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism of open neighbourhoods of $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ for $f \in G$ representing the germ of $\phi(f)$. We call $\phi(G)_0$ the germ of $\phi(G)$ and say ϕ is *solvable* (respectively *commutative*, etc) if $\phi(G)_0$ is so. The *orbit* $\mathcal{O}(x)$ of an $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the set of those x_l joined by a sequence $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l)$ with $x = x_0, x_{i+1} = \phi(f_i)(x_i), x_i \in U_{\phi(f_i)}, i = 0, \dots, l-1$ for arbitrary $l \geq 0$. The *basin* $B_{\phi(G)}$ of 0 is the set of those x for which the closure of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(x)$ contains 0. If $\phi(G)$ is non trivial, i.e. $\phi(f) \neq \text{id}$ for an $f \in G$, $B_{\phi(G)}$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 [17]. Morphisms $\phi, \psi : G \rightarrow \Gamma_+^\omega$ are *topologically* (resp. C^r -) *conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism (resp. C^r -diffeomorphism) $h : U, 0 \rightarrow h(U), 0$ of open neighbourhoods of 0 such that $U_{\phi(f)}, \phi(f)(U_{\phi(f)}) \subset U, U_{\psi(f)}, \psi(f)(U_{\psi(f)}) \subset h(U)$ and $h \circ \phi(f) = \psi(f) \circ h$ holds on $U_{\phi(f)}$ for all $f \in G$. We call h a *linking homeomorphism* (resp. *linking diffeomorphism*) and we denote $h : \phi \rightarrow \psi$.

Theorem 3 (The rigidity theorem for pseudogroups). *Let $\phi, \psi : G \rightarrow \Gamma_+^\omega$ be morphisms which are topologically conjugate with each other and $h : \phi \rightarrow \psi$ a linking homeomorphism.*

(1) *If $\phi(G)_0, \psi(G)_0$ are not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and non trivial, the restriction $h : B_{\phi(G)} - 0 \rightarrow B_{\psi(G)} - 0$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism.*

(2) *If $\phi(G)_0, \psi(G)_0$ are non commutative, h is unique and there exist even positive integers i, j such that $|h(\epsilon x^i)|^{1/j} : \tilde{B}_{\phi(G)}^\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{\psi(G)}^\epsilon$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism for $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Here $\tilde{B}_{\phi(G)}^\epsilon$ is the set of those x such that $\epsilon x^i \in B_{\phi(G)}$ and $\tilde{B}_{\psi(G)}^\epsilon$ is the set of those x such that x^j (resp. $-x^j$) $\in B_{\psi(G)}$ if h maps $\mathbb{R}^\epsilon$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^-$).*

Now we apply the above rigidity theorem to the analytic action of the surface group on the circle S^1 . Let Σ_g be the oriented closed surface of genus g and $\Gamma^g = \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. For $r = 1, \dots, \infty$ and ω , $\text{Diff}_+^r(S^1)$ denotes the group of orientation preserving C^r -diffeomorphisms of the circle. The *suspension* M of a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^g \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^r(S^1)$ is the quotient of $S^1 \times D^2$ by the product $\phi \times \Gamma$ with a discrete cocompact subgroup $\Gamma^g \simeq \Gamma \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting freely on the interior of the Poincaré disc D^2 . The second projection of $S^1 \times D^2$ induces the submersion of M onto $\Sigma_g = D^2/\Gamma$ with the fiber S^1 . Since the action $\phi \times \Gamma$ respects the foliation of $S^1 \times D^2$ by the discs $x \times D^2, x \in S^1$, the suspension M is a foliated S^1 -bundle of which the fibres are the quotients of the discs. In this way the topology of foliated S^1 -bundles interchanges with that of the actions of Γ^g on S^1 . The Euler number $\text{eu}(\phi)$ of a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^g \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^r(S^1)$ is defined to be that of the S^1 -bundle associated to ϕ . The Milnor-Wood inequality [15,22] asserts

$$|\text{eu}(\phi)| \leq |\chi(\Sigma_g)| = 2g - 2.$$

The Euler number enjoys the following relations with the orbit structure:

- (1) $\text{eu}(\phi) = 0$ if there exists a finite orbit,
- (2) If $\text{eu}(\phi) \neq 0$, there exist a minimal set $\mathcal{M} \subset S^1$ of ϕ , an $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and an $f \in \text{stab}(x)$ such that $\phi(f)|_{\mathcal{M}} \neq \text{id}$ [13], and if $r = \omega$ all orbits are dense [6] (see also [16]),
- (3) If $|\text{eu}(\phi)| = |\chi(\Sigma_g)|$ and $r \geq 2$, all orbits are dense [6],

where $\text{stab}(x)$ denotes the stabiliser of x consisting of $f \in \Gamma^g$ with $\phi(f)(x) = x$. Homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : \Gamma^g \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^r(S^1)$ are C^s -conjugate if there exists a C^s -diffeomorphism h of S^1 such that $\psi(f) \circ h = h \circ \phi(f)$ holds for $f \in \Gamma^g$. We say ϕ, ψ are *topologically conjugate* if $s = 0$, *semi conjugate* if h is monotone map of degree one (possibly discontinuous). We call h a *linking homeomorphism* and denote $h : \phi \rightarrow \psi$. It is known that the Euler number (and the bounded Euler class) concentrate the homotopic property of the action, namely

Theorem(Ghys [3]). ϕ, ψ are semi conjugate if and only if $\phi^*(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}) = \psi^*(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}})$

in the bounded cohomology group $H_b^2(\Gamma^g : \mathbb{Z})$, where $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}} \in H_b^2(\text{Diff}_+^0(S^1) : \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is the generator, the bounded Euler class.

Theorem (Matsumoto [13]). *If $\text{eu}(\phi) = \text{eu}(\psi) = \pm\chi(\Sigma_g)$, ϕ, ψ are semi conjugate, and if $2 \leq r$, they are topologically conjugate with each other, and in particular, conjugate with a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ naturally acting on S^1 the boundary of the Poincaré disc.*

Theorem Ghys [8]. *If a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^g \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^r(S^1)$ attains the maximum of $|\text{eu}(\phi)|$ and $3 \leq r$, ϕ is C^r -smoothly conjugate with a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.*

In contrast to the above results, the properties of homomorphisms with $|\text{eu}(\phi)| \not\leq |\chi(\Sigma_g)|$ are less known (see [16]). Applying Theorem 3 to the action of the stabiliser subgroup $\text{stab}(x)$ on (S^1, x) for an $x \in S^1$, we obtain

Corollary 4. *Let $\phi, \psi : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^\omega(S^1)$ be homomorphisms with $|\text{eu}(\phi)|, |\text{eu}(\psi)| \neq 0, |\chi(\Sigma_g)|$, which are topologically conjugate, and $h : \phi \rightarrow \psi$ a linking homeomorphism. Assume that for an $x \in S^1$, the stabiliser subgroup $\text{stab}(x) \subset \Gamma_g$ of x is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and non trivial. Then h is a real analytic diffeomorphism and orientation preserving or reversing respectively whether $\text{eu}(\phi) = \text{eu}(\psi)$ or $\text{eu}(\phi) = -\text{eu}(\psi)$.*

The statement remains valid for morphisms of groups G into $\text{Diff}_+^\omega(S^1)$ replacing the condition on the Euler number by the existence of a dense orbit.

The author would like to thank Matsumoto, Minakawa, Nishimori, Tsuboi and Moriyama for their helpful comments.

2. SEQUENCE GEOMETRY

In this paper $f^{(n)}$ denotes the n -fold iteration $f \circ \cdots \circ f$ of $f : U_f \rightarrow f(U_f)$ in Γ_+^ω . Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_i\}, \mathcal{Y} = \{y_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ be monotone sequences of positive numbers decreasing to 0. Define the *address function* $\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x)$ of an $x > 0$ relative to $\mathcal{Y}$ to be the smallest integer i such that $y_i \leq x$. It is easy to see that $\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x)$ is a decreasing function of x and $y_{\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x)-1} > x \geq y_{\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x)}$.

Define the *address function* $\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}$ by

$$\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i) = \text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. The address function enjoys the following inequality for a triple of sequences $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}$.

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}$ be sequences of positive numbers decreasing to 0. Then*

$$\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}}(\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i) - 1) \leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}}(i) \leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}}(\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i))$$

for $x_i - 1 < y_0$.

We say two functions $P, Q : \mathbb{N} \cup 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ are *equivalent* if there exist integers $c_1, \dots, c_4$ such that

$$Q(i + c_1) + c_2 \leq P(i) \leq Q(i + c_3) + c_4$$

holds for all sufficiently large i .

Now let $\phi : G \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mp}^{\omega}$ be a morphism, and let $x_0 \in U_{\phi(g)}, y_0 \in U_{\phi(f)}$ be positive and sufficiently small and assume that $x_i = \phi(g)^{(i)}(x_0), y_i = \phi(f)^{(i)}(y_0)$ are decreasing to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$, replacing f, g by their inverses if necessary, and denote $\mathcal{X} = \{x_i\}, \mathcal{Y} = \{y_i\}$.

Proposition 7. *The equivalence class of the address function $\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}$ is independent of the choice of the initial values x_0, y_0 .*

proof. To prove the statement let $x_0 \neq x'_0 > 0, y_0 \neq y'_0 > 0$ and define the sequences $\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}'$ similarly with x'_0, y'_0 . It is easy to see

$$\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}}(i) = i + c$$

for sufficiently large i , where

$$c = \begin{cases} \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}}(x'_0), & \text{if } x_0 \geq x'_0 \\ 1 - \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}'}(x_0) & \text{if } x'_0 > x_0, x_0 \neq x'_j, j = 0, 1, \dots \\ -\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}'}(x_0) & \text{if } x'_0 > x_0, x_0 \in \mathcal{X}' \end{cases}$$

From Proposition 6 we obtain

$$(1) \quad \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i + c - 1) \leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}}(i) \leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i + c)$$

for sufficiently large i . Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}} + c' - 1 &= (\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'}(\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}} - 1)) \\ &\leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}'} \\ &\leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'}(\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}}) \\ &= \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}} + c' \end{aligned}$$

with

$$c' = \begin{cases} \text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(y_0), & \text{if } y'_0 \geq y_0 \\ 1 - \text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(y'_0), & \text{if } y_0 > y'_0, y'_0 \neq y_j, j = 0, 1, \dots \\ -\text{add}_{\mathcal{Y}}(y'_0), & \text{if } y_0 > y'_0, y'_0 \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$$

and by (1),

$$\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i + c - 1)c' - 1 \leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}'}(i) \leq \text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}(i + c) + c'$$

for sufficiently large i . This completes the proof.

3. FORMAL INVARIANTS FOR NON SOLVABLE PSEUDOGROUPS

It is shown in the paper [17] that the non solvable group $\phi(G)$ contains diffeomorphisms $\phi(f)$, $f \in G$ with Taylor expansion at $x = 0$

$$\phi(f)(x) = x - \frac{K}{i}(x^{i+1} + \dots),$$

$K \neq 0$ with i greater than an arbitrary large integer. So let

$$\phi(g)(x) = x - \frac{L}{j}(x^{j+1} + \dots),$$

$L \neq 0, i < j$ for a $g \in G$. We call the i, j the *orders of the flatness* for $\phi(f), \phi(g)$ respectively. By Proposition 6 the equivalence class of the address function

$\text{add}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}}$ is independent of the choice of x_0, y_0 . We denote the equivalence class by $\text{add}_{\phi(g), \phi(f)}$.

First we consider the orbit $\mathcal{Y}$ of y_0 under $\phi(f)$. It is known ([20]) that with a suitable analytic coordinate we may assume $\phi(f)$ has the Taylor expansion

$$\phi(f)(x) = x - \frac{K}{i}(x^{i+1} + (-A + \frac{i+1}{2})x^{2i+1} + \dots),$$

which is formally conjugate with

$$\phi'(f)(x) = \exp - \frac{K}{i}(\frac{x^{i+1}}{1 + Ax^i})\partial/\partial x.$$

The $-iA/K$ is known as the *residue* of f . By a result due to Takens [20] there exists a C^∞ diffeomorphism $\lambda : \mathbb{R}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ i -flat at 0 such that $\lambda \circ \phi(f) = \phi'(f) \circ \lambda$ holds on $U_{\phi(f)}$ shrinking $U_{\phi(f)}$. Introducing the coordinate $\tilde{x} = \xi_{i,A}(x) = x^{-i} + A \log x^{-i}$ for $x > 0$, $\phi'(f)$ induces the translation $\tilde{\phi}(f) = \exp K\partial/\partial \tilde{x}$ on the $\tilde{x}$ -line at ∞ . Let $y'_n = \lambda(y_n)$ and $\tilde{y}_n = \xi_{i,A}(y'_n)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then

$$(a) \quad \tilde{y}_n = \tilde{\phi}(f)^{(n)}(\tilde{y}_0) = \tilde{y}_0 + nK.$$

(The existence of the coordinate $\tilde{x}$ with Property (a) is proved by the sectorial normalisation theorem [12,21] as well as the existence of the solution of Abel's equation by Szekeres [19]. Those results imply the existence of the normalising diffeomorphism λ real analyticity off 0. But the differentiability at 0 is not an obvious consequence. The analyticity of the conjugacy h off 0 in Theorem 3(1) follows from that of λ . In this paper the smoothness of h (Proposition 9) is first proved and analyticity is proved by the uniqueness (Proposition 10) and the convergence of the formal conjugacy due to Cerveau and Moussu [2].)

We apply the same argument to the slow dynamics $\phi(g)$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{R}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be a C^∞ diffeomorphism j -flat at 0 such that $\mu \circ \phi(g) = \phi'(g) \circ \mu$ holds on $U_{\phi(g)}$, where $\phi'(g)(x) = \exp - \frac{L}{j}(\frac{x^{j+1}}{1+Bx^j})\partial/\partial x$ with a constant B . Let

$\tilde{x} = \xi_{j,B}(x) = x^{-j} + B \log x^{-j}$ for $x > 0$. On the $\tilde{x}$ -line, $\phi'(g)$ lifts to the translation $\tilde{\phi}(g) = \exp L \partial/\partial \tilde{x}$ at ∞ .

Let $x'_n = \mu(x_n)$ and $\tilde{x}_n = \xi_{j,B}(x'_n)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then $\tilde{x}_n = \tilde{x}_0 + nL$, from which we obtain the estimate for the $\phi(g)$ -orbit $\mathcal{X}$, $x_n = (nL)^{-1/j} + o(n^{-1/j})$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. To compare $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, let

$$(b) \quad \tilde{x}_n = x_n^{-i} + A \log x_n^{-i} = (nL)^{i/j} + o(n^{i/j}).$$

From (a) and (b) we obtain

$$(c) \quad \text{add}_{\phi(g), \phi(f)}(n) = \frac{L^{i/j}}{K} n^{\frac{i}{j}} + o(n^{\frac{i}{j}}).$$

Proposition 8. $L^{\frac{i}{j}}/K$ and $\frac{i}{j}$ are topological invariants for the pseudogroup generated by $\phi(f)$ and $\phi(g)$.

Proof. Assume h is orientation preserving. The linking homeomorphism h sends the pairs of the orbits of x_0 under $\phi(f), \phi(g)$ to that of $h(x_0)$ under $\psi(f), \psi(g)$, and those pairs have the same topological structure and define the same address function up to the equivalence relation. By (c) the i/j is the exponent of the address function and $L^{\frac{i}{j}}/K$ is its coefficient, which are clearly invariant under the equivalence relation. If h is orientation reversing, an alternative argument goes through.

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 3 FOR NON SOLVABLE PSEUDOGROUPS

First we prove Theorem 3(1) for non solvable pseudogroups. If the linking homeomorphism h is orientation reversing, the homeomorphism $-h$ is orientation preserving and links ϕ to the reversed pseudogroup ψ' consisting of the orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $\psi'(f) : -U_f \rightarrow -f(U_f), f \in G$ defined by $\psi'(f)(x) = -\psi(f)(-x)$. So we assume that h is orientation preserving throughout this section. Let $\psi(f)(x) = x - \frac{K'}{i'}(x^{i'+1} + \dots)$ and $\psi(g)(x) = x - \frac{L'}{j'}(x^{j'+1} + \dots)$. First assume $(i, j) = (i', j')$ and h is orientation preserving for simplicity. By a linear coordinate transformation we may

assume $K = K'$ and then it follows $L = L'$ from Proposition 8. By an analytic coordinate transformation we may assume

$$\psi(f)(x) = x - \frac{K}{i}(x^{i+1} + (-A' + \frac{i+1}{2})x^{2i+1} + \dots).$$

Let $\lambda' : \mathbb{R}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be a C^∞ -diffeomorphism j -flat at 0 such that $\lambda' \circ \psi(f) = \psi'(f) \circ \lambda'$ holds on $U_{\psi(f)}$, where

$$\psi'(f) = \exp - \frac{K}{i} \frac{x^{i+1}}{1 + A'x^i} \partial/\partial x.$$

Let $\tilde{y} = \xi_{i,A'}(x) = x^{-i} + A' \log x^{-i}$. Since $\phi(f)^{(n)}(x_0) \rightarrow 0$, we see $K > 0$.

On the $\tilde{x}$ -line the diffeomorphism $\phi(g)$ induces the "non-linear translation"

$$\tilde{\phi}(g)(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} + \frac{i}{j}L \tilde{x}^{\frac{i-j}{i}} + o(\tilde{x}^{\frac{i-j}{i}})$$

from which

$$\tilde{\phi}(f)^{(-n)} \circ \tilde{\phi}(g) \circ \tilde{\phi}(f)^{(n)}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} + \frac{i}{j}L(nK)^{\frac{i-j}{i}} + o(n^{\frac{i-j}{i}})$$

from which

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{i-j}{i}} (\tilde{\phi}(f)^{(-n)} \circ \tilde{\phi}(g) \circ \tilde{\phi}(f)^{(n)} - \text{id}) \partial/\partial \tilde{x} = \frac{iL}{j} K^{\frac{i-j}{i}} \partial/\partial \tilde{x}$$

holds at the end of the $\tilde{x}$ -line. The flow of the above limit vector field is approximated arbitrarily closely by the discrete dynamical system of type

$$\tilde{\phi}(f)^{(-n)} \circ \tilde{\phi}(g)^{(m)} \circ \tilde{\phi}(f)^{(n)}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

with a sufficiently large $n > 0$ ([17]).

Similarly the $\tilde{\psi}(f), \tilde{\psi}(g)$ define the vector field $\frac{iL}{j}K^{\frac{i-j}{i}}\partial/\partial\tilde{y}$ on the $\tilde{y}$ -line. The lift $\tilde{h}_+ : \tilde{x} - \text{line}, \infty \rightarrow \tilde{y} - \text{line}, \infty$ of the restriction h_+ of h to $\mathbb{R}^+$ sends the orbit of

$$\tilde{\phi}(f)^{(-n)} \circ \tilde{\phi}(g)^{(m)} \circ \tilde{\phi}(f)^{(n)}$$

to that of

$$\tilde{\psi}(f)^{(-n)} \circ \tilde{\psi}(g)^{(m)} \circ \tilde{\psi}(f)^{(n)}.$$

Therefore $\tilde{h}_+$ is compatible with the above flows respecting time hence it is a translation by a constant α_+ (see [17] for a detailed argument) and

$$h_+(x) = \lambda'^{(-1)} \circ \xi_{i,A'}^{-1}(\xi_{i,A} \circ \lambda(x) + \alpha_+),$$

which is i -flat at 0. Similarly we can show that the restriction h_- of h to $\mathbb{R}^-$ is of the form

$$h_-(x) = \lambda'^{(-1)} \circ \xi_{i,A'}^{-1}(\xi_{i,A} \circ \lambda(x) + \alpha_-),$$

with a constant α_- , which is i -flat at 0. With both the above smoothness of h_+ and h_- , we see that the linking homeomorphism h is a C^i -smooth diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of 0 and i -flat at 0.

Proposition 9. *The linking homeomorphism h is C^∞ -smooth on a neighbourhood of 0.*

Proof. Since $\phi(G)_0$ is non solvable, the i can be chosen arbitrary large. Therefore h is C^∞ -smooth at 0. The smoothness off 0 is clear by the form of $h_\pm$ above presented.

By the proposition $\phi(f)$ and $\psi(f)$ are C^∞ -conjugate. Since the residues A, A' are invariant under formal conjugacy relation of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms, we obtain $A = A'$ hence $\tilde{\phi}(f) = \tilde{\psi}(f)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \lambda' \circ h_+ \circ \lambda^{(-1)} = \exp \frac{-\alpha_+}{i} \chi & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \\ \lambda' \circ h_- \circ \lambda^{(-1)} = \exp \frac{-\alpha_-}{i} \chi & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^-, \end{cases}$$

where χ denotes $\frac{x^{i+1}}{1+Ax^i} \partial / \partial x$.

Proposition 10. $\alpha_+ = \alpha_-$ and the germ of h at 0 is unique.

Proof. Since $h_+^{(-1)} \circ \phi(g) \circ h_+ = \psi(g)$ and $h_-^{(-1)} \circ \phi(g) \circ h_- = \psi(g)$ hold on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^-$ respectively at 0, we obtain the formal equalities

$$\lambda^{(-1)} \circ \exp \frac{\alpha_+}{i} \chi \circ \lambda' \circ \phi(g) \circ \lambda'^{(-1)} \circ \exp \frac{-\alpha_+}{i} \chi \circ \lambda = \phi(f)$$

and

$$\lambda^{(-1)} \circ \exp \frac{\alpha_-}{i} \chi \circ \lambda' \circ \phi(g) \circ \lambda'^{(-1)} \circ \exp \frac{-\alpha_-}{i} \chi \circ \lambda = \phi(f).$$

This shows that $\lambda'^{(-1)} \circ \exp \frac{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-}{i} \chi \circ \lambda$ commutes with $\phi(g)$, and by formal calculation, it follows $\alpha_+ = \alpha_- = \alpha$ (since $i \neq j$). Therefore $h = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ \exp \frac{\alpha}{i} \chi \circ \lambda'$.

Next assume $h' = \lambda^{(-1)} \circ \exp -\frac{\beta}{i} \chi \circ \lambda'$ satisfies $h'^{(-1)} \circ \phi(g) \circ h' = \psi(g)$. Then it follows $\alpha = \beta$ from a similar argument. This shows the uniqueness of h .

By a result due to Cerveau and Moussu [2], a formal conjugacy is convergent to give a real analytic conjugacy for non solvable groups of germs of diffeomorphisms. Therefore the Taylor series of h at 0 is convergent to an analytic diffeomorphism $\tilde{h}$ linking $\phi(G)_0$ to $\psi(G)_0$. Then the uniqueness of the linking homeomorphism (Proposition 10) asserts that the germ of h is nothing but the $\tilde{h}$ real analytic on a neighbourhood of 0. The analyticity propagates to whole $B_{\phi(G)}$ by the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1 in §6. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 for the case $(i, j) = (i', j')$ and h is orientation preserving.

Now we prove the theorem for general non solvable pseudogroups. Assume that $\phi(f), \phi(g)$ and $\psi(f), \psi(g)$ have the orders of flatness i, j and i', j' respectively. By Proposition 7, we may write $i'/i = j'/j = p/q$ with even positive integers p, q . Define the lift $\phi_p^\epsilon : G \rightarrow \Gamma_+^\omega$ by $\phi_p^\epsilon(f) : U_{\phi_p^\epsilon(f)} \rightarrow \phi_p^\epsilon(f)(U_{\phi_p^\epsilon(f)})$, $\phi_p^\epsilon(f)(x) = (\epsilon \phi(f)(\epsilon x^p))^{1/p}$ for $\epsilon = \pm 1$, where $U_{\phi_p^\epsilon(f)}$ is the preimage of $U_{\phi(f)}$ by $x \mapsto \epsilon x^p$. Define the lift $\psi_q^\epsilon : G \rightarrow \Gamma_+^\omega$ similarly. Then $\phi_p^\epsilon(f), \phi_p^\epsilon(g)$ have the orders of flatness pi, pj respectively. The linking homeomorphism h lifts to the orientation preserving homeomorphism $K^\epsilon = (\epsilon h(\epsilon x^p))^{1/q}$ of $U_p^\epsilon = \{x \mid \epsilon x^p \in U\}$ to $\tilde{U}_q^\epsilon = \{y \mid \epsilon y^q \in h(U)\}$, which is linking ϕ_p^ϵ to ψ_q^ϵ for $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

Proposition 11. (1) ϕ is solvable if and only if ϕ_p^1 is solvable if and only if ϕ_p^{-1} is solvable.

(2) $B_{\phi_p^\epsilon} = \{x \mid \epsilon x^p \in B_\phi\}$ for $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

Proof. The homomorphism of pseudogroups which assigns $\phi_p^\epsilon(f)$ to $\phi(f)$ for $f \in G$ induces a group isomorphism of the germs $\phi(G)_0$ to $\phi_p^\epsilon(G)_0$ for $\epsilon = \pm 1$. So Statement (1) is clear. Statement (2) for the basin follows from the definition.

By the result obtained previously in this section, the lift K^ϵ is a unique real analytic diffeomorphism. In particular h is unique and the restriction $h : B_\phi(G) - 0 \rightarrow B_\psi(G) - 0$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 for non solvable pseudogroups.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3 FOR SOLVABLE PSEUDOGROUPS

Theorem 12 ([17]). *A solvable subgroup H of the group of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ respecting 0 is C^ω -conjugate with one of the following:*

(1) *H consists of linear functions ax with the coefficients a in a subgroup L of $\mathbb{R}^*$.*

(2) *H consists of $f^{(\alpha)} = x + \alpha Kx^{i+1} + \dots, \alpha \neq 0$ with α in a subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}, 1 \in \Lambda$. Here $f \in H, f(x) = x + Kx^{i+1} + \dots$ and $f^{(\alpha)}$ is the unique real analytic diffeomorphism with the Taylor expansion $f^{(\alpha)}(x) = x + \alpha Kx^{i+1} + \dots$ such that $f^{(\alpha)} \circ f = f \circ f^{(\alpha)} = f^{(\alpha+1)}$. If Λ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, those $f^{(\alpha)}$ are written as $\exp \alpha \chi$ with an i -flat real analytic vector field χ on $\mathbb{R}$. (for the definition of the α -times iteration $f^{(\alpha)}$ see the papers [17, 19].)*

(3) *H consists of those $f^{(\alpha)}$ and $-f^{(\alpha+\beta)}$ with $\alpha \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a $\beta, 2\beta \in \Lambda$ and f satisfies the relation $f(-x) = -f(x)$.*

(4) *H consists of those f^α in (2) and $a f^{(\alpha+\beta(a))}$ with a in a subgroup $L \subset \mathbb{R}^*, a^i \neq 1$. Here f satisfies the relation $a^{-1} f(ax) = f(a^i)$ for $a \in L$ and $\beta : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and $\text{res}(f) = 0$. i.e. f is formally and C^∞ -conjugate with $\exp Kx^{i+1} \partial / \partial x, K \neq 0$.*

In Cases (1), (2) and (3), the H is commutative, and in Case (4), H is non commutative but solvable.

Since the members of our pseudogroups $\phi(G), \psi(G)$ are all orientation preserving, the germs $\phi(G)_0, \psi(G)_0$ are C^ω -conjugate to one of the H in Cases (1), (2) and (4). In the following we assume the germs are of the form in those cases and prove the analyticity of the restrictions h_+, h_- of the linking homeomorphism h to $\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^-$ on a neighbourhood of 0. The differentiability propagates to whole $B_{\phi(G)} - 0$ by the same argument as in the proof of theorem 1 in §6.

Case (1). Assume $\phi(G)_0 \neq \mathbb{Z}$. This assumption is equivalent to that the linear term group L_ϕ of $\phi(G)_0$ is a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^*$, in other words, all orbits are dense nearby 0. Let $\log L_\phi$ denote the subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ consisting of the logarithms of the linear terms of $\phi(f), f \in G$. Since h sends the $\phi(G)$ -orbit of an x to the $\psi(G)$ -orbit of $h(x)$, h induces a homomorphism $\tilde{h}$ of the subgroups $\log L_\phi$ to $\log L_\psi$, which extends to a linear function kx . By this form we see $\log \circ h \circ \exp(x)$ is an affine transformation $kx + l$, from which $h(x) = (\exp l)x^k$ for $x > 0$. A similar argument shows the analyticity of h_- .

Case (2). In this case the germs of $\phi(f)^{(\alpha)}$ are of the form $\exp \alpha \chi$ with a flat analytic vector field χ and α in a subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$. The hypothesis that $\phi(G)_0$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ implies that Λ is a dense subgroup. Let $\Lambda' \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the group associated to $\psi(G)$. The correspondence of $\phi(G)$ -orbits and $\psi(G)$ -orbits in $\mathbb{R}^+$ by h induces a linear transformation of Λ to Λ' , which describes the h conversely. Therefore the h_+ is real analytic off 0, and similarly it is shown that h_- is analytic off 0.

Case (4). Let $\phi(G)_0^0 \subset \phi(G)_0$ denote the subgroup consisting of the i -flat germs of diffeomorphisms $\phi(f)^{(\alpha)}, \alpha \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ of $\phi(G)$, and $\psi(G)_0^0 \subset \psi(G)_0$ the subgroup consisting of j -flat germs of diffeomorphisms $\psi(f)^{(\alpha)}, \alpha \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$. It suffices here to prove the analyticity of h for the case $i = j$.

Lemma 13. *Let $\phi(f), \psi(f) : \mathbb{R}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be germs of analytic diffeomorphisms with the linear term x and the order of flatness $i \geq 1$, and let $h : \mathbb{R}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be a germ of homeomorphism such that $h \circ \phi(f) = \psi(f) \circ h$. Then h is differentiable at 0.*

Proof. By C^∞ - coordinate change we may assume $\phi(f) = \exp - \frac{K}{i} \frac{x^{i+1}}{1+Ax^i} \partial / \partial x$ and $\psi(f) = \exp - \frac{L}{i} \frac{x^{i+1}}{1+Bx^i} \partial / \partial x$, and by a linear coordinate transformation, $K = L > 0$. These diffeomorphisms lift to the translations by K respectively on the $\tilde{x}$ -line, $\tilde{x} = \xi_{i,A}(x) = x^{-i} + A \log x^{-i} (x > 0)$, and the $\tilde{y}$ -line, $\tilde{y} = \xi_{i,B}(y)$. And these translations are conjugate by the lift $\tilde{h} : \tilde{x} - \text{line} \rightarrow \tilde{y} - \text{line}$ of h . So we obtain an estimate $|\tilde{h}(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x} - T| \leq K$, with a constant T , from which

$$\xi_{i,B}^{-1}(\xi_{i,A}(x) + T + K) \leq h(x) \leq \xi_{i,B}^{-1}(\xi_{i,A}(x) + T - K)$$

This implies the differentiability of h at 0.

Next let $\phi(g)(x) = ax + \dots$, $a \neq 0, 1$ be a diffeomorphism non commutative with $\phi(f)$ and $\psi(g)(x) = a'x + \dots$, $a' \neq 0, 1$. By assumption $\psi(g) \circ h = h \circ \phi(g)$ holds, and by the differentiability of h at 0, we obtain $a = a'$.

Lemma 14. *Let $h : \mathbb{R}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be the germ of a mapping commuting with a linear function ax . If h is differentiable at 0, h is linear.*

Proof. By the commutativity, $h(a^i x) / a^i x = h(x) / x$ for all x and $i = 0, 1, \dots$. By the differentiability, $h(x) / x$ is a constant independent of x .

By the Poincaré linearization theorem $\phi(g), \psi(g)$ are analytically conjugate with ax . Here Lemma 14 applies to say that the germ of h at 0 is linear. In this situation the relation $h \circ \phi(f) = \psi(f) \circ h$ admits the unique linear map h . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND COROLLARIES 2, 4

Proof of Theorem 1. Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}_1$ with holonomy group $\neq 0, \mathbb{Z}$. Then the image $h(L)$ has holonomy isomorphic to that of L and, by Theorem 4, h is transversely analytic on a deleted neighbourhood $U - L$ of an $x \in L$. Let $x' \in M_1$ be an arbitrary point. The leaf $L_{x'}$ of $\mathcal{F}_1$ containing x' is dense by assumption, hence a point $x'' \in L_{x'}$ is contained in $U - L$. Clearly the translation $T_{x', x''}$ along a path in $L_{x'}$ sending the transverse section at x' to that of x'' is analytic, and the germs of h at x', x'' link the $T_{x', x''}$ to the transverse dynamics $T_{h(x'), h(x'')}$ along $h(L_{x'}) = L_{h(x')}$. Therefore the transverse

analyticity of h at x'' induces the transverse analyticity on a neighbourhood of x' . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Corollary 2. The Godbillon-Vey class $GV(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ may be defined by the pull back $\rho(\mathcal{F})^*c$ of a cocycle $c \in H^3(B\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}, \mathbb{R})$ of the classifying space $B\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ of the pseudogroup $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ of orientation preserving C^{∞} -diffeomorphisms of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ by the classifying map $\rho(\mathcal{F}) : M \rightarrow B\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ ([1]). Since $h(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}'$ and h is transversely real analytic, it follows $\rho(\mathcal{F}') \circ h = \rho(\mathcal{F})$, from which $GV(\mathcal{F}) = h^*GV(\mathcal{F}')$. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.

Proof of Corollary 4. Let $\phi, \psi : \Gamma^g \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^{\omega}(S^1)$ be homomorphisms and $h : \phi \rightarrow \psi$ a linking homeomorphism. Let $\text{stab}(x_0) \subset \Gamma^g$ be the stabiliser of an $x_0 \in S^1$. Then h links the restriction of ϕ to $\text{stab}(x_0)$ to that of ψ . Assume that $\phi(\text{stab}(x_0))$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and non trivial. Then by the rigidity theorem (Theorem 3), h is a real analytic diffeomorphism on a deleted neighbourhood $U - x_0$ of x_0 in S^1 . By a result due to Ghys [6], if $|\text{eu}(\phi)| \neq 0$, all orbits are dense in S^1 . So, for any $y \in S^1$, there is a $g \in G$ such that $\phi(g)(y) \in U - x_0$. Then the equality $h \circ \phi(g) = \psi(g) \circ h$ implies that h is a real analytic diffeomorphism at y . This completes the proof of Corollary 4.

References

- [1] R. Bott, A. Haefliger, *On characteristic classes of Γ -foliations*, 1039-1044, 78, No. 6, 1972, Bull. A.M.S..
- [2] D. Cerveau, R. Moussu, *Groupes d'automorphismes de $\mathbb{C}$ et équations différentielles $y \, dy + \dots = 0$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France, **116**, no. 4, 459-488, 1988.
- [3] E. Ghys, *Groupes d'homéomorphismes du cercle et cohomologie bornée*, Contemp. Math., **58**, III, 1987, 81-106.

- [4] *Actions localement libres du groupe affine*, Invent. Math., **82**, 479-526, 1985.
- [5] ———, *Sur l'invariance topologique de la classe de Godbillon-Vey*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **37**, 4, 59-76, 1987.
- [6] ———, *Classe d'Euler et minimal exceptionnel*, Topology, **26**, No.1, 93-105, 1987.
- [7] ———, *L'invariant de Godbillon-Vey*, Astérisque, **177-178**, 155-181, 1989.
- [8] ———, *Rigidité différentiable des groupes fuchsins*, Preprint Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, no. **73**, 1992.
- [9] E. Ghys, T. Tsuboi, *Différentiabilité des conjugaisons entre systèmes dynamiques de dimension 1*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **38**, 1, 215-244, 1988.
- [10] G. Hector, V. Hirsch, *Introduction to the geometry of foliations Part B*, Vieweg. Wiesbaden, 1983.
- [11] S. Hurder, A. Katok, *Differentiability, rigidity and Godbillon-Vey class for Anosov foliations*, Jour Publ. IHES, no. **72**, 5-61, 1990.
- [12] Yu.S. Il'yashenko, *Finiteness Theorem for limit cycles*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs AMS, **94**, 1991.
- [13] S. Matsumoto, *Some remarks on foliated S^1 -bundles*, Invent. Math., **90**, 343-358, 1987.
- [14] ———, *Problems in Nagoya*. Conference on dynamical systems in Nagoya University organised by Shiraiwa (1990).
- [15] J. Milnor, *On the existence of a connection with curvature zero*, Comment. Math. Helv., **32**, 215-223, 1957-1958.
- [16] H. Minakawa, *Examples of exceptional homomorphisms which have non-trivial euler numbers*, Topology, **30**, No.3, 429-438, 1991.

- [17] I. Nakai, *Separatrix for conformal transformation groups of $\mathbb{C}.0$* , Preprint, Hokkaido Univ., 1991.
- [18] G. Raby, *L'invariant de Godbillon-Vey est stable par C^1 -difféomorphisme*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **38-1**, 205-213. 1988.
- [19] G. Szekeres, *Fractional iteration of entire and rational functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **4**, 129-142, 1964.
- [20] F. Takens, *Normal forms for certain singular vector fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **23,2**, 163-195. 1973.
- [21] S.M. Voronin, *Analytic classification of germs of maps $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with identical linear part*, Funct. Anal., **15**. no.1, 1-17, 1981.
- [22] J. W. Wood, *Bundles with totally disconnected structure group*, Comment. Math. Helv. **46**, 257-273, 1971.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo, 606, Japan
nakai@math.hokudai.ac.jp