

Astérisque

DAVID WILLIAM MASSER

Transcendence and abelian functions

Astérisque, tome 24-25 (1975), p. 177-182

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1975__24-25__177_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1975__24-25__177_0)

© Société mathématique de France, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

TRANSCENDENCE AND ABELIAN FUNCTIONS

by

David William MASSER

-:-:-:-

I will first describe the results in the special case of elliptic functions.

Let g_2, g_3 be algebraic numbers with $g_2^3 \neq 27 g_3^2$, and let $\wp(z)$ be the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying the differential equation :

$$(\wp'(z))^2 = 4(\wp(z))^3 - g_2 \wp(z) - g_3 . \quad (*)$$

This function is doubly periodic with a lattice Λ of periods which are also poles. We define an algebraic point of $\wp(z)$ as a complex number u such that either u is in Λ or $\wp(u)$ is an algebraic number. The ring $\mathbb{E}$ of complex multiplications of $\wp(z)$ is the ring of complex numbers σ such that $\sigma \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. Clearly $\mathbb{E} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}$, and for general g_2, g_3 we have $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{Z}$; otherwise $\mathbb{E}$ is an order of a complex quadratic extension $\mathbb{K}$ of the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$. It is not hard to prove that the set of algebraic points of $\wp(z)$ is an $\mathbb{E}$ -module. Accordingly it was conjectured by Coates that algebraic points of $\wp(z)$ are linearly independent over the field $\mathbb{A}$ of algebraic numbers if and only if they are linearly independent over $\mathbb{E}$.

I have proved this conjecture when $\mathbb{E} \neq \mathbb{Z}$, and the following theorem is an essential tool.

THEOREM 1. - Let $u_1, \dots, u_m$ be algebraic points of $\mathcal{P}(z)$ that are linearly independent over $\mathbb{E} (\neq \mathbb{Z})$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an effectively computable constant $C > 0$ depending only on $\varepsilon, u_1, \dots, u_m$ and $\mathcal{P}(z)$ such that

$$|\sigma_1 u_1 + \dots + \sigma_m u_m| > C e^{-H^\varepsilon}$$

for any algebraic numbers $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ of $\mathbb{E}$, not all zero, of heights at most H .

With this we can prove the following generalization of the conjecture which incorporates the number 1 into the basic linear form.

THEOREM 2. - Let $u_1, \dots, u_m$ be algebraic points of $\mathcal{P}(z)$ that are linearly independent over $\mathbb{E} (\neq \mathbb{Z})$. Then $1, u_1, \dots, u_m$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{A}$.

In particular, each u_i and each ratio u_i/u_j is transcendental; in fact these special cases were obtained by Schneider in [2] for general $\mathbb{E}$. The quantitative version of theorem 1 can be used in conjunction with the finite basis theorem of Mordell-Weil to give a new proof of Siegel's theorem for elliptic curves with complex multiplication. For example, if k is a non-zero rational integer, the curves

$$y^2 = x^3 + k, \quad y^2 = x^3 + kx$$

have only finitely many integral points.

Although this proof does not use the inequality of Thue-Siegel-Roth, it remains ineffective in character because there is no effective way of constructing the basis whose existence is asserted by the result of Mordell-Weil.

To generalize all this to abelian functions we proceed as follows. Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying certain relations of Riemann. If it is non-degenerate in a certain sense, the field $\mathfrak{F}$ of functions meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}^n$ containing Λ in its lattice of periods is of transcendence degree n over $\mathbb{C}$. Thus we may write

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

where $A_1, \dots, A_n$ are algebraically independent and A_0 is integral over the ring $\mathbb{C}[A_1, \dots, A_n]$. We express this dependence by a polynomial relation

$$F(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n) = 0.$$

For example, if $n = 1$ we can take $A_1 = P$, $A_0 = P'$ and F is given by (*).

The analogue of the condition that g_2, g_3 are algebraic numbers is imposed as follows. The partial derivatives $\partial/\partial Z_i$ map $\mathfrak{F}$ to itself, and so we can write

$$G(A_1, \dots, A_n) \partial A_j / \partial Z_i = G_{ij}(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n)$$

after taking a common denominator and clearing this of the function A_0 . We say that $\mathfrak{F}$ is algebraically defined if

a) $A_1, \dots, A_n$ are holomorphic at the origin $\underline{0}$ and take algebraic values there,

b) F, G, G_{ij} have algebraic coefficients,

c) If we write $B(\underline{Z}) = G(A_1(\underline{Z}), \dots, A_n(\underline{Z}))$ then $B(\underline{0}) \neq 0$.

We call a vector $\underline{u}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ an algebraic point of $\mathfrak{F}$ if

d) $A_1, \dots, A_n$ are holomorphic at $\underline{u}$ and take algebraic values there,

e) $B(\underline{u}) \neq 0$.

Once again we define $\mathbb{E}$ as the ring of matrices of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ that take the period lattice Λ into itself. It is no longer true that algebraic points form a

$\mathbb{E}$ -module, because of the denominator $B(\underline{Z})$; however, this statement is almost always true. The conjecture extending that of Coates would assert that algebraic points of $\mathfrak{F}$ are linearly independent over $M_n(\mathbb{A})$ if and only if they are linearly independent over $\mathbb{E}$, where $M_n(\mathbb{A})$ denotes the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with algebraic entries.

Our methods only succeed when $\mathfrak{F}$ has complex multiplication of the type discussed by Shimura. This is when $\mathbb{E}$ is isomorphic to an order $\mathbb{L}$ of an algebraic number field $\mathbb{F}$ of degree $2n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is convenient to make this isomorphism explicit by diagonalizing $\mathbb{E}$. There are n monomorphisms $\psi_i : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that the diagonal matrix $D(\sigma)$ of $\mathbb{E}$ corresponding to a number σ of $\mathbb{L}$ is given by

$$D(\sigma) = \text{diag} (\sigma^{\psi_1}, \dots, \sigma^{\psi_n}) .$$

The next result generalizes Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. - Let $u_1, \dots, u_m$ be algebraic points of $\mathfrak{F}$ that are linearly independent over $\mathbb{E}$ ($\approx \mathbb{L}$). Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an effectively computable constant $C > 0$ depending only on ε , $u_1, \dots, u_m$, and $\mathfrak{F}$ such that

$$|D(\sigma_1) u_1 + \dots + D(\sigma_m) u_m| > C e^{-H^\varepsilon}$$

for any algebraic numbers $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ of $\mathbb{L}$, not all zero, with heights at most H .

This enables us to give a new proof of Siegel's Theorem for any curve whose Jacobian variety has Shimura complex multiplication. An example is

$$ax^p + by^q + c = 0$$

where a, b, c are nonzero rational integers and p, q are different primes.

Once again the estimates would all become effective if the theorem of Mordell-Weil for abelian varieties could be made effective.

Finally Theorem 2 can be generalized by introducing the vector $\underline{v} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

THEOREM 4. - Let $\underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_m$ be algebraic points linearly independent over $\mathbb{E} (\approx \mathbb{L})$. Then the vectors $\underline{v}, \underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_m$ are linearly independent over the set of non-zero diagonal matrices of $M_n(\mathbb{A})$.

In other words, if $R, S_1, \dots, S_m$ are diagonal matrices of $M_n(\mathbb{A})$, not all zero, the vector

$$R \underline{v} + S_1 \underline{u}_1 + \dots + S_m \underline{u}_m$$

does not vanish. This clearly gives the transcendence of the vectors $\underline{u}_i$ (i. e. the transcendence of at least one of their components) ; this had been proved for general $\mathbb{E}$ by Lang in [1]. More interestingly, we can separate components by taking the matrix coefficients suitably singular. For example, when $m = 1$ we can take for algebraic α

$$R = \text{diag} (\alpha, 0, \dots, 0) \quad S_1 = \text{diag} (1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad ;$$

this implies the transcendence of the first component of $\underline{u}_1$ (and so obviously that of each component). Similarly, the choice $R = 0$ and

$$S_i = \text{diag} (\alpha_i, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for algebraic α_i gives the linear independence over $\mathbb{A}$ of the first components of $\underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_m$.

-:-:-:-

REFERENCES

- [1] S. LANG. - Introduction to Transcendental Numbers. Addison-Wesley, Reading, (1966).
- [2] T. SCHNEIDER. - Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1957).

-:-:-:-

David William MASSER
University of Nottingham
Department of Mathematics
University Park
NOTTINGHAM NG 7 2RD