

Astérisque

STEPHEN HALPERIN

**Spaces whose rational homology and de Rham
homotopy are both finite dimensional**

Astérisque, tome 113-114 (1984), p. 198-205

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1984__113-114__198_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

SPACES WHOSE RATIONAL HOMOLOGY AND DE RHAM HOMOLOGY
ARE BOTH FINITE DIMENSIONAL

by

Stephen Halperin

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a path connected space with rational minimal model $(\Lambda X, d)$ - cf [4]. We say S is of type F ([1]) if $\dim X$ and $\dim H(\Lambda X)$ are both finite.

Now $H(\Lambda X) \cong H^*(S; \mathbb{Q})$ (singular cohomology) while $X \cong \pi_{DR}^*(S)$ by the definition of ΛX and of $\pi_{DR}^*(S)$. Moreover, if S is 1-connected and $\dim H^p(S; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ for all p then $X \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_*(S); \mathbb{Q})$. In this case $\dim X^p = \text{rank } \pi_p(S)$, and the condition $\dim X < \infty$ can be restated as: $\pi_p(S)$ is finite for sufficiently large p .

Henceforth we consider a fixed S of type F and denote by n the degree of its fundamental class: $H^p(\Lambda X) = 0$, $p > n$. We also adopt the convention that $|x|$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element of a graded vector space, and we work over \mathbb{Q} as ground field.

2. THE SPACE $\pi_{DR}^*(S)$.

In [1] it is shown that $X^p = 0$, $p > 2n-1$. Here we will show that at most one element in a homogeneous basis of X has degree $\geq n$. More precisely, let q be the largest

ELLIPTIC SPACES

integer with $X^q \neq 0$. According to [3], q is odd.

Theorem 1. Suppose $q > n$. The algebra $(\Lambda X, d)$ is then of the form $\Lambda X \cong \Lambda(y, x) \otimes \Lambda Z$, where:

- (i) $Z^p = 0$, $p \geq n$ (ii) $|x| = q$
- (iii) $dx = y^k$ (some $k \geq 2$).

Moreover, if \bar{d} is the differential in ΛZ obtained from d by putting $y=x=0$ in ΛX , then $H^p(\Lambda Z, \bar{d}) = 0$, $p \geq |y|$.

Corollary: $H(\Lambda X, d) \cong (\Lambda y / y^k) \otimes H(\Lambda Z, \bar{d})$, as $\Lambda y / y^k$ -modules.

Proof: Write $X^{\text{odd}} = P$ and $X^{\text{even}} = Q$. Define a second differential d_σ in ΛX by the conditions $d_\sigma : X \rightarrow \Lambda Q$ and $d - d_\sigma : X \rightarrow P \cdot \Lambda X$. By [2], $H^p(\Lambda X, d_\sigma) = 0$, $p > n$.

Fix a homogeneous basis y_i of Q .

Since d_σ maps the indecomposable elements of $(P \otimes \Lambda Q)^q$ injectively into $(\Lambda Q)^{q+1}$ and since it maps $(P \otimes \Lambda Q)^q$ onto $(\Lambda Q)^{q+1}$ there is an indecomposable $x_1 \in (P \otimes \Lambda Q)^q$ such that $d_\sigma x_1$ has the form

$$(1) \quad d_\sigma x_1 = y_{i_1}^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot y_{i_r}^{k_r}, \quad k_v > 0.$$

Choose x_1 so that $|y_{i_1}|$ is minimized and so that (once y_{i_1} is fixed) k_1 is maximized.

Denote by $(\Lambda W, d')$ the differential algebra obtained from $(\Lambda X, d_\sigma)$ by dividing by y_{i_1} . We observe first that $y_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot y_{i_r}^{k_r} = \phi$ is not a coboundary in ΛW . Indeed, if we could write $\phi = d'\psi$ we would have $d_\sigma \psi = \phi + y_{i_1} \phi_1$, whence $d_\sigma(x_1 - y_{i_1}^1 \psi) = y_{i_1}^{k_1+1} \phi_1$. It would follow that one of the

constituent monomials of $y_{i_1}^{k_1+1} \phi_1$ was of the form $d_\sigma v$, v an indecomposable element of $(P \otimes \Lambda Q)^q$ and this would contradict our hypothesis on x_1 above.

Now $(\Lambda W, d')$ is of the form $(\Lambda x_1, 0) \otimes (\Lambda Y, d'')$. Hence $y_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots y_{i_r}^{k_r}$ is not a coboundary in $(\Lambda Y, d'')$. In particular, if n' is the maximum degree in which $H(\Lambda W) \neq 0$,

$$(2) \quad n' \geq q + \sum_{v=2}^r k_v |y_{i_v}|.$$

On the other hand by [2; Theorem 3]

$$(3) \quad n' = n + |y_{i_1}| - 1.$$

It follows that $|y_{i_1}| > (q-n) + \sum_{v=2}^r k_v |y_{i_v}|$ and hence $k_v = 0$, $v \geq 2$. We thus obtain (calling y_{i_1} simply y_1) that $d_\sigma x_1 = y_1^k$ for some k .

Write $\Lambda X = \Lambda(y_1, x_1) \otimes \Lambda Z_1$. The induced projection $\rho: \Lambda X \rightarrow \Lambda Z_1$ determines a differential \bar{d}_σ in ΛZ_1 . We show now that

$$(4) \quad H^p(\Lambda Z_1, \bar{d}_\sigma) = 0 \quad \text{if } p \geq |y_1| \quad \text{or} \quad p \geq \frac{n}{2}.$$

Indeed if m is the maximum degree in which $H(\Lambda Z_1, \bar{d}) \neq 0$ then by [2; Theorem 3]

$$n = m + (k-1)|y_1|.$$

ELLIPTIC SPACES

Since $d_\sigma x_1 = y_1^k$ we have

$$q + 1 = k|y_1|$$

and these two equations imply (1).

In view of [1] we have

$$(5) \quad Q = (y_1) \otimes Q^{\leq |y_1|} \quad \text{and} \quad X = (x_1) \otimes X^{\leq |n|}.$$

Now we show that

$$(6) \quad H^{|y_1|}(\Lambda X^{\leq |y_1|}, d) = H^{|y_1|+1}(\Lambda X^{\leq |y_1|}, d) = 0.$$

Because ([2]) there is a spectral sequence converging from $H(\ , d_\sigma)$ to $H(\ , d)$ it is sufficient to prove (6) with d_σ replacing d . Now (5) shows that the projection ρ restricts to a map $\rho_1: (\Lambda X^{\leq |y_1|}, d_\sigma) \rightarrow (\Lambda Z_1, \bar{d}_\sigma)$ which is injective in degrees $\leq |y_1|+1$ and surjective in degrees $\leq |y_1|$. Thus (6) follows from (4). From (6) we may deduce an element $\omega \in (\Lambda X^{\leq |y_1|}_{\mathbb{P} \cdot \Lambda X})^{|y_1|}$ such that $d(y_1 + \omega) = 0$.

Since $X^{\leq n} = (y_1) \otimes Z_1$ we conclude that $H(\Lambda X^{\leq n}, d_\sigma) \cong \Lambda y_1 \otimes H(\Lambda Z_1, \bar{d}_\sigma)$, using (4). Since $q+1 = k|y_1|$ it follows further from (4) that $\dim H^{q+1}(\Lambda X^{\leq n}, d) \leq 1$. Moreover, if $(y_1 + \omega)^k$ were a d -coboundary in $\Lambda X^{\leq n}$ then y_1^k would be a d_σ -coboundary in $\Lambda X^{\leq n}$, which is impossible. Hence $(y_1 + \omega)^k = dx$ for some indecomposable element x . Put $y = y_1 + \omega$ and choose an automorphism of ΛX which fixes y and

carries x to an element of X .

q.e.d.

Remark. Call $(\Lambda X, d)$ exceptional if one is in the case of Theorem 1, and ordinary otherwise. One sees easily that if $(\Lambda Z, \bar{d})$ is ordinary, then $(\Lambda X, d) \cong (\Lambda(x, y), d) \otimes (\Lambda Z, \bar{d})$. There are, however, simple examples in which $(\Lambda Z, \bar{d})$ is also exceptional and the isomorphism of the corollary cannot even be made multiplicative.

3. DIMENSION OF $H^*(S)$.

Theorem 2. $\dim H^*(S) = \dim H(\Lambda X) \leq 2^n$. This inequality is sharp when S is an n -torus.

Proof: In [1] is shown that

$$\dim H^*(S) \leq \prod_{i=1}^q 2b_i$$

where $2b_1-1, \dots, 2b_q-1$ are the degrees of a basis of P . Moreover it is shown there that $\sum b_i \leq n$. If $b_i > 1$ then $2b_i \leq 2(b_i-1)2$ and so $\prod_{i=1}^q 2b_i \leq 2^{\sum b_i} \leq 2^n$.

q.e.d.

4. LEFSCHETZ NUMBER.

Suppose $f: S \rightarrow S$ is a continuous map. It induces $\phi: (\Lambda X, d) \rightarrow (\Lambda X, d)$, and $H(\phi) : H(\Lambda X) \rightarrow H(\Lambda X)$ is identified with f^* , so that in particular the Lefschetz number of f is given by

ELLIPTIC SPACES

$$L(f) = \sum_P (-1)^P \text{trace } H^P(\phi).$$

To calculate $L(f)$ we extend the coefficients (by tensoring) to \mathbb{C} . Let ψ be the semisimple part of ϕ . It is a semisimple automorphism of ΛX and hence we can suppose it preserves X . Because ψ is the semisimple part of ϕ it is a polynomial in ϕ in each $(\Lambda X)^P$, and so commutes with d . Since ψ also preserves X it commutes with d_σ . Hence

$$L(f) = \sum (-1)^P H^P(\psi, d) = \sum (-1)^P \text{trace } H^P(\psi, d_\sigma).$$

Choose a homogeneous bases y_1, \dots, y_r and x_1, \dots, x_q of Q and P such that $\psi y_i = \alpha_i y_i$ and $\psi x_j = \beta_j x_j$, and such that α_i ($i \leq s$) and β_j ($j \leq t$) are the eigenvalues distinct from 1. Putting $y_1 = \dots = y_s = 0$ we arrive at a factor model $(\Lambda \bar{X}, \bar{d}_\sigma)$ of the form $(\Lambda(y_{s+1}, \dots, y_r) \otimes \Lambda(x_{t+1}, \dots, x_q), \bar{d}_\sigma) \otimes (\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_t), 0)$. The Lefschetz number of the induced endomorphism $\bar{\psi}$ is the product of the Euler characteristic χ of the first factor with $\prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i)$.

Define a model $(\Lambda X \otimes \Lambda U, D)$ extending $(\Lambda X, d_\sigma)$ by putting $U = (u_1, \dots, u_s)$ and $Du_i = y_i$. A spectral sequence converges from $H(\Lambda X \otimes \Lambda U)$ to $H(\Lambda \bar{X}, \bar{d}_\sigma)$ and so we conclude that

$$L(f) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - \alpha_i) = \chi \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i).$$

Finally let $|y_i|=2a_i$ and $|x_i|=2b_i-1$. We can apply [2] to obtain

Theorem 3: With the notation above $q-t>r-s$. Moreover, $L(f)=0$ if $q-t>r-s$, and

$$L(f) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^t (1-\beta_i) \prod_{i=1}^q b_i}{\prod_{i=1}^s (1-\alpha_i) \prod_{i=1}^{s+1} a_i} \cdot \frac{t+1}{r}, \quad \text{if } q-t=r-s.$$

Remark: Let $\bar{\phi}$ denote the linear part of ϕ . Then $\bar{\phi}$ is the action of f on $\pi_{\psi}^*(S)$. If S is 1-connected this is dual to the action of $f_{\#}$ in $\pi_*(S)$. In this case $\alpha_i (i \leq r)$ and $\beta_j (j \leq q)$ are the eigenvalues of $f_{\#}$ corresponding to a basis of $\pi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Thus $L(f)$ can be computed from $f_{\#}$.

Physical Sciences Division, Scarborough College,
University of Toronto.

ELLIPTIC SPACES

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Friedlander and S. Halperin. Rational homotopy groups of certain spaces, Invent. Math. 53 (1979) p. 117-133.
- [2] S. Halperin. Finiteness in the minimal models of Sullivan. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 230 (1977) p. 173-199.
- [3] S. Halperin. Rational fibrations, minimal models and the fibring of homogeneous spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 244 (1978) p. 199-223.
- [4] D. Sullivan, Infinitesimal Computations in Topology. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 47 (1978) p. 269-331).