

Astérisque

L.-F. TAM

The behavior of capillary surfaces when gravity goes to zero

Astérisque, tome 118 (1984), p. 227-233

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1984__118__227_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE BEHAVIOR OF CAPILLARY SURFACES WHEN GRAVITY GOES TO ZERO

by L.-F. TAM (Stanford University)

1. PRELIMINARY.

Let Ω be a bounded (smooth or piecewise smooth) domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$.

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(Tw) &= \operatorname{div}\left(Dw/\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}\right) = H+Bw \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ Tw \cdot \nu &= \cos\gamma \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

where $B > 0$, $\pi/2 > \gamma \geq 0$ are constants, $H = \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{|\Omega|} \cos\gamma$ and $\nu =$ outward normal of $\partial\Omega$.

The solution of (1.1) corresponds to capillary surface with gravity. We are interested in the behavior of w when gravity goes to zero, i.e. when B tends to zero. So we compare w with the solution of

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(Tv) &= H \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ Tv \cdot \nu &= \cos\gamma \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

(1.2) may not have a solution. If (1.2) has a bounded solution, $\gamma > 0$ and Ω is smooth, then it is proved by Siegel in [18] that there exists a constant C which is independent of B such that $\sup_{\Omega} |w - v| \leq C \cdot B$ where v is the solution of (1.2) normalized by $\int_{\Omega} v dx = 0$.

In this paper we are going to investigate the case when Ω is piecewise smooth, the case when Ω is smooth but $\gamma = 0$ and the case when (1.2) has no solution. We shall use the idea of generalized solutions introduced by Miranda [17], see also Giusti [10].

It is known that if v is a bounded solution of (1.2) where H is replaced by any bounded measurable function $H(x)$, then v is a variational solution of

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(\Omega; v) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2} + \int_{\Omega} H(x)v(x)dx - \cos\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} v(x) dH_{n-1}$$

for $v \in BV(\Omega)$.

We introduce another functional:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{F}(\Omega; U) = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} |DX_U| + \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} H(x)\chi_U(x,t)dxdt - \cos\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} \chi_U(x,t) dH_n$$

where $U \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ is a Caccioppoli set, χ_U is the characteristic function of U .

In (1.3) and (1.4) we do not assume Ω to be bounded.

Definition 1.1. $U \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a solution of (1.4) if and only if for any compact set K in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and any Caccioppoli set V of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{spt}(\chi_U - \chi_V) \subset K$, then $\mathcal{F}_K(\Omega; U) \leq \mathcal{F}_K(\Omega; V)$ where

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_K(\Omega; W) = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \cap K} |DX_W| + \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \cap K} H(x)\chi_W(x,t)dxdt - \cos\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \cap K} \chi_W(x,t) dH_n$$

We also introduce two subsidiary functionals:

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{G}_1(\Omega; A) = \int_{\Omega} |DX_A| + \int_{\Omega} H(x)\chi_A(x)dx - \cos\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_A(x) dH_{n-1}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega; A) = \int_{\Omega} |DX_A| - \int_{\Omega} H(x)\chi_A(x)dx + \cos\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_A(x) dH_{n-1}$$

for $A \subset \Omega$. Solutions of (1.6) and (1.7) are defined similarly.

Definition 1.2. A function $u: \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is a generalized solution of (1.3) if its subgraph $U = \{(x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid t < u(x)\}$ is a solution of (1.4).

Theorem 1.1. Let Ω be a bounded piecewise smooth domain, and $u \in BV(\Omega)$, then u is a solution of (1.3) if and only if u is a generalized solution of (1.3).

2. CASE WHEN Ω IS PIECEWISE SMOOTH.

In this section we make the following assumptions:

- (2.1) Ω is a bounded piecewise smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^2 ;
- (2.2) let $2 \cdot \bar{\alpha}$ = minimum of interior angles of Ω , then $\pi/2 - \gamma < \bar{\alpha} < \pi/2$;
- (2.3) (1.2) has a bounded solution v which is normalized by $\int_{\Omega} v(x) dx = 0$.

We also assume $0 < B < 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the above assumptions, there exists a constant C which is independent of B such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{\Omega} |w - v| \leq C \cdot B .$$

Before we prove the theorem, we have several lemmata. In what follows C_i will denote constants independent of B .

Lemma 2.1. *There is a constant C_1 such that*

$$(2.5) \quad |w| \leq C_1 .$$

Proof. Use comparison principle as in [18].

q.e.d.

The next crucial step is to obtain a uniform bound for the gradients of w and v . If Ω is smooth, then it immediately follows from [7]. If Ω is only piecewise smooth, then by [7], [13] and [20] we can always get uniform bound for the gradients away from the corners. So it remains to find a bound near the corners. Without loss of generality we may assume a corner is at $(0,0)$ and near it Ω consists of two segments on $\theta = -\alpha$ and $\theta = \alpha$. Let $\bar{w} = w + \text{constant}$ such that $(0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ belongs to the closure of the graph of \bar{w} . Here w is a solution of (1.1) or (1.2).

Lemma 2.2. *Let \bar{U} be the subgraph of \bar{w} . There exists constants $C_2 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ which are independent of B , such that for any $(x_0, t_0) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $C_r(x_0, t_0) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x - x_0| < r \text{ and } |t - t_0| < r\}$ the following are true:*

(1) if $|\bar{u}_r| = |c_r(x_o, t_o) \cap \bar{u}| > 0$ for all $r > 0$ then $|\bar{u}_r| \geq c_2 r^3$ for all $r \leq R_o$;

(2) if $|\bar{u}'_r| = |c_r(x_o, t_o) - \bar{u}| > 0$ for all $r > 0$ then $|\bar{u}'_r| \geq c_2 r^3$ for all $r \leq R_o$.

Lemma 2.3. There exists a constant C_3 such that

(2.6) $|Dw| \leq C_3$, where w is the solution of (1.1) or (1.2).

Proof. Take any sequence $B_k \geq 0$ (not necessarily distinct) and take any sequence of positive numbers $\epsilon_k > 0$. Let

$$\bar{w}_{k, \epsilon_k} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_k} \bar{w}_k(\epsilon_k x)$$

where w_k is the solution corresponding to B_k . We can then find a subsequence of \bar{w}_{k, ϵ_k} which tends to a generalized solution u of (1.3) with $H(x) \equiv 0$ in the domain

$$\Omega_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k} \Omega .$$

Let $P = \{x | u(x) = \infty\}$ and let $N = \{x | u(x) = -\infty\}$. Then P is a solution of $G_1(\Omega_\infty, A)$ with $H(x) \equiv 0$. Use assumption (2.2) we can prove that $P = \phi$ or Ω_∞ . By Lemma 2.2 we conclude that $P = \phi$. Similarly $N = \phi$. From these and lemma 2.2 we can prove that \bar{w}_{k, ϵ_k} are uniformly bounded in $\{x \in \Omega_\infty | 1 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$ if k is large enough. From [7], [13] and [20], the lemma follows.

q.e.d.

Now we can proceed as in [18] to get a proof of Theorem 2.1.

3. CASE WHEN $\gamma = 0$.

Let Ω be a smooth domain in R^n , $n \geq 2$. If $\gamma = 0$, solution of (1.2) may not exist, or may exist but fail to be bounded. See [9]. Suppose (1.2) has a solution v , then we have the following

Theorem 3.1. Either (1) $v \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\lim_{B \rightarrow 0} w = v + C$ in Ω for some constant C ;
or

(2) $v \notin L^1(\Omega)$ and $\lim_{B \rightarrow 0} w = -\infty$ in Ω .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is obtained by using the idea of generalized solution and comparison principle.

Theorem 3.2. We can find a function $C(B)$ such that $\lim_{B \rightarrow 0} (w + C(B)) = v$ in Ω .

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is also obtained by using the idea of generalized solution and the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. For any $B_k \rightarrow 0$, we can find a subsequence B_{k_j} such that

$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} B_{k_j} w_{k_j} = 0$, where w_k is the solution of (1.1) corresponding to B_k .

Corollary 3.1. $\lim_{B \rightarrow 0} Dw = Dv$ in Ω .

Note that all convergences are uniform in compact subset of Ω .

4. CASE WHEN (1.2) DOES NOT HAVE A SOLUTION.

We make the following assumptions:

(3.1) Ω is a piecewise smooth domain in R^2 such that every interior angle 2α satisfies $\pi/2 > \alpha \geq \pi/2 - \gamma$;

(3.2) Ω satisfies internal sphere condition for some radius $\delta > 0$ and angle γ in the sense of [6];

(3.3) $G_1(\Omega; A) \geq 0$ for all $A \subset \Omega$ where $H(x) \equiv H$, and there is a unique set P such that $P \neq \emptyset$ or Ω and $G_1(\Omega; P) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1 is still true in this case and we have:

Theorem 4.1. There are functions $C_1(B)$ and $C_2(B)$ such that:

(1) $w + C_1(B)$ tends to a classical solution of $\text{div}(Tu) = H$ in the interior of N and tends to positive infinity in the interior of P ;

(2) $w + C_2(B)$ tends to a classical solution of $\text{div}(Tu) = H$ in the interior of P and tends to negative infinity in the interior of N .

Acknowledgement. The research for this article was done at Stanford University under the advice of Professor Robert Finn.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] P. CONCUS and R. FINN, On capillary free surfaces in the absence of gravity, *Acta Math.* 132 (1974), 117-198.
- [2] —————, On capillary free surfaces in a gravitational field, *Acta Math.* 132 (1974), 207-223.
- [3] M. EMMER, Esistenza, unicità e regolarità delle superfici di equilibrio nei capillari, *Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.)* 18 (1973), 79-94.
- [4] R. FINN, Existence criteria for capillary free surfaces without gravity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 32 (1983), 439-460.
- [5] ———, A subsidiary variational problem and existence criteria for capillary surfaces, preprint, Stanford University.
- [6] R. FINN and C. GERHARDT, The internal sphere condition and the capillarity problem, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. IV* 112 (1977), 13-31.
- [7] C. GERHARDT, Global regularity of the solutions to the capillary problem, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4) 3 (1976), 157-175.
- [8] E. GIUSTI, Boundary value problems for nonparametric surfaces of prescribed mean curvature, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4) 3 (1976), 501-548.
- [9] ———, On the equation of surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. Existence and uniqueness without boundary conditions, *Inv. Math.* 46 (1978), 111-137.
- [10] ———, Generalized solutions of mean curvature equations, *Pac. J. Math.* 88 (1980), 297-321.
- [11] ———, Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation, *Notes on pure Mathematics, Australian National Univ., Canberra* (1977).
- [12] O.A. LADYZHENSKAYA and N.N. URAL'TCEVA, Local estimates for gradients of solutions of non-uniformly elliptic and parabolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 23 (1970), 677-703.

CAPILLARY SURFACES WHEN GRAVITY GOES TO ZERO

- [13] G. LIEBERMAN, The conormal derivative problem for elliptic equations of variational type, preprint, Iowa State University.
- [14] U. MASSARI and L. PEPE, Successioni convergenti di ipersuperfici di curvatura media assegnata, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 53 (1975), 53-68.
- [15] M. MIRANDA, Superfici cartesiane generalizzate ed insiemi di perimetro finito sui prodotti cartesiani, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (3) 18 (1964), 515-542.
- [16] ———, Comportamento delle successioni convergenti di frontiere minimali, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 38 (1967), 238-257.
- [17] ———, Superfici minime illimitate, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4) 4 (1977), 313-322.
- [18] D. SIEGEL, On the behavior of a capillary surface in a narrow tube, preprint, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology.
- [19] L. SIMON, Regularity of capillary surfaces over domains with corners, *Pac. J. Math.* 88 (1980), 363-377.
- [20] N.N. URAL'TCEVA, The solvability of the capillarity problem, (English translation from Russian), *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math.* 6 (1979), 363-375.

Luen-Fai TAM
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
U.S.A.