

ANNALI DELLA  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA  
*Classe di Scienze*

XI-PING ZHU

**Multiple convex hypersurfaces with prescribed mean curvature**

*Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4<sup>e</sup> série*, tome 21,  
n° 2 (1994), p. 175-191

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\\_1994\\_4\\_21\\_2\\_175\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1994_4_21_2_175_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze* » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# Multiple Convex Hypersurfaces with Prescribed Mean Curvature

XI-PING ZHU(\*)

## 1. - Introduction

In Yau's problem section (Problem 59 in [13]) it was asked when a function  $G$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is the mean curvature of a closed surface with prescribed genus. Let  $X$  be a smooth closed hypersurface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and oriented with respect to its inner normal. The mean curvature  $\sigma_1(X)$  of  $X$  is the sum of its principal curvatures, i.e.  $\sigma_1(X) = \kappa_1(X) + \dots + \kappa_n(X)$ . The problem is to find reasonable conditions on  $G$  such that the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_1(p) = G(p), \quad p \in X$$

has a solution for a closed embedded hypersurface  $X$  with prescribed genus. It was proposed to minimize the functional

$$(1.2) \quad I(X) = \frac{1}{n} \int_X 1 - \int_{\tilde{X}} G$$

( $\tilde{X}$  is the subset bounded by  $X$ ) among all hypersurfaces  $X$  of the same genus. However, it is not clear how the minimum, if it ever exists, should have the same genus.

For the prescribed function  $G$  it is assumed that: (a) there exist  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ,  $0 < R_1 < R_2$ , such that  $G(x) > \frac{1}{R_1}$  on  $|x| = R_1$  and  $G(x) < \frac{1}{R_2}$  on  $|x| = R_2$ ;

(b)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho G(\rho x) \leq 0$ ,  $x \in S^n$ ,  $\rho > 0$ . Then it was shown by Bakelman-Kantor [2], Treibergs-Wei [11] and Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [4] that there exists a unique starshaped hypersurface lying in  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : 0 < R_1 < |x| < R_2\}$  whose mean curvature is equal to  $G$ . The monotonicity condition (b) is used not only in characterizing uniqueness but also in the proof of existence.

(\*) This work was supported by the National Natural Science Youth Foundation of China and Foundation of Zhongshan University Advanced Research Centre.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 5 Giugno 1992 e in forma definitiva il 7 Aprile 1993.

More recently, the problem has been studied by K.S. Chou [6] via the negative gradient flow associated with  $I$ :

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = -(\sigma_1(X) - G(X))\nu$$

$X(\cdot, 0)$  given

where  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal at  $X(\cdot, t)$ . Under the conditions that  $G$  is a concave function which becomes negative outside a large ball and that there exists a convex hypersurface  $Y$  with  $I(Y) \leq 0$ , he proved that (1.1) admits a convex hypersurface solution.

Here we are mainly interested in the question when equation (1.1) has multiple solutions. In [6], under the additional condition that  $G$  is invariant under a sufficiently large orthogonal subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $O(n+1)$ , Chou has also obtained multiple solutions for (1.1). He looked for the multiple critical points of  $I$  by a mountain pass lemma. Since the functional  $I$  is not continuous in the appropriate space, his argument is directly on the flow (1.3) within the space of all  $\Gamma$ -symmetric convex hypersurfaces which prevent the sought-after hypersurfaces of (1.3) from deviating too much from the round spheres.

The purpose of the present paper is to relax this additional symmetry condition. Our approach is similar to that of Chou [5], [7], Urbas [12], and it involves studying the evolution equation satisfied by the support function of the hypersurfaces  $X(\cdot, t)$ , rather than working directly with (1.3). The main ingredients of this paper are to provide a lower positive bound for the principal curvatures of the flow  $X(\cdot, t)$  and the global existence for the solution of (1.3) except when  $X(\cdot, t)$  shrinks to a point. Our main result is the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth function which satisfies:*

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\Omega = \{x : G(x) > 0\}$  *is bounded and simply-connected;*

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $G$  *is uniformly concave on  $\Omega$ , i.e. there exists a positive constant  $c_0$  such that*

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \xi^i \xi^j \geq c_0 |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

*Then (1.1) admits two convex solutions if there exists a convex hypersurface  $X$  lying inside  $\Omega$  and satisfying  $I(X) \leq 0$ .*

This paper consists of four sections. In Section 2 we shall derive the equation for the support function of the hypersurfaces  $X(\cdot, t)$ . In Section 3 we derive the a priori estimates on the first derivative in  $t$  and second derivatives in  $x$  of the solutions. The main theorem will be proved in the final Section.

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. K.S. Chou for several informative communications.

**2. - Preliminary results**

Let  $X$  be a smooth, closed, uniformly convex hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We may assume that  $X$  is parametrized by the inverse Gauss map

$$X : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

The support function  $H$  of  $X$  is defined by

$$H(x) = \sup\{(x, p) : p \in X\}, \quad x \in R^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}.$$

$H$  is differentiable and  $X$  can be recovered from  $H$  by

$$p^i(x) = \frac{\partial H(x)}{\partial x_i}, \quad x \in S^n, \quad i = 1, \dots, n + 1$$

where  $p = (p^1, \dots, p^{n+1})$  is the point on  $X$  with outer unit normal  $x$ . Thus all geometric quantities can be described in terms of  $H$ .

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a smooth local orthonormal frame field on  $S^n$ . From the computations in [12], we know that the second fundamental form of  $X$  is:

$$(2.1) \quad b_{ij} = \nabla_{ij}H + \delta_{ij}H,$$

and that the metric of  $X$  is:

$$(2.2) \quad g_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}b_{jk}.$$

The principal radii of curvature are the eigenvalues of matrix  $\sum_{k=1}^n b^{ik}g_{jk}$ , (here  $b^{ik}$  is the inverse of  $b_{ik}$ ), which, by virtue of (2.1) and (2.2), is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n b^{ik}g_{jk} = b_{ij} = \nabla_{ij}H + \delta_{ij}H.$$

Now, suppose that (1.3) has a solution  $X(\cdot, t)$  which is uniformly convex for each  $t$ . Let  $H(\cdot, t)$  be the support function of  $X(\cdot, t)$ , and let  $\nu_t : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  be the Gauss map of  $X(\cdot, t)$ . We define a new parametrization  $\bar{X}(\cdot, t)$  by

$$\bar{X}(x, t) = X(\nu_t^{-1}(x), t).$$

Then (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial t} &= \left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \nu_t^{-1}}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \nu_t^{-1}}{\partial t} \right\rangle - (\sigma_1 - G)(\nu_t^{-1}(x), t)x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial t}(x, t), x \right\rangle = -(\sigma_1 - G).$$

Therefore, the support function satisfies the initial value problem

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{f(R_1, \dots, R_n)} + G(DH) \\ &= -\frac{1}{F(\nabla^2 H + HI)} + G(DH), \quad \text{on } S^n \times [0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

$$H(\cdot, 0) = H_0,$$

where  $H_0$  is the support function of  $X(\cdot, 0)$ ,  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_{n+1})$  is the gradient on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  are the principal radii of curvature of  $X(\cdot, t)$ ,

$$f(R_1, \dots, R_n) = \frac{R_1 \cdots R_n}{\sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1}} R_{i_1} \cdots R_{i_{n-1}}},$$

and  $F(a_{ij}) = f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ ; here  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $[a_{ij}]$ .

Conversely, it is not hard to show that (2.5) together with the condition

$$\nabla^2 H + HI > 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in S^n \times [0, \infty]$$

implies (1.3). (This fact for similar equations is established in [5], [12].)

Next, let us make some remarks about the function

$$f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = \frac{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}{\sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1}} \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_{n-1}}}, \quad \mu_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is easy to prove that the function is homogeneous of degree 1 and that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_i} > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . From the inequalities of Marcus and Lopes [10] (or see Section 33 in [1]), we know that  $f$  is concave on  $\{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | \mu_i > 0 \text{ for all } i\}$ .

We also see that  $F$  is homogeneous of degree 1 on the cone of real symmetric positive  $n \times n$  matrices. In [3] it is proved that the eigenvalues of  $[F_{ij}] = \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{ij}} \right]$  are  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_n}$ , and therefore

$$(2.6) \quad [F_{ij}] > 0$$

for all symmetric positive  $n \times n$  matrices, which shows that equation (2.5) is parabolic. It is also proved in [3] that the concavity of  $f$  implies the concavity of  $F$ , i.e.

$$(2.7) \quad \sum F_{ij,kl} \eta_{ij} \eta_{kl} \leq 0$$

for all real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices  $[\eta_{ij}]$ , where  $F_{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a_{kl} \partial a_{ij}}$ .

We shall need the following inequality which follows directly from the monotonicity and the concavity of  $f$  (see Lemma 3.2 in [12])

$$(2.8) \quad \tau \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n F_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

for all symmetric positive  $n \times n$  matrices.

In this paper, we always assume that  $G$  is a smooth function which satisfies:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $\Omega = \{x : G(x) > 0\}$  is bounded and simply-connected;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $G$  is uniformly concave on  $\Omega$ , i.e. there exists a  $c_0$  such that

$$-\sum_{i,j}^{n+1} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \xi^i \xi^j \geq c_0 |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in R^{n+1}, x \in \Omega.$$

### 3. - A priori estimates on $H$

We begin with an upper bound estimate for  $H$ . Since  $G$  is smooth and uniformly concave, we can find a small positive  $\varepsilon_0$  such that the principal curvatures of the boundary of the set  $\tilde{\Omega} = \{x : G(x) > \varepsilon_0\}$  are greater than  $\varepsilon_0$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose  $X(\cdot, t)$  is a solution of (1.3) on  $S^n \times [0, T)$  with  $X(\cdot, 0)$  lying in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Then  $X(\cdot, t)$  remains in  $\tilde{\Omega}$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .*

PROOF. Assume by contradiction that  $X$  touches  $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$  from inside at a first time at a point. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X(\cdot, t)$  encloses the origin. Then, for the normal  $\nu$  at this point,  $\left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}, \nu \right\rangle$  is non-negative. However, on the other hand, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}, \nu \right\rangle = -(\sigma_1(X) - G(X)) < -n\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 < 0$$

at this point. A contradiction holds. ■

Now, we prove a lower positive bound for the principal curvatures of the flow  $X(\cdot, t)$ . From (2.3), we know that the principal radii of curvature of  $X(\cdot, t)$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\nabla^2 H + HI$ . We only need to derive an upper bound for the eigenvalues of  $\nabla^2 H + HI$ .

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose  $H$  is a solution of (2.5) on  $S^n \times [0, T]$  with  $X(\cdot, 0)$  contained inside  $\Omega$ . If at  $t = 0$  we have

$$\nabla^2 H + HI \leq KI$$

for a positive constant  $K$  then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\nabla^2 H + HI \leq CI, \quad \text{on } S^n \times [0, T),$$

here  $C$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $K$ , and the  $C^1$ -norm of  $G$  on  $\Omega$ .

PROOF. Suppose that the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix  $[b_{ij}] = \nabla^2 H(x, t) + H(x, t)I$  on  $S^n \times [0, T]$  is attained at  $(x_0, t_0) \in S^n \times [0, T]$  with unit eigenvector  $\xi \in T_{x_0} S^n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $t_0 > 0$  and that  $x_0$  is the south pole. By a suitable choice of coordinates we may assume that all directions of the orthonormal frame  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are in the principal directions and that  $\xi$  is in the direction  $e_1$  at the point  $(x_0, t_0)$ .

At the point  $(x_0, t_0)$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial b_{11}}{\partial t} \geq 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_{ij} b_{11} \leq 0.$$

Let us differentiate equation (2.5) to get

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_l H = \sum_{ij} F_{ij} F^{-2} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) + \nabla_l G,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_{kl} H &= \sum_{ij} F_{ij} F^{-2} \nabla_{kl} (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \\ &+ \sum_{ij,rs} F_{ij,rs} F^{-2} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \nabla_k (\nabla_{rs} H + \delta_{rs} H) \\ &- 2F^{-3} \sum_{ij,rs} F_{ij} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \cdot F_{rs} \nabla_k (\nabla_{rs} H + \delta_{rs} H) + \nabla_{kl} G. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R_{ikl}^j$  be the Riemann curvature tensor of  $S^n$ . By the Gauss equations, we have

$$R_{ikl}^j = R_{ijkl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}.$$

Then we interchange the order of covariant differentiation to get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{kli} H &= \nabla_{ijkl} H + (\nabla_k R_{jli}^m + \nabla_i R_{lkj}^m) \nabla_m H + R_{lkj}^m \nabla_{im} H \\ &+ R_{lki}^m \nabla_{jm} H + R_{jli}^m \nabla_{km} H + R_{jki}^m \nabla_{lm} H \\ &= \nabla_{ijkl} H + 2\delta_{kl} \nabla_{ij} H - 2\delta_{ij} \nabla_{kl} H + \delta_{jk} \nabla_{il} H - \delta_{il} \nabla_{jk} H. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_{kl} H &= F^{-2} \sum_{ij} (F_{ij} \nabla_{ijkl} H + 2\delta_{kl} F_{ij} \nabla_{ij} H) \\
 &\quad - \tau F^{-2} \nabla_{kl} H + F^{-2} \sum_i (F_{ik} \nabla_{il} H - F_{il} \nabla_{ik} H) \\
 (3.6) \quad &\quad + \sum_{ij,rs} F^{-2} F_{ij,rs} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \nabla_k (\nabla_{rs} H + \delta_{rs} H) \\
 &\quad - 2F^{-3} \sum_{ij,rs} F_{ij} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \cdot F_{rs} \nabla_k (\nabla_{rs} H + \delta_{rs} H) + \nabla_{kl} G.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the degree 1 homogeneity of  $F$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad \delta_{kl} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -F^{-2} \delta_{kl} \sum_{ij} F_{ij} \nabla_{ij} H - F^{-2} \delta_{kl} \tau H + G \delta_{kl}.$$

Thus, adding (3.6) and (3.7), we see that  $b_{kl} = \nabla_{kl} H + \delta_{kl} H$  satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_{kl} &= F^{-2} \sum_{ij} F_{ij} \nabla_{ij} b_{kl} - \tau F^{-2} b_{kl} + F^{-2} \sum_i (F_{ik} \nabla_{il} H - F_{il} \nabla_{ik} H) \\
 (3.8) \quad &\quad + \sum_{ij,rs} F^{-2} F_{ij,rs} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \nabla_k (\nabla_{rs} H + \delta_{rs} H) \\
 &\quad - 2F^{-3} \sum_{ij,rs} F_{ij} \nabla_l (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H) \cdot F_{rs} \nabla_k (\nabla_{rs} H + \delta_{rs} H) + \nabla_{kl} G + \delta_{kl} G.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, letting  $k = l = 1$ , by the concavity of  $F$ , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_{11} \leq F^{-2} \sum_{ij} F_{ij} \nabla_{ij} b_{11} - \tau F^{-2} b_{11} + \nabla_{11} G + G.$$

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we further have

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq -\tau F^{-2} b_{11} + \nabla_{11} G + G,$$

at  $(x_0, t_0)$ .

We use the Gauss-Weingarten relations

$$\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_k} - b_{ij} \nu$$



to conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{11}G &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial X_\alpha \partial X_\beta} \frac{\partial X_\alpha}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial X_\beta}{\partial x_1} - b_{11} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_\alpha} \nu_\alpha \\
 (3.11) \quad &\leq -c_0 \left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_1} \right\rangle - b_{11} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_\alpha} \nu_\alpha \\
 &= -c_0 g_{11} - b_{11} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_\alpha} \nu_\alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), we know that:

$$(3.12) \quad g_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{1k}^2 \geq b_{11}^2.$$

By putting (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.10), we see that

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \leq -\tau F^{-1} b_{11} - c_0 b_{11}^2 - b_{11} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_\alpha} \nu_\alpha + G.$$

Clearly this implies an upper bound for  $b_{11}$ , the conclusion of the lemma. ■

Next, we derive a bound for  $H$  in the  $\tilde{C}^2$  norm, which involves the first derivative in  $t$  and second derivatives in  $x$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose  $H$  is a solution of (2.5) on  $S^n \times [0, T]$ ,  $X(\cdot, 0)$  being contained inside  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Suppose further that  $H > \tau$  for some positive  $\tau$ . Then there exist constants  $C$  and  $C'$  such that*

$$\|H\|_{\tilde{C}^2(S^n \times [0, T])} \leq C$$

and

$$\nabla^2 H + HI \geq C'I \quad \text{on } S^n \times [0, T].$$

Here  $C$  and  $C'$  depend on  $n, \tau, \text{diam}(\tilde{\Omega}), c_0, C^1$ -norm of  $G$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$  and the initial data. Furthermore, for each  $k \geq 2$  and  $t_0$  with  $0 < t_0 < T$ , there exists  $C_k$  which also depends on higher derivatives of  $G$  and  $t_0^{-1}$  such that

$$\|H\|_{\tilde{C}^k(S^n \times [t_0, T])} \leq C_k.$$

Recall that  $\|H\|_{\tilde{C}^k(S^n \times [t_0, T])} = \max_{S^n \times [t_0, T]} \sum_{2l+m \leq k} |\nabla^m D_t^l H|$ .

PROOF. From Lemma 3.1, it is clear that  $H$  and  $DH$  are bounded by  $\text{diam}(\tilde{\Omega})$ . Now we adapt the method of Chou [7] to our lemma.

Step 1.  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \geq -C$ .

Consider the function  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} (H - \frac{r}{2})^{-1}$ . Suppose that it has a negative minimum which is attained at  $(x_1, t_1) \in S^n \times [0, T]$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $t_1 > 0$  and that  $x_1$  is the south pole. The mapping

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, -(1 - |x^2|)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

maps  $\{x \in R^n : |x| < 1\}$  onto  $S^n$ , and gives a coordinate system for  $S^n$ .

Let

$$\eta(x, t) = \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, -(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, t)}{H(x, -(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, t) - \frac{r}{2}},$$

for  $x \in R^n$  with  $|x| < 1$ . At  $(x_1, t_1)$ , we have, for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

$$0 = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\nabla_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} - \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_i H}{(H - \frac{r}{2})^2};$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{1}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \left[ \nabla_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{\left( \nabla_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_j H + \nabla_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_i H \right)}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_i H \nabla_j H}{(H - \frac{r}{2})^2} - \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_{ij} H}{(H - \frac{r}{2})} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\left( \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_{n+1} \partial t} (H - \frac{r}{2}) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{n+1}} \right)}{(H - \frac{r}{2})^2} \delta_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \left[ \nabla_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_{ij} H}{(H - \frac{r}{2})} + \frac{\frac{r}{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \delta_{ij} \right], \end{aligned}$$

(in the last passage we have used the homogeneity of  $H$ );

$$0 \geq \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{H - \frac{r}{2}} - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2}{\left(H - \frac{r}{2}\right)^2}.$$

We differentiate equation (2.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{1}{F^2} \sum_{i,j}^n F_{ij} \left( \nabla_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right) + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial X_{\alpha}}{\partial t} \\ (3.14) \quad &= \frac{1}{F^2} \sum_{i,j}^n F_{ij} \left( \nabla_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right) + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, at  $(x_1, t_1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2}{H - \frac{r}{2}} &\geq \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{F^2} \sum_{i,j}^n F_{ij} \left( \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_{ij} H}{H - \frac{r}{2}} - \frac{r}{2} \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \delta_{ij} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \\ (3.15) \quad &= \frac{1}{F^2} \sum_{i,j}^n F_{ij} \left( \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H)}{H - \frac{r}{2}} - \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \delta_{ij} r \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{F} \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} - \tau \frac{r}{F^2} \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}; \end{aligned}$$

here we have used monotonicity and homogeneity of  $F$ .

Applying (2.8) and (2.5), (3.15) becomes

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \geq \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H - \frac{r}{2}} \left( G - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{r}{n} \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{\left(H - \frac{r}{2}\right)} \left( G - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}.$$

Clearly this implies a lower bound for  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$ .

*Step 2.*  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \leq C$ .

Consider the function  $H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$ . Suppose it has a positive maximum at  $(x_2, t_2)$ . We may assume that  $t_2 > 0$ , that  $x_2$  is the south pole and that the local coordinate system is the same as in Step 1. Let

$$\zeta(x, t) = \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, -(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, t)}{H(x, -(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, t)},$$

for  $x \in R^n$  with  $|x| < 1$ . At  $(x_2, t_2)$  we have, for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\nabla_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}}{H} - \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_i H}{H^2}, \\ 0 &\geq \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{1}{H} \left[ \nabla_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_{ij} H}{H} \right], \\ 0 &\leq \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2}{H^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting in (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2}{H} &\leq \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} \\ (3.16) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{F^2} \sum_{i,j}^n F_{ij} \left( \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \nabla_{ij} H}{H} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right) + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{F^2} \sum_{i,j}^n F_{ij} \left( \frac{\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} (\nabla_{ij} H + \delta_{ij} H)}{H} \right) + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{FH} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

at  $(x_2, t_2)$ .

Using equation (2.5), (3.16) becomes

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2}{H} \leq \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \left(G - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right) + \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$$

i.e.

$$2 \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \left(G + H \sum_{\alpha}^{n+1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \nu_{\alpha}\right)$$

which implies an upper bound for  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$ .

*Step 3.* Completion of the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Combining Lemma 3.2, Step 1 and Step 2, we obtain immediately from equation (2.5) that

$$\nabla^2 H + HI \geq C'I.$$

As a result, equation (2.5) is uniformly parabolic by virtue of (2.6). Thus Hölder continuity estimates for  $\nabla^2 H$  and  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$  now follow from the results of Krylov and Safonov [8], [9], and once we have these, estimates for higher derivatives follow from the standard theory of linear uniformly parabolic equations. ■

#### 4. - The existence theorems

Let us consider the functional  $I$  given by (1.2):

$$I(X) = \frac{1}{n} \int_X 1 - \int_{\tilde{X}} G$$

for a closed (connected) hypersurface  $X$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is the bounded component of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus X$ . For a smooth variation vector field on  $X$ , it is known that  $I$  has the following first variation formulas

$$(4.1) \quad \delta I(X)\xi = \int_X (\sigma_1 - G)\langle \xi, \nu \rangle.$$

Therefore, its negative gradient flow is given by (1.3):

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = -(\sigma_1(X) - G(X))\nu$$

$$X(\cdot, 0) = X_0 \text{ given.}$$

Along the gradient flow which solves (1.3),  $I$  satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} I(X(\cdot, t)) = \delta I(X(\cdot, t)) \frac{dX}{dt} = - \int_{X(\cdot, t)} (\sigma_1 - G)^2 \leq 0,$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad I(X(\cdot, 0)) - I(X(\cdot, T)) = \int_0^T \int_{X(\cdot, t)} (\sigma_1 - G)^2.$$

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth function which satisfies  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ . Suppose that  $X_0$  is a convex hypersurface lying inside  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a solution  $X(\cdot, t)$  of (1.3) on a maximal interval  $[0, T^*)$  with  $T^* > 0$  and satisfy the following:*

*Each  $X(\cdot, t)$  is a convex hypersurface lying in  $\Omega$ , and either  $X(\cdot, t)$  shrinks to a point as  $t \rightarrow T^*$  or there exists a sequence  $t_j \rightarrow T^* = \infty$  such that  $\{X(\cdot, t_j)\}$  converges smoothly to a solution of (1.1).*

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X_0$  lies inside  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Let  $H_0$  be the support function of  $X_0$ . A standard argument using the implicit function theorem yields the existence of a unique smooth solution of (2.5) on  $S^n \times [0, T)$  for some small positive  $T$ . Consequently we have the local existence of (1.3). Applying Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we know that the solution  $X(\cdot, t)$  preserves convexity and lies in  $\Omega$ .

From Lemma 3.2, the principal curvatures of  $X(\cdot, t)$  have a lower positive bound for all  $t \in [0, T^*)$ . We claim that  $D(X(\cdot, t))$  (the diameter of  $X(\cdot, t)$ ) and  $r_{in}(X(\cdot, t))$  (the inradius of  $X(\cdot, t)$ ) tend to zero simultaneously if this ever happens. For, if  $X(\cdot, t)$  collapses into a degenerate convex body but not a point as time evolves, there would be some point on  $X$  with arbitrarily small principal curvature along some direction, which contradicts Lemma 3.2. Therefore, we may assume that the inradius  $r_{in}(X(\cdot, t))$  of  $X(\cdot, t)$  has a uniform positive lower bound for all  $t \in [0, T^*)$ .

Let  $H(\cdot, t)$  be the support function of  $X(\cdot, t)$  which solves (2.5). We define the support center of  $X(\cdot, t)$  to be

$$(4.4) \quad z(t) = \int_{S^n} H(x, t)x.$$

Then, it is easy to see that there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $B(z(t), \delta)$  is contained inside  $X(\cdot, t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T^*)$ .

From (4.2), we know that  $I(X(\cdot, t))$  has a lower bound for  $t \in [0, T^*)$  and that it is a nonincreasing function on  $[0, T^*)$ . So we can choose  $t_0 \in (0, T^*)$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad I(X(\cdot, t_0)) - \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} I(X(\cdot, t)) = \int_{t_0}^{T^*} \int_{X(\cdot, t)} (\sigma_1 - G)^2 < \frac{1}{\tau_n} \left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^2$$

where  $\tau_n$  is the area of  $S^n$ .

For  $t$  and  $t'$  in  $[t_0, T^*)$  with  $|t - t'| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z(t) - z(t')| &\leq \left| \int_{t'}^t \int_{S^n} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t'}^t \int_{\left\{ \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{4\tau_n} \right\}} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \right| + \int_{t_0}^{T^*} \int_{\left\{ \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| > \frac{\delta}{4\tau_n} \right\}} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.5), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\tau_n} \left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^2 > \int_{t_0}^{T^*} \int_{\left\{ \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| > \frac{\delta}{4\tau_n} \right\}} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right|^2 \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{4\tau_n}\right) \int_{t_0}^{T^*} \int_{\left\{ \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| > \frac{\delta}{4\tau_n} \right\}} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right|.$$

Thus, we have

$$|z(t) - z(t')| < \left| \int_{t'}^t \int_{\left\{ \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{4\tau_n} \right\}} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \right| + \frac{\delta}{4} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

In other words, the ball  $B\left(z(t), \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$  is contained in  $X(\cdot, t')$  for all  $t', t' \geq t_0$  with  $|t' - t| \leq 1$ . Applying Lemma 3.3, by a standard argument, we can obtain uniform estimates of  $H$  of all orders in  $[t_0, T^*)$ . Then, if  $T^*$  is finite, one can extend  $H$  beyond  $T^*$ , a contradiction to the maximality of  $T^*$ . Hence  $T^*$  must be infinity. In view of the uniform estimates on  $H$  of all orders in  $[t_0, +\infty)$ , and of (4.5), we can choose a sequence  $\{X(\cdot, t_j)\}$ ,  $(t_j \rightarrow +\infty)$ , which converges smoothly to a solution of (1.1). ■

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a smooth function which satisfies  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ . Then there exist at least two convex hypersurfaces solving (1.1) if there is a convex hypersurface  $X$  lying inside  $\Omega$  and satisfying  $I(X) \leq 0$ .*

PROOF. By (4.2) and Theorem 4.1, it is clear that there exists a convex solution  $X_1$  of (1.1) with  $I(X_1) \leq 0$ . Now we want to prove that there exists another solution  $X_2$  with  $I(X_2) > 0$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $X_1 \subset \tilde{\Omega}$  and that  $X_1$  encloses the origin  $O$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We observe that, for any convex hypersurface  $X$ ,

$$I(X) = \frac{1}{n} \int_X 1 - \int_{\tilde{X}} G \geq \frac{1}{n} |X| (\max_{\Omega} G) \text{vol } \tilde{X}$$

where we denote the area of  $X$  by  $|X|$ . Then, by the isoperimetric inequality, we have

$$(4.6) \quad I(X) \geq \frac{1}{n} |X| - c_n (\max_{\Omega} G) |X|^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$$

for some positive constant  $c_n$  depending on  $n$  only.

For fixed  $\alpha > 0$  small enough, by (4.6), we have

$$(4.7) \quad I(X) \geq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{n} - c_n (\max_{\Omega} G) \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) > 0$$

for all convex  $X$  with  $|X| = \alpha$ . It is clear that we may choose  $\rho > 0$  small enough such that the area of the sphere  $S_{\rho} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| = \rho\}$  is less than  $\alpha$  and

$$I(S_{\rho}) < \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n} - c_n (\max_{\Omega} G) \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \right).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the class of all continuous  $\gamma$  is from  $[0, 1]$  to the topological space of all convex hypersurfaces (endowed with the Hausdorff distance) such that  $\gamma(0) = S_{\rho}$  and  $\gamma(1) = X_1$ . Set

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_s I(\gamma(s)) \geq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{n} - c_n (\max_{\Omega} G) \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) > 0$$

We pick a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and we solve (1.3) with initial curve  $\gamma(s)$  to obtain a family of solution  $\gamma(t, s)$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $\gamma(t, s)$  ceases to exist only when  $I(\gamma(t, s))$  tends to zero. Thus we know that  $\gamma(t, s)$  exists as long as  $I(\gamma(t, s)) \geq \frac{c}{2}$ .

We define  $t^*(s) = \sup \left\{ t : I(\gamma(t, s)) \geq \frac{c}{2} \right\}$  (set  $t^*(s)$  to be zero if  $I(\gamma(0, s)) < \frac{c}{2}$ ). Notice that  $t^*$  cannot be continuous, otherwise  $\gamma(t^*(s), s)$  would define a curve in  $\Gamma$ , and this yields a contradiction, to the definition of  $c$ . Let  $s_0$  be a point of discontinuity; we claim that  $t^*(s_0) = \infty$ . Suppose on the contrary there exist  $\{s_j\}$  such that

$$s_j \rightarrow s_0 \text{ and } t^*(s_j) \rightarrow t_1 \neq t^*(s_0).$$



For any  $t < t^*(s_0)$  we have  $I(\gamma(t, s_0)) > \frac{c}{2}$  (otherwise  $t^*(s_0)$  would be infinity). Hence, for large  $j$ ,  $I(\gamma(t, s_j)) > \frac{c}{2}$ , so  $t_1 > t^*(s_0)$ . For any  $t_2$  satisfying  $t_1 > t_2 > t^*(s_0)$  we have  $I(\gamma(t_2, s_0)) < \frac{c}{2}$  (remark that  $\gamma(t^*(s_0), s_0)$  cannot be a critical point otherwise  $t^*(s_0)$  would be infinity). By continuity,  $I(\gamma(t_2, s_j)) < \frac{c}{2}$  for large  $j$ . But this implies  $t^*(s_j) \leq t_2$ , i.e.  $t_1 = \lim_j t^*(s_j) \leq t_2$ , a contradiction. Thus  $t^*(s_0)$  must be infinity.

So, (1.3) with initial hypersurface  $\gamma(0, s_0)$  has a solution  $\gamma(t, s_0)$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  satisfying  $I(\gamma(t, s_0)) \geq \frac{c}{2} > 0$  for all  $t$ . Then, by Theorem 4.1, we get a convex solution  $X_2$  of (1.1) with  $I(X_2) > 0$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] E.F. BECKENBACH - R. BELLMAN, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] L. BAKELMAN - B. KANTOR, *Existence of spherically homeomorphic hypersurfaces in Euclidean space with prescribed mean curvature*, Geometry and Topology, Leningrad (1974), 3-10.
- [3] L. CAFFARELLI - L. NIRENBERG - J. SPRUCK, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, III: Functions of the eigenvalue of the Hessian*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), 261-301.
- [4] L. CAFFARELLI - L. NIRENBERG - J. SPRUCK, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, IV: Starshaped compact Weingarten hypersurfaces*, in *Current topics in partial differential equations*, Ed. by Y. Ohya - K. Kasahara - N. Shimakura, Kinokunize Co., Tokyo, 1986, 1-26.
- [5] K.S. CHOU (TSO), *Deforming a hypersurface by its Gauss-Kroneker curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38** (1985), 867-882.
- [6] K.S. CHOU (TSO), *On the existence of convex hypersurfaces with prescribed mean curvature*, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **16** (1989), 225-243.
- [7] K.S. CHOU (TSO), *Convex hypersurfaces with prescribed Gauss-Kronecher curvature*, J. Differential Geom., **34** (1991), 389-410.
- [8] N.V. KRYLOV, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of second order*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [9] N.V. KRYLOV - M.V. SAFONOV, *A certain property of solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients*, Izv. Akad. Nauk, **40** (1980), 161-175. English transl., Math. USSR-Izv., **16** (1981), 151-164.
- [10] M. MARCUS - L. LOPES, *Inequalities for symmetric functions and Hermitian matrices*. Canad. J. Math., **8** (1956), 524-531.
- [11] A. TREIBERGS - S.W. WEI, *Embedded hypersurfaces with prescribed mean curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. (4), **18** (1983), 513-521.

- [12] J.I.E. URBAS, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geom., **33** (1991), 91-125.
- [13] S.T. YAU, *Problem section*, in Seminar on Differential Geometry, Ed. by S.T. Yau, Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton University Press, (1982), 669-706.

Department of Mathematics  
Zhongshan University  
Guangzhou 510275  
People's Republic of China