

Astérisque

V. G. KAC

D. H. PETERSON

Defining relations of certain infinite dimensional groups

Astérisque, tome S131 (1985), p. 165-208

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1985__S131__165_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

DEFINING RELATIONS OF CERTAIN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL GROUPS

BY

V.G. KAC and D.H. PETERSON

In our papers [8], [4], [5], we began a systematic study of the “smallest” group $G(A)$ associated to a Kac-Moody algebra and of its “unitary form” $K(A)$. The groups $G(A)$ and $K(A)$ are connected simply-connected topological groups, in general infinite-dimensional. A complex semisimple (resp. compact) connected simply-connected Lie group G (resp. K), and a certain central extension by $\mathbf{C}^\times$ (resp. S^1) of the group of polynomial maps of $\mathbf{C}^\times$ into G (resp. S^1 into K), provide the simplest examples of such groups $G(A)$ (resp. $K(A)$).

In the present paper, we define the groups $G(A)$ axiomatically, without reference to the corresponding Kac-Moody algebras. We then give a detailed exposition of the structure theory of the group $G(A)$ sketched in [8]. For that, we develop a theory of “refined Tits systems” (§ 3), which are groups satisfying certain axioms which describe the groups $G(A)$ more adequately than the axioms of usual Tits systems. In a similar, axiomatic fashion, we study the groups $K(A)$.

The second objective of the paper is to establish presentation theorems for the groups $G(A)$ and $K(A)$. In fact, both are special cases of a general presentation theorem for certain subgroups of a group with the structure of a Tits system (THEOREM A). The presentation theorem for $G(A)$ states that this group is an amalgamated product of its “standard parabolic subgroups of rank ≤ 2 ” (this follows also from a theorem of TITS [9]). On the other hand, one can reduce the problem of explicit presentation of $G(A)$ to that of the “Borel subgroup” of $G(A)$ in terms of its generating 1-parameter subgroups. We solve the latter problem in the rank 2 case (PROPOSITIONS 3.5 and 4.3) and state a conjecture in the general case. As an application

(COROLLARY 3.5), we generalize a theorem of NAGAO [9].

The presentation of $K(A)$ is especially simple and elegant (THEOREM B). It is achieved by decomposing $K(A)$ into a disjoint union of “cells”, which also provides a solution to the word problem. Loosely speaking, our presentation is a “real-analytic” continuation of a presentation of an extension of a certain Coxeter group $W(A)$ by a power of $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. More precisely, we show that $K(A)$ is an amalgamated product of compact groups of semisimple rank one and two, and moreover, write the relations among the subgroups of rank one explicitly.

The “cellular decomposition” of $K(A)$ mentioned above may be regarded as an algebraic fact underlying the cellular decomposition of the associated flag variety. This decomposition plays a key role in our forthcoming work on the topological structure of the groups $K(A)$ [7].*

A weaker form of the presentation theorem for compact groups was obtained in [2] by making use of a topological argument, which does not generalize to the infinite-dimensional situation. THEOREM B shows that the definition of $K(A)$ given in [2] coincides with ours.

THEOREM B was presented at the conference “Combinatorics and algebraic groups” in Oberwolfach in June 1983 and in a lecture course by the first author at the University of Paris in the fall of 1983. After writing this paper, we learned about the paper [13], where a presentation theorem for compact Lie groups is proved by a similar method.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the two main sources of inspiration during our work on this paper : the book of STEINBERG [10] and the lectures [12] by and discussions with TITS.

1. Coxeter systems

Let S be a finite set, and let $(m_{s,t})_{s,t \in S}$ be a *Coxeter matrix* on S , i.e., a symmetric matrix of non-negative integers such that $m_{s,t} = 1$ if and only if $s = t$. Let W be the associated *Coxeter group*, i.e., W is the group on generators S with defining relations

$$(st)^{m_{s,t}} = 1 \text{ for } s, t \in S.$$

(Note that for $s = t$, this relation gives $s^2 = 1$.) The pair (W, S) is called a *Coxeter system*. If J is a subset of S , then W_J denotes the subgroup of W generated by J .

* A description of some of the results of this work is contained in the paper of the first author *Constructing groups associated to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, MSRI publications # 4, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

Given $w \in W$, an expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, where $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$, is called *reduced* if k is minimal possible, and one writes $l(w) = k$.

The following two operations on words on S are called *elementary* :

- (E1) delete a consecutive subword ss ;
- (E2) replace a consecutive subword $sts \cdots (m_{s,t} \text{ factors})$ by $tst \cdots (m_{s,t} \text{ factors})$.

Now we can state the first crucial lemma of the paper.

LEMMA 1.1. — *Any two words on S representing the same element of W can be transformed to a common word by elementary operations.*

Proof. — This follows from [1, Ch. IV, § 1, n° 1.5, PROPOSITION 4 and LEMMA 4]. ■

COROLLARY 1.1. — *If R and R' are reduced expressions of an element of a Coxeter group W , then R' can be obtained from R by elementary operations of the form (E2).* ■

Let $A = (a_{s,t})_{s,t \in S}$ be a *generalized Cartan matrix*, i.e. $a_{s,s} = 2$, $a_{s,t}$ is a non-positive integer for $s \neq t$, and $a_{s,t} = 0$ implies $a_{t,s} = 0$. Put $m_{s,s}^A = 1$ and, for distinct $s, t \in S$, put $m_{s,t}^A = 2, 3, 4, 6$ or 0 according as $a_{s,t}a_{t,s} = 0, 1, 2, 3$ or ≥ 4 . Let $(W(A), S)$ be the Coxeter system associated to the Coxeter matrix $(m_{s,t}^A)$.

Let Q and Q^v be free abelian groups on symbols α_s and $\alpha_s^v, s \in S$, respectively. Define a bilinear pairing $Q \times Q^v \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ by $\langle \alpha_t, \alpha_s^v \rangle = a_{s,t}$.

LEMMA 1.2. — *The formulas*

$$(1.1) \quad s \cdot \alpha_t = \alpha_t - a_{s,t} \alpha_s; \quad s \cdot \alpha_t^v = \alpha_t^v - a_{t,s} \alpha_s^v$$

define faithful actions of the group $W(A)$ by automorphisms of Q and Q^v respecting the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Proof. — See e.g. [3, PROPOSITION 3.13]. ■

Remark. — If every off-diagonal entry of a Coxeter matrix is $2, 3, 4, 6$ or 0 , then the associated Coxeter group is called *crystallographic* since then, by LEMMA 1.2, it has a faithful reflection representation by integral matrices (the converse is also true). These are precisely the Coxeter groups appearing in the sequel as the Weyl groups of certain infinite-dimensional groups $G(A)$; the lattices Q and Q^v will appear as the root and coroot lattices of the group $G(A)$. The Coxeter system $(W(A), S)$ and its action on Q (or Q^v) determines the group $G(A)$ uniquely.

2. The group $G(A)$

Let $A = (a_{s,s'})_{s,s' \in S}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix. We associate to A a group $G(A)$ as follows.

For $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ and $u \in \mathbf{C}$, introduce the following elements of $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$:

$$h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ϵ denote the compact involution of $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$, i.e. $\epsilon(a) = {}^t\bar{a}^{-1}$, so that the fixed point set of ϵ is SU_2 .

The following axioms (G1), (G2) and (G3) determine, up to a unique isomorphism, a group $G(A)$ and homomorphisms $\varphi_s : SL_2(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow G(A)$ for $s \in S$. Here and further on, $\varphi_s(h(t))$, $\varphi_s(x(u))$ and $\varphi_s(y(u))$ are denoted by $h_s(t)$, $x_s(u)$ and $y_s(u)$, for short.

(G1) There exists a faithful $G(A)$ -module (V, π) over $\mathbf{C}$ such that each $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$ -module $(V, \pi \circ \varphi_s)$ is a direct sum of rational finite-dimensional submodules.

- (G2) a) $h_s(t)x_{s'}(u)h_s(t)^{-1} = x_{s'}(t^{a_{s,s'}}u)$ and
 $h_s(t)y_{s'}(u)h_s(t)^{-1} = y_{s'}(t^{-a_{s,s'}}u)$
for all $s, s' \in S$, $t \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ and $u \in \mathbf{C}$;
b) $x_s(u)y_{s'}(v) = y_{s'}(v)x_s(u)$
for all distinct $s, s' \in S$ and all $u, v \in \mathbf{C}$.

(G3) If a group G and homomorphisms $\varphi'_s : SL_2(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow G$ ($s \in S$) satisfy (G1) and (G2), then there exists a unique homomorphism $\psi : G(A) \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi'_s = \psi \circ \varphi_s$ for all $s \in S$.

Put $G_s = \varphi_s(SL_2(\mathbf{C}))$, $s \in S$. It follows from the axioms that the subgroups G_s , $s \in S$, generate the group $G(A)$. Put $H_s = \{h_s(t) | t \in \mathbf{C}^\times\}$, and let H be the subgroup of $G(A)$ generated by the subgroups H_s . Since the $x(u)$ and $y(u)$ generate $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$, (G2a) implies

$$(2.1) \quad h_s(t)\varphi_{s'} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} h_s(t)^{-1} = \varphi_{s'} \begin{pmatrix} a & t^{a_{s,s'}}b \\ t^{-a_{s,s'}}c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, H is abelian.

In order to proceed, we need a digression on Kac-Moody algebras.

Recall that the *Kac-Moody algebra* $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ associated to a generalized Cartan matrix A is the Lie algebra on generators $e_s, f_s, \alpha_s^\vee$, $s \in S$, with the following defining relations :

- (g1) $[\alpha_s^\vee, e_t] = a_{s,t}e_t$; $[\alpha_s^\vee, f_t] = -a_{s,t}f_t$; $[e_s, f_t] = 0$ if $s \neq t$;
(g2) $[e_s, f_s] = \alpha_s^\vee$; $[\alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_t^\vee] = 0$;

$$(g_3) \quad (\operatorname{ad} e_s)^{1-a_s, t} e_t = 0, \quad (\operatorname{ad} f_s)^{1-a_s, t} f_t = 0 \quad \text{if } s \neq t.$$

Then the α_s^v are linearly independent [3, Chapter 1] and the group $W(A)$ acting on the *coroot lattice* $Q^v = \sum_{s \in S} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s^v$ by (1.1) is called the *Weyl group* of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$. For brevity, we write, W_J for $W(A)_J$ if $J \subset S$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ admits a gradation $\mathfrak{g}'(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ by the free abelian group Q on symbols α_s , $s \in S$, which is called the *root lattice*, such that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_s \mathbf{C}\alpha_s^v$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s} = \mathbf{C}e_s$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_s} = \mathbf{C}f_s$ [3, Chapter 1]. The height of $\sum_s k_s \alpha_s \in Q$ is $\sum_s k_s$.

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha \in Q \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0\}$ be the set of *roots* of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$; it is $W(A)$ -invariant [3, Chapter 3]. Put $\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $Q_+ = \sum_s \mathbf{Z}_+ \alpha_s \subset Q$. Elements of $\Delta_+ := Q_+ \cap \Delta$ are called *positive roots*. One knows that $\Delta = \Delta_+ \sqcup -\Delta_+$ ($\sqcup$ denotes a disjoint union). Elements of $\Delta^{\text{re}} := \{w \cdot \alpha_s \mid w \in W(A), s \in S\}$ are called *real roots*. Put $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} = \Delta^{\text{re}} \cap \Delta_+$; then $\Delta^{\text{re}} = \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \sqcup -\Delta_+^{\text{re}}$ (see [3, Chapters 1 and 5] for details).

In § 4, we will need

LEMMA 2.1.

- (a) If $w \in W(A)$ and $w \neq 1$, then there exists $s \in S$ such that $w \cdot \alpha_s \in -\Delta_+^{\text{re}}$
- (b) If J is a subset of S , then

$$\bigcap_{w \in W_J} w \cdot \Delta_+^{\text{re}} = \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s.$$

- (c) If $s \in S$, then the set $\Phi_s := \{\beta \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s \mid \langle \alpha_s^v, \beta \rangle \geq 0\}$ satisfies the following two properties.

- (i) $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} = \Phi_s \cup (s \cdot \Phi_s) \cup \{\alpha_s\}$;
- (ii) if $\beta \in \Phi_s$, then $\Delta_+ \cap (\beta + \mathbf{Z}_+ \beta + \mathbf{Z}_+ \alpha_s) = \Phi_s \cap \{\beta, \beta + \alpha_s\}$.

Proof. — (a) is proved e.g. in [3, LEMMA 3.11]. Since $\langle \alpha_s, w \cdot \alpha_t^v \rangle > 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle w \cdot \alpha_t, \alpha_s^v \rangle > 0$ for all $s, t \in S$ and $w \in W(A)$ by [6, p. 139], the argument proving [8, LEMMA 1] proves (c). (These arguments are reproduced also in [3, 2nd ed., Exercise 5.19].)

To prove (b), first note that, for any $\beta \in Q$, $\beta + \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s$ is W_J -invariant. Hence if $\beta \in Q$ and $W_J \cdot \beta$ intersects Q_+ and $-Q_+$, then $\beta \in \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s$. This shows that $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s$ is W_J -invariant, so that $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s \subset \bigcap_{w \in W_J} w \cdot \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$. Conversely, if $\beta \in \bigcap_{w \in W_J} w \cdot \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, choose $\gamma \in W_J \cdot \beta$ of minimal height. Then $\gamma \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, and $\langle \gamma, \alpha_s^v \rangle \leq 0$ for all $s \in J$ since $s \cdot \gamma = \gamma - \langle \gamma, \alpha_s^v \rangle \alpha_s$. If also $\gamma \in \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s$, then $\gamma \in \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}_+ \alpha_s$ forces $\langle \gamma, \alpha_s^v \rangle \leq 0$ for all $s \in S \setminus J$, since $\langle \alpha_t, \alpha_s^v \rangle \leq 0$ for all distinct $s, t \in S$, so that $\langle \gamma, \alpha_s^v \rangle \leq 0$ for all $s \in S$, which by [3, PROPOSITION 5.1e] contradicts $\gamma \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$. This proves (b). ■

A complex $G(A)$ -module (V, π) is called *differentiable* if the $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$ -modules $(V, \pi \circ \varphi_s)$ are direct sums of rational finite-dimensional submodules. Given such a module, we have a module $(V, d\pi)$ over $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi(e_s) &= \left. \frac{d}{du} \pi(x_s(u)) \right|_{u=0}, & d\pi(f_s) &= \left. \frac{d}{du} \pi(y_s(u)) \right|_{u=0}, \\ d\pi(\alpha_s^v) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \pi(h_s(t)) \right|_{t=1}. \end{aligned}$$

To check this, we have to show that the relations (g1)–(g3) are annihilated by π . Indeed, (g1) follows from (G2); the first part of (g2) is standard and the second part is clear from (2.1); (g3) follows from (g1) and (g2) by [4, LEMMA 1.1]. Moreover, the $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$ is *integrable* (in the terminology of [8]), i.e. all $d\pi(e_s)$ and $d\pi(f_s)$ are locally nilpotent. Conversely, an integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$ gives rise to a unique differentiable $G(A)$ -module (V, π) satisfying $\pi(x_s(u)) = \exp d\pi(ue_s)$, $\pi(y_s(u)) = \exp d\pi(uf_s)$, $u \in \mathbf{C}$. It follows that the definition of the group $G(A)$ by axioms (G1)–(G3) coincides with that of [8].

If $s, t \in S$ and $a_{s,t} = a_{t,s} = 0$, then (g1) and (g3) show that e_s and f_s commute with e_t and f_t , and therefore G_s and G_t commute.

The adjoint $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(\mathfrak{g}'(A), \text{ad})$ gives rise to the adjoint $G(A)$ -module $(\mathfrak{g}'(A), \text{Ad})$, which is related to a differentiable $G(A)$ -module (V, π) by

$$(2.2) \quad d\pi(\text{Ad}(g)x) = \pi(g)d\pi(x)(g)^{-1} \text{ for } g \in G(A), x \in \mathfrak{g}'(A).$$

This follows from the well-known formula $(\exp d\pi(a))d\pi(x)(\exp -d\pi(a)) = d\pi((\exp \text{ad } a)x)$, for any elements x and a of a Lie algebra and any of its modules $d\pi$ such that $\text{ad } a$ and $d\pi(a)$ are locally nilpotent (see e.g. [3, (3.8.1)]).

It is convenient to introduce an exponential map $\exp$ from certain subset of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ into $G(A)$, as follows. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}'(A)$ be such that $d\pi(x)$ is locally-finite for every integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$. If there exists $g \in G(A)$ such that $\pi(g) = \exp d\pi(x)$ for every integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$, we write : $g = \exp x$. It is shown in [8] that $\exp$ is defined on the set of all ad-locally-finite elements of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ (but we will not use this fact). Note that $x_s(u) = \exp ue_s$, $y_s(u) = \exp uf_s$ and $h_s(e^u) = \exp u\alpha_s^v$ for all $s \in S$ and $u \in \mathbf{C}$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad g(\exp x)g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad}(g)x), \quad g \in G(A).$$

Using integrable highest weight $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -modules, one easily deduces as in [8] the following

LEMMA 2.2.

(a) The homomorphism $(\mathbf{C}^\times)^S \rightarrow G(A)$ defined by $(t_s)_{s \in S} \mapsto \prod_s h_s(t_s)$ is an isomorphism onto H .

(b) The homomorphisms φ_s are injective

(c) $G_s \cap G_{s'} = \{1\}$ for $s \neq s'$. ■

Put $H_+ = \{\prod_s h_s(t_s) \mid (t_s)_{s \in S} \in \mathbf{R}_+^S\}$, where $\mathbf{R}_+$ denotes the multiplicative group of positive real numbers, and put $T = \{\prod_s h_s(t_s) \mid (t_s)_{s \in S} \in (S^1)^S\}$, where S^1 denotes the unit circle. The homomorphism of LEMMA 2.2(a) induces isomorphisms $\mathbf{R}_+^S \xrightarrow{\sim} H_+$, $(S^1)^S \xrightarrow{\sim} T$. Note that $H = H_+ \times T$.

Put $\tilde{s} = \varphi_s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $s \in S$; we have

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{s}^2 = h_s(-1).$$

Recall formula (1.1). One knows that [3, LEMMA 3.8] :

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Ad}(\tilde{s})\mathbf{g}_\alpha = \mathbf{g}_{s \cdot \alpha}; \quad \text{Ad}(h)\mathbf{g}_\alpha = \mathbf{g}_\alpha \text{ for } h \in H.$$

Using (2.1), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{s}' h_s(t) \tilde{s}'^{-1} = h_s(t) h_{s'}(t^{-a_{s,s'}}) \text{ for } t \in \mathbf{C}^\times.$$

Another useful relation, obtained by calculating in $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$, is

$$(2.7) \quad y_s(t) = x_s(t^{-1}) \tilde{s} h_s(-t) x_s(t^{-1}), \text{ for } t \in \mathbf{C}^\times.$$

LEMMA 2.3. — If $s \neq s'$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{s} \tilde{s}' \tilde{s} \cdots = \tilde{s}' \tilde{s} \tilde{s}' \cdots \quad (m_{s,s'}^A \text{ factors on each side}).$$

Proof ([11]). — We may assume that $m_{s,s'}^A \neq 0$. Let g and g' denote the left- and right-hand sides of (2.8). Then, putting $t = s$ or s' according as $m_{s,s'}^A$ is odd or even, we obtain, using (2.3) and (2.5) :

$$g G_t g^{-1} = G_{s'}.$$

(We also use the fact that $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$ is generated by the $x(u)$ and $y(u)$.) Therefore we have :

$$g' g^{-1} = \tilde{s}' g \tilde{t}^{-1} g^{-1} \in \tilde{s}' g G_t g^{-1} = \tilde{s}' G_{s'} = G_{s'}.$$

Interchanging s and s' , we get $g'g^{-1} \in G_S$. By LEMMA 2.2(c), it follows that $g'g^{-1} = 1$. ■

Remark. — If we take

$$\tilde{s} = \varphi_s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_s \\ -t_s^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the $t_s \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ are arbitrary, LEMMA 2.3 and its proof remain valid.

Let N be the subgroup of $G(A)$ generated by H and all the $\tilde{s}$, $s \in S$. Then H is a normal subgroup of N by (2.6). The group $W = N/H$ is called the *Weyl group* of $G(A)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. — *There exists a unique isomorphism of W onto $W(A)$ taking $\tilde{s}H$ to s for all $s \in S$.*

Proof. — (2.5) and LEMMA 1.2 show that there exists a unique homomorphism from W to $W(A)$ taking $\tilde{s}H$ to s for all $s \in S$. Formulas (2.4) and (2.8) show that there exists a unique homomorphism from $W(A)$ to W taking s to $\tilde{s}H$ for all $s \in S$. ■

Using PROPOSITION 2.1, we identify S with a subset of W by identifying $s \in S$ with the coset $\tilde{s}H \in N/H = W$. In the same way, we sometimes also identify $W(A)$ and W .

COROLLARY 2.1.

(a) (W, S) is a Coxeter system with Coxeter matrix $(m_{s,s'}^A)_{s,s' \in S}$.

(b) N is the group on generators $\tilde{s}$ ($s \in S$) and $h_s(t)$ ($s \in S$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$) with defining relations :

- (N1) $h_s(t)h_s(t') = h_s(tt')$;
- (N2) $h_s(t)h_{s'}(t') = h_{s'}(t')h_s(t)$;
- (N3) $\tilde{s}'h_s(t)\tilde{s}'^{-1} = h_s(t)h_{s'}(t^{-a_{s,s'}})$;
- (N4) $\tilde{s}^2 = h_s(-1)$;
- (N5) $\tilde{s}\tilde{s}'\tilde{s} \cdots = \tilde{s}'\tilde{s}\tilde{s}' \cdots$ ($m_{s,s'}^A$ factors on each side).

Proof. — (a) is immediate from PROPOSITION 2.1. Let N_0 be the group with the generators and relations in (b), and let H_0 be the abelian normal subgroup of N_0 generated by the $h_s(t)$, $s \in S$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Since the relations (N1 – N5) hold in N by formulas (2.1), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.8), there exists a homomorphism μ of N_0 onto N mapping the generators to the corresponding elements of N . By (N1), (N2) and LEMMA 2.2(a), there exists a homomorphism φ of H onto H_0 such that $\mu \circ \varphi = \text{id}_H$. Hence, $H_0 \cap \ker \mu = \{1\}$. But $H_0 = \mu^{-1}(H)$ by (a), so that $\ker \mu \subset H_0$. Hence, $\ker \mu = \{1\}$, proving (b). ■

COROLLARY 2.2. — *The centralizer of H in N is H .*

Proof. — H is clearly an abelian normal subgroup of N . Since $\mathbf{C}$ is an infinite field, the corollary now follows from PROPOSITION 2.1, LEMMA 1.2 and formula (2.6). ■

Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the subgroup of N generated by the $\tilde{s}$, $s \in S$, and let $H_{(2)}$ be the subgroup of H generated by the $\tilde{s}^2 = h_s(-1)$, $s \in S$. (Note that $\widetilde{W}$ is the fixed point set in N of the involution of $G(A)$ defined by $x_s(u) \leftrightarrow y_s(-u)$.)

COROLLARY 2.3.

(a) $H_{(2)} = \{h \in H \mid h^2 = 1\}$, and the inclusion $\widetilde{W} \subset N$ induces an isomorphism from $\widetilde{W}/H_{(2)}$ onto $W = N/H$.

(b) *There exists a unique map $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$ from W into $\widetilde{W}$ satisfying*

- (i) $\tilde{1} = 1$;
- (ii) $\tilde{s} = \varphi_s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for all $s \in S$;
- (iii) $\widetilde{ww'} = \tilde{w}\tilde{w'}$ if $w, w' \in W$ and $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$.

If $\psi : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ is the canonical map, then $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$ is a well-defined section of the map ψ .

Proof. — $H_{(2)} = \{h \in H \mid h^2 = 1\}$ by LEMMA 2.2(a). By PROPOSITION 2.1 and LEMMA 2.3, $N = \widetilde{W}H$ and $\widetilde{W} \cap H$ is generated by the $\widetilde{W}$ -conjugates of the $\tilde{s}^2$, $s \in S$. (a) follows. (b) follows from LEMMA 2.3 and COROLLARY 1.1. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. — $\widetilde{W}$ is the group on generators $\tilde{s}$, $s \in S$, with defining relations :

- (n1) $\tilde{t}\tilde{s}^2\tilde{t}^{-1} = \tilde{s}^2\tilde{t}^{-2a_{s,t}}$.
- (n2) $\tilde{s}\tilde{t}\tilde{s} \cdots = \tilde{t}\tilde{s}\tilde{t} \cdots$ ($m_{s,t}^A$ factors on each side).

Proof. — For $s \in S$, put $h_s = \tilde{s}^2$. Then (n1) and (n2) imply :

- (m1) $h_s^2 = 1$;
- (m2) $h_s h_t = h_t h_s$;
- (m3) $\tilde{t} h_s \tilde{t}^{-1} = h_s h_t^{-a_{s,t}}$;
- (m4) $\tilde{s}^2 = h_s$;
- (m5) $\tilde{s}\tilde{t}\tilde{s} \cdots = \tilde{t}\tilde{s}\tilde{t} \cdots$ ($m_{s,t}$ factors on each side).

Indeed, (m3), (m4) and (m5) are clear, and (m1) follows from (n1) with $t = s$. To check (m2), write $h_t h_s h_t^{-1} = \tilde{t}(\tilde{t} h_s \tilde{t}^{-1})\tilde{t}^{-1} = \tilde{t}(h_s h_t^{-a_{s,t}})\tilde{t}^{-1} = (\tilde{t} h_s \tilde{t}^{-1})(\tilde{t} h_t^{-a_{s,t}}\tilde{t}^{-1}) = (h_s h_t^{-a_{s,t}})(h_t^{-a_{s,t}} h_t^{2a_{s,t}}) = h_s$ by (m3) and (m4). This verifies (m2).

The rest of the proof is essentially the same as that of COROLLARY 2.1(b). (One uses $-1 \neq 1$ in $\mathbf{C}^\times$ to construct the analogue of φ .) ■

Introduce the 1-parameter subgroups $U_{\alpha_s} = \{x_s(u) \mid u \in \mathbf{C}\}$, $s \in S$, of $G(A)$. For a real root $\alpha = w \cdot \alpha_s$, take $n \in N$ such that $w = nH$ and put $U_\alpha = nU_{\alpha_s}n^{-1}$. We have $U_\alpha = n(\exp \mathbf{g}_{\alpha_s})u^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad}(n)\mathbf{g}_{\alpha_s}) = \exp \mathbf{g}_{w \cdot \alpha_s} = \exp \mathbf{g}_\alpha$; hence, the 1-parameter group U_α depends only on α . Note that $U_{-\alpha_s} = \{y_s(u) \mid u \in \mathbf{C}\}$. We have :

$$(2.9) \quad nU_\alpha n^{-1} = U_{w \cdot \alpha} \text{ for } n \in N, w \in nH, \alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}.$$

Recall that $\Delta^{\text{re}} = \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \sqcup -\Delta_+^{\text{re}}$. Let U_+ (resp. U_-) be the subgroup of G generated by the subgroups U_α (resp. $U_{-\alpha}$), $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$. (This definition is due to TITS [12]). These subgroups are analogues of maximal unipotent subgroups of reductive algebraic groups; they play an important role in the structure theory of the groups $G(A)$, which we will discuss in §§ 3 and 4.

Finally, it is clear from the axioms (G1)–(G3) that there exists a unique involution ω of $G(A)$ such that $\varphi_s \circ \epsilon = \omega \circ \varphi_s$ for all $s \in S$ (recall that ϵ is the compact involution of $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$). We call ω the *compact involution* of $G(A)$. It is clear that the subgroups G_s and H are stable under ω and that $\widetilde{W}$ is pointwise fixed by ω . Furthermore, $\omega(U_\alpha) = U_{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$, and therefore $\omega(U_+) = U_-$.

Remark. — $\mathbf{g}'(A)$ can be characterized by axioms similar to (G1)–(G3). Also, the category of all integrable $\mathbf{g}'(A)$ -modules and all $\mathbf{g}'(A)$ -module homomorphisms is isomorphic in the obvious way to the category of all differentiable $G(A)$ -modules over $\mathbf{C}$ and all $G(A)$ -module homomorphisms, and this isomorphism is compatible with tensor products, etc.

3. Refined Tits Systems.

We call a 6-tuple (G, N, U_+, U_-, H, S) a *refined Tits system* if the following axioms hold* :

(RT1) G is a group, and N, U_+ and U_- are subgroups of G ; G is generated by N and U_+ ; H is a normal subgroup of N ; H normalizes U_+ and U_- ; S is a subset of $W := N/H$; S generates W ; $s^2 = 1$ for all $s \in S$.

For a subgroup M of G and $w = nH \in W$, we write wM for nM and Mw for Mn if $M \supset H$, and M^w for $n^{-1}Mn$ if H normalizes M .

(RT2) For $s \in S$, put $U_s = U_+ \cap U_-^s$. If $s \in S$ and $w \in W$, then :

* The reader may compare this definition with that of a split BN-pair, extensively used in finite group theory.

- (a) $U_s^s \setminus \{1\} \subset U_s H s U_s$; $U_s^s \neq \{1\}$.
- (b) $U_s^w \subset U_+$ or $U_s^w \subset U_-$.
- (c) $U_+ = U_s(U_+ \cap U_+^s)$.
- (RT3) If $u_- \in U_-$, $n \in N$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_- n u_+ = 1$, then $u_- = n = u_+ = 1$.

Throughout this section, we assume only that (G, N, U_+, U_-, H, S) is a refined Tits system. We will show in § 4 that $(G(A), \dots)$ is a refined Tits system.

Let B be the subgroup of G generated by H and U_+ , so that $B = H \rtimes U_+$ by (RT1,3).

Remark. — If (G, N, U_+, U_-, H, S) is a refined Tits system, and if M is a subgroup of G such that $U_s \cup U_s^s \subset M$ for all $s \in S$, and M is generated by $N \cap M$ and $U_+ \cap M$, then $(M, N \cap M, U_+ \cap M, U_- \cap M, H \cap M, S_M)$ is a refined Tits system, where S_M corresponds to S under the isomorphism $(N \cap M)/(H \cap M) \xrightarrow{\sim} N/H$ induced by the inclusion $N \cap M \subset N$. In particular, the subgroup of G generated by the U_s and U_s^s , and the subgroup of G generated by N and the U_s , satisfy these conditions.

LEMMA 3.1.

- (a) $B \cap N = H$.
- (b) If $s \in S$, then $sBs \neq B$.
- (c) Let $s \in S$ and $w \in W$. Then :
 - (i) Exactly one of the following holds :
 - $U_s^w \subset U_+$ and $U_s^{sw} \subset U_-$;
 - $U_s^{sw} \subset U_+$ and $U_s^w \subset U_-$.
 - (ii) $sBw \subset BswU_s^w$ and $sBw \subset Bsw \cup BwU_s^{sw}$.

Proof. — (a) follows from (RT3).

To prove (b), note that $U_s \cap sBs = (U_s^s \cap B)^s \subset (U_- \cap B)^s = \{1\} \not\subset U_s = U_s \cap B$.

To prove c(i), note that U_s^w is contained in exactly one of U_+ and U_- , and U_s^{sw} is contained in exactly one of U_+ and U_- . But by (RT2a), $U_s^w U_s^{sw} U_s^w \cap N \neq \{1\}$. Since $U_- \cap N = \{1\} = U_+ \cap N$ by (RT3), U_s^w and U_s^{sw} cannot both be contained in U_- or in U_+ . This proves c(i).

To prove c(ii), we write $sBw = s[(U_+ \cap U_+^s)H U_s]w = (U_+ \cap U_+^s)H s w U_s^w \subset BswU_s^w$ and $sBw = (U_+ \cap U_+^s)U_s^s H s w \subset (U_+ \cap U_+^s)(\{1\} \cup U_s H s U_s)H s w \subset Bsw \cup BwU_s^{sw}$. ■

LEMMA 3.1 shows that (G, B, N, S) is a Tits system (see [1] for the definition). The following are some well-known properties of Tits systems [1] :

- (3.1) $G = \coprod_{w \in W} BwB$ (Bruhat decomposition);
- (3.2) (W, S) is a Coxeter system;

(3.3) $l(sw) > l(w) \Leftrightarrow sBw \subset BswB$ for $s \in S$ and $w \in W$.

(3.4) $P_J := BW_JB$ is a subgroup of G for any $J \subset S$, and any subgroup of G containing B is of this form.

Since (W, S) is a Coxeter system by (3.2), we have its Coxeter matrix $(m_{s,t})_{s,t \in S}$ ($m_{s,t}$ is the non-negative integer satisfying $m_{s,t}\mathbf{Z} = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (st)^n = 1\}$).

The groups P_J of (3.4) are called standard *parabolic* subgroups of G . We sometimes write P_s for $P_{\{s\}}$, $s \in S$; these are called *minimal* standard parabolics. Note that for any $J \subset S$, $(P_J, W_J, H, U_+, U_- \cap P_J, H, J)$ is a refined Tits system.

COROLLARY 3.1. — *The normalizer of U_+ in G is B .*

Proof. — The normalizer, say P , of U_+ in G clearly contains B . If $P \neq B$, then $sH \subset P$ for some $s \in S$ by (3.4), so that sH also normalizes $B = HU_+$. This contradicts $sBs \neq B$ from LEMMA 3.1. ■

Let I be a set, and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be an indexed set of groups. For $i, j \in I$, let $M_{\{i,j\}}$ be a group and let $\varphi_{ij} = M_{\{i,j\}} \rightarrow M_i$ be a homomorphism. (Note that $M_{\{i,j\}} = M_{\{j,i\}}$.) The amalgamated product of the φ_{ij} is a pair $(M, (\varphi_i)_{i \in I})$, unique up to a unique isomorphism, satisfying :

(AP1) M is a group, and the $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow M$ are homomorphisms satisfying $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{ij} = \varphi_j \circ \varphi_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

(AP2) If L is a group and if $\psi_i : M_i \rightarrow L$, $i \in I$, are homomorphisms satisfying $\psi_i \circ \varphi_{ij} = \psi_j \circ \varphi_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in I$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow L$ satisfying $\psi_i = \psi \circ \varphi_i$ for all $i \in I$.

If the M_i are subgroups of a group F and φ_{ij} is the inclusion $M_i \cap M_j \subset M_i$ for all $i, j \in I$, then we say that the group M defined above is the *amalgamated product* of the M_i . If, moreover, the canonical homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow F$ defined by (AP2) is bijective, then we say that F is the *amalgamated product of its subgroups M_i* .

We say that a subgroup M of G is *W-graded* if, putting $M_w = M \cap BwB$, we have for all $w, w' \in W$:

$$(3.5) \quad M_{ww'} = M_w M_{w'} \quad \text{if} \quad l(ww') = l(w) + l(w').$$

The next two results hold for arbitrary Tits systems.

THEOREM A.

(a) *Any W-graded subgroup M of G is the amalgamated product of its intersections with the P_J , $|J| \leq 2$.*

(b) *G and N are W-graded subgroups of G . If L is a W-graded subgroup of G , and if M is a subgroup of G satisfying $M(L \cap B) = L$, then M is a W-graded subgroup of G .*

(c) Let L be a W -graded subgroup of G , and let Z_s , $s \in S$, be subsets of G such that $L \cap BsB = Z_s(L \cap B)$ for all $s \in S$. Let M be a subgroup of L containing the Z_s . Then M is a W -graded subgroup of G , and $M \cap BsB = Z_s(M \cap B)$ for all $s \in S$. For $s, t \in S$ and $z_1 \in Z_s$, $z_2 \in Z_t$, $z_3 \in Z_s, \dots$, choose $z'_1 \in Z_t$, $z'_2 \in Z_s$, $z'_3 \in Z_t, \dots$ and $b \in M \cap B$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad z_1 z_2 z_3 \cdots = (z'_1 z'_2 z'_3 \cdots) b \quad (m_{s,t} \text{ factors } z \text{ on each side}).$$

Then M is the amalgamated product of $M \cap B$ and the $M \cap P_s$, $s \in S$, modulo the relations (3.6).

Proof. — Let L be a W -graded subgroup of G , put $B_L = L \cap B$, and let the Z_s , $s \in S$, be subsets of G satisfying $L \cap BsB = Z_s B_L$. Note that $L \cap P_s = Z_s B_L \cup B_L \supset B_L Z_s$. Since L is W -graded, we have $L \cap B s_1 \cdots s_k B = (L \cap B s_1 B) \cdots (L \cap B s_k B) = (Z_{s_1} B_L) \cdots (Z_{s_k} B_L) = Z_{s_1} \cdots Z_{s_k} B_L$ for every reduced expression $s_1 \cdots s_k$. In particular, B_L and the Z_s generate L . Choose relations (3.6) as in (c) (with $M = L$), and let $\tilde{L}$ be the amalgamated product of B_L and the $L \cap P_s$, $s \in S$, modulo the chosen relations. We may regard B_L and the Z_s as subsets of $\tilde{L}$. We clearly have :

- (i) B_L is a subgroup of $\tilde{L}$.
- (ii) Z_s , $s \in S$, is a subset of $\tilde{L}$.
- (iii) B_L and the Z_s generate $\tilde{L}$.
- (iv) For all $s \in S$, $B_L \cup Z_s B_L (= L \cap P_s)$ is a subgroup of $\tilde{L}$.
- (v) For all $s, t \in S$, $Z_s Z_t Z_s \cdots B_L = Z_t Z_s Z_t \cdots B_L$
($m_{s,t}$ factors Z on each side).

Using LEMMA 1.1, we deduce that for every $g \in \tilde{L}$, there exists a reduced expression $s_1 \cdots s_k$, where $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$, such that $g \in Z_{s_1} \cdots Z_{s_k} B_L$. Now let $\psi : \tilde{L} \rightarrow L$ be the canonical surjective homomorphism defined by (AP2). If $\psi(g) = 1$, then by (3.1), $\psi(g) \in B s_1 \cdots s_k B$ forces $k = 0$ and hence $g \in B_L$. Since ψ is the identity on B_L , we deduce that $g = 1$. Hence, ψ is bijective. This verifies (a) and also the case $M = L$ of (c).

We now prove (b). By (3.2) and (3.3), G and N are W -graded subgroups of G . Now let L be a W -graded subgroup of G and let M be a subgroup of G satisfying $M(L \cap B) = L$. For $w \in W$, put $L_w = L \cap BwB$ and $M_w = M \cap BwB$. Then, if $w, w' \in W$ and $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ww'}(L \cap B) &= L_{ww'} = L_w L_{w'} = M_w(L \cap B) L_{w'} \\ &= M_w L_{w'} = M_w M_{w'}(L \cap B), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ww'} &= M_{ww'}(M \cap B) = M_{ww'}(L \cap B) \cap M \\ &= M_w M_{w'}(L \cap B) \cap M = M_w M_{w'}(M \cap B) = M_w M_{w'}. \end{aligned}$$

This verifies (b). (c) follows from (b) and the special case $M = L$ of (c). ■

Remark. — For $M = G$, TITS (see [9]) has proved a stronger version of (a) : G is the amalgamated product of N , B and the P_s . Actually, Tits defined the groups associated to $\mathbf{g}'(A)$ in this way [12]. Our results imply that our group $G(A)$ is isomorphic to his “minimal” group. In [12] one can find also a discussion of the relationship of these groups to that considered by other authors.

If X and $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$ are subsets of G , we write $X = Y_1 \cdots Y_k$ [unique] if $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_k$ defines a bijection from $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_k$ onto X .

The following crucial statement is a generalization of a theorem of STEINBERG [10, THEOREM 15].

PROPOSITION 3.1. — *If $w, w' \in W$ satisfy $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$, and if X, Y are subsets of G satisfying $BwB = XB$ [unique] and $Bw'B = YB$ [unique], then $Bww'B = XYB$ [unique].*

Proof. — Fix subsets X_s of G , $s \in S$, such that $BsB = X_sB$ [unique]. First, consider the case $w = s \in S$. Then by (3.3), we have $Bsw'B = (BsB)(Bw'B) = X_sBw'B = X_sYB$. To prove uniqueness, suppose $xyb = x'y'b'$, where $x, x' \in X_s$, $y, y' \in Y$, $b, b' \in B$. If $(x')^{-1}x \in BsB$, then, by (3.3), $y'b' = (x')^{-1}xyb \in Bsw'B$, which is impossible since $y'b' \in Bw'B$ (the decomposition (3.1) is disjoint). Hence, by (3.4), the only possibility is that $x'^{-1}x \in B$. It follows that $x \in x'B$ and hence $x = x'$. But then $yb = y'b'$ and hence $y = y'$, $b = b'$. (This argument is due to STEINBERG [10].)

Now, fix $w \in W$. Taking a reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, we deduce by induction on k from what has already been proved :

$$Bs_1s_2 \cdots s_kw'B = (Bs_1B)(Bs_2 \cdots s_kw'B) = X_{s_1}X_{s_2} \cdots X_{s_k}YB [\text{unique}].$$

Put $X' = X_{s_1} \cdots X_{s_k}$ for short; we have proved $Bww'B = X'YB$ [unique] for any choice of Y . We have : $Bww'B = X'YB = X'(BYB) = (X'B)YB = (XB)YB = X(BYB) = XYB$. To prove uniqueness for any choice of X , we show :

$$(3.7) \quad z, z' \in BwB \quad \text{and} \quad zBw'B \cap z'Bw'B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow zB = z'B.$$

Indeed, write $z = xb$, $z' = x'b'$, where $x, x' \in X'$ and $b, b' \in B$. Then $xBw'B \cap x'Bw'B \neq \emptyset$, hence $xYB \cap x'YB \neq \emptyset$, hence $x = x'$ and (3.7) is proved.

If now $x, x' \in X$ but $xYB \cap x'YB \neq \emptyset$, then $xB = x'B$ from (3.7), so $x = x'$, which implies the uniqueness in question. ■

LEMMA 3.2. — *The following three assertions on $s \in S$ and $w \in W$ are equivalent :*

- (i) $U_s^w \subset U_+$;
- (ii) $U_s^{sw} \subset U_-$;
- (iii) $l(sw) > l(w)$.

Proof. — By LEMMA 3.1(c) and (3.3) we have : $U_s^w \subset U_+ \Rightarrow sBw \subset BswB \Rightarrow l(sw) > l(w) \Rightarrow sBsw \not\subset BwB \Rightarrow U_s^{sw} \not\subset U_+ \Rightarrow U_s^{sw} \subset U_- \Rightarrow U_s^w \subset U_+$. ■

For $s \in S$, let G_s be the subgroup of G generated by U_s and U_s^s .

COROLLARY 3.2. — *If $s, t \in S$ and $w \in W$, then :*

- (i) $U_s^w = U_t \Leftrightarrow wt = sw$ and $l(sw) > l(w)$;
- (ii) $U_s^w = U_t^t \Leftrightarrow wt = sw$ and $l(sw) < l(w)$;
- (iii) $\{U_s^w, U_s^{sw}\} = \{U_t, U_t^t\} \Leftrightarrow wt = sw$;
- (iv) $G_s^w = G_t \Leftrightarrow wt = sw$.

Proof. — If $wt = sw$ and $l(sw) > l(w)$, then $U_s^w \subset U_+$ and $U_s^{wt} = U_s^{sw} \subset U_-$ by LEMMA 3.2, so that $U_s^w \subset (U_+ \cap U_-) = U_t$; since $U_t^{w^{-1}} \subset U_s$ by symmetry, we get $U_s^w = U_t$. Now suppose that $U_s^w = U_t$. Then $U_s^w \subset U_+$ and $U_s^{wt} \subset U_-$, so that $l(sw) > l(w)$ and $l(swt) < l(wt)$ by LEMMA 3.2. By [1], we deduce that $wt = sw$.

This proves (i); (ii) follows from (i), and (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). (iv) follows from (iii) since $\{U_s^w, U_s^{sw}\} = \{G_s^w \cap U_+, G_s^w \cap U_-\}$ and $\{U_t, U_t^t\} = \{G_t \cap U_+, G_t \cap U_-\}$. ■

We now prove analogues of several of the results of § 2 for arbitrary refined Tits systems.

COROLLARY 3.3.

(a) *Let $s, t \in S$, and assume that $G_s \cap G_t = \{1\}$. Choose $\tilde{s} \in G_s \cap sH$ and $\tilde{t} \in G_t \cap tH$. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{s}\tilde{t}\tilde{s} \cdots = \tilde{t}\tilde{s}\tilde{t} \cdots (m_{s,t} \text{ factors on each side}).$$

(b) *Assume that $G_s \cap G_t = 1$ whenever $s, t \in S$ and $m_{s,t} \geq 2$, and choose elements $\tilde{s}$ of $G_s \cap sH$, $s \in S$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be a subgroup of N containing the $\tilde{s}$, $s \in S$. Then :*

(i) *There exists a function $w \rightarrow \tilde{w}$ from W into $\tilde{W}$ satisfying : $\tilde{1} = 1$; $\tilde{s}$, $s \in S$, is as selected; $\widetilde{ww'} = \tilde{w}\tilde{w'}$ if $w, w' \in W$ and $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$; $\tilde{w}H = w$ for all $w \in W$.*

(ii) *$\tilde{W}$ is the amalgamated product of its subgroups $\tilde{W} \cap B = \tilde{W} \cap H$ and $\tilde{W} \cap P_s = \tilde{W} \cap (H \cup sH)$, $s \in S$, modulo the relations (3.8).*

Proof. — To prove (a), let g and g' be the left-hand and right-hand sides of (3.8), respectively, and put $w = sts \cdots (m_{s,t} \text{ factors})$ and $r = w^{-1}tw$.

Using $s^2 = t^2 = (st)^{m_{s,t}} = 1$, we have : $r = s$ or $r = t$, so that $r \in S$, and $\tilde{t}g = g'\tilde{r}$. Using COROLLARY 3.2, we have $gg'^{-1} = g\tilde{r}g^{-1}\tilde{t}^{-1} \in gG_rg^{-1}G_t = G_r^{w^{-1}}G_t = G_tG_t = G_t$ and, similarly, $g'g^{-1} \in G_s$. Hence, $g'g^{-1} \in G_s \cap G_t = \{1\}$, so that $g = g'$, proving (a). b(i) follows from (a) and COROLLARY 1.1, b(i) follows from (a) and THEOREM A. ■

PROPOSITION 3.2.

- (a) $G = \coprod_{n \in N} U_+ n U_+$ (Bruhat decomposition).
- (b) If $w \in W$, then $U_+ w B = U_+(wH)(U_+ \cap U_-^w)$ [unique].
- (c) $G = U_+ U_- N$.
- (d) If $w, w' \in W$ satisfy $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$, then :
 - (i) $U_- \cap U_+^{ww'} = (U_- \cap U_+^w)^{w'}(U_- \cap U_+^{w'})$ [unique] ;
 - (ii) $U_+ \cap U_-^{ww'} = (U_+ \cap U_-^w)^{w'}(U_+ \cap U_-^{w'})$ [unique] ;
 - (iii) $U_+ \cap U_+^{w'} = (U_+ \cap U_-^w)^{w'}(U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'})$ [unique].

Proof. — By the axioms, we have $B^s B = U_s^s B$ [unique] for each $s \in S$. By repeated use of PROPOSITION 3.1, we deduce that if $l(w) = k$ and $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, where $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$, then $B^w B = U_{s_1}^{s_1 \cdots s_k} U_{s_2}^{s_2 \cdots s_k} \cdots U_{s_k}^{s_k} B$ [unique]. But $U_{s_1}^{s_1 \cdots s_k} U_{s_2}^{s_2 \cdots s_k} \cdots U_{s_k}^{s_k} \subset U_- \cap U_+^w$ by LEMMA 3.2, and $(U_- \cap U_+^w)B \subset B^w B$. Since $U_- \cap B = \{1\}$, we deduce that

$$U_- \cap U_+^w = U_{s_1}^{s_1 \cdots s_k} U_{s_2}^{s_2 \cdots s_k} \cdots U_{s_k}^{s_k} \quad [\text{unique}]$$

and

$$(3.8.1) \quad B^w B = (U_- \cap U_+^w)B \quad [\text{unique}].$$

The first equality applied to ww' implies d(i), and (3.8.1) applied to w^{-1} implies (b) by taking inverses. By applying d (i) to $w'^{-1}w^{-1}$, taking inverses and conjugating by ww' , we obtain d(ii).

By induction on $l(w)$, we next prove

$$(3.8.2) \quad U_+^w = (U_+^w \cap U_-)(U_+^w \cap U_+) \quad [\text{unique}].$$

We may assume $w \neq 1$. Choose $s \in S$ such that $l(sw) < l(w)$. Then $U_+ \subset U_s U_+^s$ by (RT2), so that $U_+^w \subset U_s^w U_+^{sw}$. Since $U_s^w \subset U_-$ by LEMMA 3.2, the induction hypothesis gives $U_+^{sw} \subset U_- U_+$. Therefore,

$$U_+^w \cap B = (U_+^w \cap U_- U_+) \cap B = U_+^w \cap (U_- U_+ \cap B) = U_+^w \cap U_+,$$

the last equality by (RT3). Since $U_+^w \subset B^w B$, (3.8.2) now follows from (3.8.1).

We now prove d (iii). Using (3.8.2) applied to w'^{-1} and w , we obtain $U_+ = (U_+ \cap U_-^{w'}) (U_+ \cap U_+^{w'})$ and $U_+^{ww'} = (U_+^{ww'} \cap U_-^{w'}) (U_+^{ww'} \cap U_+^{w'})$. Since $U_-^{w'} \cap U_+^{w'} = \{1\}$, we deduce

$$U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} = (U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} \cap U_-^{w'}) (U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} \cap U_+^{w'}).$$

But $U_+^{ww'} \cap U_-^{w'} \subset U_-$ by d(i), so that the first factor $U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} \cap U_-^{w'}$ is $\{1\}$; therefore, $U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} = U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} \cap U_+^{w'}$, i.e., $U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'} \subset U_+^{w'}$. By (3.8.2) applied to $(ww')^{-1}$ and d(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_+ &= (U_+ \cap U_-^{ww'}) (U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'}) \text{ [unique]} \\ &= (U_+ \cap U_-^{w'})^{w'} (U_+ \cap U_-^{w'}) (U_+ \cap U_+^{ww'}) \text{ [unique]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first and third factors are contained in $U_+^{w'}$, and the second factor intersects $U_+^{w'}$ in $\{1\}$, we obtain d(iii).

By (3.8.2) applied to $w = nH$, we have $U_+ n U_+ \subset n U_- U_+$ for all $n \in N$. If $n, n' \in N$ and $U_+ n U_+ \cap U_+ n' U_+ \neq \emptyset$, then $n' \in U_+ n U_+ \subset n U_- U_+$ and so $n' = n$ by (RT3). Using (3.1), we deduce (a) and $G = N U_- U_+$. (c) follows by taking inverses. ■

COROLLARY 3.4. — $\bigcap_{w \in W} U_-^w = \{1\}$.

Proof. — Suppose $u \in \bigcap_{w \in W} U_-^w$. By (3.1) and PROPOSITION 3.2 (b) write $u = u_+ u_- n$, where $u_+ \in U_+$, $n \in N$ and $u_- \in U_- \cap n U_+ n^{-1}$. Then $[U_- (n u n^{-1})^{-1}] n u_+ = 1$, and $n u n^{-1} \in U_-$ by assumption, so that by (RT3), $u_- = n u n^{-1}$ and $n = 1$. Since $u_- \in U_- \cap n U_+ n^{-1} = U_- \cap U_+ = \{1\}$, we have $u = 1$. ■

PROPOSITION 3.3.

- (a) $G = \coprod_{n \in N} U_- n U_+$ (Birkhoff decomposition).
- (b) If $w \in W$, then $U_- w B = U_- (wH) (U_+ \cap U_+^w)$ [unique].
- (c) $G = U_- U_+ N$.

Proof. — If $s \in S$ and $w \in W$, then $s B w \subset B s w U_- \cup B w U_-$ by LEMMA 3.1 (c). We conclude that $U_+ N U_-$ is stable under left multiplication by N and U_+ and hence equals G . Hence, $G = G^{-1} = U_- N U_+$. By (3.8.2) applied to $w = n^{-1}H$, we have $U_- n U_+ \subset U_- U_+ n$ for all $n \in N$. If $n, n' \in N$ and $U_- n U_+ \cap U_- n' U_+ \neq \emptyset$, then $n' \in U_- n U_+ \subset U_- U_+ n$ and so $n' = n$ by (RT3). Using (3.1), we deduce (a) and (c). (b) follows from (3.8.2) applied to w^{-1} and (RT3). ■

PROPOSITION 3.4. — U_- is generated by its subgroups U_s^w , where $s \in S$ and $w \in W$ are such that $l(sw) < l(w)$.

Proof. — Let U' be the subgroup of U_- generated by these U_s^w . Then $G = U'NU_+$ by the argument proving PROPOSITION 3.3(a). (We also use LEMMA 3.2 here.)

Hence, $U' \subset U_- \subset U'NU_+$, which implies $U_- = U'$ by (RT3). ■

We now determine the structure of U_- in certain cases.

PROPOSITION 3.5.

(a) If $s \in S$, $w \in W$ and $l(w^{-1}sw) = 2l(w) + 1$, then

$$U_- \cap U_+^{sw} \subset Bw^{-1}swB \cup (U_- \cap U_+^w).$$

(b) If $|S| = 2$ and $s \in S$, then

$$U_-^{(s)} := U_- \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{w \in W \\ l(w) > l(ws)}} U_+^w \right)$$

is a subgroup of U_- .

(c) If $S = \{s, t\}$ and $m_{s,t} = 0$, so that W is an infinite dihedral group, then U_- is the free product of its subgroups $U_-^{(s)}$ and $U_-^{(t)}$ defined in (b).

Proof. — In the situation of (a), write $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, where $k = l(w)$. Then we have, by PROPOSITION 3.2 d(i) applied to sw and by (RT2) :

$$\begin{aligned} U_-^{w^{-1}} \cap (U_+^s \setminus U_+) &= (U_-^{w^{-1}} \cap U_+)(U_+^s \setminus \{1\}) \\ &\subset B(U_s H s U_s) \\ &\subset B s B, \text{ and hence, by (3,3),} \\ U_- \cap (U_+^{sw} \setminus U_+^w) &\subset w^{-1} B s B w \subset B w^{-1} s w B. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a).

We now prove (b). Let $S = \{s, t\}$. If $m_{s,t} \neq 0$, we put $w_0 = sts \cdots (m_{s,t} \text{ factors})$. Using PROPOSITION 3.4, we then deduce that $U_+^{w_0} \supset U_-$ and hence that $U_-^{(s)} = U_-^{(t)} = U_-$. If $m_{s,t} = 0$, then it is easy to check that for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, there exists a unique $w_n \in W$ satisfying $l(w_n) = n > l(w_n s)$, and by using PROPOSITION 3.2 d(i) that $U_- \cap U_+^{w_n} \subset U_- \cap U_+^{w_{n+1}}$, so that $U_-^{(s)}$ is an increasing union of subgroups of U_- and hence is a subgroup of U_- . This proves (b).

To prove (c), note that, by using PROPOSITION 3.4, $U_-^{(s)}$ and $U_-^{(t)}$ generate U_- . For $r \in S$, put $W^{(r)} = \{w \in W \mid l(rw) = l(wr) < l(w)\}$; then $U_-^{(r)} \setminus \{1\} \subset \bigcup_{w \in W^{(r)}} BwB$ by using (a). Moreover, it is easy to check that if

$w_1 \in W^{(s)}, w_2 \in W^{(t)}, w_3 \in W^{(s)}, \dots$, then $l(w_1 \cdots w_n) = l(w_1) + \cdots + l(w_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Hence, by (3.1) and (3.3), if $u_1 \in U_-^{(s)}, u_2 \in U_-^{(t)}, u_3 \in U_-^{(s)}, \dots$ and $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots \neq 1$, then $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n \neq 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Similarly, $u_2 u_3 \cdots u_{n+1} \neq 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This proves (c). ■

Conjecture. — U_- is the amalgamated product of its subgroups $U_- \cap U_+^w$, $w \in W$. (PROPOSITION 3.5(c) confirms this when W is an infinite dihedral group; the conjecture is trivial when W is finite.)

We can now prove a generalization of a theorem of NAGAO [9] :

COROLLARY 3.5. — Assume that $S = \{s, t\}$ and $m_{s,t} = 0$, (so that W is an infinite dihedral group), and that $U_- = U_s^s \rtimes (U_- \cap U_-^s)$. Then the “opposite minimal parabolic” $P_s^- := HG_s \rtimes (U_- \cap U_-^s)$ is the amalgamated product of its subgroups HG_s and $HU_-^{(s)}$ (defined in PROPOSITION 3.5 (b)).

Proof. — Put $U_1 = U_-^{(s)} \cap U_-^s$. Clearly, H normalizes U_1 and $U_s^s \cap U_1 = \{1\}$. LEMMA 3.2 and the assumption $U_- = U_s^s \rtimes (U_- \cap U_-^s)$ imply that U_s^s normalizes U_1 . PROPOSITION 3.2(d) shows that $U_-^{(s)} = U_s^s U_1$ and that $U_1^s = U_-^{(t)}$. We therefore obtain :

$$(3.9) \quad U_-^{(s)} = U_s^s \rtimes U_1, \text{ and } H \text{ normalizes } U_1.$$

$$(3.10) \quad U_-^{(t)} = U_1^s.$$

By using (RT2a), we obtain :

$$(3.11) \quad HG_s = HU_s^s \cup U_s^s s HU_s^s.$$

Now, let $\tilde{P}_s^-$ be the amalgamated product of the subgroups HG_s and $HU_-^{(s)}$ of P_s^- , and let $\Psi : \tilde{P}_s^- \rightarrow P_s^-$ be the canonical map. Identifying HG_s and $HU_-^{(s)}$ with subgroups of $\tilde{P}_s^-$, let F be the subgroup of $\tilde{P}_s^-$ generated by $\bigcup_{g \in HG_s} g U_1 g^{-1}$. Fixing $n \in sH$, (3.9) and (3.11) imply that F is generated by U_1 and $\bigcup_{u \in U_s^s} u n U_1 (un)^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{U}_-$ be the subgroup of $\tilde{P}_s^-$ generated by U_s^s and F . Using (3.9), we see that $\tilde{U}_-$ is generated by $U_-^{(s)}$ and $n U_1 n^{-1}$. Clearly, $\Psi = \text{id}$ on $U_-^{(s)}$, and Ψ maps $n U_1 n^{-1}$ isomorphically onto $U_-^{(t)}$ by (3.10). Hence, by PROPOSITION 3.5 (c), Ψ maps $\tilde{U}_-$ isomorphically onto U_- . Since also $\Psi = \text{id}$ on HG_s , we see that Ψ is surjective. By using (3.11) and $\tilde{P}_s^- = HG_s F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_s^- &= H \tilde{U}_- \cup U_s^s n H \tilde{U}_- \\ &= H \tilde{U}_- \cup n H U_s^s \tilde{U}_- \subset (HG_s \cap N U_+) \tilde{U}_-. \end{aligned}$$

If $g \in \tilde{P}_s^-$ and $\Psi(g) = 1$, write $g = g'u$, where $g' \in HG_s \cap NU_+$ and $u \in \tilde{U}_-$. Since $\Psi = \text{id}$ on HG_s and $\Psi(\tilde{U}_-) \subset U_-$, we have $1 = \Psi(g) = \Psi(g')\Psi(u) = g'\Psi(u)$ and hence $g' = \Psi(u) = 1$ by (RT3). But Ψ is injective on $\tilde{U}_-$. Therefore, $u = 1$ and so $g = 1$. This shows that Ψ is injective. ■

Let k be a field, NAGAO's theorem states that $SL_2(k[t^{-1}])$ is the amalgamated product of its subgroups

$$SL_2(k) \text{ and } \left\{ g \in SL_2(k[t^{-1}]) \mid g = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We deduce this result from COROLLARY 3.5, as follows. Put

$$\begin{aligned} G &= SL_2(k((t))), & H &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in k^\times \right\}, \\ U_+ &= \left\{ g \in SL_2(k(t)) \mid g(t=0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ U_- &= \left\{ g \in SL_2(k[t^{-1}]) \mid g(t=\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let N be the subgroup of G generated

$$\text{by } H \text{ and } n_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-1} \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put $S = \{n_1H, n_2H\} \subset N/H = W$ and $s = n_1H \in S$. It is easy to check that (G, N, U_+, U_-, H, S) is a refined Tits system. (To check (RT3), one notes that $n \in N$ and $U_- \cap nU_+n^{-1} = \{1\}$ imply $n \in H$.) Since $U_- = U_s^s \propto (U_- \cap U_-^s)$, and since W is an infinite dihedral group, COROLLARY 3.5 applies. The conclusion is NAGAO's theorem.

Remark. — In the example above, it is easy to check that G is generated by N and U_+ by using the fact that $k((t))$ is a field. The corresponding fact for $k[t, t^{-1}]$ may be proved by using the density of $k[t, t^{-1}]$ in $k((t))$ and the fact that U_+ is an open subgroup. Furthermore, using the involution $t \rightarrow t^{-1}$ of $k[t, t^{-1}]$, we deduce by using PROPOSITION 3.4 the well-known fact that $SL_2(k[t, t^{-1}])$ is generated by its subgroups

$$SL_2(k) \text{ and } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & bt^{-1} \\ ct & d \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(k) \right\}.$$

Define a map $\theta : U_-HU_+ \rightarrow H$ by $\theta(u_hu_+) = h$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. — *If $w, w' \in W$ and if $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$, then*

$$(3.12) \quad \theta(n'^{-1}gn'g') = n'^{-1}\theta(g)n'\theta(g')$$

for all $g \in B^w B$, $g' \in B^{w'} B$ and $n' \in w' H$.

Proof. — First, we prove (3.12) for $g' = 1$. By (3.8.1), write $g = u_- h u_+$, where $u_- \in U_- \cap U_+^w$, $h \in H$ and $u_+ \in U_+$. By (3.8.2), write $n'^{-1} u_+ n' = u'_- u'_+$, where $u'_- \in U_-$ and $u'_+ \in U_+$. By PROPOSITION 3.2 d (i), $(U_- \cap U_+^w)^{w'} \subset U_-$, so $n'^{-1} u_- n' \in U_-$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n'^{-1} g n' &= ((n'^{-1} u_- n')((n'^{-1} h n') u'_- (n'^{-1} h n')^{-1}))(n'^{-1} h n') u'_+ \\ &\in U_- (n'^{-1} h n') U_+, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\theta(n'^{-1} g n') = n'^{-1} h n' = n'^{-1} \theta(g) n'$.

The proof of (3.12) for arbitrary $g' \in B^{w'} B$ follows by a straightforward calculation. Write $g' = n'^{-1} b n' b'$, where $b, b' \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(n'^{-1} g n' g') &= \theta(n'^{-1} (g b) n' b') = \theta(n'^{-1} (g b) n') \theta(b') \\ &= n'^{-1} \theta(g b) n' \theta(b') = n'^{-1} \theta(g) \theta(b) n' \theta(b') \\ &= n'^{-1} \theta(g) n' (n'^{-1} \theta(b) n') \theta(b') \\ &= n'^{-1} \theta(g) n' \theta(n'^{-1} b n') \theta(b') \\ &= n'^{-1} \theta(g) n' \theta(n'^{-1} b n' b') \\ &= n'^{-1} \theta(g) n' \theta(g'). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.7. — *Let K be a subgroup of G satisfying $K \cap U_+ = \{1\}$, and put $T = \theta(K \cap B)$. Let H_+ be a normal subgroup of N , and assume that $H = H_+ T$ [unique]. Assume that $U_s \subset K B s$ for all $s \in S$. Assume that $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$ is a map from W to N satisfying : $s = \tilde{s} H$ for all $s \in S$; $\tilde{1} = 1$; $\widetilde{w w'} = \tilde{w} \tilde{w'}$ for all $w, w' \in W$ such that $l(w w') = l(w) + l(w')$. For $w \in W$, put*

$$(3.13) \quad Z_w = \{k \in K \cap B w B \mid \theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} k) \in H_+\}.$$

Then :

- (a) (i) $G = K H_+ U_+$ [unique];
- (ii) for all $w \in W$, $B w B = Z_w B$ [unique];
- (iii) for all $w, w' \in W$ such that $l(w w') = l(w) + l(w')$,
 $Z_{w w'} = Z_w Z_{w'}$ [unique].

(b) For $s, t \in S$ and $m_{s,t}$ elements $z_1 \in Z_s, z_2 \in Z_t, z_3 \in Z_s, \dots$, there exists a unique sequence of $m_{s,t}$ elements $z'_1 \in Z_t, z'_2 \in Z_s, z'_3 \in Z_t, \dots$ satisfying

$$(3.14) \quad z_1 z_2 z_3 \cdots = z'_1 z'_2 z'_3 \cdots (m_{s,t} \text{ factors on each side}).$$

Furthermore, K is the amalgamated product of its subgroups $K \cap B$ and $K \cap P_s$, $s \in S$, modulo the relations (3.14).

Proof. — For $s \in S$, we have $BsB = U_s s B \subset KBs s B = KB$, and hence $G = KB$ by (3.1) and PROPOSITION 3.1. But $B = TH_+ U_+ = TU_+ H_+ = (K \cap B)U_+ H_+ = (K \cap B)H_+ U_+$. Hence, $G = KH_+ U_+$. If $k, k' \in K$, $h, h' \in H_+$, $u, u' \in U_+$ and $kh u = k' h' u'$, then $k^{-1} k' \in K \cap B$ and $\theta(k^{-1} k') = h h'^{-1} \in H_+$. Since $\theta(K \cap B) \cap H_+ = \{1\}$, we conclude that $h = h'$ and hence $k^{-1} k' = h' u u'^{-1} h'^{-1} \in K \cap U_+ = \{1\}$, so that $k = k'$ and $u = u'$. This proves a(i).

To prove a (ii), fix $w \in W$. If $k \in K \cap BwB$, choose $t \in K \cap B$ such that $\theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} k) \in H_+ \theta(t)$. Then $k = (kt^{-1})t \in Z_w B$. Using a (i), we deduce that $BwB = KB \cap BwB = Z_w B$. Now suppose that $z, z' \in Z_w$, $b, b' \in B$ and $zb = z'b'$. Put $g = z^{-1} z' = b b'^{-1} \in K \cap B$, so that $\theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} z') = \theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} z g) = \theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} z) \theta(g)$. Hence, $\theta(g) = \theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} z)^{-1} \theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} z') \in T \cap H_+ = \{1\}$, so that $g \in U_+ \cap K = \{1\}$. This shows that $z = z'$ and $b = b'$, verifying a (ii).

To prove a (iii), fix $w, w' \in W$ such that $l(w w') = l(w) + l(w')$. We claim that $Z_w Z_{w'} \subset Z_{w w'}$. To verify this, let $k \in Z_w$ and $k' \in Z_{w'}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} k k' &\in Z_w Z_{w'} \subset (K \cap BwB)(K \cap Bw'B) \\ &\subset K \cap (BwB)(Bw'B) = K \cap Bw w' B, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\widetilde{w w'}^{-1} k k') &= \theta((\tilde{w} \tilde{w'})^{-1} k k') = \theta(\tilde{w}'^{-1} (\tilde{w}^{-1} k) \tilde{w}' (\tilde{w}'^{-1} k')) \\ &= \tilde{w}'^{-1} \theta(\tilde{w}^{-1} k) \tilde{w}' \theta(\tilde{w}'^{-1} k') \\ &\in \tilde{w}'^{-1} H_+ \tilde{w}' H_+ = H_+, \end{aligned}$$

the third equality by PROPOSITION 3.6. This proves the claim. We have : $Z_w Z_{w'} \subset Z_{w w'}$; $BwB = Z_w B$ [unique] and $Bw'B = Z_{w'} B$ [unique]; $Bw w' B = Z_{w w'} B$ [unique]. Using PROPOSITION 3.1, we deduce a(iii).

(b) follows from (a) and THEOREM A. ■

4. $G(A)$ is a refined Tits system.

Fix a generalized Cartan matrix A . Let $G(A)$ be the corresponding group, defined in § 2. Recall the subgroups N, U_+, U_- and H of $G(A)$, the Weyl group $W = N/H$ and the subset S of W , introduced in § 2.

For $s \in S$, put $U_{(s)} = U_{\alpha_s} (= \exp \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s})$ for short. We keep the “exponential” notation M^w of § 3. We shall see that $U_{(s)} = U_+ \cap U_-^s$.

PROPOSITION 4.1.

(a) $G(A)$ is generated by N and U_+ . The group H is a normal subgroup of N ; it normalizes U_+ and U_- . The set S generates W , and $s^2 = 1$ for all $s \in S$.

(b) If $s \in S$ and $w \in W$, then :

- (i) $U_{(s)}$ is a subgroup of $U_+ \cap U_-^s$, and H normalizes $U_{(s)}$.
 - (ii) $U_{(s)} \neq \{1\}$.
 - (iii) $U_{(s)}^s \setminus \{1\} \subset U_{(s)} H s U_{(s)}$.
 - (iv) $U_{(s)}^w \subset U_+$ or $U_{(s)}^w \subset U_-$.
 - (v) $U_+ \subset U_{(s)} U_+^s$.
- (c) (i) If $w \in W$ and $w \neq 1$, then $U_{(s)}^w \subset U_-$ for some $s \in S$.
(ii) If $u_- \in U_-$, $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_- h u_+ = 1$, then $u_- = h = u_+ = 1$.

Before proving PROPOSITION 4.1 we use it to deduce :

PROPOSITION 4.2. — $(G(A), N, U_+, U_-, H, S)$ is a refined Tits system, and $U_{(s)} = U_+ \cap U_-^s$ for all $s \in S$.

Proof. — (RT1) follows from PROPOSITION 4.1 (a). By PROPOSITION 4.1 c(ii), $U_- \cap U_+ = \{1\}$. Hence, by PROPOSITION 4.1 b(i,v), $U_{(s)} = U_+ \cap U_-^s$, which is U_s from § 3. (RT2) now follows from PROPOSITION 4.1 (b). To prove (RT3), suppose that $u_- \in U_-$, $n \in N$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_- n u_+ = 1$. Then, since $U_- \cap U_+ = \{1\}$ by PROPOSITION 4.1 c(ii), we have

$$\{1\} = U_- \cap (u_- n u_+) U_+ (u_- n u_+)^{-1} = u_- (U_- \cap n U_+ n^{-1}) u_-^{-1},$$

so that $U_- \cap n U_+ n^{-1} = \{1\}$. By PROPOSITION 4.1 b(ii) and c(i), this forces $n \in H$. Now $u_- = n = u_+ = 1$ follows from PROPOSITION 4.1 c(ii), proving (RT3). ■

Parts (a) and b(i, iv) of PROPOSITION 4.1 are clear. Part b(ii) is clear since $\text{Ad}(x_s(1))f_s = f_s + \alpha_s^v - e_s \neq f_s$. Part b(iii) follows from formula (2.7), and part c(i) follows from LEMMA 2.1 (a), PROPOSITION 2.1 and formula (2.9). To prove parts b(v) and c(ii), we need some constructions.

Henceforth, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The use of $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ to investigate U_+ , exploited below, was one of the ingredients of Tits [12].

Recall that the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ has a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}'(A) = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{n}_+$, where

$$\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}.$$

We complete the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ with respect to its induced algebra gradation, obtaining an algebra $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}_+})$ consisting of all formal sums $\sum_{\alpha \in Q_+} u_\alpha$, where $u_\alpha \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)_\alpha$. Let $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}_+})$ be the subalgebra of $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}_+})$ consisting of all such formal sums $\sum_{\alpha \in Q_+} u_\alpha$ satisfying the following condition : If $(V, d\pi)$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module and $v \in V$, then $d\pi(u_\alpha)v = 0$ for all but a finite number of $\alpha \in Q_+$. Such a $(V, d\pi)$ then becomes a $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}_+})$ -module $(V, \tilde{\pi})$ by : $\tilde{\pi}(\sum u_\alpha)v = \sum d\pi(u_\alpha)v$.

For $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, define a map $\widetilde{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \rightarrow U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}_+})$ by :

$$\widetilde{\text{exp}} x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} x^n.$$

Let $\tilde{U}_+$ be the subset of $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}_+})$ generated by the $\widetilde{\text{exp}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, under multiplication, so that $\tilde{U}_+$ is a group under multiplication with identity 1.

LEMMA 4.1. — *There exists a unique surjective homomorphism $\Psi : \tilde{U}_+ \rightarrow U_+$ such that $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \Psi$ for every integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$. We have $\text{exp} = \Psi \circ \widetilde{\text{exp}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$.*

Proof. — Let $(V, d\pi)$ be an integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module such that the associated $G(A)$ -module (V, π) is faithful. Clearly, we have $\tilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\text{exp}} x) = \pi(\text{exp } x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$. Hence, $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{U}_+) = \pi(U_+)$. Since π is injective on U_+ , we conclude that there exists a unique map $\Psi : \tilde{U}_+ \rightarrow U_+$ such that $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \Psi$; clearly, Ψ is a surjective homomorphism, and $\text{exp} = \Psi \circ \widetilde{\text{exp}}$ on every $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. If $(V', d\pi')$ is another integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module, then the same reasoning applied to $(V \oplus V', d\pi \oplus d\pi')$ yields a homomorphism $\Psi_0 : \tilde{U}_+ \rightarrow U_+$ satisfying $\tilde{\pi} \oplus \tilde{\pi}' = (\pi \oplus \pi') \circ \Psi_0$, i.e., $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \Psi_0$ and $\tilde{\pi}' = \pi' \circ \Psi_0$. Then $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ by the first equality and the uniqueness of Ψ , so that $\tilde{\pi}' = \pi' \circ \Psi$ by the second one. ■

For $s \in S$, put

$$Y_s^\pm = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_\alpha,$$

where α runs over $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \{\alpha_s\}$ with $\pm\langle\alpha, \alpha_s^v\rangle \geq 0$.

LEMMA 4.2. — *Let $s \in S$. Then :*

- (a) $Y_s^\pm = nY_s^\pm n^{-1}$ for all $n \in sH$.
- (b) U_+ is generated by $U_{(s)}$, Y_s^+ and Y_s^- .
- (c) $uzu^{-1}z^{-1} \in Y_s^+$ for all $u \in U_{(s)}$ and $z \in Y_s^+$.

Proof. — (a) and (b) are clear. If $u = \exp a \in U_{(s)}$ and $z = \exp b \in Y_s^+$, then $(\text{ad } a)^2 b = 0 = (\text{ad } b)^2 a$ by LEMMA 2.1 (c). We have :

$$\begin{aligned} uzu^{-1} &= \Psi(\widetilde{\exp} a) \Psi(\widetilde{\exp} b) \Psi(\widetilde{\exp} - a) \\ &= \Psi((\widetilde{\exp} a)(\widetilde{\exp} b)(\widetilde{\exp} - a)) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} x^n\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (\exp \text{ad } a)b = b + [a, b]$. Since x and b commute, we get

$$\begin{aligned} uzu^{-1}z^{-1} &= \Psi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} x^n\right) \Psi\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m!)^{-1} (-b)^m\right) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} x^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m!)^{-1} (-b)^m\right) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} (x - b)^n\right) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} [a, b]^n\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\exp[a, b] \in Y_s^+$ by LEMMA 2.1 (c), we get $uzu^{-1}z^{-1} = \Psi(\widetilde{\exp}[a, b]) = \exp[a, b] \in Y_s^+$. This proves (c). ■

COROLLARY 4.1. — *Let $s \in S$, and let $U^{(s)}$ be the subgroup of U_+ generated by $\{uzu^{-1} \mid u \in U_{(s)}, z \in Y_s^+ \cup Y_s^-\}$. Then $U_+ = U_{(s)}U^{(s)}$, $U_{(s)}$ normalizes $U^{(s)}$, and $H \cup sH$ normalizes $U^{(s)}$.*

Proof. — By LEMMA 4.2 (a,b), $U_+ = U_{(s)}U^{(s)}$, and $U_{(s)}$ and H normalize $U^{(s)}$. Thus, it suffices to show that if $u \in U_{(s)}$ and $z \in Y_s^+ \cup Y_s^-$, then there exists $n \in sH$ such that $nuzu^{-1}n^{-1} \in U^{(s)}$. If $z \in Y_s^+$, then $uzu^{-1} \in Y_s^+ Y_s^+$ by LEMMA 4.2 (c), and hence $nuzu^{-1}n^{-1} \in Y_s^- Y_s^- \subset U^{(s)}$ for all $n \in sH$ by LEMMA 4.2 (a). If $u = 1$ and $z \in Y_s^-$, then $nuzu^{-1}n^{-1} = nzn^{-1} \in Y_s^+ \subset U^{(s)}$ for all $n \in sH$. Finally, suppose $u \neq 1$ and $z \in Y_s^-$. By

using PROPOSITION 4.1 b(iii), choose $n \in sH$ and $u_1, u_2 \in U_{(s)}$ such that $nu = u_1nu_2n^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} nuzn^{-1}n^{-1} &= u_1nu_2n^{-1}zn u_2^{-1}n^{-1}u_1^{-1} \in u_1nu_2Y_s^+u_2^{-1}n^{-1}u_1^{-1} \\ &\subset u_1nY_s^+Y_s^+n^{-1}u_1^{-1} \\ &\subset u_1Y_s^-Y_s^-u_1^{-1} \subset U^{(s)}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

A $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$ is called Q -graded if there is a vector space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q} V_\beta$ satisfying $d\pi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)V_\beta \subset V_{\alpha+\beta}$.

LEMMA 4.3. — *There exists a Q -graded integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module V which is a faithful $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ -module.*

Proof. — One can take for V the direct sum of all integrable lowest weight $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -modules. In more detail, given $\Lambda = (\lambda_s)_{s \in S} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^S$, define a 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{n}_-)$ -module $\mathbf{C}v_\Lambda$ by $\alpha_s^\vee(v_\Lambda) = -\lambda_s v_\Lambda$, $\mathfrak{n} - (v_\Lambda) = 0$. Let

$$M^*(\Lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}'(A)) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{n}_-)} \mathbf{C}v_\Lambda,$$

regarded as a Q -graded $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module, where the action is defined by left multiplication and the Q -gradation is induced from that of $U(\mathfrak{g}'(A))$ by putting $\deg v_\Lambda = 0$. Then it is easy to see that the Q -graded $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $L^*(\Lambda) = M^*(\Lambda) / \sum_s U(\mathfrak{n}_+)e_s^{\lambda_s+1}(v_\Lambda)$ is integrable (cf. [3, LEMMA 3.4]). We put

$$V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Z}_+^S} L^*(\Lambda).$$

If $u \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)_\beta$, $u \neq 0$ and $u(v_\Lambda) = 0$ in $L^*(\Lambda)$, then $\beta - (\lambda_s + 1)\alpha_s \in Q_+$ for some $s \in S$. It follows that V is a faithful $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ -module. $\blacksquare$

We say that a subgroup F of $G(A)$ is *graded* if $u_- \in U_-$, $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_-hu_+ \in F$ imply $u_-, h, u_+ \in F$.

LEMMA 4.4. — *Let (V, π) be a Q -graded integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module. Then :*

- (a) *$\ker \pi$ is a graded subgroup of $G(A)$.*
- (b) *If V is a faithful $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ -module, then V is a faithful $\widetilde{U(\mathfrak{n}_+)}$ -module.*

Proof. — If $u \in U_+$ and $v \in V_\beta$, then

$$\pi(u)v - v \in \sum_{\alpha \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\}} V_{\beta+\alpha},$$

so that U_+ is “upper triangular” on V . Similarly, $H = \exp g_0$ is “diagonal” on V and U_- is “lower triangular” on V . If now $u_- \in U_-$, $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$

and $u_-hu_+ \in \ker \pi$, then, for all $v \in V_\beta$, $\beta \in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u_+)v - v &= \pi(h^{-1}u_-^{-1})v - v \\ &\in \left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\}} V_{\beta+\alpha} \right) \cap \left(\sum_{\alpha \in -Q_+} V_{\beta+\alpha} \right) = (0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\pi(u_+) = 1$, so that $u_+ \in \ker \pi$ and, similarly, $u_- \in \ker \pi$ and so finally $h \in \ker \pi$. (a) follows. (b) is clear. ■

COROLLARY 4.2.

(a) *The homomorphism Ψ of LEMMA 4.1 is an isomorphism from $\tilde{U}_+$ onto U_+ .*

(b) *If $u_- \in U_-$, $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_-hu_+ = 1$, then $u_- = h = u_+ = 1$.*

(c) *If $s \in S$, then $U_{(s)} \neq \{1\}$ and $U_+ = U_{(s)} \times (U_+ \cap U_+^s)$.*

Proof. — (a) is clear from LEMMAS 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4(b). Suppose $u_- \in U_-$, $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_-hu_+ = 1$. By LEMMA 4.4(a), $u_+ \in \ker \pi$ for every Q -graded integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module $(V, d\pi)$; by LEMMAS 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4(b), this forces $u_+ = 1$. Similarly, by using the involution ω of $G(A)$, we conclude that $u_- = 1$. Hence, $h = 1$ also, proving (b). The first part of (c) follows from (a). Fix $s \in S$. Then

$$U_{(s)} \cap U_+^s \subset U_-^s \cap U_+^s = (U_- \cap U_+)^s = \{1\}$$

by using (b). By COROLLARY 4.1, $U_+ = U_{(s)}U^{(s)}$, $U^{(s)} \subset U_+ \cap U_+^s$, and $U_{(s)}$ normalizes $U^{(s)}$. Hence, $U_+ = U_{(s)} \times U^{(s)}$ and $U^{(s)} = U_+ \cap U_+^s$. This proves (c).

Proof of the reminder of PROPOSITION 4.1 is immediate from COROLLARY 4.2. ■

We shall henceforth use the results of § 3, applied to $G(A)$, without invoking PROPOSITION 4.2 each time.

PROPOSITION 4.3. — *Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -m \\ -n & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2×2 matrix with $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $mn \geq 4$. Let $(W(A), S)$ be the associated Coxeter system, so that $S = \{s, t\}$ and $m_{s,t} = 0$. Put*

$$\Delta_+^s = \{(st)^k \cdot \alpha_s \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\} \cup \{(st)^k s \cdot \alpha_t \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$$

and

$$\Delta_+^t = \{(ts)^k \cdot \alpha_t \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\} \cup \{(ts)^k t \cdot \alpha_s \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\},$$

so that $\Delta_+^{re} = \Delta_+^s \sqcup \Delta_+^t$. For $r \in S$, let $U_+^{(r)}$ be the subgroup of $U_+ \subset G(A)$ generated by the U_α , $\alpha \in \Delta_+^r$. Then U_+ is the free product of its subgroups $U_+^{(s)}$ and $U_+^{(t)}$.

Proof. — Using the involution ω , this is clear from PROPOSITION 3.5(c). ■

Remarks. — (1) For $m = n = 2$, i.e. for the case $A_1^{(1)}$, PROPOSITION 4.3 was stated in [8, Example].

(2) For $m, n \geq 2$, each group $U_+^{(r)}$ from PROPOSITION 4.3 is the direct sum of its one-parameter subgroups U_α , $\alpha \in \Delta_+^r$; otherwise, each $U_+^{(r)}$ is a two-step nilpotent group.

(3) We conjecture that, in general, U_+ is the amalgamated product of its subgroups $U_+ \cap U_-^w$, $w \in W$. (This is a special case of the conjecture of § 3.)

We now explore some features of $G(A)$, which are related to the Q -gradation of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$.

S is called *indecomposable* if, whenever J is a subset of S such that $J \neq \emptyset$ and $J \neq S$, there exist $s \in J$ and $t \in S \setminus J$ such that $st \neq ts$. (This corresponds to the indecomposability of A .) The following are general properties of Tits systems [1] :

(4.1) If S is indecomposable and F is a normal subgroup of $G(A)$, then $FB = B$ or $FB = G(A)$.

(4.2) The center of $G(A)$ is contained in B .

We will also use the following special properties of $G(A)$.

(4.3) $G(A)$ is generated by the U_s and U_s^s , $s \in S$.

(4.4) $\bigcap_{w \in W} U_+^w = \{1\}$.

Indeed, (4.3) is clear, and (4.4) follows from COROLLARY 3.4 by using the involution ω .

We call a subgroup F of $G(A)$ *weakly graded* if $F \cap U_s^s B = (F \cap U_s^s)(F \cap B)$ for all $s \in S$. Note that every graded subgroup of $G(A)$ is weakly graded. Let C be the center of $G(A)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4.

(a) $C \subset H$.

(b) Let F be a weakly graded normal subgroup of $G(A)$, and suppose that S is indecomposable. Then $F = G(A)$ or $F \subset C$.

Proof. — $C \subset H$ follows from (4.2) and (4.4). Now let F be a weakly graded normal subgroup of $G(A)$, and assume that S is indecomposable. Suppose that $FB = B$. Then $F \subset B$ and hence, using (4.4), $F \subset \bigcap_{w \in W} B^w = H$. If $h \in F$ and $u \in U_+$, then $huh^{-1}u^{-1} \in F \cap U_+ = \{1\}$. Hence, h centralizes U_+ ; similarly, h centralizes U_- . (4.3) now shows that $F \subset C$. Now suppose that $FB \neq B$. Then $FB = G(A)$ by (4.1). Hence, for all $s \in S$,

$$U_s^s B = U_s^s B \cap FB = (U_s^s B \cap F)B = (U_s^s \cap F)(B \cap F)B = (U_s^s \cap F)B.$$

Since $U_s^s \cap B = \{1\}$, we conclude that $U_s^s \subset F$ and therefore $U_s \subset F$ for all $s \in S$. Hence, by (4.3), $F = G(A)$. ■

We sometimes write $H(A)$ for H , $U_+(A)$ for U_+ , etc., to emphasize the dependence on A .

COROLLARY 4.3.

(a) *Let A' be an indecomposable generalized Cartan matrix, and let $\Psi : G(A') \rightarrow G(A)$ be a homomorphism such that $\Psi(U_\pm(A')) \subset U_\pm$ and $\Psi(H(A')) \subset H$. Then either $\ker \Psi = G(A')$ or else*

$$\ker \Psi \subset \text{Center}(G(A')) \subset H(A').$$

(b) *If J is a subset of S and $A_J = (a_{s,t})_{s,t \in J}$ is the corresponding principal submatrix of A , then the obvious homomorphism $G(A_J) \rightarrow G(A)$ is injective.*

Proof. — (a) follows from PROPOSITION 4.4, since $\ker \Psi$ is graded and hence weakly graded. Since the homomorphism of (b) is injective on H , (b) follows from (a). ■

COROLLARY 4.4.

(a) *If $(V, d\pi)$ is a Q -graded integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module and if A is indecomposable, then $\ker \pi = G(A)$ or $\ker \pi \subset C \subset H$ for the corresponding $G(A)$ -module.*

(b) *The direct sum of all irreducible highest weight modules with fundamental highest weights (see [3, Chapter 10] for the definition) is a faithful differentiable $G(A)$ -module.*

Proof. — (a) follows from PROPOSITION 4.4, since $\ker \pi$ is graded and hence weakly graded. Since the module of (b) is a faithful H -module, (b) follows from (a). ■

COROLLARY 4.5. — *Assume that the generalized Cartan matrix A is indecomposable and not of affine type, and let $(V, d\pi)$ be an integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module. Then $\ker \pi = G(A)$ or $\ker \pi \subset C \subset H$ for the corresponding $G(A)$ -module.*

Sketch of proof. — Since A is not of affine type, there exist integers k_s , $s \in S$, such that $\alpha_{s'}(\sum_{s \in S} k_s \alpha_s^\vee) > 0$ for all $s' \in S$ [3, THEOREM 4.3]. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, put $h(t) = \prod_{s \in S} h_s(t)^{k_s}$. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation $\mathfrak{g}'(A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}_n = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}'(A) \mid \text{Ad}(h(t))x = t^n x \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}.$$

Now let $(V, d\pi)$ be an integrable $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ -module. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ by

$$V_n = \{v \in V \mid \pi(h(t))v = t^n v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}.$$

These gradations are compatible, and by imitating the arguments proving LEMMA 4.4(a), one shows that $\ker \pi$ is a graded subgroup of $G(A)$. COROLLARY 4.5 now follows from PROPOSITION 4.4. ■

COROLLARY 4.6. — *Ad is faithful on U_+ . Moreover, $\ker \text{Ad} = C \subset H$.*

Proof. — This is clear from PROPOSITION 4.4. ■

Remark. — One may also prove the first part of COROLLARY 4.6 by defining a map $\log$ from U_+ to $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \subset U(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$ and noting that the center of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_0$. However, this procedure is not valid over a field of positive characteristic, and also involves the Campbell-Hausdorff formula. For these reasons, we omit this approach here.

The following statement is clear from (G2a) (we use that $t^2 \neq 1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$) :

(4.5) If $s \in S$, then the centralizer of H in U_s is $\{1\}$.

PROPOSITION 4.5.

(a) *Let F be a graded subgroup of $G(A)$ containing N such that $F \cap U_s = \{1\}$ for all $s \in S$. Then $F = N$.*

(b) *The normalizer of H in $G(A)$ is N .*

Proof. — We first deduce (b) from (a). Let $\tilde{N}$ be the normalizer of H in $G(A)$. Then $\tilde{N}$ contains N . Suppose $u_- \in U_-$, $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $u_-hu_+ \in \tilde{N}$. Put $n = u_-hu_+$. If $h' \in H$, then

$$u_+h'u_+^{-1}h'^{-1} = (u_-h)^{-1}(nh'n^{-1})(u_-h)h'^{-1} \in U_+ \cap HU_- = \{1\},$$

so that u_+ centralizes H and, similarly, u_- centralizes H . Along with (4.5), this verifies the hypotheses of (a) with $F = \tilde{N}$. Hence, by (a), $\tilde{N} = N$, proving (b).

We now prove (a). We first show that N normalizes $F \cap U_+$. Indeed, suppose that $s \in S$, $n \in sH$ and $u \in F \cap U_+$. By (3.8.2), write $nun^{-1} = u_1u_2$, where $u_1 \in U_- \cap nU_+n^{-1}$ and $u_2 \in U_+$. Since $n, u \in F$ and F is graded, we obtain $u_1, u_2 \in F$. But then $n^{-1}u_1n \in F \cap U_s = \{1\}$, so that $u_1 = 1$ and hence $nun^{-1} = u_2 \in F \cap U_+$. This shows that N normalizes $F \cap U_+$. Hence, $F \cap U_+ \subset \bigcap_{w \in W} U_+^w = \{1\}$ by (4.4). Now let $g \in F$. By PROPOSITION 3.2(a,b) write $g = u_+u_-n$, where $n \in N$, $u_- \in U_- \cap nU_+n^{-1}$ and $u_+ \in U_+$. Since $g, n \in F$ and F is graded, we have $u_-, u_+ \in F$. Hence, $u_+, n^{-1}u_-n \in F \cap U_+ = \{1\}$, so that $g = n \in N$. This proves (a). ■

COROLLARY 4.7. — *The centralizer of H in $G(A)$ is H .*

Proof. — This follows from PROPOSITION 4.5(b) and COROLLARY 2.2. ■

We now discuss Levi decompositions of parabolics.

PROPOSITION 4.6. — *Let J be a subset of S , and put $M_J = P_J \cap \omega(P_J)$, $U_J = M_J \cap U_+$ and $U^J = \bigcap_{w \in W_J} U_+^w$. Then $P_J = M_J \rtimes U^J$ and, moreover :*

- (a) M_J is generated by H and the G_s , $s \in J$.
- (b) U_J is generated by the U_α , $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \cap \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s$.
- (c) U^J is the smallest normal subgroup of U_+ containing the U_α , $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \sum_{s \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_s$.

Proof. — Let $\widetilde{M}_J$, $\widetilde{U}_J$ be the subgroups asserted in (a), (b) and (c) to be M_J , U_J and U^J . Clearly, we have :

$$(4.6) \quad U_+ = \widetilde{U}_J \widetilde{U}^J.$$

$$(4.7) \quad HW_J \subset \widetilde{M}_J \subset M_J.$$

We shall prove the following assertions :

$$(4.8) \quad \widetilde{U}_J \subset \widetilde{M}_J.$$

$$(4.9) \quad \widetilde{M}_J \text{ normalizes } \widetilde{U}^J.$$

$$(4.10) \quad M_J \cap U^J = \{1\}.$$

We first show that these assertions suffice to validate the proposition.

Since $HW_J \subset \widetilde{M}_J$ by (4.7) and $\widetilde{U}^J \subset U_+$ by (4.6), (4.9) gives $\widetilde{U}^J \subset U^J$. By (4.6,7,8), $\widetilde{U}_J \subset U_J$; by (4.6), $U_J U^J \subset \widetilde{U}_J \widetilde{U}^J$; by (4.10), $U_J \cap U^J = \{1\}$. These yield :

$$(4.11) \quad \widetilde{U}_J = U_J \text{ and } \widetilde{U}^J = U^J.$$

By (4.6,7,8), $\widetilde{M}_J$ and $\widetilde{U}^J$ generate P_J ; by (4.9), $\widetilde{M}_J$ normalizes $\widetilde{U}^J$; by (4.7), $M_J \subset M_J \subset P_J$; by (4.10, 11), $M_J \cap \widetilde{U}^J = \{1\}$. These yield :

$$(4.12) \quad P_J = \widetilde{M}_J \rtimes \widetilde{U}^J \text{ and } \widetilde{M}_J = M_J.$$

The proposition follows from (4.11) and (4.12).

It remains to verify (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10). (4.8) follows from LEMMA 2.1(b). COROLLARY 3.6 applied to the refined Tits system $(P_J, HW_J, U_+, P_J \cap U_-, H, J)$ implies $\bigcap_{w \in W_J} (P_J \cap U_-)^w = \{1\}$; applying ω , we deduce (4.10). Finally, we verify (4.9). Suppose $s \in J$, and put

$$X^\pm = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_\alpha,$$

where α runs over $(\Delta_+^{\text{re}} \cap \sum_{t \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_t) \setminus \{\alpha_s\}$ with $\pm \langle \alpha, \alpha_s^\vee \rangle \geq 0$ and

$$Y^\pm = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_\alpha,$$

where α runs over $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} \setminus \sum_{t \in J} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_t$ with $\pm \langle \alpha, \alpha_s^v \rangle \geq 0$.

Let U_1 be the subgroup of U_+ generated by $\{uxu^{-1} \mid u \in U_s, x \in X^+ \cup X^-\}$ and let U_2 be the subgroup of U_+ generated by $\{uyu^{-1} \mid u \in U_s, y \in Y^+ \cup Y^-\}$. Using LEMMA 2.1 (c), the argument proving COROLLARY 4.1 shows that HG_s normalizes U_1 and U_2 . Let U_3 be the subgroup of U_+ generated by $\{u_1u_2u_1^{-1} \mid u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2\}$; since U_s, U_1 and U_2 generate U_+ , and since U_s normalizes U_1 and U_2 , we deduce that U_3 is the smallest normal subgroup of U_+ containing U_2 . Hence, $U_3 = \tilde{U}^J$, so that HG_s normalizes $\tilde{U}^J$. Varying $s \in J$, we obtain (4.9). ■

Remark. — It is easy to show that, for all $j \in J$, P_J is the normalizer of U^J in $G(A)$ and M_J is the normalizer of M_J in P_J .

We conclude this section with some technical results about “finite-dimensional” subgroups of U_+ .

PROPOSITION 4.7. — *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (a) $|(\mathbf{Z}_+\alpha + \mathbf{Z}_+\beta) \cap \Delta_+^{\text{re}}| < \infty$.
- (b) *For some $w \in W$, one has : $w \cdot \alpha, w \cdot \beta \in -\Delta_+^{\text{re}}$.*
- (c) (U_α, U_β) *is contained in the subgroup of U_+ generated by the U_γ , where $\gamma \in (\mathbf{Z}_+\alpha + \mathbf{Z}_+\beta) \cap \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$ and $\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta$.*

Sketch of proof. — (We use here some notions defined e.g. in [3, Chapter 5]. First, suppose $\langle \alpha, \beta^v \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \beta, \alpha^v \rangle > 0$. Then (a) and (c) hold by LEMMA 2.1c(ii) and the argument proving LEMMA 4.2(c). We have $(1 - \langle \beta, \alpha^v \rangle \langle \alpha, \beta^v \rangle) \beta = \langle \beta, \alpha^v \rangle r_\beta \cdot \alpha + r_\alpha \cdot \beta$, hence $r_\alpha \cdot \beta < 0$ or $r_\beta \cdot \alpha < 0$. If $r_\alpha \cdot \beta < 0$ (resp. $r_\beta \cdot \alpha < 0$), then $w = r_\alpha$ (resp. $= r_\beta$) satisfies (b).

Now, suppose $\langle \alpha, \beta^v \rangle = 0 = \langle \beta, \alpha^v \rangle$. Then (a) and (c) hold by LEMMA 2.1c(ii) and the argument proving LEMMA 4.2(c), and $w = r_\alpha r_\beta$ satisfies (b).

By [6, p. 139] or [3, 2nd ed., Exercise 5.19], the only remaining case is $\langle \alpha, \beta^v \rangle < 0$ and $\langle \beta, \alpha^v \rangle < 0$. By using W , we may assume that $\beta = \alpha_s$ for some $s \in S$. If $\alpha - \alpha_s \in \Delta$, put $\gamma = \alpha - \alpha_s$; otherwise, put $\gamma = \alpha$. Then :

$$\beta, \gamma \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}; \quad \langle \beta, \gamma^v \rangle < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \gamma, \beta^v \rangle < 0; \quad \gamma - \beta \notin \Delta.$$

Put $T = \{1, 2\}$, and define a generalized Cartan matrix $B = (b_{t,u})_{t,u \in T}$ by $b_{11} = b_{22} = 2$, $b_{12} = \langle \gamma, \beta^v \rangle$, $b_{21} = \langle \beta, \gamma^v \rangle$. Let α_1, α_2 be the corresponding generators of the root lattice of the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(B)$. One can show that there exists a homomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{g}'(B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'(A)$ such that, if $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\delta = k\beta + l\gamma$, $\tilde{\delta} = k\alpha_1 + l\alpha_2$, then $\delta \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\delta} \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}(B)$, and $\Psi(\mathfrak{g}'(B)_\delta) = \mathfrak{g}'(A)_\delta$ if $\delta \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$. Since the induced homomorphism from

$G(B)$ to $G(A)$ is injective on $U_+(B)$ by COROLLARY 4.3(a), and since the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) always holds by LEMMA 4.5(e) below, this reduces us to the following case :

A is a generalized Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -m \\ -n & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $m, n > 0$; $\beta = \alpha_1$; $\alpha = \alpha_2$ or $\alpha_2 + \alpha_1$; $\langle \alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle < 0$.

First, suppose $mn \geq 4$. Then the $(r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2})^k \cdot \alpha_1$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are distinct elements of $(\mathbf{Z}_+ \alpha + \mathbf{Z}_+ \beta) \cap \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, so that (a) is false and hence (b) is false. Moreover, in this case (c) is false by PROPOSITION 4.3.

Finally, suppose $mn \leq 3$. Then $W(A)$ is a finite dihedral group and $w_0(\Delta_+(A)) = -\Delta_+(A)$ for the longest element w_0 of $W(A)$. Therefore (b) holds, and hence (a) holds. One can show that (c) holds by using the theory of algebraic groups over $\mathbf{C}$, but we will give a self-contained argument instead. Put $w = r_{\alpha_2}$ if $\alpha = \alpha_2$ and $w = r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2} = r_{\alpha_2} r_{\alpha_1}$ if $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Using COROLLARY 4.2(c), one shows that : U_α normalizes $U_+^{r_{\alpha_2}} \cap U_+^{r_{\alpha_2} r_{\alpha_1}}$, and $U_\beta \subset U_+^{r_{\alpha_2}} \cap U_+^{r_{\alpha_2} r_{\alpha_1}} \subset U_+^w$ so that $(U_\alpha, U_\beta) \subset U_+^w$; U_β normalizes $U_+ \cap U_+^{r_{\alpha_1}}$, and $U_\alpha \subset U_+ \cap U_+^{r_{\alpha_1}}$, so that $(U_\alpha, U_\beta) \subset U_+^{r_{\alpha_1}}$. Therefore, $(U_\alpha, U_\beta) \subset U_+^{r_{\alpha_1}} \cap U_+^w$. But by using PROPOSITION 3.3(d), one sees that $U_+^{r_{\alpha_1}} \cap U_+^w$ is the subgroup defined in (c). Hence, (c) holds.

This verifies that in all cases, (a), (b) and (c) are true or false simultaneously. ■

For $w \in W$, put $\Phi(w) = \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \cap -w \cdot \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$.

LEMMA 4.5. — *Let $w, w' \in W$ satisfy $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$. Then :*

- (a) $\Phi(w) = \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(w)} \mathbf{Z}_+ \alpha$.
- (b) *For $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, $\alpha \in \Phi(w)$ if and only if $U_\alpha \subset U_+ \cap U_-^{w^{-1}}$.*
- (c) $\Phi(1) = \emptyset$. *For $s \in S$, $\Phi(s) = \{\alpha_s\}$.*
- (d) $\Phi(ww') = \Phi(w) \sqcup w \cdot \Phi(w')$.
- (e) $|\Phi(w)| = l(w)$.

Proof. — Since Δ^{re} is W -invariant, Q_+ is a semigroup and $\Delta_+^{\text{re}} = \Delta^{\text{re}} \cap Q_+$, (a) is clear. We have $U_\alpha^w = U_{w^{-1} \cdot \alpha}$, $U_+ \cap U_- = \{1\}$, $\Delta^{\text{re}} = \Delta_+^{\text{re}} \sqcup -\Delta_+^{\text{re}}$, and $U_\alpha \subset U_\pm \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \pm \Delta_+^{\text{re}}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$, so that (b) is clear. (c) is clear, and (e) follows from (c) and (d).

It is easy to deduce (d) from PROPOSITION 3.2(d). ■

LEMMA 4.6 [10]. — *Let F be a group, and let $F_1, \dots, F_k$ be subgroups of F satisfying : for $i = 1, \dots, k$, $F_i F_{i+1} \cdots F_k$ is a normal subgroup of F ; $F = F_1 F_2 \cdots F_k$ [unique]. Then we have, for any permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, $F = F_{\sigma(1)} F_{\sigma(2)} \cdots F_{\sigma(k)}$ [unique].* ■

PROPOSITION 4.8. — *Let Φ be a finite subset of Δ_+^{re} satisfying $\Phi =$*

$\Delta_+^{\text{re}} \cap \sum_{\beta \in \Phi} \mathbf{Z}_+ \beta$, and let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ be an enumeration of Φ . Then $U = U_{\beta_1} \cdots U_{\beta_n}$ [unique], where U is the subgroup of U_+ generated by the U_{β_k} .

Proof. — We may assume by using W that $\alpha_s \in \Phi$ for some $s \in S$. Let $\gamma_1 = \alpha_s, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ be an enumeration of Φ such that the height of γ_{i-1} is at most that of γ_i , $2 \leq i \leq n$. By PROPOSITION 4.7, $U = U_{\gamma_1} \cdots U_{\gamma_n}$, and $U_{\gamma_k} \cdots U_{\gamma_n}$ is a normal subgroup of U for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Put $U' = U_{\gamma_2} \cdots U_{\gamma_n}$. Since $U_{\gamma_1} \cap U' \subset U_s \cap U_+^s = \{1\}$ and since $U = U_{\gamma_1} U'$, we obtain $U = U_{\gamma_1} U'$ [unique]. By induction on n ,

$$U' = U_{\gamma_2} \cdots U_{\gamma_n} \text{ [unique].}$$

Hence,

$$U = U_{\gamma_1} U_{\gamma_2} \cdots U_{\gamma_n} \text{ [unique].}$$

Now we apply LEMMA 4.6. ■

COROLLARY 4.8. — If $w \in W$, then

$$U_+ \cap U_-^{w^{-1}} = U_{\beta_1} \cdots U_{\beta_n} \text{ [unique]}$$

for any enumeration $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ of $\Phi(w)$.

Proof. — We proceed by induction on $l(w)$, the cases $l(w) \leq 1$ being trivial. Choose $s \in S$ such that $l(sw) < l(w)$. Then $U_+ \cap U_-^{w^{-1}} = (U_+ \cap U_-^s)(U_+ \cap U_-^{(sw)^{-1}})^s$ by PROPOSITION 3.2(d). By the induction hypothesis, $U_+ \cap U_-^{(sw)^{-1}}$ is generated by the U_β , $\beta \in \Phi(sw)$, and hence $(U_+ \cap U_-^{(sw)^{-1}})^s$ is generated by the U_β , $\beta \in s \cdot \Phi(sw)$. Since $U_+ \cap U_-^s = U_{\alpha_s}$, we conclude that $U_+ \cap U_-^{w^{-1}}$ is generated by $\{\alpha_s\} \cup s \cdot \Phi(sw)$, which equals $\Phi(w)$ by LEMMA 4.5. LEMMA 4.5(a) and PROPOSITION 4.8 complete the proof. ■

5. The group $K(A)$

Recall the involution ω of $G(A)$ from § 2, and let $K(A)$ be the fixed-point set of ω . We shall give explicit generators and relations for $K(A)$.

Let $D = \{u \in \mathbf{C} \mid |u| \leq 1\}$ be the closed unit disc, let $S^1 = \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid |t| = 1\}$ be the unit circle and let $\dot{D} = D \setminus S^1$. For $u \in D$, put

$$z(u) = \begin{pmatrix} u & (1 - |u|^2)^{1/2} \\ -(1 - |u|^2)^{1/2} & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \in SU_2.$$

Note that $z(t) = h(t)$ if $t \in S^1$ (cf. § 2).

For $s \in S$, $u \in D$ and $t \in S^1$, put $z_s(u) = \varphi_s(z(u))$ and $h_s(t) = \varphi_s(h(t))$. For $s \in S$, put $K_s = K \cap G_s$. Note that $z_s(u) \in K_s = \varphi_s(SU_2)$ and $z_s(0) = \tilde{s}$ (cf. § 2). Recall the subgroups H_+ of $G(A)$ and T of $K(A)$ introduced in § 2.

PROPOSITION 5.1.

- (a) $G(A) = K(A)H_+U_+$ [unique] (*Iwasawa decomposition*).
- (b) $K(A)$ is generated by the K_s , $s \in S$.
- (c) If $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ is a reduced expression and $g \in K(A) \cap BwB$, then there exist unique $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathring{D}$ and $t \in T$ such that

$$g = z_{s_1}(u_1) \cdots z_{s_k}(u_k)t.$$

- (d) For all $s, t \in S$, there exists a unique map $\Gamma_{s,t} : \mathring{D}^{ms,t} \rightarrow (\mathring{D})^{ms,t}$ such that if $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots) \in (\mathring{D})^{ms,t}$ and $\Gamma_{s,t}(u) = v = (v_1, v_2, \dots) \in (\mathring{D})^{ms,t}$, then

$$z_s(u_1)z_t(u_2)z_s(u_3) \cdots = z_t(v_1)z_s(v_2)z_t(v_3) \cdots$$

- (e) K is the amalgamated product of its subgroups $K \cap P_s$, $s \in S$, modulo the relations in (d).

Proof * . — We use PROPOSITION 3.7. If $h \in H$, $u_+ \in U_+$ and $hu_+ \in K(A)$, then $\omega(hu_+) = hu_+$ and hence $\omega(u_+)^{-1}(\omega(h)^{-1}h)u_+ = 1$. Since $\omega(u_+) \in U_-$, $\omega(h)^{-1}h \in H$ and $u_+ \in U_+$, we deduce that $\omega(h)^{-1}h = 1$ and $u_+ = 1$. Hence, $hu_+ = h \in H \cap K(A)$. Using LEMMA 2.2(a), it is easy to check that $H \cap K(A) = T$. Hence, $K(A) \cap U_+ = \{1\}$ and $T = \theta(K \cap B)$. Clearly, H_+ is a normal subgroup of N and $H = H_+T$ [unique]. If $u \in \mathbb{C}$, then $z(-(1+|u|^2)^{-1/2}u)^{-1}x(u)z(0)$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$. This shows that $U_s \subset KBs$ for all $s \in S$. By COROLLARY 2.3(b), there exists a unique map $w \rightarrow \tilde{w}$ from W to N satisfying : $\tilde{1} = 1$; $\tilde{s} = z_s(0)$ for all $s \in S$; $\widetilde{ww'} = \tilde{w}\tilde{w'}$ if $w, w' \in W$ and $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$.

This verifies the hypotheses of PROPOSITION 3.7 and shows that $T = K \cap B$, and $U_s \subset z_s(\mathring{D})Bs$ for all $s \in S$. Recall Z_w defined by (3.13). If $s \in S$, then : $BsB = U_s sB \subset (z_s(\mathring{D})Bs)sB = z_s(\mathring{D})B$; z_s defines an injection from $\mathring{D}$ into Z_s by an easy calculation; $BsB = Z_sB$ [unique] by PROPOSITION 3.7. Hence, z_s defines a bijection from $\mathring{D}$ onto Z_s for all $s \in S$. PROPOSITION 3.7 now shows that (a), (c), (d) and (e) hold, and that $K(A)$ is generated by T and the Z_s . Since, $Z_s \subset K_s$, and since T is generated by the $K_s \cap T$, (b) follows. ■

Note the following corollary of PROPOSITION 5.1(c).

* The proof of the Iwasawa decomposition is a straightforward generalization of that of STEINBERG [10]. In the affine case this has been done in [14].

COROLLARY 5.1. — For $J \subset S$, denote by K_J the subgroup of $K(A)$ generated by the K_s with $s \in J$. Then $K(A) \cap P_J = K_J T$. ■

We wish to determine the maps $\Gamma_{s,t}$ of PROPOSITION 5.1(d). Using COROLLARY 4.3(b), we see that $\Gamma_{s,t}$ depends only on $a_{s,t}$ and $a_{t,s}$. Clearly, $\Gamma_{s,t} \circ \Gamma_{t,s} = \text{id}$, and $\Gamma_{s,s} = \text{id}$. If $a_{s,t} = a_{t,s} = 0$, then G_s and G_t commute and so $\Gamma_{s,t}(\alpha, \beta) = (\beta, \alpha)$. If $m_{s,t} = 0$, then $\Gamma_{s,t}$ is trivial. If $a_{s,t} = -1$ and $a_{t,s} = -k$, $k = 1, 2$ or 3 , we write Γ_k for $\Gamma_{s,t}$. We must calculate Γ_1, Γ_2 and Γ_3 .

LEMMA 5.1.

(a) If $S = \{1, 2\}$ and A is the generalized Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{C}^3$ is a faithful $G(A)$ -module by :

$$\varphi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x, y, z) = (ax + by, cx + dy, z)$$

and

$$\varphi_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x, y, z) = (x, ay + bz, cy + dz).$$

(b) If $S = \{1, 2\}$ and A is the generalized Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{C}^4$ is a faithful $G(A)$ -module by :

$$\varphi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x, y, z, w) = (x, ay + bw, z, cy + dw)$$

and

$$\varphi_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x, y, z, w) = (ax + by, cx + dy, dz - cw, -bz + aw).$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha + \beta j \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\varphi_2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a faithful representation of $K(A)$ by quaternionic matrices.

Proof. — Using COROLLARY 4.4 and LEMMA 2.2(a), we see that the modules defined in the lemma are faithful. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the associative $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra of quaternions, with standard $\mathbf{R}$ -basis $1, i, j, k$, with $ij = k$, $jk = i$, $ki = j$, and $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$. $\mathbf{C}^4$ becomes a right $\mathbf{H}$ -module

under $(x, y, z, w)i = (xi, yi, zi, wi)$ and $(x, y, z, w)j = (\bar{z}, \bar{w}, -\bar{x}, -\bar{y})$, which is free on generators $v_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $v_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$. It is easy to check that $\varphi_1(SU_2)$ and $\varphi_2(SU_2)$ give $\mathbf{H}$ -module endomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^4$ under the action defined in (b). But

$$\sigma \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma(v_i) = v_1 q_{1i} + v_2 q_{2i}$, defines an isomorphism from $\text{End}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{C}^4)$ onto the ring of 2-by-2 matrices over $\mathbf{H}$. The lemma now follows from a calculation. ■

COROLLARY 5.2. — *If $\alpha_i \in \mathring{D}$ and $u_i = (1 - |\alpha_i|^2)^{(1/2)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, then :*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = \Gamma_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \quad \text{if and only if :} \\ & (1 - |\beta_1|^2)^{-(1/2)} \beta_1 = (u_2 u_3)^{-1} (u_1 \alpha_3 + \bar{\alpha}_1 \alpha_2 u_3), \\ & \beta_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_3 - u_1 \alpha_2 u_3, \\ & (1 - |\beta_3|^2)^{-(1/2)} \beta_3 = (u_1 u_2)^{-1} (\alpha_1 u_3 + u_1 \alpha_2 \bar{\alpha}_3). \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Define $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H \in \mathbf{C}$ by :*

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 + u_1 j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & u_2 \\ -u_2 & \bar{\alpha}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_3 + u_3 j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_4 & u_4 \\ -u_4 & \bar{\alpha}_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} A + Bj & C + Dj \\ E + Fj & G + Hj \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

in $M_2(\mathbf{H})$.

Then $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) = \Gamma_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ if and only if :

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |\beta_1|^2)^{-(1/2)} \beta_1 &= B^{-1} \bar{F}, \\ (1 - |\beta_2|^2)^{-(1/2)} \beta_2 &= (|B|^2 + |F|^2)^{-1} (A \bar{B} + E \bar{F}), \\ (1 - |\beta_1|^2)^{-(1/2)} \beta_3 &= B^{-1} (AF - BE), \\ (1 - |\beta_2|^2)^{-(1/2)} \beta_4 &= (|B|^2 + |F|^2)^{-1} (BG - CF). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. — LEMMA 5.1 and a calculation show that the given formulas hold if $(\beta_1, \dots) = \Gamma_k(\alpha_1, \dots)$. Since $(1 - |\beta|^2)^{-1/2} \beta$ determines β for $|\beta| < 1$, the corollary follows. ■

Unfortunately, a similar calculation of Γ_3 , i.e. a matrix calculation for the exceptional 14-dimensional group G_2 , seems difficult. As an alternative,

we shall utilize the embedding of G_2 in D_4 . For that, we apply to D_4 the following lemma* :

LEMMA 5.2. — *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a group of permutations σ of S satisfying $a_{\sigma(s),\sigma(s')} = a_{s,s'}$, for all $s, s' \in S$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ define an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $G(A)$ by $\tilde{\sigma} \circ \varphi_s = \varphi_{\sigma(s)}$ for all $s \in S$ and an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $W(A)$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(s) = \sigma(s)$. Let $G(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$ be the corresponding fixed-point subgroups. Let $S/\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all orbits of $\mathcal{A}$ on S . Assume that if $t \in S/\mathcal{A}$, and s and s' are distinct elements of t , then $a_{s,s'} = 0$, so that G_s and $G_{s'}$ commute. For $t, u \in S/\mathcal{A}$, fix $s \in u$ and put $b_{t,u} = \sum_{r \in t} a_{r,s}$. Then $B = (b_{t,u})_{t,u \in S/\mathcal{A}}$ is a generalized Cartan matrix.*

Define homomorphisms $g \mapsto \bar{g}$ from $G(B)$ into $G(A)$ and $w \mapsto \bar{w}$ from $W(B)$ into $W(A)$ by :

$$\overline{\varphi_t(x)} = \prod_{s \in t} \varphi_s(x) \text{ for all } t \in S/\mathcal{A} \text{ and } x \in SL_2(\mathbf{C}) ;$$

$$\bar{t} = \prod_{s \in t} s \text{ for all } t \in S/\mathcal{A}.$$

Then :

- (a) $g \mapsto \bar{g}$ is an isomorphism from $G(B)$ onto $G(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$.
- (b) $w \mapsto \bar{w}$ is an isomorphism from $W(B)$ onto $W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. For any reduced expression for $w \in W(B)$, the corresponding expression for $\bar{w} \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$ is reduced.

Proof. — It is easy to check that B is a generalized Cartan matrix. We denote the homomorphisms $g \mapsto \bar{g}$ and $w \mapsto \bar{w}$ by Ψ . For any subset F of $G(A)$, we put $F^{\mathcal{A}} = F \cap G(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. It is easy to check that Ψ is well-defined and that $\Psi(G(B)) \subset G(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, $\Psi(U_{\pm}(B)) \subset U_{\pm}(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. It is easy to check $\Psi(H(B)) \subset H(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, $\Psi(N(B)) \subset N(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, and that Ψ on $G(B)$ induces Ψ on $W(B)$. Using LEMMA 2.2(a), it is easy to see that $\Psi(H(B)) = H(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, and using COROLLARY 4.3(a), it is easy to check that Ψ is injective on $G(B)$. Hence, Ψ is injective on $W(B)$.

If $w \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $w \neq 1$, choose $t \in S/\mathcal{A}$ such that $l(sw) < l(w)$ for some $s \in t$. Since $w \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, we deduce that $l(sw) < l(w)$ for all $s \in t$, so that $l(\bar{t}w) = l(w) - l(\bar{t}) = l(w) - |t|$ (here $|t|$ means Card (t)) by a standard fact about Coxeter groups [1].

By induction on $l(w)$, we deduce :

(5.1) If $w \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, then there exist $t_1, \dots, t_n \in S/\mathcal{A}$ such that $w = \bar{t}_1 \cdots \bar{t}_n$ is a reduced expression.

* We use some arguments of [15] in the proof of this lemma.

We next prove :

$$(5.2) \quad \text{If } w \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}, \text{ then } (U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^w)^{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Psi(G(B)).$$

If $w = 1$, (5.2) is clear. Suppose $w = \bar{t}$ for some $t \in S/\mathcal{A}$. Let $s_1, \dots, s_m$ be an enumeration of t . If $g \in (U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^w)^{\mathcal{A}}$, write

$$g = x_{s_1}(u_1) \cdots x_{s_m}(u_m)$$

by PROPOSITION 3.2(d), where $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathbf{C}$ are determined by g . If $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$, let τ be the permutation of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ defined by $\sigma(s_i) = s_{\tau(i)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}(g) &= \tilde{\sigma}(x_{s_1}(u_1)) \cdots \tilde{\sigma}(x_{s_m}(u_m)) \\ &= x_{\sigma(s_1)}(u_1) \cdots x_{\sigma(s_m)}(u_m) \\ &= x_{s_1}(u_{\tau^{-1}(1)}) \cdots x_{s_m}(u_{\tau^{-1}(m)}) \end{aligned}$$

since $G(A)_{s_1}, \dots, G(A)_{s_m}$ commute. Since g determines the u_i , we must have $u_1 = u_{\tau^{-1}(1)}$. Varying σ , we conclude that $u_1 = \cdots = u_m$, so that $g = \Psi(x_t(u_1))$, verifying (5.2).

Now suppose $w \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, $w \neq 1$. By (5.1), choose $t \in S/\mathcal{A}$ such that $l(\bar{t}w) = l(w) - l(\bar{t})$. If $g \in (U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^w)^{\mathcal{A}}$, use PROPOSITION 3.2(d) to write $g = g_1 g_2$, where $g_1 \in (U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^{\bar{t}})^{\bar{t}w}$ and $g_2 \in U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^{\bar{t}w}$. Using (5.1), choose $n \in N(B)$ such that $\Psi(n) \in \bar{t}wH(A)$, and put $g' = \Psi(n)g\Psi(n)^{-1}$, $g'_1 = \Psi(n)g_1\Psi(n)^{-1}$ and $g'_2 = \Psi(n)g_2\Psi(n)^{-1}$. Then $g' \in G(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$, $g' = g'_1 g'_2$, $g'_1 \in U_+(A)$ and $g'_2 \in U_-(A)$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$, then $g' = \tilde{\sigma}(g') = \tilde{\sigma}(g'_1)\tilde{\sigma}(g'_2)$, where $\tilde{\sigma}(g'_1) \in U_+(A)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(g'_2) \in U_-(A)$. Since $U_+(A) \cap U_-(A) = \{1\}$, we deduce that $\tilde{\sigma}(g'_1) = g'_1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(g'_2) = g'_2$. Hence, $g'_1 \in (U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^{\bar{t}})^{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Psi(G(B))$. Similarly, by induction on $l(w)$, $g'_2 \in \Psi(G(B))$ and hence $g \in \Psi(G(B))$. This proves (5.2).

We next prove :

$$(5.3) \quad G(A)^{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Psi(G(B))U_+(A)^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

To avoid confusion, let B_+ denote the subgroup $H(A)U_+(A)$ of $G(A)$. Suppose $w \in W(A)$ and $G(A)^{\mathcal{A}} \cap B_+wB_+ \neq \emptyset$. Since $\tilde{\sigma}(B_+wB_+) = \tilde{\sigma}(B_+)\tilde{\sigma}(w)\tilde{\sigma}(B_+) = B_+\tilde{\sigma}(w)B_+$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$, (3.1) forces $w \in W(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. Using (5.1), choose $n \in N(B)$ such that $\Psi(n) \in wH(A)$. If $g \in G(A)^{\mathcal{A}} \cap B_+wB_+$, write $g = g_1\Psi(n)hg_2$, where $g_1 \in U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^{w^{-1}}$, $h \in H(A)$, $g_2 \in U_+(A)$. As before, we deduce that $g_1 \in (U_+(A) \cap U_-(A)^{w^{-1}})^{\mathcal{A}}$, $h \in H(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $g_2 \in U_+(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. By (5.2), we have $g_1 \in \Psi(G(B))$, and also $h \in H(A)^{\mathcal{A}} = \Psi(H(B))$. Hence, $g \in \Psi(G(B))g_2 \subset \Psi(G(B))U_+(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. By (3.1), this proves (5.3).

To prove (a), it remains to show that $U_+(A)^{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Psi(G(B))$. Let $g \in U_+(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\omega(g) \in U_-(A)^{\mathcal{A}}$. By (5.3), choose $g' \in G(B)$ and $g'' \in U_+(A)$ such that $\omega(g) = \Psi(g')g''$. Write $g' = g_1ng_2$, where $g_1 \in U_-(B)$, $n \in N(B)$ and $g_2 \in U_+(B)$. Then

$$(\omega(g)^{-1}\Psi(g_1))\Psi(n)(\Psi(g_2)g'') = 1$$

and hence, by (RT3), $\omega(g)^{-1}\Psi(g_1) = 1$. We conclude that

$$g = \omega^2(g) = \omega(\Psi(g_1)) = \Psi(\omega(g_1)).$$

This proves (a).

It remains to prove the assertion of (b) about reduced expressions. We need :

(5.4) There exists a function $\bar{l}$ on $W(B)$ such that $\bar{l}(t_1 \cdots t_n) = |t_1| + \cdots + |t_n|$ if $t_1 \cdots t_n$ is a reduced expression.

Indeed, by LEMMA 1.1, we need only to show that if $t, u \in S/\mathcal{A}$, then $|t| + |u| + |t| + \cdots = |u| + |t| + |u| + \cdots$ ($m_{t,u}^B$ summands on each side). If $m_{t,u}^B$ is even, this is clear. Suppose $t \neq u$ and $m_{t,u}^B$ is odd. Then since B is a generalized Cartan matrix, $b_{t,u} = -1 = b_{u,t}$. Hence, $a_{r,s} = 0$ or -1 for all $r \in t$ and $s \in u$, since otherwise $b_{t,u} = \sum_{r \in t} a_{r,s}$ would be less than -1 . Similarly, $a_{s,r} = 0$ or -1 for all $r \in t$ and $s \in u$. Since A is a generalized Cartan matrix, we deduce that $a_{r,s} = a_{s,r}$ for all $r \in t$ and $s \in u$, and hence

$$-|u| = |u|b_{t,u} = \sum_{\substack{r \in t \\ s \in u}} a_{r,s} = \sum_{\substack{s \in u \\ r \in t}} a_{s,r} = |t|b_{u,t} = -|t|.$$

Therefore, $|t| + |u| + |t| + \cdots = |u| + |t| + |u| + \cdots$, proving (5.4).

Now let $t_1 \cdots t_n$ be a reduced expression. By (5.1), choose $t'_1, \dots, t'_m \in S/\mathcal{A}$ such that $\bar{t}_1 \cdots \bar{t}_n = \bar{t}'_1 \cdots \bar{t}'_m$ and $\bar{t}'_1 \cdots \bar{t}'_m$ is a reduced expression. Since Ψ is injective on $W(B)$, we have $t_1 \cdots t_n = t'_1 \cdots t'_m$. Using LEMMA 1.1, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} |t'_1| + \cdots + |t'_m| &\geq \bar{l}(t'_1 \cdots t'_m) = \bar{l}(t_1 \cdots t_n) \\ &= |t_1| + \cdots + |t_n| \geq l(\bar{t}_1 \cdots \bar{t}_n) = l(\bar{t}'_1 \cdots \bar{t}'_m) = |t'_1| + \cdots + |t'_m|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $l(\bar{t}_1 \cdots \bar{t}_n) = |t_1| + \cdots + |t_n|$, so that $\bar{t}_1 \cdots \bar{t}_n$ is a reduced expression. This proves (b). ■

COROLLARY 5.3. — Let $k = 2$ or 3 , let $S = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, and let A be the generalized Cartan matrix $(a_{i,j})_{i,j \in S}$ defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,i} &= 2 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq k ; \\ a_{0,i} &= a_{i,0} = -1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k ; \\ a_{i,j} &= a_{j,i} = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq i < j \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Define maps $\tilde{z}_1 : \mathring{D} \rightarrow K(A)$ and $\tilde{z}_2 : \mathring{D} \rightarrow K(A)$ by :

$$\tilde{z}_1(u) = z_0(u), \quad \tilde{z}_2(u) = z_1(u)z_2(u) \cdots z_k(u).$$

Let $u_i, v_i \in \mathring{D}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2k$, and put $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{2k})$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{2k})$. Then $v = \Gamma_k(u)$ if and only if

$$\tilde{z}_1(u_1)\tilde{z}_2(u_2)\tilde{z}_1(u_3) \cdots \tilde{z}_2(u_{2k}) = \tilde{z}_2(v_1)\tilde{z}_1(v_2)\tilde{z}_2(v_3) \cdots \tilde{z}_1(v_{2k}).$$

Proof. — Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the group of all permutations of S fixing 0, and apply LEMMA 5.2(a). ■

COROLLARY 5.4. — Let $k = 2$ or 3 , and put $N = k(k+1)$. Define maps C , R and Γ from $\mathring{D}^N$ to $\mathring{D}^N$ by :

$$\begin{aligned} C(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= (x_2, \dots, x_N, x_1); \\ R(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= (x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_N); \\ \Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= (y_1, y_2, y_3, x_4, \dots, x_N) \end{aligned}$$

if $(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \Gamma_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. (We have $\Gamma^2 = \text{id}$.)

Define $i : \mathring{D}^{2k} \rightarrow \mathring{D}^N$ and $j : \mathring{D}^N \rightarrow \mathring{D}^{2k}$ by :

$$i(x_1, \dots, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_4) \text{ and } j(y_1, \dots, y_6) = (y_2, y_3, y_5, y_6)$$

if $k = 2$;

$$i(x_1, \dots, x_6) = (x_1, x_2, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_4, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6, x_6)$$

and

$$j(y_1, \dots, y_{12}) = (y_3, y_4, y_7, y_8, y_{11}, y_{12})$$

if $k = 3$.

Define $\tilde{\Gamma}_k$ by :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_2 &= C\Gamma C^{-2}\Gamma C R C; \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_3 &= F^{-1}E^{-2}FE^2B^{-1}F^{-1}EBF, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B = C^{-2}\Gamma C^{-2}\Gamma C^4\Gamma C^{-1}, \quad E = RC \quad \text{and} \quad F = C^4.$$

Then

$$\Gamma_k = jC^{-k}\tilde{\Gamma}_k^k i.$$

Proof. — Let $S = \{0, \dots, k\}$ and A be as in COROLLARY 5.3. If

$$i_1, \dots, i_N \in S \quad \text{and} \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathring{D}^N,$$

we put

$$z_{i_1, \dots, i_N}(x) = z_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots z_{i_N}(x_N) \in K(A).$$

Suppose $k = 2$. It is easy to check that $y = C^{-2}\tilde{\Gamma}_2^2(x) \Rightarrow z_{2,1,0,1,2,0}(y) = z_{0,1,2,0,1,2}(x)$. Since 210120 is a reduced expression in $W(A)$ by LEMMA 5.2(b), $z_{2,1,0,1,2,0}(y)$ determines y by PROPOSITION 5.1(c); hence, we obtain

$$z_{2,1,0,1,2,0}(y) = z_{0,1,2,0,1,2}(x) \Rightarrow y = C^{-2}\tilde{\Gamma}_2^2(x).$$

Noting that $z_1(\alpha)z_2(\beta) = z_2(\beta)z_1(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathring{D}$, the case $k = 2$ follows from COROLLARY 5.3.

For $k = 3$, the argument is similar, using

$$y = C^{-3}\tilde{\Gamma}_3^3(x) \Leftrightarrow z_{1,2,3,0,1,2,3,0,3,2,1,0}(y) = z_{0,1,2,3,0,3,2,1,0,1,2,3}(x). \quad \blacksquare$$

We will need :

LEMMA 5.3. — SU_2 is the group on generators $z(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in D$, with defining relations (we put $h(t) = z(t)$ for $t \in S^1$):

- (a) $h(t)h(t') = h(tt')$, where $t, t' \in S^1$.
- (b) $h(t)z(\alpha) = z(t^2\alpha)h(t^{-1})$, where $t \in S^1$, $\alpha \in D$.
- (c) $z(ic)h(t)z(ic)^{-1} = z(c^2t + (1-c^2)\bar{t})$, where $0 \leq c \leq 1$, $t \in S^1$, $\text{Im } t \geq 0$.

Proof. — Let K be the group on generators $z(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in D$, with the given relations. Since these relations hold in SU_2 , and since every element of SU_2 is uniquely of one of the forms $h(t)$, $t \in S^1$, or $z(\alpha)h(t)$, $\alpha \in \mathring{D}$ and $t \in S^1$, it suffices to check that every element of K is of one of these forms. By (a) and (b), we need only do this for $z(\beta)z(\gamma)$, where $\beta, \gamma \in \mathring{D}$.

Define a homeomorphism (F, G) from $\mathring{D}$ onto $(0, 1) \times \{t \in S^1 \mid \text{Im } t > 0\}$ by requiring $\alpha = F(\alpha)G(\alpha) + (1 - F(\alpha))\overline{G(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathring{D}$. Define $H : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $H(t) = F(t\beta) - F(\bar{t}\gamma)$. Since $F(\alpha) + F(-\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathring{D}$, we have $H(1) + H(-1) = 0$, so that, by the continuity of H , $H(t'^2) = 0$ for some $t' \in S^1$. Put $t'_1 = G(t'^2\beta)$ and $t'_2 = G(\bar{t}'^2\gamma)$. If $\text{Im } \underline{t'_1 t'_2} \geq 0$, we put $t = t'$, $t_1 = t'_1$, $t_2 = t'_2$; otherwise, we put $t = it'$, $t_1 = -t'_1$, $t_2 = -t'_2$. Put $c = F(t^2\beta)^{1/2}$. Then we have :

$$\begin{aligned} t, t_1, t_2 \in S^1; \quad \text{Im } t_1, \quad \text{Im } t_2, \quad \text{Im } t_1 t_2 \geq 0; \\ 0 \leq c \leq 1; \quad \beta = \bar{t}^2(c^2 t_1 + (1 - c^2)\bar{t}_1), \quad \gamma = t^2(c^2 t_2 + (1 - c^2)\bar{t}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha = c^2 t_1 t_2 + (1 - c^2) \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_2$. Then (a), (b) and (c) imply :

$$\begin{aligned} h(t)z(\beta)z(\gamma)h(\bar{t}) &= z(t^2\beta)z(\bar{t}^2\gamma) \\ &= z(c^2 t_1 + (1 - c^2) \bar{t}_1)z(c^2 t_2 + (1 - c^2) \bar{t}_2) \\ &= [z(ic)h(t_1)z(ic)^{-1}][z(ic)h(t_2)z(ic)^{-1}] \\ &= z(ic)h(t_1 t_2)z(ic)^{-1} = z(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$z(\beta)z(\gamma) = h(\bar{t})z(\alpha)h(t) = z(\bar{t}^2\alpha)h(t^2),$$

and hence also $z(\beta)z(\gamma) = h(\alpha)$ if $\alpha \in S^1$. This brings $z(\beta)z(\gamma)$ to the required form. ■

THEOREM B. — $K(A)$ is the group on generators $z_s(u)$, $s \in S$ and $u \in D$, with defining relations (we put $h_s(t) = z_s(t)$ if $t \in S^1$) :

$$(K1) \quad h_s(t)h_s(t') = h_s(tt') \text{ if } : s \in S ; t, t' \in S^1.$$

$$(K2) \quad z_s(ic)h_s(t)z_s(ic)^{-1} = z_s(c^2 t + (1 - c^2) \bar{t}) \text{ if } : s \in S ; 0 \leq c \leq 1 ; t \in S^1, \text{Im } t \geq 0.$$

$$(K3) \quad h_s(t)z_{s'}(u) = z_{s'}(t^{a_{s,s'}}u)h_{s'}(t^{-a_{s,s'}})h_s(t) \text{ if } : s, s' \in S ; t \in S^1 ; u \in D.$$

$$(K4) \quad z_s(u)z_{s'}(v) = z_{s'}(v)z_s(u) \text{ if } : s, s' \in S, m_{s,s'}^A = 2 ; u, v \in D.$$

$$(K5) \quad z_s(u_1)z_{s'}(u_2)z_s(u_3) \cdots = z_{s'}(v_1)z_s(v_2)z_{s'}(v_3) \cdots \text{ (} m_{s,s'}^A \text{ factors on each side) if } s, s' \in S, a_{s,s'} = -1, a_{s',s} = -k ; 1 \leq k \leq 3 ; (v_1, \dots, v_{m_{s,s'}^A}) = \Gamma_k(u_1, \dots, u_{m_{s,s'}^A}), \text{ and } \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \text{ and } \Gamma_3 \text{ are as defined in COROLLARIES 5.2 and 5.4.}$$

Proof. — Let $\widetilde{K(A)}$ be the group on the given generators with the given defining relations. We write $\widetilde{z_s(u)}$ and $\widetilde{h_s(t)}$ for the generators of $\widetilde{K(A)}$, to avoid confusion. Relations (K1) and (K2) hold in $K(A)$ due to LEMMA 5.3; relations (K3) hold thanks to (2.1); relations (K4) are clear; relations (K5) hold thanks to COROLLARIES 5.2 and 5.4. Hence, there exists a unique homomorphism $\Psi = \widetilde{K(A)} \rightarrow K(A)$ such that $\Psi(\widetilde{z_s(u)}) = z_s(u)$ for all $s \in S$ and $u \in D$.

For $s \in S$, LEMMA 5.3 and LEMMA 2.2(b) show that there exists a unique homomorphism $\tau_s : K_s \rightarrow \widetilde{K(A)}$ satisfying $\tau_s(z_s(u)) = \widetilde{z_s(u)}$ for all $u \in D$ (here we use (K1), (K2) and (K3)). By LEMMA 2.2(a), there exists a unique homomorphism $\tau : T \rightarrow \widetilde{K(A)}$ satisfying $\tau(h_s(t)) = \widetilde{h_s(t)}$ for all $s \in S$ and $t \in S^1$ (here we use (K1) and (K3) for $u \in S^1$). Clearly, $\tau_s = \tau$ on $K_s \cap T = \{h_s(t) \mid t \in S^1\}$, and $\tau(h)\tau_s(g)\tau(h)^{-1} = \tau_s(hgh^{-1})$ for all $h \in T$, $s \in S$ and $g \in K_s$ by (K3). Hence, for $s \in S$, there exists a homomorphism $\bar{\tau}_s : TK_s \rightarrow \widetilde{K(A)}$ extending τ and τ_s .

Let $\widehat{K(A)}$ be the amalgamated product of the $K \cap P_s = TK_s$, $s \in S$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\widehat{\tau} : \widehat{K(A)} \rightarrow \widehat{K(A)}$ such that, for all $s \in S$, $\widehat{\tau} \in \bar{\tau}_s$ on TK_s . By PROPOSITION 5.1(e) and relations (K4) and (K5), $\widehat{\tau}$ induces a homomorphism $\Phi : K(A) \rightarrow \widehat{K(A)}$. It is easy to check that Φ and Ψ are mutually inverse. This proves the theorem. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] BOURBAKI (N.). — *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, chap. IV, V, VI. — Paris, Hermann, 1968.
- [2] KAC (V.G.). — An algebraic definition of compact Lie groups, *Trudy MIEM*, t. **5**, 1969, p. 36–47 (in Russian).
- [3] KAC (V.G.). — *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. — Progress in Math. **44**, Boston, Birkhäuser, 1983, Second edition : Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [4] KAC (V.G.), PETERSON (D.H.). — Regular functions on certain infinite-dimensional groups, in: Progress in Math. **36**, p. 141–166, Boston, Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [5] KAC (V.G.), PETERSON (D.H.). — Unitary structure in representations of infinite-dimensional groups and a convexity theorem, *Invent. Math.*, t. **76**, 1984, p. 1–14.
- [6] KAC (V.G.), PETERSON (D.H.). — Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms, *Advances in Math.*, t. **53**, 1984, p. 125–264.
- [7] KAC (V.G.), PETERSON (D.H.). — Cohomology of infinite-dimensional groups and their flag varieties, in preparation.
- [8] PETERSON (D.H.), KAC (V.G.). — Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, t. **80**, 1983, p. 1778–1782.
- [9] SERRE (J.P.). — *Trees*. — New York, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [10] STEINBERG (R.). — *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University lecture notes, 1967.
- [11] TITS (J.). — Sur les constantes de structure et le théorème d'existence des algèbres de Lie semi-simple, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, t. **31**, 1966, p. 21–58.
- [12] TITS (J.). — Résumé de cours, Annuaire du Collège de France, 81^e année, 1980–1981, p. 75–86; *ibid.*, 82^e année, 1981–1982, p. 91–105.
- [13] BOROVY (M.B.). — Generators and relations in compact Lie groups, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, t. **18**, 1984, p. 57–58.
- [14] GARLAND (H.). — The arithmetic theory of loop groups, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, t. **52**, 1980, p. 5–136.
- [15] STERNBERG (R.). — Variation on a theme of Chevalley, *Pacific J. of Math.*, t. **9**, 1959, p. 875–891.

Victor KAC, Dale PETERSON,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
 Cambridge MA 02139, U.S.A.