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## BACKWARD PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

by P. LOUSBERG (University of Liège)

## I. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the study of the singularities of the solutions of backward parabolic pseudo-differential equations.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ denote the $n$-dimensional euclidean space and write $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let $\Omega^{\prime}$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $S$ a positive constant. Suppose that the extendible distribution $\vec{\tau}=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{N}\right)$ of $D^{*}(\Omega \cdot x] 0, S[)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\tau} \cdot\left[\left(D_{x_{n}}+Q\left(x, D_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right] \in C_{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\prime} x[0, S[)\right. \tag{I.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q\left(x, D_{x}\right)$ is a first order properly supported ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ ) pseudo-differential operator in $\Omega^{\prime}$ depending smoothly on $x_{n} \in[0, S[$ and with principal symbol $Q_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ homogeneous of degree 1 in $\xi^{\prime}$.

It follows that

$$
\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}=\int \vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot \vec{\phi} d x_{n}
$$

with $\vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \in C_{\infty}\left(\left[0, S\left[; D^{*}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.\right.$.
We assume that the operator $D_{x_{n}}+Q$ is backward parabolic at $\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in T^{*}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \backslash 0$, that is
(I.2) all the eigenvalues of the matrix $Q_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, 0,-\xi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ have positive real parts.
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By extension, we say that the equation (I.1) is backward parabolic at ( $\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ). The condition (I.2) still holds if ( $x, \xi^{\prime}$ ) belongs to a conic neighborhood $\omega^{\prime} \times\left[0, s\left[\times \gamma\right.\right.$ of ( $x_{0}^{\prime}, 0,-\xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ).
We examine the behaviour of the singularities of $\vec{\tau}$ near ( $x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ). As is well known, [4], $\vec{\tau}$ is microlocally $C_{\infty}$ if $x_{n}>0$; more precisely,

$$
\text { WF } \vec{\tau} \cap\left[\left(\omega^{\prime} \times\right] 0, s[) \times(-\gamma \times \mathbb{R})\right]=\emptyset \text {. }
$$

Moreover, all the traces of $\vec{\tau}$ are regular at ( $x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ). This is the main result of the present paper which we prove in section III. We obtain it by constructing in section II a microlocal parametrix at ( $x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ) for the Cauchy problem
(I.3) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}D_{x_{n}} \vec{u}+Q\left(x, D_{x^{\prime}}\right) \vec{u}=0, \\ \left.\vec{u}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=\vec{g}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad .\end{array}\right.$
J. Polking has obtained in [2] other regularity theorems for parabolic operators, using $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ methods, (see also [3]).

## II. CONSTRUCTION OF A MICROLOCAL PARAMETRIX

We first introduce an auxiliary space.
Let us set

$$
\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}, \xi^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}\right)=\operatorname{dtm}\left(Q_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}, \xi^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{iWI}_{N}\right), \mathrm{W} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

It follows from (I.2) that all the roots $W$ of $q$ have positive imaginary parts when $\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \in \omega^{\prime} \times\left[0, s\left[\times \gamma\right.\right.$. We denote by $\phi_{x, \xi^{\prime}}$ a closed curve containing these roots in its interior.

Definition II.1.: The space $\Sigma_{m}$ is the linear hull of the functions
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$$
\frac{w^{j_{A_{k}}}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)}{\left[q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, w\right)\right]^{1}}, j+k-1 N \leq m, j, l \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $A_{k}$ is a classical ( $N \times N$ ) symbol of order $k$ in $\omega^{\prime} \times[0, s[$ with support in $\xi^{\prime}$ contained in a closed subcone of $\gamma$.

The essential property of this space is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem II.1.: If $F$ is an element of $\Sigma_{m}$, then the function

$$
A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\phi_{x, \xi^{\prime}}} e^{i x_{n} W} F\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, W\right) d W
$$

belongs to the space

$$
\phi_{m+1}=s_{m+1}^{\rho, \sigma}\left(\omega^{\prime} \times\left[0, s\left[\times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap s_{-\infty}\left(\omega^{\prime} \times\right] 0, s\left[\times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right.\right.
$$

with $\rho=(1, \ldots, 1), \quad \sigma=(0, \ldots, 0,1),[1]$.
Proof: If $K=K^{\prime} \times\left[\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ is a compact subset of $\omega^{\prime} \times[0, s[$, we have, uniformly for $x \in K$,

$$
\left|A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \begin{cases}C\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{m+1} & \text { if } \varepsilon_{0}=0, \\ \frac{C_{N}^{\prime}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{N}}, & \text { VN, if } \varepsilon_{0}>0 .\end{cases}
$$

Let $\gamma^{\prime}$ denote a closed subcone of $\gamma$ containing $[F(x, ., W)]$.
It clearly suffices to prove that
(II.1) $\sup _{x \in K}\left|\int_{\phi_{x, \xi^{\prime}}} \frac{e^{i x_{n} W} W_{W}^{j}}{\left[q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, W\right)\right]^{l}} d W\right| \leq \begin{cases}C\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{j-1 N+1} & \text { if } \varepsilon_{o}=0, \\ \frac{C_{N}^{\prime}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{N}}, ~ v N, & \text { if } \varepsilon_{0}>0,\end{cases}$
in $\gamma^{\prime}$.
Note that there exists a closed curve $\phi$ enclosing the compact set

$$
\left\{W: \exists\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \in K \times \gamma^{\prime},\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1: q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, W\right)=0\right\}
$$

and contained in
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$$
\{\mathrm{W}: \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{W}>\mathrm{c}>0\}
$$

Hence, for $\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \in K \times \gamma^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\phi_{x, \xi^{\prime}}} \frac{e^{i x_{n} W} W^{j}}{\left[q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, W\right)\right]^{l}} d w=\int_{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| \phi} \frac{e^{i x_{n} W} W^{j}}{\left[q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, W\right)\right]} d w= \\
& =\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{j-1 N+1} \int_{\phi} \frac{e^{i x_{n}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| W}}{\left[q\left(x, \frac{\xi^{\prime}}{\xi^{\prime}}, W\right)\right]^{j}} d W
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute value of this expression is bounded by

$$
c e^{-c \varepsilon_{0}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{j-l N+1}
$$

We then easily obtain (II.1).
It follows that the expression

$$
D_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}} D_{x_{n}}^{\alpha_{n}} D_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{\alpha_{n}} C_{\alpha_{n}}^{p}\left(\int e^{i x_{n} W} W_{p_{D}}^{\alpha_{\prime^{\prime}}^{\prime}} D_{x_{n}}^{\alpha_{n}-p} D_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} F d W\right)
$$

gives the required estimate since

$$
w^{p} D_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}} D_{x_{n}}^{\alpha}{ }_{n}^{-p}{ }_{D_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}}} F \in \Sigma_{m+p-\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|} C \Sigma_{m+\alpha_{n}-\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|} .
$$

Now, we shall construct a microlocal parametrix at ( $x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ) for the Cauchy problem (I.3) .

Theorem II.2.: There exists of smooth family of ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ ) pseudo-differential operators in $\omega^{\prime}$ of order $\circ$

$$
P\left(x, D_{x^{\prime}}\right) \vec{\psi}=f e^{i\left(x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}} A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \vec{\psi}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} d \xi^{\prime}
$$

with

$$
x_{n} \in\left[0, s\left[, A \in \Phi_{0}\right.\right.
$$

and such that
(i) $\quad\left(D_{X_{n}} I_{N}+Q\right) P$ is an integral operator with kernel in $C_{\infty}\left(\omega^{\prime} \times\left[0, s\left[\times \omega^{\prime}\right)\right.\right.$, (ii) $P\left(x^{\prime}, 0, D_{x}\right.$ ) is elliptic at ( $\left.x_{0}^{\prime},-\xi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: Let us define the amplitude by

$$
A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \sim \sum_{p, q=0}^{\infty} A_{p q}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $A_{p q} \in \mathcal{S}_{-(p+q)}$.
More precisely, we set

$$
A_{p q}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\phi_{x, \xi^{\prime}}} e^{i x_{n} W} F_{p q}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, W\right) d w
$$

with $F_{p q} \in \Sigma_{-1-(p+q)}$.
In particular, we take
(II.2) $\quad F_{o q}=\left(Q_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)+i W I_{N}\right)^{-1} F_{q}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$,
with $F_{q} \in S_{-q}\left(\omega^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Applying $D_{X_{n}}+Q$ to $P$ yields, [3],

$$
\left(D_{x_{n}}+Q\right) P \vec{\psi}=f e^{i\left(x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}}\left[D_{x_{n}} A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)+B\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right] \vec{\psi}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y{ }^{\prime} d \xi^{\prime}
$$

where $B\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is a symbol of $\$_{1}$ defined by the following asymptotic expansion

$$
B\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{i^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\alpha} Q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) D_{x \prime^{\prime}}^{\alpha} A\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Writing for large $\xi^{\prime}$,

$$
Q=Q_{1}+Q_{0}
$$

with $Q_{0} \in S_{0}$, we obtain

$$
D_{X_{n}} A+B \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{1-k} A
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, D_{x_{n}}\right)=D_{x_{n}}+Q_{1}, \\
& T_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, D_{x^{\prime}}\right)=Q_{0}+\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{i^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_{\xi^{\prime}, Q}^{\alpha} D_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha}, \\
& T_{1-k}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, D_{x^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{i^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\alpha}, Q D_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha}, \text { if } k \geq 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

are differential operators which map $\$_{\mathrm{m}}$ into $\$_{\mathrm{m}+1-\mathrm{k}}$.
Noting that

$$
A \sim \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{p+q=r} A_{p q}\right)
$$

we get

$$
D_{x_{n}}^{A+B} \sim \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{p+q=r-k} T_{1-k} A_{p q}\right)
$$

In order to realize condition (i), we annihilate each term of the asymptotic expansion of $D_{x_{n}} A+B$. We obtain
(II.3)

$$
\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r-q} T_{1-k} A_{r-q-k, q}=0, \text { for } r \geq 1,
$$

if we remark that

$$
T_{1} A_{o q}=\left(\int_{\phi_{x, \xi}} e^{i x_{n} W}\left(i W I_{N}+Q_{1}\right)\left(i W I_{N}+Q_{1}\right)^{-1} d W\right) F_{q}=0 .
$$

The conditions (II.3) are satisfied if the functions $F_{p q}$ are given by
(II.4) $\quad F_{p q}=-\left(i W I_{N}+Q_{1}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p} T_{1-k} F_{p-k, q}, p \geq 1, q \in \mathbb{N}$.

These relations determine $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}}$ from $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{oq}}$.
Furthermore, we have

$$
P\left(x^{\prime}, 0, D_{x},\right) \vec{\psi}=\int_{e^{i\left(x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right)} \cdot \xi^{\prime}}^{A\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)} \vec{\psi}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} d \xi \xi^{\prime} .
$$

Here $A\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is a classical symbol of order 0 having the following asymptotic expansion

$$
A\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right) \sim A_{o o}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{p, q-1}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)+A_{o q}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

The condition (ii) is satisfied if we take

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{o o}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right) X\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) I_{N}, \\
A_{o q}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{p, q-1}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right) \quad, \text { for } q \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha \in D\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of $x_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\chi \in C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $\circ$ for large $\xi^{\prime}$, equal to 1 in a conic neighborhood of $-\xi_{0}^{\prime}$ for $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\xi_{0}^{\prime}\right|$ and with support contained in a closed subcone $\gamma^{\prime}$ of $\gamma$. Noting that

$$
A_{o q}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\int_{\phi_{x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}}}\left(Q_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)+i W I_{N}\right)^{-1} d W\right) F_{q}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=2 \pi F_{q}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

we obtain
(II.5) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}F_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) I_{N}, \\ F_{q}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}{ }_{A_{p, q-1}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right) \quad, \text { for } q \geq 1 .\end{array}\right.$

The relations (II.2), (II.4), (II.5) determine the functions $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}}$. It is easy to prove by induction that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pq}} \in \Sigma_{-1-(p+q)}$. Let us remark that the support in $\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ of $F_{p q}$ is contained in $[\alpha] x \gamma^{\prime}$; hence

$$
\left[A\left(., x_{n}, \cdot\right)\right] \subset[\alpha] \times \gamma^{\prime}
$$

Furthermore, if $x_{n}>0, P\left(x, D_{x},\right)$ is an integral operator with kernel $\in C_{\infty}\left(\omega^{\prime} x\right] 0, s\left[x \omega^{\prime}\right)$.
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## III. MAIN THEOREM

Lemma III.1.: If the distribution $\vec{\tau}$ satisfies the equation (I.1), we have
 and where $\vec{f} \in C_{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\prime} x[0, S[)\right.$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{+\infty} \vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot\left(D_{x_{n}}+Q\left(x, D_{x^{\prime}}\right) \vec{\phi} d x_{n}+\iint_{0}^{+\infty} \vec{f} \cdot \vec{\phi} d x=-\vec{\tau}_{0} \cdot \vec{\phi}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \quad\right. \text {, for every }  \tag{ii}\\
& \vec{\phi} \in D\left(\Omega^{\prime} x \text { }\right]-S, S[)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Integrating by parts, we obtain
(III.1)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot\left(D_{x_{n}}+Q\left(x, D_{x},\right)\right) \vec{\phi} d x_{n}=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[-D_{x_{n}} \vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot \vec{\phi}+\vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot Q(x, D,) \vec{\phi}\right] d x_{n}+ \\
-\vec{\tau}_{0} \cdot \vec{\phi}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)
\end{array}
$$

In particular, if we take

$$
\vec{\phi}=\psi \vec{\phi}^{\prime} \quad, \vec{\phi}^{\prime} \in D\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \quad, \psi \in D(] 0, S[)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \psi d x_{n} \int \vec{f}^{\vec{f}} \cdot \vec{\phi}^{\prime} d x^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \psi\left[-D_{x_{n}} \vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot \vec{\phi}^{\prime}+\vec{\tau}_{x_{n}} \cdot Q\left(x, D_{x}\right)^{\prime} \vec{\phi}^{\prime}\right] d x_{n} \\
& \text { where } \vec{f} \in C_{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\prime} x[0, S[) \quad .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we deduce (i) and using (III.1), we get (ii).

Theorem III.1.: If the equation (I.1) is backward parabolic at ( $x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}$ ), all the traces of the distribution $\vec{\tau}$ are regular at $\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof: Let us introduce in the relation (ii) of Lemma III. 1 the function

$$
\alpha\left(x_{n}\right) P\left(x, D_{x},\right) \vec{\psi}
$$

where $P$ is the microlocal parametrix constructed in Theorem II. 2 and $\alpha$ is a function in $D(]-s, s[)$ equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the origin.

We obtain

$$
\vec{\tau}_{\bullet}\left(D_{x_{n}} \alpha\right) P\left(x, D_{x^{\prime}}\right) \vec{\psi}+\int \vec{g} \cdot \vec{\psi} d x^{\prime}=-\vec{\tau}_{0} \bullet P\left(x^{\prime}, 0, D_{x^{\prime}}\right) \vec{\psi}
$$

where

$$
\vec{g} \in C_{\infty}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\vec{\tau}_{0} \cdot P\left(x^{\prime}, 0, D_{x^{\prime}}\right) \in C_{\infty}
$$

Since $P\left(x^{\prime}, 0, D_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ is elliptic at $\left(x_{0}^{\prime},-\xi_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}\right) \notin W F \vec{\tau}_{0}
$$

To complete the proof, it remains to note that

$$
\text { WF } \vec{\tau}_{0}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \text { WF } D_{x_{n}}^{k} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tau}_{x_{n}} \mid x_{n}=0
$$

by relation (i) of Lemma III. 1.
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