

Astérisque

BRONISLAW JAKUBCZYK

Existence and uniqueness of nonlinear realizations

Astérisque, tome 75-76 (1980), p. 141-147

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1980__75-76__141_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1980, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF NONLINEAR REALIZATIONS

by

Bronislaw JAKUBCZYK

-:-:-:-

1. - INTRODUCTION.

The realization problem can be formulated as follows. Given an input-output system ("black box") described by an input-output map, find an internal description of the system (called realization of the system) and show that a "minimal internal description" is, in a sense, unique. In the case of discrete time and a general (set theoretical) input-output map, this is a problem of the automata theory (cf. [6]) and is solved by introducing the concept of "state space" as a "minimal memory" of the system.

We are concerned with the case of continuous-time systems with the output having finite number of real valued components. The problem has a satisfactory solution for the case of linear systems (cf. KALMAN [6] and the bibliography cited there) and bilinear systems (cf. e.g. [3]). In the case of the input-output map given by a finite Volterra series direct constructions of linear-analytic realizations were given by BROCKETT [1] and CROUCH [2] (see these proceedings).

In the general, nonlinear case a basic result was obtained by SUSSMANN [8], [10] (for related topics see SUSSMANN [9], [11] and HERMANN, KERNER [4]), who proved that if an input-output map has a realization which is either analytical or smooth symmetric, then it has a minimal realization which is unique up to a diffeomorphism.

Here, we give general necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of realizations of nonlinear input-output maps. We show that two minimal realizations are diffeomorphic (our definition of minimality is slightly modified with respect to [10]). We outline the construction of a realization in the general case. The

detailed construction and proofs are contained in [5].

2. - INPUT-OUTPUT MAP OF A GIVEN CONTROL SYSTEM.

Consider a control system of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, u), \quad x(0) = x_o \\ y &= h(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $x(t) \in X$ n -dimensional, differentiable manifold, $u(t) \in \Omega$ and $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$. Let U be a class of admissible control functions u defined on finite subintervals $[0, t_u)$ of $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$. We assume that for any $u \in U$ the equation $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ has a well defined unique solution on $[0, t_u]$. Let Φ_u^f denote the diffeomorphism $\Phi_u^f : X \longrightarrow X$ which maps initial points of the trajectories into their finite points. For a given quadruple $\Sigma = (X, f, h, x_o)$ we define the input-output map $p_\Sigma : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ of system (1) by

$$(2) \quad p_\Sigma(u) = h(\Phi_u^f(x_o)).$$

3. - CONTROL SEMIGROUP AND CONTROL GROUP.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall consider here the class of piecewise constant controls only (see [5] for a general class of controls). Let Ω denote a set of admissible values of controls (its elements will be denoted by α, β).

Denote by

$$(3) \quad a = (t_k \alpha_k) \dots (t_2 \alpha_2) (t_1 \alpha_1)$$

the piecewise constant function $[0, \sigma_k) \longrightarrow \Omega$, $a(\tau) = \alpha_i$ for $\tau \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$, $\sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^i t_j$ ($\sigma_o = 0$), where $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ and $k \geq 0$. The set of all such functions will be denoted by S and its elements by a, b, c . There is a natural semigroup structure in S with multiplication defined by concatenation

$$(4) \quad ba = (\tau_m \beta_m) \dots (\tau_1 \beta_1) (t_k \alpha_k) \dots (t_1 \alpha_1)$$

where $b = (\tau_m \beta_m) \dots (\tau_1 \beta_1)$. The identity in S is the empty sequence (3).

There is a natural action of R_+ on S

$$(5) \quad t\alpha = ((t t_k) \alpha_k) \dots ((t t_1) \alpha_1)$$

(expansion). We identify $\alpha = (1\alpha)$.

The semigroup S can be extended to a group G called control group (see LOBRY [7]). The elements of G are formal sequences of the form (3) with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and multiplication defined by (4), where we identify $(t_1\alpha)(t_2\alpha) = (t_1+t_2)\alpha$ and $(0\alpha) = e$. The element $t\alpha$ is defined by (5) for $t > 0$ and by $t\alpha = ((t t_1)\alpha_1) \dots ((t t_k)\alpha_k)$ for $t < 0$.

4. - INPUT-OUTPUT SYSTEMS.

Assume that R^r is our output space. Any mapping $p: S \longrightarrow R^r$ will be called an input-output map. By an input-output system we shall mean the triple (S, p, R^r) . To have existence of realizations we shall impose two basic assumptions on the input-output map p (they have parallel versions if p is defined on the group G).

Denote $\underline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$, $b_i \in S$ ($b_i \in G$), $m \geq 1$, $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_q)$ $a_i \in S$ ($a_i \in G$), $q \geq 1$, $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_q)$, $t_i \in R_+$ ($t_i \in \mathbb{R}$) and define the functions:

$$\psi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} : R_+^q \longrightarrow R^{rm} \quad (R^q \longrightarrow R^{rm}) \quad \text{by} \quad \psi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} = (\psi_{\underline{a}}^{b_1}, \dots, \psi_{\underline{a}}^{b_m}), \text{ where}$$

$$\psi_{\underline{a}}^{b_i}(\underline{t}) = p(b_i(t_q a_q) \dots (t_1 a_1)).$$

It may be useful to imagine $(t_q a_q) \dots (t_1 a_1)$ as a basic control and b_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, as measure experiments.

Let $k = 2, 3, \dots, \infty, \omega$. The regularity assumption on p takes the form

(A.1) The functions $\psi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}$ belong to the class C^k for any $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, m \geq 1, q \geq 1$.

In the case of $k = \omega$ and p defined on the semigroup S we shall also need a stronger version of (A.1).

(A.1)' The functions have real analytic extensions onto R^q for any $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, m \geq 1, q \geq 1$.

Define

$$\text{rank } p = \sup_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{t}} \text{rank } D\psi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}(\underline{t})$$

where $D\psi$ denotes the differential of ψ . We shall also assume that

$$(A.2) \quad \text{rank } p < \infty .$$

In the nonanalytical case the following additional assumption will be used

$$(A.3) \quad \forall a \in \Omega \quad \exists \beta \in \Omega \quad \forall a, b \in S \quad \forall t > 0 \quad p(b(t\beta)(t\alpha)a) = p(ba) = p(b(t\alpha)(t\beta)a) .$$

5. - REALIZATIONS.

Now we shall precise what we mean by realizations of the input-output system (S, p, R^r) . The quadruple $\Sigma = (X, f, h, x_0)$ will be called a C^k realization of the input-output system (S, p, R^r) , $k = 2, 3, \dots, \infty, \omega$, if

- (i) X is a C^k manifold (Hausdorff, without boundary) and $x_0 \in X$,
- (ii) $f : X \times \Omega \longrightarrow TX$ is a function such that the vector fields $f(\cdot, \alpha)$ are complete and generate C^k flows $\Phi_{(t\alpha)}^f$,
- (iii) $h : X \longrightarrow R^r$ is a function of the class C^k ,
- (iv) the input-output map p_Σ is equal to p i.e.

$$p(a) = h(\Phi_a^f(x_0)), \quad a \in S .$$

The realization is called reachable (weakly reachable) if $\forall x \in X \quad \exists a \in S$ ($a \in G$) $\Phi_a^f(x_0) = x$ (for $a = (t_k \alpha_k) \dots (t_1 \alpha_1) \in G$ we define $\Phi_a^f = \Phi_{(t_k \alpha_k)}^f \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{(t_1 \alpha_1)}^f$).

It is called observable if $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \neq x_2 \quad \exists b \in S \quad h(\Phi_b^f(x_1)) \neq h(\Phi_b^f(x_2))$. A reachable and observable realization is called minimal. Weakly reachable and observable realization is called C^ω -minimal (minimal in the class C^ω). The realization is called symmetric if $\forall \alpha \in \Omega \quad \exists \beta \in \Omega \quad \forall x \in X \quad f(x, \alpha) = -f(x, \beta)$.

Two C^k realizations Σ and Σ' are said to be C^k -diffeomorphic if there is a C^k diffeomorphism $\chi : X \longrightarrow X'$ which carries Σ to Σ' i.e.

$$(D\chi f) \circ \chi^{-1} = f', \quad h \circ \chi^{-1} = h', \quad \chi(x_0) = x'_0 .$$

6. - THE MAIN RESULT.

The following theorem gives general conditions for existence and uniqueness of realizations of the system (S, p, R^r) .

Theorem 1. - Let $k = 2, 3, \dots, \infty, \omega$. The input-output system (S, p, R^r) has a C^k realization if and only if the map p can be extended to a map $\bar{p} : G \rightarrow R^r$ which satisfies (A.1) and (A.2).

Any two C^k , $k = 2, \dots, \infty$, minimal (C^ω -minimal) realizations of (S, p, R^r) are C^k (C^ω) diffeomorphic.

The existence criterion of the above theorem is somewhat implicit. However the criterion can be transformed to an explicit form for two important classes of realizations.

Theorem 2. - a) $k = 2, \dots, \infty$. Any input-output system, which satisfies (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) has a minimal, symmetric, C^k realization Σ such that $\dim X = \text{rank } p$.

b) $k = \omega$. Any input-output system which satisfies (A.1)' and (A.2) has a C^ω -minimal realization Σ such that $\dim X = \text{rank } p$.

Theorems 1, 2 are reformulations of the results of [5] (extended version). Namely, the existence part of Theorem 1 is contained in Theorem 4 of [5] and the uniqueness part of Theorem 1 in [5]. The full proofs are contained in [5]. Below we shall outline the proof of the existence part of Theorem 1.

7. - NECESSITY.

If there exists a realization Σ , then the extension $\bar{p} : G \rightarrow R^r$ can be defined by

$$\bar{p}(a) = h(\Phi_a^f(x_0)), \quad a \in G.$$

We define the following maps $\psi_a : R^q \rightarrow X$, $\psi_b : X \rightarrow R^{rm}$,

$$\psi_a(t) = \Phi_{(t_q a_q) \dots (t_1 a_1)}^f(x_0)$$

$$\psi_b(x) = (h(\Phi_{b_1}^f(x)), \dots, h(\Phi_{b_m}^f(x))).$$

We have that $\psi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} = \psi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} \circ \psi_{\underline{a}}$, thus it is easy to see that (A.1) and (A.2) are satisfied and $\text{rank} \ll \dim X$.

8. - CONSTRUCTION OF A REALIZATION (OUTLINE).

For a given map $\bar{p} : G \longrightarrow R^r$ we introduce an equivalence relation in G

$$a \sim b \Leftrightarrow \forall c \quad \bar{p}(ca) = \bar{p}(cb).$$

We define

$$X = G / \sim$$

and $[a]$ denotes the equivalence class of a . Define the maps $\bar{\phi}_{\underline{a}} : X \longrightarrow X$, $a \in G$, and $h : X \longrightarrow R^r$ by

$$\bar{\phi}_{\underline{a}}([b]) = [ab], \quad h([b]) = \bar{p}(b)$$

and let $x_0 = [e]$.

The topology in X is defined as the strongest topology such that the maps $\psi_{\underline{a}} : R^q \longrightarrow X$ are continuous for all $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_q)$, $q \geq 1$, where

$$\psi_{\underline{a}}(\underline{t}) = \bar{\phi}_{(t_q a_q)} \dots \bar{\phi}_{(t_1 a_1)}(x_0).$$

The C^k differential structure on X is introduced by defining the class of real valued functions of the class C^k on X :

$$\varphi \in C^k(X, R) \stackrel{\text{df}}{\Leftrightarrow} \varphi \circ \psi_{\underline{a}} \in C^k(R^q, R) \quad \forall \underline{a}.$$

Using (A.1) and (A.2) it can be proved that X is C^k , finite dimensional manifold and $\bar{\phi}_{\underline{a}}, h$ are functions of the class C^k . The vector fields $f(\cdot, \alpha)$ are defined as infinitesimal vector fields of the flows $\bar{\phi}_{(t\alpha)}$.

-:-:-:-

REFERENCES

- [1] R.W. BROCKETT. - Volterra series and geometric control theory. Automatica 12 (1976), 167-176.

- [2] P. CROUCH.- Realizations of stationary finite Volterra series. These proceedings.
- [3] P. D'ALESSANDRO, A. ISIDORI, A. RUBERTI.- Realization and structure theory of bilinear dynamical systems. SIAM J. Control, Vol.12, n°3 (1974).
- [4] R. HERMANN, A. J. KRENER.- Nonlinear controllability and observability. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol.22, n°5 (1977).
- [5] B. JAKUBCZYK.- Existence and uniqueness of realizations of nonlinear systems. Preprint, Warszawa 1978.
- [6] R.E. KALMAN, P.L. FALB, M.A. ARBIB.- Topics in Mathematical System Theory. New-York 1969.
- [7] C. LOBRY.- Dynamical polysystems and control theory. In "Geometric methods", Proc. Conf. London 1973.
- [8] H. J. SUSSMANN.- Minimal realizations of nonlinear systems. In "Geometric methods", Ibid.
- [9] H. J. SUSSMANN.- Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 180 (1973), 171-188.
- [10] H. J. SUSSMANN.- Existence and uniqueness of minimal realizations of nonlinear systems. Math. Syst. Theory 10 (1977), 263-284.
- [11] H. J. SUSSMANN.- A generalization of closed subgroup theorem to quotients of arbitrary manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. 10 (1975), 151-166.

-:-:-:-

Bronislaw JAKUBCZYK
 Institute of Mathematics
 Polish Academy of Sciences
 Sniadeckich 8
 00-950 WARSAW (POLAND)