

ANNALI DELLA SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA *Classe di Scienze*

W. HANSEN

N. NADIRASHVILI

On Veech's conjecture for harmonic functions

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 22, n° 1 (1995), p. 137-153

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1995_4_22_1_137_0>

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On Veech's Conjecture for Harmonic Functions

W. HANSEN - N. NADIRASHVILI

*Dedicated to Professor Fumi-Yuki Maeda
on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*

0. - Introduction

Let λ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$ let $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - x| < r\}$ and $\lambda_{B(x, r)} = \lambda(B(x, r))^{-1} 1_{B(x, r)} \lambda$. A function $r > 0$ on a domain U in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called *admissible* provided $B(x, r(x)) \subset U$ for every $x \in U$. Given an admissible function r on U , let us say that a Lebesgue measurable real function f on U is *r -median* if

$$f(x) = \lambda_{B(x, r(x))}(f)$$

for every $x \in U$. In [HN1, HN2, HN5] we proved the following converse to the mean value theorem for harmonic functions (for the case $U = \mathbb{R}^d$ see [HN4]):

THEOREM 0.1. *Let r be an admissible function on a proper subdomain U of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let f be an r -median function on U which is bounded by some harmonic function on U and suppose that f is continuous or that r is locally bounded away from zero. Then f is harmonic.*

Simple counterexamples reveal that the boundedness condition for f cannot be completely dropped. However, under additional assumptions on r boundedness from one side is sufficient. Work of J.R. Baxter [Ba2], A. Cornea and J. Veselý [CV], W.A. Veech [Ve3], led to the following (for a detailed account of the history see [NV]):

THEOREM 0.2. *Let U be a Green domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $\rho, r : U \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ and $\alpha > 0$ be such that, for all $x, y \in U$:*

$$\rho(x) \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial U), \quad |\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \leq |x - y|, \quad \alpha \rho(x) < r(x) < (1 - \alpha) \rho(x).$$

Then any r -median function $f \geq 0$ on U is harmonic.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 18 Marzo 1994.

About twenty years ago W.A. Veech ([Ve3]) formulated the:

CONJECTURE 0.3. *Let U be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let r be an admissible function on U which is locally bounded away from zero, i.e., such that $\inf r(K) > 0$ for any compact subset K of U . Then every r -median function $f \geq 0$ on U is harmonic.*

Previous work by F. Huckemann [Hu] shows that this is true if $d = 1$.

In this paper we shall see, however, that the conjecture fails already for open balls in any $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. In fact, even a weakened version of the conjecture where r and f are assumed to be continuous (or C^∞) is wrong. As in [HN3] our counterexample will be based on properties of the random walk given by the transition kernel $P : (x, A) \mapsto \lambda_{B(x, r(x))}(A)$.

1. - A measurable counterexample

Let U denote the open unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\Omega = U^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, $X_i(\omega) = \omega_i$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the σ -algebra on Ω generated by X_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As usual θ_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, will be the canonical shift $\theta_j : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by $(\theta_j \omega)_i = \omega_{i+j}$, i.e., $X_i \circ \theta_j = X_{i+j}$. Given a Markov kernel P on U and $x \in U$, let P^x denote the probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$ such that $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, P^x)$ is the random walk starting at x having transition kernel P , i.e., for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and Borel subsets $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$ of U

$$\begin{aligned} & P^x[X_0 \in A_0, X_1 \in A_1, X_2 \in A_2, \dots, X_n \in A_n] \\ (*) \quad & = \varepsilon_x(A_0) \int_{A_1} P(x, dx_1) \int_{A_2} P(x_1, dx_2) \dots \int_{A_n} P(x_{n-1}, dx_n). \end{aligned}$$

For every Borel subset A of U let

$$T_A := \inf \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : X_i \in A\}$$

(where $\inf \emptyset = \infty$). A Borel function $v \geq 0$ on U is called P -supermedian if $Pv \leq v$. We recall that the function $x \mapsto P^x[T_A < \infty]$ is the smallest P -supermedian function v on U such that $v \geq 1$ on A ([DM], [Re]). Moreover, we shall use the (strong) Markov property for random walks (cf. [DM], [Re]) and we shall exploit the following simple fact which is intuitively clear and can easily be derived formally from (*): If P and Q are two Markov kernels on U such that $P(x, \cdot) = Q(x, \cdot)$ for every x in the complement of a Borel set B then

$$P^x[X_0 \in A_0, \dots, X_n \in A_n, n \leq T_B] = Q^x[X_0 \in A_0, \dots, X_n \in A_n, n \leq T_B]$$

for all $x \in U$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and Borel sets $A_0, \dots, A_n$ in U . In particular, $P^x[T_B = n] = Q^x[T_B = n]$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Now let us choose points $x_n = (\xi_n, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and radii $0 < \rho_n < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that $\xi_0 = 0$, $\xi_n < \xi_{n+1}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = 1$,

$$\{x_{n+1}\} = B(x_n, \rho_n) \cap \{x_n : j \neq n\}, \quad x_{n+1} \notin B(x_n, \rho_n/2).$$

More precisely, fix $0 < \alpha < 1/4$, define

$$\alpha_n := (1 - \alpha)^n \alpha, \quad n = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\xi_n := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^n, \quad x_n := (\xi_n, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$\rho_n := \frac{\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n}{2} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \alpha_{n-1}.$$

Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n - \frac{\rho_n}{2} &= (1 - \alpha)\alpha_{n-1} - \frac{\rho_n}{2} > (1 - \alpha)\rho_n - \frac{\rho_n}{2} > \alpha\rho_n, \\ \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha + (1 - \alpha)^2)\alpha_{n-1} > (2 - 3\alpha)\alpha_{n-1} > \alpha_{n-1}, \\ \alpha_{n-1} - \rho_n &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}} - 1\right) \rho_n > \frac{\alpha}{2} \rho_n, \\ \rho_n - \alpha_n &= \left(1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) \rho_n > \frac{\alpha}{2} \rho_n. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing real numbers $c_n > 0$ such that $c_n \leq \inf(\alpha/5, 2^{-n})\rho_n$ and defining

$$C_n := B(x_n, c_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

we hence obtain that, for every $x \in C_0$,

$$B(x, \rho_0/2) \cap C_1 = B(x, \rho_0) \cap C_2 = \emptyset, \quad C_1 \subset B(x, \rho_0)$$

and, for every $x \in C_n$, $n \geq 1$,

$$B(x, \rho_n/2) \cap C_{n+1} = B(x, \rho_n) \cap (C_{n-1} \cup C_{n+2}) = \emptyset, \quad C_{n+1} \subset B(x, \rho_n).$$

Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $x \in C_n$,

$$\rho_n \leq 2\alpha(1 - |x|)$$

since $2\alpha(1 - |x|) \geq 2\alpha(1 - \xi_n - c_n) = 2\alpha_n - 2\alpha c_n \geq \alpha_{n-1} - 2\alpha c_n \geq \rho_n + \frac{\alpha}{2} \rho_n - 2\alpha c_n \geq \rho_n$.

Take $0 < b_0 < c_0/2$, $a_0 = b_0/2$, and define

$$A_0 = \overline{B(0, a_0)}, \quad B_0 = B(0, b_0).$$

In order to understand the idea of our counterexample let us assume for a moment that we have chosen real numbers $0 < a_n < c_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we take $A_n = \overline{B(x_n, a_n)}$ and we may define a measurable function $f \geq 0$ on U by

$$f = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\rho_{j-1}^d - a_{j-1}^d}{a_j^d} & \text{on } A_n, n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{on } U \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, f is not harmonic, but it is r -median if we define

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_n, & x \in A_n, n \geq 0, \\ \inf \left(\alpha(1 - |x|), \text{dist} \left(x, \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \right) \right), & x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n. \end{cases}$$

Of course, r is not locally bounded away from zero. We may, however, modify this construction and obtain an r -median function for which r is locally bounded away from zero. To that end we shall arrange that the random walk given by the kernel

$$P : (x, A) \mapsto \lambda_{B(x, r(x))}(A)$$

has almost no chance to get to A_{n+1} except to go to A_n first and then to hit A_{n+1} at the next step.

Let us see how this can be achieved. We choose a continuous function $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0$ on $U \setminus \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\rho = \rho_0$ on A_0 and

$$\rho(x) \leq \inf \left(\alpha(1 - |x|), \frac{1}{3} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x - x_n| \right)$$

for every $x \in U \setminus (B_0 \cup \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$, take

$$C'_n = B(x_n, c_n/2), \quad C' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C'_n,$$

and consider the Markov kernel Q given by

$$Q(x, \cdot) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{B(x, \rho(x))}, & x \in U \setminus C', \\ \varepsilon_x, & x \in C'. \end{cases}$$

Using the associated random walk we define a function g on U by

$$g(x) = Q^x[T_{A_0} < \infty], \quad x \in U.$$

Obviously,

$$\liminf_{|x| \downarrow a_0} g(x) \geq \lim_{|x| \rightarrow a_0} Q^x[X_1 \in A_0] = \left(\frac{a_0}{\rho_0}\right)^d > 0.$$

Since ρ is continuous and $Qg = g$ on $U \setminus A_0$, we know that g is continuous on $U \setminus (A_0 \cup C')$ and that $\{y \in U \setminus (A_0 \cup C') : g(y) = 0\}$ is an open set in $U \setminus (A_0 \cup C')$. Therefore $g > 0$ on $U \setminus C'$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\beta_n := \inf \left\{ g(y) : \frac{c_n}{2} \leq |y - x_n| \leq c_n \right\} > 0.$$

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and suppose that real numbers $a_j \in]0, c_j/4[$, $j = 0, \dots, n$, have already been chosen. Define

$$\gamma_n := \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_{j-1}}{a_j} \right)^d$$

(in particular, $\gamma_0 = 1$). Then there exists $b_{n+1} \in]0, c_{n+1}/2[$ such that $B_{n+1} := B(x_{n+1}, b_{n+1})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{B_{n+1}} &:= \inf \{s : s \geq 0 \text{ superharmonic on } U, s \geq 1 \text{ on } B_{n+1}\} \\ &\leq 2^{-(n+2d)} \beta_{n+1} / \gamma_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus C'_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Take

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{b_{n+1}}{2}, \quad A_{n+1} = \overline{B(x_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}.$$

By Harnack's inequality there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for every harmonic function $h \geq 0$ on U

$$h(x_2) \leq ch(x_1)$$

and we clearly may assume that b_2 and hence a_2 are chosen so small that

$$\gamma_2 > (e^4 \gamma_1 + 1)c.$$

Having obtained the sequences of balls (A_n) and (B_n) we define $r : U \rightarrow]0, 1[$ by

$$r(x) := \begin{cases} \rho(x), & x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n, \\ \rho_n, & x \in A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \rho_n/2, & x \in B_n \setminus A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Then $r(x) \leq 2\alpha(1 - |x|)$ for every $x \in U$ and $\inf r(K) > 0$ for every compact K in U .

Let P denote the corresponding Markov kernel, i.e.,

$$P(x, \cdot) = \lambda_{B(x, r(x))}, \quad x \in U.$$

Using the associated random walk we define measurable functions f_n on U , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by

$$f_n(x) := \gamma_n P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty], \quad x \in U.$$

Obviously, $0 \leq f_n \leq \gamma_n$. The sequence (f_n) is increasing since

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1}(x) &\geq \gamma_{n+1} P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty, X_{T_{A_n}+1} \in A_{n+1}] \\ &= \gamma_{n+1} \int_{[T_{A_n} < \infty]} P^{X_{T_{A_n}}} [X_1 \in A_{n+1}] dP^x \\ &= \gamma_{n+1} \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{\rho_n} \right)^d P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty] = \gamma_n P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty] = f_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in U$. Let

$$f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

Since obviously $Pf_n(x) = f_n(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $x \in U \setminus A_n$, we conclude that $Pf = f$.

We intend to show that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(*) \quad f_{n+1} \leq (1 + 2^{2-n})f_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus C_{n+1}.$$

Since $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{2-n}) \leq \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2-n} \right) = e^4$, we then obtain that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f \leq e^4 f_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus \bigcup_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_j.$$

In particular, f turns out to be locally bounded on U and

$$f(x_2) \geq f_2(x_2) = \gamma_2 > ce^4 \gamma_1 = ce^4 f_1(x_1) \geq cf(x_1),$$

so f is not harmonic. Thus $(*)$ will yield that f is a counterexample to Veech's conjecture.

In order to prove $(*)$ let us first establish a general lemma (for the purpose of this section it will be sufficient to take $E = \emptyset$, i.e., $T_E = \infty$):

LEMMA. 1.1. *Let P be a Markov kernel on U , let A, B, C, A_0, C_0, E be Borel subsets of U such that $A \subset B \subset C \subset U \setminus C_0$, $A_0 \subset C_0$ and let*

$0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon < 1/6$ such that $P(y, A) = 0$ for every $y \in U \setminus (A_0 \cup B \cup E)$, $P(y, C_0) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y \in A_0$, $P^y[T_{A_0} < \infty] \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y \in U \setminus C_0$, $P(y, C) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y \in B$, and $P^y[T_B < T_E] \leq \delta P^y[T_{A_0} < \infty]$ for every $y \in U \setminus C$. Then for every $x \in U \setminus C$

$$P^x[T_A < T_E] \leq (1 + 3\varepsilon) \left(\sup_{y \in A_0} P(y, A) + \delta \sup_{y \in B \setminus E} P(y, A) \right) P^x[T_{A_0} < \infty].$$

PROOF. Fix $x \in U \setminus C$ and let

$$S = \inf \{j \in \mathbb{N} : X_j \in A_0\}.$$

For every $y \in A_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^y[X_1 \notin C_0, S < \infty] &= P^y[X_1 \notin C_0, T_{A_0} \circ \theta_1 < \infty] \\ &= \int_{[X_1 \notin C_0]} P^{X_1}[T_{A_0} < \infty] dP^y \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$P^y[S < \infty] \leq P^y[X_1 \in C_0] + P^y[X_1 \notin C_0, S < \infty] \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

We define an increasing sequence (S_m) of stopping times by

$$S_1 := T_{A_0}, \quad S_{m+1} := S_m + S \circ \theta_{S_m}.$$

Clearly, for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : X_k(\omega) \in A_0\} = \{S_m(\omega) : m \in \mathbb{N}, S_m(\omega) < \infty\}.$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[S_m < \infty, X_{S_{m+1}} \in A] &= \int_{[S_m < \infty]} P^{X_{S_m}}[X_1 \in A] dP^x \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in A_0} P(y, A) P^x[S_m < \infty]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[S_{m+1} < \infty] &= P^x[S_m < \infty, S \circ \theta_{S_m} < \infty] \\ &= \int_{[S_m < \infty]} P^{X_{S_m}}[S < \infty] dP^x \leq 2\varepsilon P^x[S_m < \infty], \end{aligned}$$

hence by induction

$$P^x[S_{m+1} < \infty] \leq (2\varepsilon)^m P^x[S_1 < \infty].$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P^x[S_m < \infty, X_{S_m+1} \in A] \leq P^x[T_{A_0} < \infty] \sup_{y \in A_0} P(y, A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^k$$

where $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\varepsilon)^k = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{-1} \leq 1 + 3\varepsilon$ since $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{6}$.

Define similarly a sequence (T_m) by

$$T := \inf \{j \in \mathbb{N} : X_j \in B\}, \quad T_1 = T_B, \quad T_{m+1} = T_m + T \circ \theta_{T_m}.$$

We know that $P(y, C) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y \in B$ and $P^y[T_B < T_E] \leq \delta P^x[T_{A_0} < \infty] \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y \in U \setminus C$. Arguing in a similar way as for the sequence (S_m) we hence obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P^x[T_m < T_E, X_{T_m+1} \in A] &\leq (1 + 3\varepsilon) P^x[T_B < T_E] \sup_{y \in B \setminus E} P(y, A) \\ &\leq (1 + 3\varepsilon) \delta P^x[T_{A_0} < \infty] \sup_{y \in B \setminus E} P(y, A). \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof it suffices to note that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$P^x[X_k \notin A_0 \cup B, X_{k+1} \in A, k < T_E] = \int_{[X_k \notin A_0 \cup B, k < T_E]} P^{X_k}[X_1 \in A] dP^x = 0$$

since $P(y, A) = 0$ for every $y \in U \setminus (A_0 \cup B \cup E)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[T_A < T_E] &= P^x[1 \leq T_A < T_E] \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (P^x[S_m < \infty, X_{S_m+1} \in A] + P^x[T_m < T_E, X_{T_m+1} \in A]). \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 1.2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_{n+1} \leq (1 + 2^{2-n})f_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus C_{n+1}.$$

PROOF. We know by construction of P that

$$P(y, A_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } y \in U \setminus (A_n \cup B_{n+1}),$$

$$P(y, C_n) = \left(\frac{c_n}{\rho_n} \right)^d \leq 2^{-nd} \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{for every } y \in A_n,$$

$$P(y, C_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{2c_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^d \leq 2^{-nd} \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{for every } y \in B_{n+1}.$$

In order to get the necessary estimates for $P^y[T_{A_n} < \infty]$ and $P^y[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty]$ we note that every superharmonic function $s \geq 0$ on U is P -supermedian and hence for every Borel subset D of U

$$P[T_D < \infty] = \inf \{s : s \text{ } P\text{-supermedian, } s \geq 1 \text{ on } D\} \leq R_1^D.$$

In particular,

$$P[T_{A_n} < \infty] \leq R_1^{A_n} \leq R_1^{B_n} \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{on } U \setminus C_n$$

and

$$P[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty] \leq R_1^{B_{n+1}} \leq 2^{-(n+2d)} \beta_{n+1} / \gamma_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus C'_{n+1}.$$

On the other hand, the random walk associated with Q is obtained from the random walk associated with P by stopping on C' . Hence clearly

$$P[T_{A_0} < \infty] \geq Q[T_{A_0} < \infty] = g.$$

Moreover, for every $y \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^y[T_{A_n} < \infty] &\geq P^y[T_{A_0} < \infty, X_{T_{A_0}+1} \in A_1, \dots, X_{T_{A_0}+n} \in A_n] \\ &= \int_{[T_{A_0} < \infty]} P^{X_{T_{A_0}}}[X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_n \in A_n] dP^y \\ &= \left(\frac{a_1}{\rho_0}\right)^d \cdots \left(\frac{a_n}{\rho_{n-1}}\right)^d P^y[T_{A_0} < \infty] \\ &= \gamma_n^{-1} P^y[T_{A_0} < \infty]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $y \in C_{n+1} \setminus C'_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^y[T_{A_n} < \infty] &\geq \gamma_n^{-1} g(y) \geq \beta_{n+1} / \gamma_n \\ &\geq 2^{n+2d} R_1^{B_{n+1}}(y) \geq 2^{n+2d} P^y[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty]. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, for every $y \in A_n$,

$$P^y[T_{A_n} < \infty] = 1 \geq 2^{n+2d} R_1^{B_{n+1}}(y) \geq 2^{n+2d} P^y[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty].$$

Let

$$R := T_{A_n \cup C_{n+1}} = \inf(T_{A_n}, T_{C_{n+1}})$$

and fix $x \in U \setminus C_{n+1}$. Since $P(y, C'_{n+1}) = 0$ for every $y \in U \setminus (A_n \cup C_{n+1})$ we know that

$$X_R \in A_n \cup (C_{n+1} \setminus C'_{n+1}) \quad P^x\text{-a.s. on } [R < \infty].$$

(Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P^x[R < \infty, X_R \in C'_{n+1}] &= P^x[1 \leq R < \infty, X_R \in C'_{n+1}] \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^x[X_k \in C(A_n \cup C_{n+1}), X_{k+1} \in C'_{n+1}] \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{[X_k \in C(A_n \cup C_{n+1})]} P^{X_k}[X_1 \in C'_{n+1}] dP^x = 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $T_{A_n} = R + T_{A_n} \circ \theta_R$ and $T_{C_{n+1}} = R + T_{C_{n+1}} \circ \theta_R$, hence the strong Markov property implies that

$$P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty] = \int_{[R < \infty]} P^{X_R}[T_{A_n} < \infty] dP^x$$

and

$$P^x[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty] = \int_{[R < \infty]} P^{X_R}[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty] dP^x.$$

Since

$$P^y[T_{A_n} < \infty] \geq 2^{n+2d} P^y[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty]$$

for every $y \in A_n \cup (C_{n+1} \setminus C'_{n+1})$ we conclude that

$$P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty] \geq 2^{n+2d} P^x[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty].$$

Furthermore, $P(y, A_{n+1}) = \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{\rho_n}\right)^d$ for every $y \in A_n$, whereas, for every $y \in B_{n+1}$,

$$P(y, A_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{2a_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^d \leq 2^{2d} \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{\rho_n}\right)^d.$$

Therefore by our Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{n+1}(x) &= \gamma_{n+1} P^x[T_{A_{n+1}} < \infty] \\
 &\leq (1 + 3 \cdot 2^{-(n+1)})(1 + 2^{-n}) \gamma_{n+1} \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{\rho_n}\right)^d P^x[T_{A_n} < \infty] \leq (1 + 2^{2-n}) f_n(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus we have proven the following result which shows that Veech's conjecture is wrong:

THEOREM 1.3. *For every $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ there exist Borel functions $r, f > 0$ on U such that $r \leq \alpha \operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \partial U)$, $\inf r(K) > 0$ for every compact subset K of U , f is locally bounded and r -median, but not harmonic on U .*

2. - A continuous counterexample

Having constructed a measurable counterexample to Veech's conjecture the question arises if perhaps a weakened version is true where r and f are supposed to be continuous. In this section we shall see that this is not the case:

THEOREM 2.1. *Given $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, there exist continuous strictly positive functions r and f on U such that $r \leq \alpha \operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \partial U)$, f is r -median, but not harmonic.*

In order to get this result it suffices to modify the measurable counterexample removing the discontinuities at $\partial A_n \cup \partial B_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To that end we shall use a general property of random walks given by means having a locally bounded density with respect to the Lebesgue measure (cf. a similar argument in [HN3]):

LEMMA 2.2. *Let P be the transition kernel of a random walk given by an admissible function r on U which is locally bounded away from zero, let K be a compact subset of U , fix $x \in U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $P^x[0 < T_A < \infty] < \varepsilon$ for every Borel subset A of K satisfying $\lambda_U(A) < \delta$.*

PROOF. Define $q(y) = 1 - |y|$, $y \in U$, and let $\gamma := \inf q(K) = \operatorname{dist}(K, \partial U)$. Since q is a continuous potential on U , we know by Lemma 1 in [HN5] that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P^m q = 0$. So there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P^m q(x) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{9} \gamma.$$

Then

$$P^x \left[q(X_m) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \gamma \right] \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \gamma \right)^{-1} P^m q(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Moreover, for every $y \in \left\{ q < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \gamma \right\}$,

$$P^y[T_{\{q \geq \gamma\}} < \infty] \leq R_1^{\{q \geq \gamma\}}(y) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} q(y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[X_i \in K \text{ for some } i \geq m] &\leq P^x[q(X_i) \geq \gamma \text{ for some } i \geq m] \\ &\leq P^x \left[q(X_m) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \gamma \right] + P^x \left[q(X_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \gamma, q(X_i) \geq \gamma \text{ for some } i \geq m \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \int_{[q(X_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \gamma]} P^{X_m} [T_{\{q \geq \gamma\}} < \infty] dP^x < \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\eta := \inf \{r(y) : q(y) \geq \gamma/2\}.$$

If $y \in U$ such that $B(y, r(y)) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ then $q(y) > \gamma/2$ and hence $P(y, \cdot) = \lambda_{B(y, r(y))} \leq \eta^{-d} \lambda_U$. This implies that, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_{X_i}^x|_K \leq \eta^{-d} \lambda_U.$$

Take

$$\delta := \frac{\eta^d}{3m} \varepsilon$$

and let A be a Borel subset of K such that $\lambda_U(A) < \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[0 < T_A < \infty] &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m P^x[X_i \in A] + P^x[X_i \in K \text{ for some } i \geq m] \\ &\leq m \cdot \eta^{-d} \delta + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us now return to the situation considered in the previous section. Defining

$$\varepsilon_n := 2^{-(n+1)} \gamma_{n+1}^{-1}$$

we know that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \leq \gamma_{n+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)} = 2^{-n} \gamma_{n+1}^{-1}.$$

Let $V = U \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (B_j \setminus A_j)$, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that we have already defined a continuous function $\tilde{r}$ on $V \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} (B_j \setminus A_j)$ such that $\tilde{r} = r$ on V and $0 < \tilde{r} \leq \rho_j$ on $B_j \setminus A_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Let P_n denote the transition kernel on U given by $\tilde{r}$ on $V \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} (B_j \setminus A_j)$ and by r on $\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} (B_j \setminus A_j)$, i.e.,

$$P_n(x, \cdot) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{B(x, \tilde{r}(x))}, & x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} (B_j \setminus A_j), \\ \lambda_{B(x, r(x))}, & x \in \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} (B_j \setminus A_j). \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.2 we know that, for each $x \in \overline{B}_{n-1} \cup (\overline{C}_n \setminus C'_n)$, there exist $b'_n, b''_n \in]a_n, b_n[$ such that the set

$$E_n = \{y \in B_n \setminus A_n : |y - x_n| < b'_n \text{ or } |y - x_n| > b''_n\}$$

satisfies

$$e_n(x) := P_n^x[T_{E_n} < \infty] < \varepsilon_n.$$

By the strong Markov property

$$P_n e_n = e_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus B_n.$$

Since $\tilde{r}$ is continuous on $\overline{B}_{n-1} \cup (\overline{C}_n \setminus C'_n)$ we conclude that e_n is continuous on $\overline{B}_{n-1} \cup (\overline{C}_n \setminus C'_n)$. So a simple compactness argument shows that we may choose b'_n, b''_n such that $e_n < \varepsilon_n$ on $\overline{B}_{n-1} \cup (\overline{C}_n \setminus C'_n)$. In fact,

$$e_n < \varepsilon_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus C'_n$$

since $T_{\overline{B}_{n-1} \cup (\overline{C}_n \setminus C'_n)} \leq T_{B_n} \leq T_{E_n}$ P_n^x -a.s. for every $x \in U \setminus C'_n$.

We now extend $\tilde{r}$ to a continuous function on $V \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n (B_j \setminus A_j)$ such that

$$\tilde{r} = r = \frac{\rho_n}{2} \quad \text{on } (B_n \setminus A_n) \setminus E_n, \quad 0 < \tilde{r} \leq \rho_n \quad \text{on } E_n.$$

By induction we obtain an admissible function $\tilde{r}$ on U such that

$$\tilde{r} = r \quad \text{on } U \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n.$$

Define the Markov kernel $\tilde{P}$ on U by

$$\tilde{P}(x, \cdot) = \lambda_{B(x, \tilde{r}(x))}, \quad x \in U.$$

Using the corresponding random walk we obtain functions $\tilde{f}_n$ on U , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by

$$\tilde{f}_n(x) := \gamma_n \tilde{P}^x[T_{A_n} < \infty], \quad x \in U.$$

Repeating the argument we used for the sequence (f_n) we obtain that the sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)$ is increasing (note that $\tilde{r} = \rho_n$ on A_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and that

$$\tilde{f} := \sup \tilde{f}_n$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{P}\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\tilde{f}_{n+1} \leq (1 + 2^{2^{-n}})\tilde{f}_n + 2^{-n} \quad \text{on } U \setminus C_{n+1}.$$

PROOF. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$F_n = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} E_j.$$

By construction of $\tilde{P}$ we know that

$$\tilde{P}(y, A_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in U \setminus (A_n \cup B_{n+1} \cup F_n).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(y, C_n) &= \left(\frac{c_n}{\rho_n} \right)^d \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{for every } y \in A_n, \\ \tilde{P}(y, C_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{2c_{n+1}}{\rho_{n+1}} \right)^d \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{for every } y \in B_{n+1} \setminus F_n. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 1.2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}[T_{A_n} < \infty] &\leq 2^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{on } U \setminus C_n, \\ \tilde{P}[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty] &\leq 2^{-(n+2d)} \beta_{n+1} / \gamma_n \quad \text{on } U \setminus C'_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}[T_{A_n} < T_{F_n}] &\geq 2^{n+2d} \tilde{P}[T_{B_{n+1}} < \infty] \geq \gamma_n^{-1} \tilde{P}[T_{A_0} < T_{F_n}] \geq \gamma_n^{-1} g \\ &\geq 2^{n+2d} \tilde{P}[T_{B_{n+1}} < T_{F_n}] \quad \text{on } A_n \cup (C_{n+1} \setminus C'_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

As before let $R := T_{A_n \cup C_{n+1}}$ and fix $x \in U \setminus C_{n+1}$. Since $\tilde{P}(y, C'_{n+1}) = 0$ for every $y \in U \setminus (A_n \cup C_{n+1} \cup F_n)$, we know that

$$X_R \in A_n \cup (C_{n+1} \setminus C'_{n+1}) \quad \tilde{P}^x\text{-a.s. on } [R < T_{F_n}].$$

By the strong Markov property

$$\tilde{P}^x[T_{A_n} < T_{F_n}] = \int_{[R < T_{F_n}]} \tilde{P}^{X_R}[T_{A_n} < T_{F_n}] d\tilde{P}^x$$

and

$$\tilde{P}^x[T_{B_{n+1}} < T_{F_n}] = \int_{[R < T_{F_n}]} \tilde{P}^{X_R}[T_{B_{n+1}} < T_{F_n}] d\tilde{P}^x,$$

hence

$$\tilde{P}^x[T_{A_n} < T_{F_n}] \geq 2^{n+2d} \tilde{P}^x[T_{B_{n+1}} < T_{F_n}].$$

Therefore Lemma 1.1 now yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \gamma_{n+1} \tilde{P}^x[T_{A_{n+1}} < T_{F_n}] \\
 & \leq (1 + 3 \cdot 2^{-(n+1)})(1 + 2^{-n})\gamma_{n+1} \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{\rho_n} \right)^d \tilde{P}^x[T_{A_n} < \infty] \\
 & \leq (1 + 2^{2-n})\tilde{f}_n(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by definition

$$\tilde{P}(y, \cdot) = P_j(y, \cdot) \quad \text{for every } y \in U \setminus F_n \text{ and } j \geq n,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{P}^x[T_{F_n} < \infty] = P_n^x[T_{F_n} < \infty] \\
 & = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P_n^x[T_{E_j} < \infty, T_{E_n} = T_{E_{n+1}} = \dots = T_{E_{j-1}} = \infty] \\
 & = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P_j^x[T_{E_j} < \infty, T_{E_n} = T_{E_{n+1}} = \dots = T_{E_{j-1}} = \infty] \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P_j^x[T_{E_j} < \infty] \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \leq 2^{-n}\gamma_{n+1}^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $[T_{A_{n+1}} < \infty] \subset [T_{A_{n+1}} < T_{F_n}] \cup [T_{F_n} < \infty]$, therefore

$$\tilde{f}_{n+1}(x) = \gamma_{n+1} \tilde{P}^x[T_{A_{n+1}} < \infty] \leq (1 + 2^{2-n})\tilde{f}_n(x) + 2^{-n}.$$

□

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. By Proposition 2.3, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tilde{f} \leq e^4 \tilde{f}_n + 1 \quad \text{on } U \setminus \bigcup_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_j.$$

Thus $\tilde{f}$ is locally bounded. Since $\tilde{P}\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}$, since $\tilde{r}$ is continuous and $\tilde{r} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial U)$, we conclude that $\tilde{f}$ is a continuous $\tilde{r}$ -median function. Because of

$$\tilde{f}(x_2) \geq \tilde{f}_2(x_2) = \gamma_2 > c(e^4 \gamma_1 + 1) = c(e^4 \tilde{f}_1(x_1) + 1) \geq c\tilde{f}(x_1)$$

the function $\tilde{f}$ is not harmonic. □

REMARKS 2.4. 1. For every $z \in \partial U$, $z \neq (1, 0, \dots, 0)$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow z} \tilde{f}(x) = 0.$$

Indeed, the proof of (2.3) shows that

$$\tilde{f} \leq e^4 \tilde{f}_1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \gamma_{m+1} e_m \quad \text{on } U \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} C_j.$$

The functions $\tilde{f}_1$ and e_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, tend to zero at ∂U (since $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \gamma_1 R_1^{A_1}$ and $e_j \leq R_1^{E_j}$) and, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$m \gamma_{m+1} e_m < m \gamma_{m+1} \varepsilon_m = m 2^{-(m+1)} \quad \text{on } U \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} C_j.$$

So our claim follows from the fact that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{-(m+1)} < \infty$ and the point $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the only limit point of the set $\bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} C_j$ contained in the boundary ∂U .

2. We could have arranged without difficulty that $\tilde{r}$ is a C^∞ -function and then $\tilde{P}\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}$ implies that $\tilde{f}$ is a C^∞ -function as well.

3. Every $\tilde{r}$ -median function on U which is bounded by some harmonic function on U is harmonic, hence every extremal positive harmonic function on U is an extremal $\tilde{r}$ -median function. So the euclidean boundary of U is a proper subset of the Martin boundary for the random walk given by $\tilde{r}$. (A close inspection should reveal that the function f we constructed is an extremal $\tilde{r}$ -median function and that it is up to constant multiples the only extremal positive $\tilde{r}$ -median function which is not harmonic).

REFERENCES

- [Ba1] J.R. BAXTER, *Restricted mean values and harmonic functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **167** (1972), 451-463.
- [Ba2] J.R. BAXTER, *Harmonic functions and mass cancellation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 375-384.
- [CV] A. CORNEA - J. VESELÝ, *Martin compactification for discrete potential theory*. Potential Analysis, (to appear).
- [DM] C. DELLACHERIE - P.A. MEYER, *Probabilités et potentiel, Théorie discrète du potentiel*. Hermann, Paris, 1983.
- [HN1] W. HANSEN - N. NADIRASHVILI, *A converse to the mean value theorem for harmonic functions*. Acta Math. **171** (1993), 139-163.
- [HN2] W. HANSEN - N. NADIRASHVILI, *Mean values and harmonic functions*. Math. Ann. **297** (1993), 157-170.

- [HN3] W. HANSEN - N. NADIRASHVILI, *Littlewood's one circle problem*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), (to appear).
- [HN4] W. HANSEN - N. NADIRASHVILI, *Liouville's theorem and the restricted mean value property*. J. Math. Pures Appl., (to appear).
- [HN5] W. HANSEN - N. NADIRASHVILI, *On the restricted mean value property for measurable functions*. In: "Classical and Modern Potential Theory and Applications", NATO ASI series (K. GowriSankaran et al. eds.), 267-271. Kluwer 1994.
- [Hu] F. HUCKEMANN, *On the 'one circle' problem for harmonic functions*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **29** (1954), 491-497.
- [NV] I. NETUKA - J. VESELÝ, *Mean value property and harmonic functions*. In: "Classical and Modern Potential Theory and Applications", NATO ASI series (K. GowriSankaran, M. Goldstein eds.), 359-398.
- [Re] D. REVUZ, Markov chains. North Holland Math. Library 11, 1975.
- [Ve1] W.A. VEECH, *A converse to Gauss' theorem*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1971), 444-446.
- [Ve2] W.A. VEECH, *A zero-one law for a class of random walks and a converse to Gauss' mean value theorem*. Ann. of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 189-216.
- [Ve3] W.A. VEECH, *A converse to the mean value theorem for harmonic functions*. Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 1007-1027.

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Bielefeld
Universitätsstrasse
D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany