

On a stronger Lazer-McKenna conjecture for Ambrosetti-Prodi type problems

JUNCHENG WEI AND SHUSEN YAN

Abstract. We consider an elliptic problem of Ambrosetti-Prodi type involving critical Sobolev exponent on a bounded smooth domain. We show that if the domain has some symmetry, the problem has infinitely many (distinct) solutions whose energy approach to infinity even for a fixed parameter, thereby obtaining a stronger result than the Lazer-McKenna conjecture.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35J65 (primary); 35B38, 47H15 (secondary).

1. Introduction

Elliptic problems of Ambrosetti-Prodi type have the following form:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) - \bar{s}\varphi_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $g(t)$ satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = \nu < \lambda_1$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = \mu > \lambda_1$, λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary condition and $\varphi_1 > 0$ is the first eigenfunction. Here $\mu = +\infty$ and $\nu = -\infty$ are allowed. It is well-known that the location of μ, ν with respect to the spectrum of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$ plays an important role in the multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1). See for example [3, 8, 9, 18–20, 23–26, 31–34]. In the early 1980s, Lazer and McKenna conjectured that if $\mu = +\infty$ and $g(t)$ does not grow too fast at infinity, (1.1) has an unbounded number of solutions as $\bar{s} \rightarrow +\infty$. See [24].

In this paper, we will consider the following special case:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u_+^{2^*-1} + \lambda u - \bar{s}\varphi_1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The research of the first author was partially supported by a research grant from GRF of Hong Kong and a Focused Research Scheme of CUHK.

Received December 10, 2008; accepted in revised form May 28, 2009.

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with C^2 boundary, $N \geq 3$, $\lambda < \lambda_1$, $\bar{s} > 0$, $u_+ = \max(u, 0)$ and $2^* = 2N/(N - 2)$.

It is easy to see that (1.2) has a negative solution

$$\underline{u}_{\bar{s}} = -\frac{\bar{s}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda} \varphi_1,$$

if $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Moreover, if $\underline{u}_{\bar{s}} + u$ is a solution of (1.2), then u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = (u - s\varphi_1)_+^{2^*-1} + \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $s = \frac{\bar{s}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda} > 0$.

Let us recall some recent results on the Lazer-McKenna conjecture related to (1.3). Firstly, Dancer and the second author proved in [12, 13] that for $N \geq 2$ and $\lambda \in (-\infty, \lambda_1)$, the Lazer-McKenna conjecture is true if the critical exponent in (1.3) is replaced by sub-critical one. In the critical case, it was proved in [27, 28, 36] that if $N \geq 6$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, then (1.3) has unbounded number of solutions as $s \rightarrow +\infty$. The solutions constructed for (1.3) concentrate either at the maximum points of the first eigenfunction [27], or at some boundary points of the domain [36] as $s \rightarrow +\infty$. On the other hand, Druet proves in [21] that the conditions $N \geq 6$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ are necessary for the existence of the peak-solutions constructed in [27, 36]. More precisely, the result in [21] states that if $N = 3, 4, 5$, or $N \geq 6$ and $\lambda \leq 0$, then (1.3) has no solution u_s , such that the energy of u_s is bounded as $s \rightarrow +\infty$. This result suggests that it is more difficult to find solutions for (1.3) in the lower dimensional cases $N = 3, 4, 5$, or in the case $\lambda \leq 0$ and $N \geq 6$.

Note that all the results just mentioned state that (1.3) has more and more solutions as *the parameter* $s \rightarrow +\infty$. But for *fixed* $s > 0$, it is hard to estimate how many solutions (1.3) has. (In the critical case, for fixed s , it is even unknown if there is a solution.)

In this paper, we will deal with (1.3) in the lower dimensional cases $N = 4, 5, 6$, or $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda \leq 0$, assuming that the domain Ω satisfies the following symmetry condition:

(S1): If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega$,
 then, for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_3, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega$, where $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$;

(S2): If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega$,
 then, for any $3 \leq i \leq N$, $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, -x_i, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega$.

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that Ω satisfies (S1) and (S2). Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $N = 4, 5, \lambda < \lambda_1$ and $s > 0$;
- (ii) $N = 6, \lambda < \lambda_1$ and $s > |\lambda|s_0$ for some $s_0 > 0$, which depends on Ω only;
- (iii) $N \geq 7, \lambda = 0$ and $s > 0$.

Then, (1.3) has infinitely many distinct solutions whose energy can approach to infinity.

The result in Theorem 1.1 is stronger than the Lazer-McKenna conjecture. Note that in Theorem 1.1, the constant s is *fixed*. In fact, all the parameters are *fixed*. This gives a striking contrast to the results in [27, 36], where s is regarded as a parameter which needs to tend to infinity in order to obtain the results there. As far as the authors know, this seems to be the first such result for Ambrosetti-Prodi type problems. We believe Theorem 1.1 should be true in any general domain and hence we pose the following stronger Lazer-McKenna conjecture:

Stronger Lazer-McKenna Conjecture: *Let s be fixed and $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Then problem (1.3) has infinitely many solutions.*

We are not able to obtain similar result for the cases $N = 3$, and $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda < 0$. But we have the following weaker result for $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda < 0$, which gives a positive answer to the Lazer-McKenna conjecture in this case:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that Ω satisfies (S1) and (S2), and $N \geq 7, \lambda < \lambda_1$. Then, the number of distinct solutions for (1.3) is unbounded as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Problem (1.3) is a bit delicate in the case $N = 3$. When $s = 0$, Brezis and Nirenberg [7] proved that (1.3) has a least energy solution if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, while for $N = 3$, this result holds only if $\lambda \in (\lambda^*, \lambda_1)$ for some $\lambda^* > 0$ (if Ω is a ball, $\lambda^* = \frac{\lambda_1}{4}$). The main reason for this difference is that the function defined in (1.4) does not decay fast enough if $N = 3$. Similarly, the main reason that we are not able to prove Theorem 1.1 for $N = 3$ is that the function defined in (1.7) does not decay fast enough.

In the Lazer and McKenna conjecture, the parameter s is large. Let us now consider the other extreme case: $s \rightarrow 0+$. Using the same argument as in [7], we can show that for $\lambda \in (\lambda^*, \lambda_1)$, $\lambda^* = 0$ if $N = 4$, $\lambda^* > 0$ if $N = 3$, (1.3) has a least energy solution if $s > 0$ is small. We can obtain more in the case $N = 3$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that Ω satisfies (S1) and (S2), and $N = 3, \lambda < \lambda_1$. Then, the number of the solutions for (1.3) is unbounded as $s \rightarrow 0+$.*

Note that the result in Theorem 1.3 is not trivial, because if $\lambda < \lambda^*$, we can not find even one solution by using the method in [7]. Moreover, we show that (1.3) has more and more solutions as $s \rightarrow 0+$ for all $\lambda < \lambda_1$ if $N = 3$.

The readers can refer to [6, 10, 11, 17] for results on the Lazer-McKenna conjecture for other type of nonlinearities.

In Theorems 1.1-1.3, we have assumed that $N \geq 3$. When $N = 2$, M. del Pino and Munoz [17] proved the Lazer-McKenna conjecture when the right hand nonlinearity is e^u (which is still subcritical in \mathbb{R}^2). The authors believe that when $N = 2$, results similar to Theorems 1.1-1.3 may be true if the right hand nonlinearity is of the *critical type*, i.e., $h(u)e^{u^2}$. When $N = 1$, the critical exponent is $\frac{N+2}{N-2} = -3$. In this case, some form of Lazer-McKenna conjecture may be true if the right hand nonlinearity is $-u^{-3}$. We refer to [1] and [2] for discussions on critical nonlinearities in dimensions $N = 1, 2$.

Before we close this section, let us outline the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and discuss the conditions imposed in these two theorems.

For any $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \mu > 0$, denote

$$U_{\mu, \bar{x}}(y) = (N(N - 2))^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \frac{\mu^{(N-2)/2}}{(1 + \mu^2|y - \bar{x}|^2)^{(N-2)/2}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then, $U_{\mu, \bar{x}}$ satisfies $-\Delta U_{\mu, \bar{x}} = U_{\mu, \bar{x}}^{2^*-1}$. In this paper, we will use the following notation: $U = U_{1,0}$.

Let

$$\varepsilon = \frac{s^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}{k^2}, \quad \mu = \frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon}, \quad \Lambda \in [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$$

and $k \geq k_0$, where $\delta > 0$ is a small constant, and $k_0 > 0$ is a large constant, which is to be determined later.

Using the transformation $u(y) \mapsto \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} u\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)$, we find that (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \left(u - s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\varphi_1(\varepsilon y)\right)_+^{2^*-1} + \lambda\varepsilon^2 u, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{y : \varepsilon y \in \Omega\}$. Let

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(y) = \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \varphi_1(\varepsilon y).$$

For $\xi \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, we define $W_{\Lambda, \xi}$ as the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta W - \lambda\varepsilon^2 W = U_{\Lambda, \xi}^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ W = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Let $y = (y', y'') \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $y' = (y_1, y_2)$, and $y'' = (y_3, \dots, y_N)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} H_S &= \left\{ u : u \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon), u \text{ is even in } y_h, h = 3, \dots, N, u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, y'') \right. \\ &= \left. u\left(r \cos\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), r \sin\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), y''\right), j = 1, \dots, k-1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}_j = \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon} \cos \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, \frac{r}{\varepsilon} \sin \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, 0 \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where 0 is the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^{N-2} .

Let

$$W_{r,\Lambda}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^k W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}. \tag{1.7}$$

We are going to construct a solution for (1.3), which is close to $W_{r,\Lambda}$ for some suitable Λ and r and large k .

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following result:

Theorem 1.4. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 1.1, there is an integer $k_0 > 0$, such that for any integer $k \geq k_0$, (1.5) has a solution u_k of the form*

$$u_k = W_{r_k, \Lambda_k}(y) + \omega_k,$$

where $\omega_k \in H_s$, and as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $r_k \rightarrow r_0 > 0$, $\Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_0 > 0$, $\|\omega_k\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand, if $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda < 0$, we have the following weaker result:

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Then there is a large constant $s_0 > 0$, such that for any $s > s_0$, and integer k satisfying $s^{\frac{(2-2\theta)(N-4)}{(N-6)(N-2)}} \leq k \leq s^{\frac{(2-\theta)(N-4)}{(N-6)(N-2)}}$, where $\theta > 0$ is a fixed small constant, (1.5) has a solution $u_{k,s}$ of the form*

$$u_{k,s} = W_{r_k, \Lambda_k}(y) + \omega_{k,s},$$

where $\omega_{k,s} \in H_s$, and as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, $r_k \rightarrow r_0 > 0$, $\Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_0 > 0$, $\|\omega_{k,s}\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$.

Since $s^{\frac{(2-\theta)(N-4)}{(N-6)(N-2)}} - s^{\frac{(2-2\theta)(N-4)}{(N-6)(N-2)}} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.5. Let us point out that in the case $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, the solutions in Theorem 1.5 are different from those constructed in [27,36], where the energy of the solutions remains bounded as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.

It is easy to see that Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of the following result:

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that $N = 3$ and $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Then there is a small constant $s_1 > 0$ and a large constant $k_0 > 0$ (independent of s), such that for any $s \in (0, s_1)$, and integer k satisfying*

$$k_0 \leq k \leq Cs^{-\frac{2\tau}{1-2\tau}}, \tag{1.8}$$

for some $\tau \in (0, \frac{4}{11})$, then (1.5) has a solution $u_{k,s}$ of the form

$$u_{k,s} = W_{r_k, \Lambda_k}(y) + \omega_{k,s},$$

where $\omega_{k,s} \in H_s$, and as $s \rightarrow 0$, $r_k \rightarrow r_0 > 0$, $\Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_0 > 0$, $\|\omega_{k,s}\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$.

Let make a few remarks on the conditions imposed on Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. It is easy to see that the first eigenfunction $\varphi_1 \in H_s$. In this paper, we denote

$$\bar{\varphi}(r) = \varphi_1(r, 0).$$

The functional corresponding to (1.5) is

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (|Du|^2 - \lambda \varepsilon^2 u^2) - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (u - s \Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*}, \quad u \in H_s.$$

Let Γ be a connected component of the set $\Omega \cap \{y_3 = \dots = y_N = 0\}$. Then, by (S1), there are $r_2 > r_1 \geq 0$, such that

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \left\{ y : r_1 \leq \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2} \leq r_2, y_3 = \dots = y_N = 0 \right\}.$$

If $N = 4, 5$, then $\frac{N-2}{2} < 2$. We obtain from Proposition A.3,

$$I(W_{r,\Lambda}) = k \left(A_0 + \frac{A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r) \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^{N-2} k^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)(1+\sigma)}{2}}\right) \right). \quad (1.9)$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$r^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \bar{\varphi}(r), \quad r \in [r_1, r_2], \quad (1.10)$$

has a maximum point r_0 , satisfying $r_0 \in (r_1, r_2)$, since $r_i^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \bar{\varphi}(r_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$. As a result,

$$\frac{A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r)}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \frac{A_3}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}}, \quad (r, \Lambda) \in (r_1, r_2) \times (\delta, \delta^{-1}),$$

has a maximum point (r_0, Λ_0) , where

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{2A_3}{A_2 s r_0^{N-2} \bar{\varphi}(r_0)} \right)^{\frac{2}{N-2}},$$

for any fixed $s > 0$. Thus, $I(W_{r,\Lambda})$ has a maximum point in $(r_1, r_2) \times (\delta, \delta^{-1})$, if $k > 0$ is large.

If $N = 6$, then $\frac{N-2}{2} = 2$. Thus, we find from Proposition A.3,

$$I(W_{r,\Lambda}) = k \left(A_0 + (-\lambda A_1 + A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r)) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^4 k^4}{r^4 \Lambda^4} + O\left(\varepsilon^{2+\sigma}\right) \right). \quad (1.11)$$

Let

$$g(r) = r^2 (A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r) - A_1 \lambda), \quad r \in [r_1, r_2]. \quad (1.12)$$

It is easy to see that we can always choose a constant $s_0 > 0$, such that if $s > |\lambda|s_0$, then $g(r)$ has a maximum point r_0 , satisfying $g(r_0) > 0$, $r_0 \in (r_1, r_2)$. As a result,

$$\frac{-\lambda A_1 + A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r)}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{A_3}{r^4 \Lambda^4}, \quad (r, \Lambda) \in (r_1, r_2) \times (\delta, \delta^{-1}),$$

has maximum point (r_0, Λ_0) , where

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{2A_3}{(-\lambda A_1 + A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r_0))r_0^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for any fixed $s > 0$. Thus, $I(W_{r,\lambda})$ has a maximum point in $(r_1, r_2) \times (\delta, \delta^{-1})$, if $k > 0$ is large.

If $N \geq 7$ and $\lambda = 0$, then Proposition A.3 gives

$$I(W_{r,\Lambda}) = k \left(A_0 + \frac{A_2 s \bar{\varphi}(r) \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^{N-2} k^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)(1+\sigma)}{2}}\right) \right), \quad (1.13)$$

So, we are in the same situation as the case $N = 4, 5$.

On the other hand, if $N \geq 7$, then $\frac{N-2}{2} > 2$. Thus $\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$ is a higher order term of ε^2 . Thus if $\lambda \neq 0$, then for each fixed $s > 0$, we have

$$I(W_{r,\Lambda}) = k \left(A_0 - \frac{\lambda A_1 \varepsilon^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^{N-2} k^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{2+\sigma}\right) \right), \quad (1.14)$$

But

$$-\frac{\lambda A_1}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{A_3}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}}, \quad (r, \Lambda) \in (r_1, r_2) \times (\delta, \delta^{-1}),$$

does not have a critical point even if $\lambda < 0$. So, we don't know whether $I(W_{r,\Lambda})$ has a critical point. Thus, to obtain a solution for (1.3), we need to let s change so that

$$\varepsilon^2 \ll s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1. \quad (1.15)$$

If (1.15) holds, then

$$I(W_{r,\Lambda}) = k \left(A_0 + \frac{A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^{N-2} k^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} + O\left((s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma}\right) \right). \quad (1.16)$$

So, we are in a similar situation as $\lambda = 0$. Note the (1.15) implies

$$k \ll s^{\frac{2(N-4)}{(N-2)(N-6)}}, \quad k \gg s^{\frac{1}{N-2}},$$

which gives an upper bound for k . Therefore, in this case, we are not able to obtain the existence of infinitely many solutions even if $s > 0$ is large.

In the case $N = 3$, for fixed $s > 0$, some estimates which are valid for $N \geq 4$ may not be true due to the slow decay of the function $W_{r,\Lambda}$. Under the condition $s \leq Ck^{-\frac{1}{2\tau}+1}$ for some $\tau \in (0, \frac{4}{11})$, we can recover all these estimates. But the condition $s \leq Ck^{-\frac{1}{2\tau}+1}$ imposes an upper bound (1.8) for the number of bubbles k .

The energy of the solutions obtained in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 is very large because k must be large. This result is in consistence of the result in [21].

Finally, let us point out that the eigenvalue φ_1 is not essential in this paper. We can replace φ_1 by any function φ , satisfying $\varphi > 0$ in Ω , $\varphi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\varphi \in H_s$.

We will use the reduction argument as in [4, 5, 14–16, 29, 30] and [38] to prove the main results of this paper. Unlike those papers, where a parameter always appears in some form, in Theorem 1.4, s is a fixed positive constant. To prove Theorem 1.4, *the number of the bubbles k is used as a parameter to carry out the reduction*. Similar idea has been used in [35, 37].

2. The reduction

In this section, we will reduce the problem of finding a k -peak solution for (1.3) to a finite dimension problem.

Let

$$\|u\|_* = \sup_y \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{-1} |u(y)|, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{**} = \sup_y \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{-1} |f(y)|, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\tau \in (0, 1)$ is a constant, such that

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\tau} \leq C. \tag{2.3}$$

Recall that $\varepsilon = \frac{s^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}{k^2}$, and

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\tau} \leq C\varepsilon^\tau k^\tau \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j^\tau} \leq C\varepsilon^\tau k.$$

In order to achieve (2.3), we need to choose τ according to whether $s > 0$ is fixed or not. We choose τ as follows:

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5;} \\ \text{the number in (1.8),} & \text{in Theorem 1.6.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Let

$$Y_{i,1} = \frac{\partial W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i}}{\partial \Lambda}, \quad Z_{i,1} = -\Delta Y_{i,1} - \lambda \varepsilon^2 Y_{i,1} = (2^* - 1) U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i}^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i}}{\partial \Lambda},$$

and

$$Y_{i,2} = \frac{\partial W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i}}{\partial r}, \quad Z_{i,2} = -\Delta Y_{i,2} - \lambda \varepsilon^2 Y_{i,2} = (2^* - 1) U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i}^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i}}{\partial r}.$$

We consider

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi_k - \lambda \varepsilon^2 \phi_k - (2^* - 1) (W_{r, \Lambda} - s \Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-2} \phi_k = h + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_j Z_{i,j}, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \phi_k \in H_S, \\ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j}, \phi_k \right\rangle = 0, & j = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

for some number c_j , where $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} uv$.

We need the following result, whose proof is standard.

Lemma 2.1. *Let f satisfy $\|f\|_{**} < \infty$ and let u be the solution of*

$$-\Delta u - \lambda \varepsilon^2 u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_\varepsilon,$$

where $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Then we have

$$|u(y)| \leq C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z - y|^{N-2}} dz.$$

Next, we need the following lemma to carry out the reduction.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that ϕ_k solves (2.5) for $h = h_k$. If $\|h_k\|_{**}$ goes to zero as k goes to infinity, so does $\|\phi_k\|_*$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that there are $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $h = h_k$, $\Lambda_k \in [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$, and ϕ_k solving (2.5) for $h = h_k$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_k$, with $\|h_k\|_{**} \rightarrow 0$, and $\|\phi_k\|_* \geq c' > 0$. We may assume that $\|\phi_k\|_* = 1$. For simplicity, we drop the subscript k .

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(y)| &\leq C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z - y|^{N-2}} W_{r, \Lambda}^{2^*-2} |\phi(z)| dz \\ &\quad + C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z - y|^{N-2}} \left(|h(z)| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_j Z_{i,j}(z) \right| \right) dz \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Using Lemma B.4 and B.5, there is a strictly positive number θ such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2} \phi(z) dz \right| \leq C \|\phi\|_* \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau+\theta}}. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from Lemma B.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} h(z) dz \right| &\leq C \|h\|_{**} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{**} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j}(z) dz \right| &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{N+2}} dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Next, we estimate c_j . Multiplying (2.5) by $Y_{1,l}$ and integrating, we see that c_j satisfies

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j}, Y_{1,l} \right\rangle c_j = \left\langle -\Delta \phi - \lambda \varepsilon^2 \phi - (2^* - 1) W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2} \phi, Y_{1,l} \right\rangle - \langle h, Y_{1,l} \rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

It follows from Lemma B.2 that

$$|\langle h, Y_{1,l} \rangle| \leq C \|h\|_{**} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\beta}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} dz \leq C \|h\|_{**},$$

since $\beta > 0$ can be chosen as small as desired.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle -\Delta\phi - \lambda\varepsilon^2\phi - (2^* - 1)W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2}\phi, Y_{1,l} \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle -\Delta Y_{1,l} - \lambda\varepsilon^2 Y_{1,l} - (2^* - 1)W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2} Y_{1,l}, \phi \right\rangle \tag{2.11} \\
 &= (2^* - 1) \left\langle U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \partial_l U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} - W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2} Y_{1,l}, \phi \right\rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_l = \partial_\Lambda$ if $l = 1$, $\partial_l = \partial_r$ if $l = 2$.

By Lemmas B.1,

$$|\phi(y)| \leq C \|\phi\|_*.$$

We consider the cases $N \geq 6$ first. Note that $\frac{4}{N-2} \leq 1$ for $N \geq 6$. Using Lemmas A.1 and B.2, noting that

$$|W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2} - W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2}| \leq \sum_{j=2}^k W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-2},$$

and

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \left\langle U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \partial_l U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} - W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-2} Y_{1,l}, \phi \right\rangle \right| \\
 & \leq C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\beta}} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{4-\beta}} dz \\
 & \quad + C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + \frac{|\lambda|\varepsilon^2}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-4-\beta}} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \\
 & \quad + C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} \left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + \frac{|\lambda|\varepsilon^2}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-4-\beta}} \right)^{2^*-2} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \\
 & \leq C \|\phi\|_* \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_j|^{1+\sigma}} + o(1) \|\phi\|_* = o(1) \|\phi\|_*. \tag{2.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $N = 3, 4, 5$, we have $\frac{4}{N-2} > 1$. By Lemmas B.1, B.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\langle U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \partial_l U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} - W_{r, \Lambda}^{2^*-2} Y_{1,l}, \phi \right\rangle \right| \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-3} \sum_{j=2}^k W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} |Y_{1,l} \phi| + C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} |Y_1 \phi| \\
& \quad + C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + \frac{|\lambda| \varepsilon^2}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-4-\beta}} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \\
& \quad + C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} \left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + \frac{|\lambda| \varepsilon^2}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-4-\beta}} \right)^{2^*-2} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \\
& \leq C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{4-\beta}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \\
& \quad + C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} |Y_{1,l} \phi| + o(1) \|\phi\|_* \\
& \leq C \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\beta}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \\
& \quad + o(1) \|\phi\|_*. \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Omega_j = \left\{ y = (y', y'') \in \Omega_\varepsilon : \left\langle \frac{y'}{|y'|}, \frac{\mathbf{x}_j}{|\mathbf{x}_j|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}.$$

If $y \in \Omega_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} & \leq \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\tau-(N-2)\beta-\theta}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j-\mathbf{x}_1|^{\tau+\theta}} \\
& = o(1) \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\tau-(N-2)\beta-\theta}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \leq \frac{C}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

So, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\beta}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}$$

$$= o(1) \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N + \frac{N+2}{2} - \frac{4(\tau+\theta)}{N-2} - 4\beta}} = o(1),$$

since $\frac{N+2}{2} - \frac{4(\tau+\theta)}{N-2} - 4\beta > 0$, if $\beta > 0$ and $\theta > 0$ are small.
 If $y \in \Omega_l, l \geq 2$, then

$$\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_l|)^{N-2-\tau-(N-2)\beta}},$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_l|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

As a result,

$$\int_{\Omega_l} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega_l} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_l|)^{4-4\beta - \frac{4\tau}{N-2} + \frac{N-2}{2}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{N+2}{2} - \frac{4\tau}{N-2} - \theta - 4\beta}},$$

where $\theta > 0$ is a fixed small constant.

Since $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ for $N \geq 4$, and $\tau < \frac{1}{2}$ for $N = 3$, we find that for $\theta > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ small, $\frac{N+2}{2} - \frac{4\tau}{N-2} - \theta - 4\beta > \tau$. Thus

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2}} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}}$$

$$\leq o(1) + C \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{N+2}{2} - \frac{4\tau}{N-2} - \theta}} = o(1).$$

So, we have proved

$$\left| \left\langle U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \partial_l U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} - W_{r, \Lambda}^{2^*-2} Y_1, \phi \right\rangle \right| = o(1) \|\phi\|_*.$$

But there is a constant $\bar{c} > 0$,

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j}, Y_{1,l} \right\rangle = \bar{c} \delta_{lj} + o(1).$$

Thus we obtain that

$$c_l = o(\|\phi\|_*) + O(\|h\|_{**}).$$

So,

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq \left(o(1) + \|h_k\|_{**} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau+\theta})}}{\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau})}} \right). \tag{2.14}$$

Since $\|\phi\|_* = 1$, we obtain from (2.14) that there is $R > 0$, such that

$$\|\phi(y)\|_{B_R(\mathbf{x}_i)} \geq c_0 > 0, \tag{2.15}$$

for some i . But $\bar{\phi}(y) = \phi(y - \mathbf{x}_i)$ converges uniformly in any compact set of \mathbb{R}_+^N to a solution u of

$$\Delta u + (2^* - 1)U_{\Lambda,0}^{2^*-2}u = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

for some $\Lambda \in [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$, and u is perpendicular to the kernel of (2.16). So, $u = 0$. This is a contradiction to (2.15). \square

From Lemma 2.2, using the same argument as in the proof of [14, Proposition 4.1], we can prove the following result :

Proposition 2.3. *There exists $k_0 > 0$ and a constant $C > 0$, independent of k , such that for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, problem (2.5) has a unique solution $\phi \equiv L_k(h)$. Besides,*

$$\|L_k(h)\|_* \leq C\|h\|_{**}, \quad |c_j| \leq C\|h\|_{**}. \tag{2.17}$$

Moreover, the map $L_k(h)$ is C^1 with respect to Λ .

Now, we consider

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi) - \lambda\varepsilon^2(W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi) = (W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_j Z_{i,j}, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \phi \in H_s, \\ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j}, \phi \right\rangle = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

We have:

Proposition 2.4. *There is an integer $k_0 > 0$, such that for each $k \geq k_0$, $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$, $\delta \leq \Lambda \leq \delta^{-1}$, where δ is a fixed small constant, (2.18) has a unique solution ϕ , satisfying*

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} + C|\lambda|\varepsilon^{1+\sigma},$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a fixed small constant. Moreover, $\Lambda \rightarrow \phi(\Lambda)$ is C^1 .

Rewrite (2.18) as

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi - \lambda\varepsilon^2\phi - (2^* - 1)(W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-2}\phi = N(\phi) + l_k \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_j Z_{i,j}, \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \phi \in H_S, \\ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j}, \phi \right\rangle = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\bar{N}(\phi) = (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + \phi)_+^{2^*-1} - (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-1} - (2^* - 1)(W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-2}\phi,$$

and

$$l_k = \left(W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-1} - \sum_{j=1}^k U_{\Lambda,x_j}^{2^*-1} \right) + (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-1} - W_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-1}.$$

In order to use the contraction mapping theorem to prove that (2.19) is uniquely solvable in the set on which $\|\phi\|_*$ is small, we need to estimate $N(\phi)$ and l_k .

Lemma 2.5. *We have*

$$\|\bar{N}(\phi)\|_{**} \leq C\|\phi\|_*^{\min(2^*-1,2)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$|\bar{N}(\phi)| \leq \begin{cases} C|\phi|^{2^*-1}, & N \geq 6; \\ C \left(W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{6-N}{N-2}} \phi^2 + |\phi|^{2^*-1} \right), & N = 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Firstly, we consider $N \geq 6$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{N}(\phi)| &\leq C\|\phi\|_*^{2^*-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \right)^{2^*-1} \\ &\leq C\|\phi\|_*^{2^*-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^\tau} \right)^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \\ &\leq C\|\phi\|_*^{2^*-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where we use the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j b_j \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k b_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, a_j, b_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, k,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^\tau} \leq C + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_j|^\tau} \leq C.$$

which follows from Lemma B.1.

For $N = 3, 4, 5$, similarly to the case $N \geq 6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\bar{N}(\phi)| \\ & \leq C \|\phi\|_*^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \right)^{\frac{6-N}{N-2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \right)^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\phi\|_*^{2^*-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \\ & \leq C \|\phi\|_*^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} \right)^{2^*-1} + C \|\phi\|_*^{2^*-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \\ & \leq C \|\phi\|_*^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

□

Next, we estimate l_k .

Lemma 2.6. *We have*

$$\|l_k\|_{**} \leq C \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} + C|\lambda|\varepsilon^{1+\sigma},$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a fixed small constant.

Proof. Recall

$$\Omega_j = \left\{ y = (y', y'') \in \Omega_\varepsilon : \left\langle \frac{y'}{|y'|}, \frac{\mathbf{x}_j}{|\mathbf{x}_j|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}.$$

From the symmetry, we can assume that $y \in \Omega_1$. Then,

$$|y - \mathbf{x}_j| \geq |y - \mathbf{x}_1|, \quad \forall y \in \Omega_1.$$

Thus, for $y \in \Omega_1$, by Lemma A.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_k| &\leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{4-\beta}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \\
 &\quad + C \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \right)^{2^*-1} \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{4-\beta}} \left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + \frac{|\lambda|\varepsilon^2}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-4-\beta}} \right) \\
 &\quad + CW_{r,\Lambda}^{2^*-1-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\sigma}{N-2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\sigma}{N-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{4}+\sigma}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Here, we have used the inequality: for any bounded $a > 0$ and $b > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1]$:

$$|(a - b)_+^{2^*-1} - a^{2^*-1}| \leq Ca^{2^*-1-\alpha}b^\alpha.$$

Let us estimate the first term of (2.22). Using Lemma B.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{4-\beta}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} + \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \right) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta}} \\
 &\leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta}}, \quad j > 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Since $\frac{N+2}{2} - \tau - 2\beta > 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{4-\beta}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \\
 &\leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} (k\varepsilon)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta} \\
 &\leq C \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Here we have used

$$(k\varepsilon)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta} = O \left(\left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \right), \tag{2.25}$$

for some small $\sigma > 0$.

In fact, if $s > 0$ is fixed (as in Theorem 1.4), then $k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ and $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$. As a result,

$$(k\varepsilon)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta} = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{4}-\frac{\tau}{2}-\beta}\right) = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{4}+\sigma}\right).$$

So, we obtain (2.25).

If $N \geq 7$, then $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$s^{\frac{(2-2\theta)(N-4)}{(N-6)(N-2)}} \leq k \leq s^{\frac{(2-\theta)(N-4)}{(N-6)(N-2)}}. \tag{2.26}$$

But

$$(k\varepsilon)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta} = \left(\frac{s^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}{k}\right)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-2\beta} = \frac{s^{\frac{N+1-4\beta}{N-2}}}{k^{\frac{N+1-4\beta}{2}}}$$

and

$$\left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} = \left(\frac{s^2}{k^{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}$$

Thus, we see that (2.25) is equivalent to

$$s^{\frac{3-4\beta}{N-2}-2\sigma} \leq Ck^{\frac{3}{2}-2\beta-(N-2)\sigma}. \tag{2.27}$$

Using (2.26), we find (2.27) holds.

For $N = 3$, $k = \frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Thus,

$$(k\varepsilon)^{\frac{5}{2}-\tau-2\beta} = (s\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{5}{2}-\tau-2\beta} \leq C(s\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}.$$

So, we obtain (2.25).

Now, we estimate the second term of (2.22).

Using Lemma B.2 again, we find for $y \in \Omega_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2-\beta}{2}}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2-\beta}{2}}} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{N-2}{2}-\beta-\frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau}} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau}} + \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{N-2}{2}-\beta-\frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Suppose that $N \geq 5$. Then $\frac{N-2}{2} - \beta - \frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau > 1$ since $\tau < 1$. Then (2.28) gives for $y \in \Omega_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \right)^{2^*-1} \\ & \leq C (k\varepsilon)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-(2^*-1)\beta} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \tag{2.29} \\ & = C \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $N = 3, 4$, then (2.28) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} \right)^{2^*-1} \\ & \leq C \left(k\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}-\frac{N-2}{N+2}\tau-\beta} \right)^{2^*-1} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \tag{2.30} \\ & = C k^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-(2^*-1)\beta} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $N = 4$, then

$$k^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau-(2^*-1)\beta} = k^3 \varepsilon^{3-\frac{1}{2}-(2^*-1)\beta} \leq C \varepsilon^{1-(2^*-1)\beta} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}.$$

Hence for $N = 4$,

$$\left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^2} \right)^{2^*-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{4}+\sigma}}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}.$$

For $N = 3$, we have

$$k^5 \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}-\tau-(2^*-1)\beta} = k^{2\tau+2(2^*-1)\beta} s^{5-2\tau-2(2^*-1)\beta}.$$

But

$$(s\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} = \frac{s^{1+2\sigma}}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}.$$

So, $k^5 \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}-\tau-(2^*-1)\beta} \leq C(s\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}$ is equivalent to

$$k \leq Cs^{-\frac{8-4\tau-4\sigma-4(2^*-1)\beta}{1+4\tau+2\sigma+4(2^*-1)\beta}} \tag{2.31}$$

Since $k \leq Cs^{-\frac{2\tau}{1-2\tau}}$, we see that (2.31) is valid if

$$\frac{8-4\tau}{1+4\tau} > \frac{2\tau}{1-2\tau}.$$

Thus, if $\tau \in (0, \frac{4}{11})$, (2.31) holds. Hence for $N = 3$, we also have

$$\left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^2} \right)^{2^*-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}.$$

Note that for $y \in \Omega_1$,

$$W_{r,\Lambda}(y) \leq \frac{C}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\tau-\beta}}.$$

We claim that

$$\left(\frac{N+2}{N-2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\sigma}{N-2} \right) (N-2-\tau) \geq \frac{N+2}{2} + \tau, \tag{2.32}$$

if $N \geq 3$.

In fact, (2.32) is equivalent to

$$\tau < \frac{4(N-2)}{3N+2},$$

which is true, since $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ if $N \geq 4$, $\tau < \frac{4}{11}$ if $N = 3$.

Thus, we obtain

$$s^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\sigma}{N-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{4}+\sigma} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\sigma}{N-2}} \leq Cs^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\sigma}{N-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{4}+\sigma} \frac{C}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^4} \frac{|\lambda|\varepsilon^2}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-4-\beta}} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\lambda|\varepsilon^2}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-\beta}} \\ &\leq C|\lambda|\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^4} \varepsilon^{N-2} \leq C \varepsilon^{N-2-\frac{N-6}{2}-\tau} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \\ & = C \varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \leq C(k\varepsilon)^{\frac{N+2}{2}-\tau} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}} \\ & \leq C(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2}+\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above estimates, we obtain the result. □

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 2.4.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Let us recall that

$$\varepsilon = \frac{s^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}{k^2}.$$

Let

$$E_N = \left\{ u : u \in C(\Omega_\varepsilon), \|u\|_* \leq \sqrt{s\varepsilon}^{\frac{N-2}{4}}, \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_{i,j} u = 0, j = 1, 2 \right\}$$

Then, (2.19) is equivalent to

$$\phi = A(\phi) =: L(\bar{N}(\phi)) + L(l_k).$$

Now we prove that A is a contraction map from E_N to E_N . Using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\phi\|_* & \leq C\|\bar{N}(\phi)\|_{**} + C\|l_k\|_{**} \leq C\|\phi\|_*^{\min(2^*-1,2)} + C\|l_k\|_{**} \\ & \leq C(\sqrt{s\varepsilon}^{\frac{N-2}{4}})^{\min(2^*-1,2)} + C\|l_k\|_{**} \quad (2.33) \\ & \leq C(\sqrt{s\varepsilon}^{\frac{N-2}{4}})^{1+\sigma} + C\|l_k\|_{**}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6, we find that A maps E_N to E_N .

Next, we show that A is a contraction map.

$$\|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\|_* = \|L(\bar{N}(\phi_1)) - L(\bar{N}(\phi_2))\|_* \leq C\|\bar{N}(\phi_1) - \bar{N}(\phi_2)\|_{**}.$$

Using

$$|\bar{N}'(t)| \leq \begin{cases} C|t|^{2^*-2}, & N \geq 6; \\ C\left(W^{\frac{6-N}{N-2}}|\phi| + |\phi|^{2^*-2}\right), & N = 3, 4, 5, \end{cases}$$

we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\|_* &\leq C \|\bar{N}(\phi_1) - \bar{N}(\phi_2)\|_{**} \\ &\leq C \left(\|\phi_1\|_*^{\min(1, 2^*-2)} + \|\phi_2\|_*^{\min(1, 2^*-2)} \right) \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_* \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, A is a contraction map.

It follows from the contraction mapping theorem that there is a unique $\phi \in E_N$, such that

$$\phi = A(\phi).$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.33) that

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C(\sqrt{s\varepsilon}^{\frac{N-2}{4}})^{1+\sigma} + C\|I_k\|_{**}.$$

So, the estimate for $\|\phi\|_*$ follows from Lemma 2.6. □

3. Proof of the main results

Let

$$F(r, \Lambda) = I(W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi),$$

where ϕ is the function obtained in Proposition 2.4, and let

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (|Du|^2 - \lambda\varepsilon^2 u^2) - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (u - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*}.$$

Using the symmetry, we can check that if (r, Λ) is a critical point of $F(\Lambda)$, then $W_{r,\Lambda} + \phi$ is a solution of (1.3).

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, \Lambda) = k \left(A_0 + \frac{A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \frac{A_3 k^{N-2} \varepsilon^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} \right. \\ \left. + O\left((s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma} + (k\varepsilon)^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)} \right) \right), \quad N = 3, 4; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, \Lambda) = k \left(A_0 - \frac{A_1 \lambda \varepsilon^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{(N-2)/2}} - \frac{A_3 k^{N-2} \varepsilon^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} \right. \\ \left. + O\left(|\lambda| \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + \left(s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{1+\sigma} + (k\varepsilon)^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)} \right) \right), \quad N \geq 5. \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $A_i > 0, i = 0, 1, 2$ are positive constants, which are given in Proposition A.3.

Proof. There is $t \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(r, \Lambda) &= I(W_{r,\Lambda}) + \langle I'(W_{r,\Lambda}), \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} D^2 I(W_{r,\Lambda} + t\phi)(\phi, \phi) \\
 &= I(W_{r,\Lambda}) - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} l_k \phi + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(|D\phi|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \mu \phi^2 - (2^* - 1) (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)_+^{2^*-2} \phi^2 \right) \\
 &= I(W_{r,\Lambda}) - (2^* - 1) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)_+^{2^*-2} - (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-2} \right) \phi^2 \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} N(\phi)\phi \\
 &= I(W_{r,\Lambda}) - (2^* - 1) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)_+^{2^*-2} - (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-2} \right) \phi^2 \\
 &\quad + O\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\bar{N}(\phi)| |\phi| \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\bar{N}(\phi)| |\phi| \\
 &\leq C \|\bar{N}(\phi)\|_{**} \|\phi\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2} + \tau}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Using Lemma B.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2} + \tau}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N+2\tau}} + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N+2}{2} + \tau}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N+2\tau}} + C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N + \frac{1}{2}\tau}} \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\frac{3}{2}\tau}} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N + \frac{1}{2}\tau}},
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\bar{N}(\phi)| |\phi| \leq Ck \|\bar{N}(\phi)\|_{**} \|\phi\|_* \leq Ck \|\phi\|_*^2 \leq Ck \left(|\lambda| \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{1+\sigma} \right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)_+^{2^*-2} - (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-2} \\ &= \begin{cases} O\left(|\phi|^{2^*-2}\right), & N \geq 6; \\ O\left(W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{6-N}{N-2}}|\phi| + |\phi|^{2^*-2}\right), & N = 3, 4, 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)^{2^*-2} \right) - \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)^{2^*-2} \right) \phi^2 \right| \\ & \leq C \|\phi\|_*^{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{2^*}, \end{aligned}$$

if $N \geq 6$. If $N = 3, 4, 5$, noting that $N - 2 > \frac{N-2}{2} + \tau$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)^{2^*-2} \right) - \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)^{2^*-2} \right) \phi^2 \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{6-N}{N-2}} |\phi|^3 + C \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\phi|^{2^*} \leq \|\phi\|_*^3 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{\eta} > 0$ be small. Using Lemma B.2, if $y \in \Omega_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\tau}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\tau}} \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\tau - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}}} \leq C\varepsilon^{-\bar{\eta}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{2^*} \leq C\varepsilon^{-2^*\bar{\eta}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N+2^*\frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}}}, \quad y \in \Omega_1.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \right)^{2^*} \leq Ck\varepsilon^{-2^*\bar{\eta}}.$$

So, we have proved

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon + t\phi)^{2^*-2} \right) - \left((W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)^{2^*-2} \right) \phi^2 \right| \\ & \leq Ck\varepsilon^{-2^*\bar{\eta}} \|\phi\|_*^{\min(3,2^*)} \leq Ck\varepsilon^{-2^*\bar{\eta}} \left(|\lambda|\varepsilon^{1+\sigma} + \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \right)^{\min(3,2^*)} \\ & \leq Ck \left(|\lambda|\varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{1+\sigma} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we find

$$F(r, \Lambda) = I(W_{r,\Lambda}) + kO \left(|\lambda|\varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{1+\sigma} \right). \tag{3.4}$$

□

Proof of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6. We just need to prove that $F(r, \Lambda)$ has a critical point.

Firstly, we consider the cases $N \neq 6$. It follows from (3.4) and Proposition A.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, \Lambda) = k \left(A_0 + \frac{A_2\bar{\varphi}(r)s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{(N-2)/2}} - \frac{A_3k^{N-2}\varepsilon^{N-2}}{r^{N-2}\Lambda^{N-2}} \right. \\ \left. + O \left((k\varepsilon)^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)} + \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)^{1+\sigma} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\bar{F}(r, \Lambda) = \frac{A_2\bar{\varphi}(r)}{\Lambda^{(N-2)/2}} - \frac{A_3}{r^{N-2}\Lambda^{N-2}}, \quad (r, \Lambda) \in [r_1, r_2] \times [\delta, \delta^{-1}].$$

Then, $\bar{F}(r, \Lambda)$ has a maximum point at (r_0, Λ_0) , where

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{2A_3}{A_2r_0^{N-2}\bar{\varphi}(r_0)} \right)^{\frac{2}{N-2}},$$

and r_0 is a maximum point of $r^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\bar{\varphi}(r) = r^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\varphi_1(r, 0)$. So, if $\delta > 0$ is small, (r_0, Λ_0) is an interior point of $[r_1, r_2] \times [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$. Thus, if $k > 0$ is large, $F(r, \Lambda)$ attains its maximum in the interior of $[r_1, r_2] \times [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$. As a result, $F(r, \Lambda)$ has a critical point in $[r_1, r_2] \times [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$.

If $N = 6$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, \Lambda) = k \left(A_0 + \frac{-\lambda A_1\varepsilon^2 + A_2\bar{\varphi}(r)s\varepsilon^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{A_3k^4\varepsilon^4}{r^4\Lambda^4} \right. \\ \left. + O \left((k\varepsilon)^{4(1+\sigma)} + (s\varepsilon^2)^{1+\sigma} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\bar{F}(r, \Lambda) = \frac{-\lambda A_1 s^{-1} + A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r)}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{A_3}{r^4 \Lambda^4}, \quad (r, \Lambda) \in [r_1, r_2] \times [\delta, \delta^{-1}].$$

It is easy to see that there is an $s_0 > 0$, such that if $s > |\lambda|s_0$, then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r) =: r^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \left(-\lambda A_1 s^{-1} + A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) \right), \quad r \in [r_1, r_2]$$

has a maximum point $r_0 \in (r_1, r_2)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(r_0) > 0$. Then, $\bar{F}(r, \Lambda)$ has a maximum point at (r_0, Λ_0) , where

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{2A_3}{r_0^4 \tilde{\varphi}(r_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So, we can prove that $F(r, \Lambda)$ has a critical point in $[r_1, r_2] \times [\delta, \delta^{-1}]$. □

A. Appendix

In this section, we will expand $I(W_{r,\Lambda})$. We always assume that $d(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \partial\Omega) \geq c_0 > 0$, where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j = \varepsilon \mathbf{x}_j$. Denote

$$\bar{\varphi}(r) = \varphi_1(r, 0).$$

First, let us recall that $W_{\Lambda,\xi}$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta W - \lambda \varepsilon^2 W = U_{\Lambda,\xi}^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ W = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let

$$\psi_{\Lambda,\xi} = U_{\Lambda,\xi} - W_{\Lambda,\xi}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi_{\Lambda,\xi} - \lambda \varepsilon^2 \psi_{\Lambda,\xi} = -\lambda \varepsilon^2 U_{\Lambda,\xi} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \psi_{\Lambda,\xi} = U_{\Lambda,\xi}, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

To calculate $I(W_{r,\Lambda})$, we need to estimate $\psi_{\Lambda,\xi}$.

Decompose $\psi_{\Lambda,\xi}$ as follows

$$\psi_{\Lambda,\xi} = \psi_{\Lambda,\xi,1} + \psi_{\Lambda,\xi,2},$$

where $\psi_{\Lambda,\xi,1}$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi_{\Lambda,\xi,1} - \lambda \varepsilon^2 \psi_{\Lambda,\xi,1} = -\lambda \varepsilon^2 U_{\Lambda,\xi} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \psi_{\Lambda,\xi,1} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and $\psi_{\Lambda,\xi,2}$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi_{\Lambda,\xi,2} - \lambda\varepsilon^2\psi_{\Lambda,\xi,2} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \psi_{\Lambda,\xi} = U_{\Lambda,\xi}, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{A.4}$$

Since

$$U_{\Lambda,\xi} \leq C\varepsilon^{N-2}, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon,$$

it is easy to see that

$$|\psi_{\Lambda,\xi,2}| \leq C\varepsilon^{N-2}. \tag{A.5}$$

Let $\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi,\varepsilon}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi - \lambda\varepsilon^2\psi = U_{\Lambda,\xi} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \psi = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \tag{A.6}$$

Then, we can check that

$$|\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi,\varepsilon}(y)| \leq \frac{C \ln^m(2 + |y - \xi|)}{(1 + |y - \xi|)^{N-4}}, \tag{A.7}$$

where $m = 1$ if $N = 4$, otherwise, $m = 0$. Thus, we have

Lemma A.1. *We have*

$$\psi_{\Lambda,\xi} = -\lambda\varepsilon^2\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi,\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}).$$

where $\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi,\varepsilon}$ is the solution of (A.6). Moreover,

$$|W_{\Lambda,\xi}| \leq C |\ln \varepsilon|^m U_{\Lambda,\xi},$$

where $m = 1$ if $N = 4$, otherwise, $m = 0$.

Proof. We only need to show

$$|W_{\Lambda,\xi}| \leq C |\ln \varepsilon|^m U_{\Lambda,\xi},$$

which follows from (A.7) and $\varepsilon \leq \frac{C}{1+|y-\xi|}$. □

Proposition A.2. *We have*

$$I(W_{\Lambda,x_j}) = A_0 + \frac{A_2\bar{\varphi}(r)s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + O\left((s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma}\right), \quad N = 3, 4,$$

and

$$I(W_{\Lambda,x_j}) = A_0 - \frac{A_1\lambda\varepsilon^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{A_2\bar{\varphi}(r)s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + O\left(|\lambda|\varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + \left(s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\right)^{1+\sigma}\right), \quad N \geq 5;$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |DU|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*}, \quad A_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*-1},$$

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^2, \quad N \geq 5,$$

and σ is some positive constant.

Proof. Write

$$I(u) = \tilde{I}(u) - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left((u - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*} - |u|^{2^*} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{I}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |Du|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u|^{2^*}.$$

By Lemma A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}(W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1} W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*} \\ &= A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} + O\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1-\sigma} \psi_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{1+\sigma}\right) \quad (\text{A.8}) \\ &= A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} + O\left(|\lambda| \varepsilon^{2(1+\sigma)} + \varepsilon^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*} - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j})^{2^*} \\ &= -2^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*-1} s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \Lambda_j^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} \bar{\varphi}(r) + O\left((s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma}\right). \quad (\text{A.9}) \end{aligned}$$

For $N = 3, 4$, by Lemma A.1 and (A.7),

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_j} = O(\varepsilon^{N-2} + \varepsilon^2) = O\left((s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma}\right). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Here, we have used $\varepsilon = \frac{s^2}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k} s \sqrt{\varepsilon} = (s \sqrt{\varepsilon})^{1+\sigma}$ if $N = 3$. So, the result for $N = 3, 4$ follows from (A.8)–(A.10).

Suppose that $N \geq 5$. Let $\psi_{\Lambda, \xi}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi = U_{\Lambda, \xi} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \psi(|y|) \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } |y| \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Then,

$$|\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi}| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y - \xi|)^{N-4}},$$

and

$$|\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi} - \bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\xi,\varepsilon}| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^2 \ln^m(2 + |y - \xi|)}{(1 + |y - \xi|)^{N-6}},$$

where $m = 1$ if $N = 6$, otherwise, $m = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_j} &= -\lambda\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_j}^{2^*-1} \bar{\psi}_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_j} + O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + |\lambda|\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon|\right) \\ &= -\lambda\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^2 + O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2} + |\lambda|\varepsilon^4 |\ln \varepsilon|\right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

So we obtain the result for $N \geq 5$. □

Proposition A.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} I(W_{r,\Lambda}) &= k \left(A_0 + \frac{A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - \frac{A_3 k^{N-2} \varepsilon^{N-2}}{r \Lambda^{N-2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left((k\varepsilon)^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)} + (s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma}\right) \right), \quad N = 3, 4; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I(W_{r,\lambda}) &= k \left(A_0 - \frac{A_1 \lambda \varepsilon^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{(N-2)/2}} - \frac{A_3 k^{N-2} \varepsilon^{N-2}}{r^{N-2} \Lambda^{N-2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left((k\varepsilon)^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)} + |\lambda|\varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + (s\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma}\right) \right), \quad N \geq 5. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By using the symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |DW_{r,\Lambda}|^2 - \lambda\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} W_{r,\Lambda}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_i}^{2^*-1} W_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_j} \\ &= k \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_1} + \sum_{i=2}^k \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-1} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2+\sigma}}\right) \right) \tag{A.13} \\ &= k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda,\mathbf{x}_1} + \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{B_0}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2+\sigma}}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_0 > 0$ is a constant.

Let

$$\Omega_j = \left\{ y = (y', y'') \in \Omega_\varepsilon : \left\langle \frac{y'}{|y'|}, \frac{\mathbf{x}_j}{|\mathbf{x}_j|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}.$$

Then,

$$|y - \mathbf{x}_i| \geq |y - \mathbf{x}_j|, \quad \forall y \in \Omega_j.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*} = \frac{k}{2^*} \int_{\Omega_1} (W_{r,\Lambda} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*} \\ & = \frac{k}{2^*} \left(\int_{\Omega_1} (W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*} + 2^* \int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{i=2}^k (W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} - s\Phi_\varepsilon)_+^{2^*-1} W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + O \left(\int_{\Omega_1} W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \left(\sum_{i=2}^k W_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i} \right)^2 \right) \right) \\ & = \frac{k}{2^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} - 2^* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} - \frac{2^* A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{(N-2)/2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2^* \int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{i=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-1} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i} + O \left(\int_{\Omega_1} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} s \Phi_\varepsilon \sum_{i=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \int_{\Omega_1} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-2} \left(\sum_{i=2}^k U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_i} \right)^2 + (s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma} + |\lambda| \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} \right) \right) \tag{A.14} \\ & = \frac{k}{2^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} - 2^* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} U_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1}^{2^*-1} \psi_{\Lambda, \mathbf{x}_1} - \frac{2^* A_2 \bar{\varphi}(r) s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{2^* B_0}{\Lambda^{N-2} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + O \left((k\varepsilon)^{(N-2)(1+\sigma)} + (s \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}})^{1+\sigma} + |\lambda| \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1| = 2|\mathbf{x}_1| \sin \frac{2(j-1)\pi}{k}, \quad j = 2, \dots, k,$$

we can prove

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{N-2}} = B_4(\varepsilon k)^{N-2} + O \left((k\varepsilon)^{(1+\sigma)(N-2)} \right). \tag{A.15}$$

Thus, the result follows from (A.13), (A.14) and (A.15). □

B. Appendix

Firstly, we give a few lemmas, whose proof can be found in [35, 37].

Lemma B.1. *For any $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^\alpha} \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_j|^\alpha} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, independent of k .

For each fixed i and $j, i \neq j$, consider the following function

$$g_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^\alpha} \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^\beta}, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$ are two constants. Then, we have

Lemma B.2. *For any constant $0 \leq \sigma \leq \min(\alpha, \beta)$, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$g_{ij}(y) \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_i|)^{\alpha+\beta-\sigma}} + \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\alpha+\beta-\sigma}} \right).$$

Lemma B.3. *For any constant $0 < \sigma < N - 2$, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|y - z|^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|)^{2+\sigma}} dz \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y|)^\sigma}.$$

Let us recall that

$$\varepsilon = \frac{s^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}{k^2}.$$

For the constant $\tau \in (0, 1)$ defined in (2.4),

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\tau} \leq C \varepsilon^\tau k^\tau \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j^\tau} \leq C \varepsilon^\tau k \leq C,$$

and for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^{\tau+\theta}} = o(1).$$

Lemma B.4. *Suppose that $N \geq 4$. There is a small $\theta > 0$, such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|y - z|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} dz \leq C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau + \theta}},$$

where $W_{r,\Lambda}$ is defined in (1.7).

Proof. Recall that

$$\Omega_j = \left\{ y = (y', y'') \in \Omega_\varepsilon : \left\langle \frac{y'}{|y'|}, \frac{\mathbf{x}_j}{|\mathbf{x}_j|} \right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k} \right\}.$$

For $z \in \Omega_1$, we have $|z - \mathbf{x}_j| \geq |z - \mathbf{x}_1|$. Using Lemma B.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{N-2-\beta}} &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{1}{2}(N-2-\beta)}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{1}{2}(N-2-\beta)}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\beta-\tau}} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\tau} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{N-2-\beta-\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{4 - \frac{4(\tau+\beta)}{N-2}}}.$$

As a result, for $z \in \Omega_1$, using Lemma B.2 again, we find that for $\theta > 0$ small,

$$W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{2 + \frac{N-2}{2} + \tau + 2 - \tau - \frac{4(\tau+\beta)}{N-2}}}.$$

Since $\theta =: 2 - \tau - \frac{4(\tau+\beta)}{N-2} > 0$ if $N \geq 4$ and $\beta > 0$ is small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{|y - z|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau}} dz \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{|y - z|^{N-2}} \frac{C}{(1 + |z - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{2 + \frac{N-2}{2} + \tau + \theta}} dz \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |y - \mathbf{x}_1|)^{\frac{N-2}{2} + \tau + \theta}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega_i} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{N-2}} W_{r,\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}+\tau+\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The above proof does not work for $N = 3$ because

$$2 - \tau - \frac{4\tau}{N-2} < 0 \tag{B.2}$$

if $N = 3$ and $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$. The choice of $\tau \in (0, 1)$ should ensure

$$\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_1|^\tau} \leq C\varepsilon^\tau k \leq C.$$

The above relation shows that τ can be chosen smaller if ε becomes smaller, which in turn will make $2 - \tau - \frac{4\tau}{N-2} > 0$. Noting that $\varepsilon = \frac{s^2}{k^2}$, we find that if $s \rightarrow 0+$, then $\varepsilon = o(\frac{1}{k^2})$. We have

Lemma B.5. *Suppose that $N = 3$, the parameter $s > 0$ and the integer k satisfy*

$$s \leq Ck^{-\frac{1}{2\tau}+1},$$

for some $\tau \in (0, \frac{2}{5})$. Then, there is a small $\theta > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|y-z|} W_{r,\Lambda}^4(z) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|z-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{1}{2}+\tau}} dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1+|y-\mathbf{x}_j|)^{\frac{1}{2}+\tau+\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma B.4. We only need to use that for $\tau < \frac{2}{5}$,

$$2 - 5\tau > 0,$$

and

$$\varepsilon^\tau k = s^{2\tau} k^{1-2\tau} \leq C.$$

Thus, we omit the details.

□

References

- [1] J. AI, K. S. CHOU and J. WEI, *Self-similar solutions for the anisotropic affine curve shortening problem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **13** (2001), 311–337.
- [2] ADIMURTHI and S. PRASHANTH, *Critical exponent problem in \mathbb{R}^2 -border-line between existence and non-existence of positive solutions for Dirichlet problem*, Adv. Differential Equations **5** (2000), 67–95.
- [3] A. AMBROSETTI and G. PRODI, *On the inversion of some differentiable mappings with singularities between Banach spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **93** (1973), 231–247.
- [4] A. BAHRI, “Critical Points at Infinity in Some Variational Problems”, Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 182, Longman-Pitman, 1989.
- [5] A. BAHRI, Y. Y. LI and O. REY, *On a variational problem with lack of compactness: the topological effect of the critical points at infinity*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **3** (1995), 67–93.
- [6] B. BREUER, P. J. MCKENNA and M. PLUM, *Multiple solutions for a semilinear boundary value problem: a computational multiplicity proof*, J. Differential Equations **195** (2003), 243–269.
- [7] H. BREZIS and L. NIRENBERG, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [8] M. CALANCHI and B. RUF, *Elliptic equations with one-sided critical growth*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2002), 1–21.
- [9] E. N. DANCER, *A Counter example to the Lazer-McKenna conjecture*, Nonlinear Anal. **13** (1989), 19–21.
- [10] E. N. DANCER and S. SANTRA, *On the superlinear Lazer-McKenna conjecture: the non-homogeneous case*, Adv. Differential Equations **12**(2007), 961–993.
- [11] E. N. DANCER and S. YAN, *On the superlinear Lazer-McKenna conjecture*, J. Differential Equations **210** (2005), 317–351.
- [12] E. N. DANCER and S. YAN, *On the superlinear Lazer-McKenna conjecture, part two*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), 1331–1358.
- [13] E. N. DANCER and S. YAN, *The Lazer-McKenna conjecture and a free boundary problem in two dimensions*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **78** (2008), 639–662.
- [14] M. DEL PINO, P. FELMER and M. MUSSO, *Two-bubble solutions in the super-critical Bahri-Coron’s problem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **16** (2003), 113–145.
- [15] M. DEL PINO, M. MUSSO and A. PISTOIA, *Super-critical boundary bubbling in a semilinear Neumann problem*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **22** (2005), 45–82.
- [16] M. DEL PINO, J. DOLBEAULT and M. MUSSO, *The Brezis-Nirenberg problem near criticality in dimension 3*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **83** (2004), 1405–1456.
- [17] M. DEL PINO and C. MUNOZ, *The two dimensional Lazer-McKenna conjecture for an exponential nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations **231** (2006), 108–134.
- [18] D. G. DE FIGUEIREDO, *On the superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problem*, Nonlinear Anal. **8** (1984), 655–665.
- [19] D. G. DE FIGUEIREDO and S. SOLIMINI, *A variational approach to superlinear elliptic problems*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **9** (1984), 699–717.
- [20] D. G. DE FIGUEIREDO and J. YANG, *Critical superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problems*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **14** (1999), 59–80.
- [21] O. DRUET, *The critical Lazer-McKenna conjecture in low dimensions*, J. Differential Equations **231** (2008), 108–134.
- [22] Y. GE, R. JING and F. PACARD, *Bubble towers for supercritical semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **221** (2005), 251–302.
- [23] H. HOFER, *Variational and topological methods in partial ordered Hilbert spaces*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 493–514.
- [24] A. C. LAZER and P. J. MCKENNA, *On the number of solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **84** (1981), 282–294.

- [25] A. C. LAZER and P. J. MCKENNA, *On a conjecture related to the number of solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **95A** (1983), 275–283.
- [26] A. C. LAZER and P. J. MCKENNA, *A symmetric theorem and application to nonlinear partial differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **72** (1988), 95–106.
- [27] G. LI, S. YAN and J. YANG, *The superlinear Lazer-McKenna conjecture for an elliptic problem with critical growth*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **28** (2007), 471–508.
- [28] G. LI, S. YAN and J. YANG, *The superlinear Lazer-McKenna conjecture for an elliptic problem with critical growth*, part II, J. Differential Equations **227** (2006), 301–332.
- [29] O. REY, *The role of the Green's function in a non-linear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 1–52.
- [30] O. REY and J. WEI, *Arbitrary number of positive solutions for an elliptic problem with critical nonlinearity*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **7** (2005), 449–476.
- [31] B. RUF and S. SOLIMINI, *On a class of superlinear Sturm-Liouville problems with arbitrarily many solutions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), 761–771.
- [32] B. RUF and P. N. SRIKANTH, *Multiplicity results for superlinear elliptic problems with partial interference with the spectrum*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **118** (1986), 15–23.
- [33] B. RUF and P. N. SRIKANTH, *Multiplicity results for ODEs with nonlinearities crossing all but a finite number of eigenvalues*, Nonlinear Anal. **10** (1986), 174–163.
- [34] S. SOLIMINI, *Some remarks on the number of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **2** (1985), 143–156.
- [35] L. WANG, J. WEI and S. YAN, *A Neumann problem with critical exponent in non-convex domains and Lin-Ni's conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [36] J. WEI and S. YAN, *Lazer-McKenna conjecture: the critical case*, J. Funct. Anal. **244** (2007), 639–667.
- [37] J. WEI and S. YAN, *Infinitely many solutions for the prescribed scalar curvature problem*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 3048–3081.
- [38] S. YAN, *Multipeak solutions for a nonlinear Neumann problem in exterior domains*, Adv. Differential Equations **7** (2002), 919–950.

Department of Mathematics
The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Shatin, Hong Kong
wei@math.cuhk.edu.hk

Department of Mathematics
The University of New England
Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia
syang@turing.une.edu.au