

# *Astérisque*

HANS MICHAEL UNSÖLD

**Topological minimal algebras and Sullivan-  
de Rham equivalence**

*Astérisque*, tome 113-114 (1984), p. 337-343

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST\\_1984\\_\\_113-114\\_\\_337\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1984__113-114__337_0)

© Société mathématique de France, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

TOPOLOGICAL MINIMAL ALGEBRAS AND SULLIVAN -  
DE RHAM EQUIVALENCE

by Hans Michael UNSÖLD

1. Statement of theorem.

Let  $QS_1$  denote the category of 1-reduced rational simplicial sets and let  $Min_1$  denote the category of 1-connected minimal topological algebras over  $Q$  (see section 3 below).

Theorem: There exist functors  $M$  and  $G$  inducing an equivalence of homotopy categories

$$M : Ho(QS_1) \rightleftarrows Ho(Min_1) : G$$

For  $M \in Min_1$  and  $X \in QS_1$  we have :

- (i)  $H^*(M) \cong H^*(GM; Q)$
- (ii)  $(QM)' \cong \pi_*(GM)$
- (i)'  $H^*(X; Q) \cong H^*(MX)$
- (ii)'  $\pi_*(X) \cong (QMX)'$

Here  $QM := M^+ / \text{closure}(M^+.M^+)$  , and  $'$  denotes the topological dual.

Remark: If  $(M, d) = (\Lambda Z, d)$  is an "ordinary" minimal algebra then we can never have properties (i) and (ii) together (whatever  $G$  may be ! ). Look at the following

Example: Fix an integer  $r \geq 2$  and a  $Q$ -vectorspace  $V$ . Let  $Z = \bigoplus_i Z^i$  ,  $Z^i = 0$  (  $i \neq r$  ) ,  $Z^r = V$  ,  $d = 0$ .

Assuming (i) we get  $H^r(GM; Q) \cong V$  , and assuming (ii)

we get :  $H^F(\mathcal{GM}; Q) \cong \text{Hom}(H_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{GM}), Q) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{GM}), Q) \cong V''$  .  
 But in general  $V \neq V''$  , so this example suggests to look out for a topology guaranteeing  $V \cong V''$  .

2. Linear topologies.

We regard  $Q$  , the field of rationals , as a discrete space.

Definition (see [L] ): A topological vectorspace  $V$  over  $Q$  is said to be linearly topological ( abbrev: l.t. ) iff it is hausdorff and there is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $0$  consisting of nuclear (i.e. open - closed) subspaces .

$V$  is called linearly compact (l.c.) iff any filterbase  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of affine subspaces has a clusterpoint , i.e.  $\bigcap \{\text{closure}(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$  .

Let  $V$  be a l.t.space. We topologize the topological dual  $V'$  by requiring that for any l.c. subspace  $K$  of  $V$  the annihilator  $K^{\circ} := \{\psi \in V' : \psi(K) = 0\}$  is nuclear in  $V'$  .

Theorem (S.Lefschetz)

- (a) A l.t. space  $V$  is l.c. iff  $V'$  is discrete.
- (b) If  $V$  is l.c. or discrete then  $V \cong V''$ .
- (c) If  $V, W$  are discrete (resp. l.c.) then  $L(V, W) \cong L(W', V')$  .

Remark: There is another interesting link between l.c. spaces and discrete spaces (see [L] ):  
 Any two of the following properties imply the third:

- (1)  $V$  is discrete.
- (2)  $V$  is l.c.
- (3)  $\dim V < \infty$  .

Proposition: Given two l.t.spaces  $V, W$  there is a linear topology on  $V \otimes W$  such that the canonical map  $V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$  is universal with respect to uniformly continuous bilinear maps.

We denote by  $V \hat{\otimes} W$  the completion of  $V \otimes W$ . If  $V, W$  are l.c. (resp. discrete) then  $V \hat{\otimes} W$  is l.c. (resp. discrete) and  $(V \hat{\otimes} W)' \cong V' \hat{\otimes} W'$ .

Now let  $A = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} A^p$  be a (differential) graded algebra.

(We assume all our algebras to be augmented and commutative in the graded sense.)

$A$  is called a complete (D)GA iff:

- (i)  $A^p$  is a complete l.t.space for all  $p \geq 0$ .
- (ii) Multiplication  $A^p \times A^q \rightarrow A^{p+q}$  is uniformly continuous.

Call  $A$  linearly compact if  $A^p$  is l.c. for all  $p$ .

### 3. Topological minimal algebras.

Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} V^i$  be a connected graded l.t.space.

Proposition: There is a linear topology on  $\Lambda V$  such that the usual universal mapping property holds with respect to continuous maps.

We denote by  $FV$  the completion of  $\Lambda V$ .  $FV$  is a complete graded algebra in the sense of our definition.

If  $V$  is l.c. in each degree then  $FV$  is l.c. and  $(FV)'$  is the symmetric coalgebra over  $V'$ . If  $V$  is discrete then  $\Lambda V$  is discrete, hence  $\Lambda V = FV$ .

Definition: A complete 1-connected DGA  $(M, d)$  is called minimal (in the topological sense) iff:

- (1) Disregarding differentials  $M \cong FV$  for some graded 1-connected l.c.space  $V$ .

(2)  $d(V^n) \subset F(V^2 \otimes V^3 \otimes \dots \otimes V^{n-1})$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

If  $M$  happens to be of finite type then  $M$  is a (discrete) minimal algebra in the usual sense.

4. Minimal models for simplicial sets.

Let  $X$  be a simplicial set and let  $A^*(X)$  be the algebra of  $Q$ -polynomial forms on  $X$  (as in [BG]).

Topologize  $A^*(X)$  as follows: for any simplicial map  $\tilde{x}: \Delta^q \rightarrow X$  the subspace  $\ker(A^P(\tilde{x}): A^P(X) \rightarrow A^P(\Delta^q))$  is nuclear in  $A^P(X)$ .

Then  $A^*(X)$  is a complete DGA.

Now assume  $X, Y$  to be 1-reduced simplicial sets.

Proposition:

- (a) There exist  $MX \in \text{Min}_1$  and a weak equivalence  $e_X: MX \rightarrow A^*(X)$ .
- (b) Given a simplicial map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  there exists  $Mf: MY \rightarrow MX$ , unique up to algebraic homotopy, such that  $e_X \circ Mf$  is homotopic to  $A^*(f) \circ e_Y$ . Furthermore if  $f$  is homotopic to  $g$  then  $Mf$  is homotopic to  $Mg$ .

The rule  $X \rightsquigarrow MX, f \rightsquigarrow Mf$  defines a functor  $M: \text{Ho}(QS_1) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{Min}_1)$ .

5. An adjoint for  $M$ .

For any complete DGA  $M$  let  $GM$  be the simplicial set given by  $(GM)_q = \text{Hom}(M, A^*(\Delta^q))$ . It is easy to prove that  $A^*$  and  $G$  are adjoint functors

$A^*: \text{simplicial sets} \rightleftarrows \text{complete DGA's} : G$ .

Let  $\rho_M: M \rightarrow A^*GM, \tau_X: X \rightarrow GA^*X$  denote the adjunction maps.

Using a little abstract homotopy theory (as in [B]) it can be shown that  $M$  and  $G$  induce adjoint functors

$$M: \text{Ho}(QS_1) \rightleftarrows \text{Ho}(\text{Min}_1) : G$$

It remains to show that :

- (1) The adjunction  $\tilde{\rho}_M : M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}G(M)$  ( $M \in \text{Min}_1$ ) is a weak equivalence. (In fact  $\tilde{\rho}_M$  can be shown to be an isomorphism.)
- (2) The adjunction  $\tilde{\tau}_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}MX$  ( $X \in \mathcal{Q}S_1$ ) is a homotopy equivalence.

If  $e_{\mathcal{M}GM} : \mathcal{M}GM \rightarrow A^*(GM)$  is the minimal model of  $GM$  then the adjunction map  $\tilde{\rho}_M$  is defined up to homotopy by requiring that  $e_{\mathcal{M}GM} \circ \tilde{\rho}_M$  is homotopic to  $\rho_M$ . In order to prove (1) it suffices to show that  $\rho_M$  is a weak equivalence.

First assume that  $M = FV$ ,  $d=0$ , where  $V$  is concentrated in some degree  $r \geq 2$  (i.e.  $V^i = 0$  if  $i \neq r$ ). There exists an inverse system  $\{\omega_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of finite dimensional spaces such that  $V \cong \text{inv lim } \omega_\alpha$ .

The maps  $\rho_{\Lambda\omega_\alpha} : \Lambda\omega_\alpha \rightarrow A^*\mathcal{G}\Lambda\omega_\alpha$  are weak equivalences (see [BG]).

It can be checked that  $FV \cong \text{inv lim } \Lambda\omega_\alpha$ ,  $H^*A^*\mathcal{G}FV \cong \text{inv lim } H^*A^*\mathcal{G}\Lambda\omega_\alpha$  and that  $\rho$  is compatible with inverse limits.

**Definition:** Let  $(M, d)$  be a complete DGA,  $W$  a l.c. space (not graded). Denote by  $(W, r)$  the graded space given by  $W$  in degree  $r$  and 0 otherwise. Let  $t : W \rightarrow Z^{r+1}(M)$  be a linear map and define a differential  $d_t$  on  $M \hat{\wedge} F(W, r)$  by  $d_t|_M = d$ ,  $d_t|_W = t$ . The algebra  $(M \hat{\wedge} F(W, r), d_t)$  is denoted by  $M \hat{\wedge}_t F(W, r)$  and is called an elementary extension of  $M$ . The homology class  $[t] \in H^{r+1}(L(W, M)) \cong L(W, H^{r+1}(M))$  is called the structure class.

Proof of (1), general  $M$ : We proceed by induction over the elementary extensions  $F(V^{<n}) \rightarrow F(V^{\leq n})$ . The inductive step is achieved with the help of the following propositions.

Proposition: Assume that  $\rho_M : M \rightarrow A^*(GM)$  is a weak equivalence. Let  $M \rightarrow N = M \underset{t}{\hat{\Delta}} F(\omega, r)$  be an elementary extension.

Then  $GN \rightarrow GM$  is a principal simplicial fibre bundle with fibre  $F = K(\omega', r)$  and the transgression  $T : \omega \cong H^r(F; Q) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(GM; Q) \cong H^{r+1}(M)$  is given by the structure class  $[t]$ .

Proposition (Hirsch - lemma) : Let  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  be a principal simplicial fibre bundle with fibre  $F = K(\pi, r)$ ,  $\pi$  a rational vectorspace (discrete).

Let  $e_B : MB \rightarrow A^*(B)$  be a minimal model of  $B$  and suppose that the transgression  $T : H^r(F; Q) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(B; Q)$  is represented by some map  $t : \pi' \rightarrow Z^{r+1}(MB)$ .

Then there is a weak equivalence

$$MB \underset{t}{\hat{\Delta}} F(\pi', r) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^*(E).$$

The proof of (2) is now very easy.  $\tilde{\tau}_X$  is defined by  $\tilde{\tau}_X = \mathcal{G}(e_X) \circ \tau_X$  where  $e_X : MX \rightarrow A^*(X)$  is the model of  $X$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $e_X = A^*(\tilde{\tau}_X) \circ \rho_{MX}$  and  $\rho_{MX}$  are weak equivalences hence  $A^*(\tilde{\tau}_X)$  is a weak equivalence and by duality of  $H^*(-; Q)$  and  $H_*(-; Q)$  we get  $\tilde{\tau}_{X*} : H_*(X; Q) \cong H_*(GMX; Q)$ . Since  $X$  and  $GMX$  are 1-connected rational simplicial sets an application of Whitehead's theorem gives the desired result.

References

- [B] K.S. Brown : Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology. *Transact.Amer.Math.Soc.* 186(1973) 419-458.
- [BG] A.K. Bousfield , V.K.A.M. Gugenheim : On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type. *Memoirs of the Amer.Math.Soc.* 179(1976)
- [L] S. Lefschetz : Algebraic topology . *Amer.Math.Soc. Colloq. Publ.* Vol. XXVII , 1942 .
- [U] H.M. Unsöld : Über die Sullivan - de Rham Theorie einfach zusammenhängender simplizialer Mengen. *Diplomarbeit , Freie Universität Berlin , 1982.*