

Astérisque

D. SHELSTAD

A formula for regular unipotent germs

Astérisque, tome 171-172 (1989), p. 275-277

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1989__171-172_275_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A FORMULA FOR REGULAR UNIPOTENT GERMS

D. Shelstad*

The purpose of this note is to give a simple formula for the Shalika germs corresponding to the regular unipotent conjugacy classes in a reductive p -adic group. It is based on some constructions and a theorem in [2].

Suppose then that F is a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero and G is a connected reductive algebraic group defined over F . For γ regular semisimple in $G(F)$ we denote by $\Phi(\gamma, f)$ the integral of $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ along the conjugacy class of γ . For u unipotent in $G(F)$ we use instead the notation $a_u(f)$ for the orbital integral. Measures are to be chosen as in [2]. For γ near 1 let

$$D(\gamma)\Phi(\gamma, f) = \sum_u \Gamma_u(\gamma)a_u(f)$$

be the Shalika germ expansion of $\Phi(\gamma, f)$ normalized by the usual discriminant function ([5], or [6] where D is written d). The sum is over representatives u for the unipotent conjugacy classes in $G(F)$ and Γ_u is the Shalika germ for the class of u .

We assume that there exist regular unipotent elements in $G(F)$, that is, that G is quasi-split over F (e.g. [2, §5.1]), and from now on require that u be regular. There are three ingredients in the formula for $\Gamma_u(\gamma)$. Each is an element of $\mathcal{E}(T)$, where $T(F)$ is the Cartan subgroup containing γ . Recall that $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is the image of the Galois cohomology group $H^1(T_{sc})$ in $H^1(T)$ ([1]). We call the classes $\text{inv}(\gamma)$, $\text{inv}_T(u)$ and $\text{inv}(T)$.

First, if γ is near 1 and α is a root of T in G then $\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2}$ is well-defined for we may write $\gamma = \exp X$ and set $\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2} = \exp(\alpha(X)/2)$. Suppose $\{a_\alpha\}$ are a -data for the action of $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on the roots of T [2, §2.2]. Then $(\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2} - \alpha(\gamma)^{-1/2})/a_\alpha$ lies in the fixed field $F_{\pm\alpha}$ of the stabilizer of $\pm\alpha$ in Γ . Thus, by Lemma 2.2.B of [2],

$$\sigma \rightarrow \prod_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ \sigma^{-1}\alpha < 0}} \left[\frac{\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2} - \alpha(\gamma)^{-1/2}}{a_\alpha} \right]^{\alpha^\vee}$$

is a 1-cocycle of Γ in $T(\bar{F})$. Its class $\text{inv}(\gamma)$ in $H^1(T)$ lies in $\mathcal{E}(T)$. The choice of ordering, or more simply of a gauge, on the roots does not affect $\text{inv}(\gamma)$ [2, Lemma 2.2.C]. The a -data do of course affect $\text{inv}(\gamma)$ but they will appear again in the definition of $\text{inv}(T)$ and as long as we use the same data in both places the choice will be of no consequence.

* Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 86- 02193

The class $inv_T(u)$ was defined in §5.1 of [2]. It requires the choice of an F -splitting spl for G . Briefly, to u is attached an F -splitting $spl(u)$. If $spl(u)^g = spl$, where $g \in G_{sc}$, then $\sigma \rightarrow g\sigma(g)^{-1}$ is a 1-cocycle of Γ in the center of G_{sc} . This cocycle defines the class $inv_T(u)$ in $\mathcal{E}(T)$.

Finally the class $inv(T)$ will be the image in $H^1(T)$ of the class $\lambda(T_{sc})$ of [2, §2.3]. We recall that the definition $\lambda(T_{sc})$ is less immediate and requires the choice of a -data — we use that for $inv(\gamma)$ — and an F -splitting — we use the *opposite* to that for $inv_T(u)$ (see [2, §5.1]).

Theorem : For γ near 1 we have

$$\Gamma_u(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } inv(\gamma) = inv_T(u)/inv(T) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: We fix u regular unipotent and suppose γ is sufficiently close to 1 in $T(F)$. To each character κ on $\mathcal{E}(T)$ we attach an endoscopic group $H = H(T, \kappa)$ and an admissible embedding $T_H \rightarrow T$ carrying, say, γ_H to γ (see [1]). There is no harm in assuming that each ${}^L H$ embeds admissibly in ${}^L G$. We apply Theorem 5.5.A of [2] to a function $f_u \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ supported on the regular set of $G(F)$ for which $a_u(f_u) = 1$ and $a_{u'}(f_u) = 0$ if u' is not conjugate to u (e.g. [6]). Thus $D(\gamma)\Phi(\gamma, f_u) = \Gamma_u(\gamma)$. Because f_u is supported on the regular set we can omit the limit from the formula of Theorem 5.5.A and write

$$D_H(\gamma_H)D_G(\gamma)^{-1} \sum_{\gamma_G} \Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) \Gamma_u(\gamma_G) = \Delta(u)$$

for γ_H near 1 in $T_H(F)$. We may write each element γ_G as $\gamma(\omega) = g^{-1}\gamma g$ where $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma(g)g^{-1}$ represents the element ω of $\mathcal{E}(T)$. Then $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) = \kappa(\omega)\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)$ and so

$$\sum_{\omega} \kappa(\omega) \Gamma_u(\gamma(\omega)) = \frac{\Delta(u)}{D_H(\gamma_H)} \frac{D_G(\gamma)}{\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)}.$$

Recalling the definitions of $\Delta(u)$ and $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)$ [2, §§5.1, 3.7], we see that this is

$$\kappa(inv_T(u)) / \kappa(inv(T)) \Delta_{II}(\gamma_H, \gamma) \Delta_2(\gamma_H, \gamma).$$

But $\Delta_2(\gamma_H, \gamma)$ is a character evaluated at γ and so takes the value 1 for γ near 1. Also

$$\Delta_{II}(\gamma_H, \gamma) = \prod_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha(\gamma) - 1}{a_{\alpha}} \right)$$

where the product is over representatives α for the orbits of Γ which are not from H . For γ near 1 this coincides with the product over symmetric orbits not from H and then with $\kappa(inv(\gamma))$, by [2, Lemmas 2.2.C, 3.2.D]. Thus

$$\sum_{\omega} \kappa(\omega) \Gamma_u(\gamma(\omega)) = \kappa(inv_T(u)/inv(T) inv(\gamma)).$$

We sum over κ and reverse the order of summation on the left to obtain the theorem.

Note that if $G(F)$ contains just one conjugacy class of regular unipotent elements, for example if G is adjoint, the formula becomes

$$\Gamma_{reg}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } inv(\gamma) = inv(T)^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $G = GL(n)$ then $\Gamma_{reg}(\gamma) = 1$ for all γ near 1; the constant which appears in [3] is that dictated by the different choice of measures. In the case of $SL(2)$ our formula gives a way of computing the characteristic function which appears in [5], and for $SL(n)$ we recover Theorem 6.3 of [4], again up to a constant which can be computed directly. For the case of $Sp(4)$ see also [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Langlands, Les débuts d'une formule des traces stable, Pub. Math. Univ. Paris VII, vol. 13 (1983).
- [2] R. Langlands and D. Shelstad, "On the definition of transfer factors," to appear in Math. Annalen.
- [3] J. Repka, "Shalika's germs for p-adic $GL(n)$: the leading term", Pac. J. Math., 113 (1984), 165–172.
- [4] J. Repka, "Germs associated to regular unipotent classes in p-adic $SL(n)$," Cand. Math. Bull., 28(1985), 257–266.
- [5] J. Shalika, "A theorem on p-adic semisimple groups", Ann. of Math., 95(1972), 226–242.
- [6] M. -F. Vignéras, "Caractérisation des intégrales orbitales sur un groupe réductif p-adique", J. Fac. Sci., Tokyo 28(1981), 945–961.
- [7] Kim Yandon, "Regular germs for p-adic $Sp(4)$ ", Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Toronto (1987).

UA 748, CNRS, Université Paris VII

and

Mathematics Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.