

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

MICHELE CAMPITI

**Limit semigroups of Stancu-Mühlbach operators
associated with positive projections**

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 19,
n° 1 (1992), p. 51-67

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1992_4_19_1_51_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Limit Semigroups of Stancu-Mühlbach Operators Associated with Positive Projections*

MICHELE CAMPITI

Introduction

In [2] Altomare has introduced a general definition of the sequence of Bernstein-Schnabl operators associated with a positive projection and has studied the limit behaviour of this sequence and of its iterates; moreover, in the same paper, it is established the existence of a (uniquely determined) positive contraction semigroup which has an explicit representation in terms of the Bernstein-Schnabl operators [2, Theorem 2.6].

In [3], we have introduced the definition of the sequence of Stancu-Mühlbach operators associated with a positive projection in the same general setting of [2] and we have studied the asymptotic behaviour of this sequence and its iterates. These results generalize to a wider context that obtained by Felbecker in [5] in the case of Stancu-Mühlbach operators on the compact convex set $M^1(K)$ of all probability Radon measures on a compact Hausdorff topological space K .

In this paper, we are interested to investigate the existence of a positive contraction semigroup represented by Stancu-Mühlbach operators; also in this case the results that we obtain generalize the case $M^1(K)$ studied in [5] by Felbecker.

Among the properties of this semigroup, we point out that it is mean-ergodic and strongly converges to the initial projection as t tends to ∞ ; moreover, its infinitesimal generator is explicitly determined on a dense subspace of its domain and, in the case of some convex compact subsets X of \mathbb{R}^p , the generator is a degenerate elliptic second order differential operator. As a consequence it is possible to obtain the solutions of the associated abstract Cauchy problems in terms of Stancu-Mühlbach operators.

* Work performed under the auspices of the G.N.A.F.A. and the Ministero Pubblica Istruzione (60%) and supported by I.N.d.A.M.

AMS Classification numbers: 47B55, 47D07, 41A36.

Pervenuto alla Redazione l'1 Dicembre 1990.

1. - Recalls and preliminary results

We need to recall some preliminary results.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach lattice of all real continuous functions on X , endowed with the sup-norm and the natural order.

If $T : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear positive operator and if S is a subset of $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$, we recall that S is called a *T-Korovkin set* if, for every net $(L_i)_{i \in I}^{\leq}$ of linear positive operators on $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{i \in I^{\leq}} L_i(h) = T(h) \quad \text{for every } h \in S,$$

it results

$$\lim_{i \in I^{\leq}} L_i(f) = T(f) \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

If $T : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear positive projection, that is T is a linear positive operator such that $T^2 = T$, we have the following result (cf. [1, Theorem 1.3] ad [2, Prop. 1.2]).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let X be a metrizable compact Hausdorff space and $T : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ a linear positive projection such that $T(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and the range $H = T(\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}))$ separates the points of X . Let $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in H which separates the points of X and such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n^2$ converges uniformly to a function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

Then $H \cup \{\phi\}$ (and in particular $H \cup H^2$) is a T-Korovkin set. ■

REMARK 1.2. As observed in [2], if X is a metrizable compact space and H is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$, H is separable and therefore we may consider a dense sequence $(\ell_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of H ; if we put $h_n = \frac{\ell_n}{\|\ell_n\|^{2^{n/2}}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain a sequence $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H which separates the points of X and such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n^2$ is uniformly convergent on X . ■

At this point, we may recall the definition of the n -th Stancu-Mühlbach operator introduced in [3]; for simplicity, we consider the Stancu-Mühlbach operators associated with the arithmetic mean Toeplitz matrix (cf. [3, (2.13)]) and a sequence of positive real numbers $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let X be a metrizable convex compact subset of some locally convex Hausdorff space and $T : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a linear positive projection; let $H = T(\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}))$ be the range of T .

Denote by $A(X)$ the space of all continuous affine functions on X and suppose that

$$(1.1) \quad A(X) \subset H$$

(hence H separates the points of X and $T(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$), and for every $\bar{x} \in X$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $h \in H$

(1.2) *the function $x \in X \mapsto h((1 - \lambda)\bar{x} + \lambda x)$ belongs to H .*

For every $x \in X$ we shall denote by $\mu_x \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ the probability Radon measure on X defined by putting

(1.3) $\mu_x(f) = T(f)(x)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$; according to [5] and [6] we denote by $p_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the real function defined by putting, for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

(1.4)
$$p_n(a) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + ja);$$

if $k = 1, \dots, n$, we put

(1.5)
$$V(n, k) = \left\{ (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid v_1, \dots, v_k \geq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k v_i = n \right\};$$

for simplicity we write $|v|_k = n$ instead of $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(n, k)$.

If we denote by $s(n, k)$ the coefficient of a^{n-k} of the polynomial $p_n(a)$, we have

(1.6)
$$p_n(a) = \sum_{k=1}^n s(n, k) a^{n-k}$$

and further (cf. [5, (1.1.8), pp. 14-16] and [4, II, pp. 49-50])

(1.7)
$$s(n, k) = \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{1}{v_1 \dots v_k},$$

(1.8)
$$p_{n+1}(a) = p_2(a) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} a^{n-k} \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{v_1^2 + \dots + v_k^2}{v_1 \dots v_k}.$$

Finally, for each $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(n, k)$ we consider the function $\pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k} : X^k \rightarrow X$ defined by putting, for each $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$,

(1.9)
$$\pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_k x_k}{n}.$$

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers; for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, the n -th Stancu-Mühlbach operator $Q_{n, a_n} : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to

the projection T , is defined by putting, for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in X$,

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{n, a_n}(f)(x) &= \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{k!} a_n^{n-k} \sum_{|v|=n} \frac{1}{v_1 \dots v_k} \int_{X^k} f \circ \pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k} d \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mu_{x, i} \right) \\ &\left(= \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{k!} a_n^{n-k} \sum_{|v|=n} \frac{1}{v_1 \dots v_k} \int_X \dots \int_X f \left(\frac{v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_k x_k}{n} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{x, i} = \mu_x$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$.

If $a_n = 0$ the n -th Stancu-Mühlbach operator coincides with the n -th Bernstein-Schnabl operator (cf. [2, (2.4)]).

The iterates of the Stancu-Mühlbach operators are defined by putting

$$(1.11) \quad Q_{n, a_n}^0 = I \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{n, a_n}^m = Q_{n, a_n} \circ Q_{n, a_n}^{m-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, m \geq 1.$$

By utilizing (1.6-8), we have the following formulas, established in [3, (2.15-19)]; for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and for each $h \in H$

$$(1.12) \quad Q_{n, a_n}(h) = h;$$

moreover, if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$ and $h \in A(X)$

$$(1.13) \quad Q_{n, a_n}^m(h^2) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{1+a_n} \right)^m h^2 + \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{1+a_n} \right)^m \right) T(h^2).$$

2. - Limit semigroup of Stancu-Mühlbach operators

Suppose that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers.

In order to study some convergence properties in the case where the sequence $(na_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a real number b , we assume the following notations; for every $m \geq 1$, we put

$A_m =$ the linear subspace generated by

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m h_i \mid h_i \in A(X), i = 1, \dots, m \right\};$$

$(A_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of linear subspaces of $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and further, the subspace

$$(2.2) \quad A_\infty = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ which separates the points of X and so is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ by Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

Moreover, we consider the linear operator $L_0 : A_\infty \rightarrow A_\infty$ defined by putting, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in A(X)$,

$$(2.3) \quad L_0 \left(\prod_{i=1}^m h_i \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & m = 1 \\ T(h_1 h_2) - h_1 h_2 & m = 2 \\ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (T(h_i h_j) - h_i h_j) \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^m h_r & m \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is contained in [5, (3.5.3), (3.5.4)], but for the sake of completeness, we prefer to state the proof.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, and for each $\ell \geq 1$ put*

$$(2.4) \quad N(\ell) = \{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^\ell \mid i_r \neq i_s \text{ for } r \neq s\}.$$

If $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(n, k)$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} = n^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell)$$

with

$$|U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell)| \leq u_{1\ell} n^{\ell-2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^3 + u_{2\ell} n^{\ell-3} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2$$

and where $u_{1\ell}$ and $u_{2\ell}$ are real constants depending on ℓ .

Further, for each $\ell \geq 2$, it results

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_\ell} = n^\ell n^{\ell-2} \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + W_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell)$$

with

$$|W_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell)| \leq w_{1\ell} n^{\ell-3} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^3 + w_{2\ell} n^{\ell-4} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2$$

and where $w_{1\ell}$ and $w_{2\ell}$ are real constants depending on ℓ .

PROOF. If $\ell = 1$, (2.5) holds with $u_{11} = u_{12} = 0$.

By induction, if (2.5) holds for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} - \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell+1}) \in N(\ell+1)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{\ell+1}} \\
&= n \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} - \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, \dots, i_\ell}}^k v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} v_i \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} \left(n - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, \dots, i_\ell}}^k v_i \right) v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^3 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} + (\ell - 1) \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2 v_{i_3} \dots v_{i_\ell}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell+1}) \in N(\ell+1)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{\ell+1}} = n \left(n^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell) \right) \\
& - \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^3 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} - (\ell - 1) \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2 v_{i_3} \dots v_{i_\ell} \\
&= n^\ell \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
|U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell + 1)| &\leq n \left(u_{1\ell} n^{\ell-2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^3 + u_{2\ell} n^{\ell-3} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2 \right) \\
&+ n^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^3 + (\ell - 1) n^{\ell-2} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (2.5) holds for $\ell + 1$ with $u_{1, \ell+1} = u_{1\ell} + 1$ and $u_{2, \ell+1} = u_{2\ell} + \ell - 1$.

Now, if $\ell = 1$, (2.6) holds with $w_{11} = w_{12} = 0$. By induction, if (2.6) holds

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} - \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell+1}) \in N(\ell+1)} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{\ell+1}} \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} \left(n - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, \dots, i_\ell}}^k v_i \right) v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} (v_{i_1} + v_{i_2} + \dots + v_{i_\ell}) v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} = \ell \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence (cf. (2.5))

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell+1}) \in N(\ell+1)} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{\ell+1}} \\
&= n \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} - \ell \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in N(\ell)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_\ell} \\
&= n \left(n^\ell - n^{\ell-2} \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + W_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell) \right) \\
&\quad - \ell \left(n^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell) \right) \\
&= n^{\ell+1} - n^{\ell-1} \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + W_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell+1)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
|W_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; \ell+1)| &\leq n \left(w_{1\ell} n^{\ell-3} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^3 + w_{2\ell} n^{\ell-4} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2 \right) \\
&+ \ell \left(u_{1\ell} n^{\ell-2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^3 + u_{2\ell} n^{\ell-3} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then (2.6) holds for $\ell+1$ with $w_{1, \ell+1} = w_{1\ell} + \ell u_{1\ell}$ and $w_{2, \ell+1} = w_{2\ell} + \ell u_{2\ell}$ and this completes the proof. \blacksquare

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose that conditions (1.1) and (1.2) are satisfied and suppose that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that the sequence $(n \cdot a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $b \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Then for every $f \in A_\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot (Q_{n, a_n}(f) - f) = (1 + b) \cdot L_0(f) \quad \text{uniformly on } X.$$

PROOF. We utilize the same arguments of [5, pp. 85-94].

Let $f \in A_\infty$ and let $m \geq 1$ and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in A(X)$ such that $f = \prod_{j=1}^m h_j$; for every $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$, it results (cf. (2.4))

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \prod_{j=1}^m h_j \circ \pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i h_j(x_i) = \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{i_1=1}^k \dots \sum_{i_m=1}^k v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_m} h_1(x_{i_1}) \dots h_m(x_{i_m}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^m} \left(\sum_{i \in N(1)} v_i^m h_1 \dots h_m(x_i) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-1} v_{i_2} h_1 \dots h_{m-1}(x_{i_1}) h_m(x_{i_2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-1} v_{i_2} h_1 \dots h_{m-2} h_m(x_{i_1}) h_{m-1}(x_{i_2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-1} v_{i_2} h_2 \dots h_m(x_{i_1}) h_1(x_{i_2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-2} v_{i_2}^2 h_1 \dots h_{m-2}(x_{i_1}) h_{m-1} h_m(x_{i_2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-2} v_{i_2}^2 h_3 \dots h_m(x_{i_1}) h_1 h_2(x_{i_2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in N(m-1)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{m-1}} h_1 h_2(x_{i_1}) h_3(x_{i_2}) \dots h_m(x_{i_{m-1}}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in N(m-1)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{m-1}} h_{m-1} h_m(x_{i_1}) h_1(x_{i_2}) \dots h_{m-2}(x_{i_{m-1}}) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in N(m)} v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_m} h_1(x_{i_1}) \dots h_m(x_{i_m}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, for each $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X^k} f \circ \pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k} d \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mu_{x, i} \right) = \int_X d\mu_x \dots \int_X f \circ \pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k} d\mu_x \\
&= \frac{1}{n^m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k v_i^m T(h_1 \dots h_m)(x) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-1} v_{i_2} T(h_1 \dots h_{m-1})(x) T(h_m)(x) \\
&+ \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-1} v_{i_2} T(h_1 \dots h_{m-2} h_m)(x) T(h_{m-1})(x) + \dots \\
&+ \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-1} v_{i_2} T(h_2 \dots h_m)(x) T(h_1)(x) \\
&+ \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-2} v_{i_2}^2 h_1 \dots h_{m-2}(x_{i_1}) h_{m-1} h_m(x_{i_2}) + \dots \\
&+ \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in N(2)} v_{i_1}^{m-2} v_{i_2}^2 h_3 \dots h_m(x_{i_1}) h_1 h_2(x_{i_2}) + \dots \\
&+ \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}) \in N(m-1)} v_{i_1}^2 v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{m-1}} \right) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} T(h_i h_j)(x) \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^m T(h_r)(x) \\
&+ \left. \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in N(m)} v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_m} \right) T(h_1)(x) \dots T(h_m)(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By utilizing (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X^k} f \circ \pi_{v_1, \dots, v_k} d \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mu_{x, i} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k v_i^m T(h_1 \dots h_m)(x) + \dots \right. \\
&+ \left. \left(n^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 + U_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; m-1) \right) \right. \\
&\cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} T(h_i h_j)(x) \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^m T(h_r)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(n^m - n^{m-2} \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 \right. \\
& \left. + W_n(v_1, \dots, v_k; m) \right) T(h_1)(x) \dots T(h_m)(x) \\
& = \left(h_1 \dots h_m + \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2 \right) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (T(h_i h_j) - h_i h_j)(x) \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^m h_r(x) \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{s(m)} R_i(v_1, \dots, v_k) B_i(h_1 \dots h_m)(x) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $s(m)$ is a natural number depending on m and for each $i = 1, \dots, s(m)$,

$$|R_i(v_1, \dots, v_k)| \leq \frac{1}{n^3} c_i \sum_{j=1}^k v_j^3 + n^{-4} d_i \sum_{j \in N(2)} v_{j_1}^2 v_{j_2}^2$$

(c_i and d_i are real constants depending on i) and $B_i(h_1 \dots h_m)$ belongs to the linear subspace generated by

$$\{h_1 \dots h_m, T(h_1 h_2) h_3 \dots h_m, \dots, T(h_1 h_2 h_3) h_4 \dots h_m, \dots, T(h_1 \dots h_m)\}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$; by (2.3), (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad Q_{n, a_n}(f) &= \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} a_n^{n-k} \\
&\cdot \left(\sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{n}{v_1 \dots v_k} h_1 \dots h_m + \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{v_1^2 + \dots + v_k^2}{v_1 \dots v_k} \frac{1}{n} L_0(h_1 \dots h_m) \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{n}{v_1 \dots v_k} \sum_{i=1}^{s(m)} R_i(v_1, \dots, v_k) B_i(h_1 \dots h_m) \right) \\
&= h_1 \dots h_m + \frac{1}{n} \frac{1 + n a_n}{1 + a_n} L_0(h_1 \dots h_m) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} a_n^{n-k} \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{n}{v_1 \dots v_k} \sum_{i=1}^{s(m)} \\
&\quad R_i(v_1, \dots, v_k) B_i(h_1 \dots h_m).
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.7-9), (2.7) and by the formulas

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{v_1^3 + \dots + v_k^3}{v_1 \dots v_k} a_n^{n-k} = (1 + 2na_n) \frac{p_{n+1}(a_n)}{p_3(a_n)},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{1}{v_1 \dots v_k} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k v_i^2 v_j^2 a_n^{n-k} = (n-1) \frac{p_{n+2}(a_n)}{p_4(a_n)}$$

(with the convention $\sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k v_i^2 v_j^2 = 0$ if $k = 1$) established in [5, (1.1.3- 4) and (1.1.11-12)], we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|n(Q_{n,a_n}(f) - f) - (1+b)L_0(f)\| \\ & \leq \left\| n(Q_{n,a_n}(f) - f) - \frac{1+na_n}{1+a_n} L_0(f) \right\| + \left| \frac{1+na_n}{1+a_n} - (1+b) \right| \|L_0(f)\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s(m)} \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} a_n^{n-k} \left(\frac{1}{n} c_i \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{v_1^3 + \dots + v_k^3}{v_1 \dots v_k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{n^2} d_i \sum_{|v|_k=n} \frac{1}{v_1 \dots v_k} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k v_i^2 v_j^2 a_n^{n-k} \right) \|B_i(h_1 \dots h_m)\| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1+na_n}{1+a_n} - (1+b) \right| \|L_0(h_1 \dots h_m)\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s(m)} \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \left(\frac{1}{n} c_i (1+2na_n) \frac{p_{n+1}(a_n)}{p_3(a_n)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{n^2} d_i (n-1) \frac{p_{n+2}(a_n)}{p_4(a_n)} \right) \|B_i(h_1 \dots h_m)\| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1+na_n}{1+a_n} - (1+b) \right| \|L_0(h_1 \dots h_m)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{s(m)} \left(c_i \frac{(1+2na_n)(1+na_n)}{(1+a_n)(1+2a_n)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + d_i \frac{(n-1)(1+na_n)(1+(n+1)a_n)}{n(1+a_n)(1+2a_n)(1+3a_n)} \right) \|B_i(h_1 \dots h_m)\| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1+na_n}{1+a_n} - (1+b) \right| \|L_0(h_1 \dots h_m)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot a_n = b \in \mathbb{R}$, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|n(Q_{n,a_n}(f) - f) - (1+b)L_0(f)\| = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK 2.3. In the case $X = M^1(K)$, Theorem 2.2 has been obtained by Felbecker [5, (3.5.2)]; if $a_n = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$, Theorem 2.2 has been proved by Schnabl [12] in the case $X = M^1(K)$ and Altomare [2] in the general context.

Moreover, as observed in [5, (3.5.5)], if X is the compact real interval $[0, 1]$, the space A_∞ is just the space $\mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ of all polynomials on $[0, 1]$ and the operator $L_0 : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ is defined by putting $L_0(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}x(1-x)f''(x)$ for each polynomial f and $x \in [0, 1]$; then Theorem 2.2 and (1-3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (x + ja_n) \prod_{j=0}^{n-k-1} (1-x + ja_n)}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + ja_n)} f(x) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(Q_{n,a_n}(f) - f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1+b)x(1-x)f''(x) \end{aligned}$$

for each polynomial f and $x \in [0, 1]$.

In the case $a_n = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$, the preceding formula has been obtained by Voronovskaja (cf. [8, p. 22]). \blacksquare

Now we want to study the asymptotic behaviour of the sequence $(Q_{n,a_n}^{k(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the case where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n)}{n} = t > 0$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose that conditions (1.1) and (1.2) are satisfied and suppose that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that the sequence $(n \cdot a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $b \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Consider the sequence $(Q_{n,a_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Stancu-Mühlbach operators associated with T (cf. (1.10)) and suppose that

$$(i) \quad T(A_2) \subset A(X)$$

or, alternatively,

$$(i)' \quad A(X) \text{ is finite dimensional and } T(A_m) \subset A_m \text{ for every } m \geq 1.$$

Then there exists a strongly continuous positive contraction semigroup $(Q(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$ and for every sequence $(k(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n)}{n} = t$, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n,a_n}^{k(n)} = Q(t) \quad \text{strongly on } \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t) = T \quad \text{strongly on } \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

and the generator of the semigroup $(Q(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is the closure of the linear operator $A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by putting

$$(2.10) \quad A(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(Q_{n, a_n}(f) - f)$$

for every $f \in D(A)$, where

$$D(A) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(Q_{n, a_n}(f) - f) \text{ exists in } \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

Finally $A_\infty \subset D(A)$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$ and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in A(X)$, it results (cf. (2.3))

$$(2.11) \quad A \left(\prod_{i=1}^m h_i \right) = (1 + b) \cdot L_0 \left(\prod_{i=1}^m h_i \right).$$

PROOF. Let $A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the linear operator defined in (2.10). By Theorem 2.2, we have $A_\infty \subset D(A)$ and therefore $D(A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Suppose that condition (i) holds. We show that for every $\lambda > 0$ the range $R(\lambda I - A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$, where I denotes the identity operator on $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$. In fact, fix $\lambda > 0$ and consider $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})'$ such that $\mu(g) = 0$ for every $g \in R(\lambda I - A)$, i.e. $\mu(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(A(f))$ for every $f \in D(A)$. So, for every $f \in A_1$, we have (cf. Theorem 2.2 and (2.3)) $\mu(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(A(f)) = 0$. Moreover, according to Theorem 2.2 and (2.3), for every $f \in A_2$ we have $\mu(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(A(f)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(T(f)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(f)$ and so again $\mu(f) = 0$.

Suppose now that $\mu = 0$ on A_m with $m \geq 2$ and let $f = \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} h_i$, with $h_i \in A(X)$, for every $i = 1, \dots, m+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu(A(f)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} T(h_i h_j) \prod_{r \neq i, j} h_r - \binom{m+1}{2} f \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \mu(f) \end{aligned}$$

since $T(h_i h_j) \prod_{r \neq i, j} h_r \in A_m$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, m+1$, by virtue of (i). Consequently $\mu(f) = 0$. This implies that $\mu = 0$ on A_{m+1} ; hence by induction on m , we have $\mu = 0$ on A_∞ and so $\mu = 0$.

Thus, we have proved that $R(\lambda I - A)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ for every $\lambda > 0$. Using a theorem of Trotter [14, Theorem 5.3], we infer that the closure of A is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup $(Q(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and

$$Q(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n, a_n}^{[nt]} \quad \text{strongly on } \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $[nt]$ denotes the integer part of nt .

In particular, every $Q(t)$ is positive. Consider now a sequence $(k(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n)}{n} = t \geq 0$. Then for every

$$f \in A_\infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(n)(Q_{n, a_n}(f) - f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n)}{n} n(Q_{n, a_n}(f) - f) = t \cdot A(f).$$

Again according to Trotter's theorem, the closure of tA is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup $(S(u))_{u \geq 0}$ of contractions and for every $u \geq 0$

$$S(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n, a_n}^{[k(n)u]} \quad \text{strongly on } \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Since the closure of tA is also generated by $(Q(tu))_{u \geq 0}$, we conclude that $S(u) = Q(tu)$ for all $u \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$ and so

$$Q(t) = S(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n, a_n}^{k(n)} \quad \text{strongly on } \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

If, alternatively, condition (i)' is satisfied, then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, A_m is finite dimensional and, by virtue of (2.7), it is invariant under Q_{n, a_n} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, the existence of the semigroup $(Q(t))_{t \geq 0}$ which satisfies the properties indicated in Theorem 2.4, directly follows from a result of Schnabl [13, Satz 4] (see also a result of Nishishiraho [10, Theorem 1]).

Let $t \geq 0$; since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[nt]}{n} = t$, for each $h \in H$, we have (cf. (1.12))

$$Q(t)(h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n, a_n}^{[nt]}(h) = h = T(h)$$

and for each $h \in A(X)$ (cf. (1.13))

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t)(h^2) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n, a_n}^{[nt]}(h^2) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n-1}{n(1+a_n)} \right)^{[nt]} h^2 + \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n(1+a_n)} \right)^{[nt]} \right) T(h^2) \\ &= T(h^2) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{1+a_n} \right)^{[nt]} (h^2 - T(h^2)) \\ &= T(h^2) + e^{-t(1+b)}(h^2 - T(h^2)); \end{aligned}$$

hence for each $h \in H$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t)(h) = T(h)$ and for each $h \in A(X)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t)(h^2) = T(h^2);$$

by Remark 1.2, we may consider a sequence $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A(X)$ which separates the points of X and such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n^2$ converges uniformly to a function ϕ ; since $Q(t)$ is a contraction for every $t \geq 0$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t)(\phi) = T(\phi)$ and by Theorem 1.1, we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t) = T$ strongly on $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Finally, for each $f \in A_{\infty}$ and $t \geq 0$, by (2.10) and Theorem 2.2, we have $A(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot (Q_{n,a_n}(f) - f) = (1+b) \cdot L_0(f)$ and this completes the proof. ■

REMARK 2.5.

1. In the context of metrizable Bauer simplexes (cf. Ex. 1.) clearly condition (i) of Theorem 2.4 (and also condition (i)') is satisfied.

2. In the case $X = M^1(K)$, Theorem 2.4 has been obtained by Felbecker [5]; further, Theorem 2.4 has been proved for Bernstein-Schnabl polynomials by Altomare in [2] in the general case and by Nishishiraho in [10, pp. 79-80], in the context of metrizable Bauer simplexes (see also Schnabl [12], [13]). For the classical Bernstein operators on $[0, 1]$, Theorem 2.4 is substantially known (cf. Karlin-Ziegler [7] and Micchelli [9]). In these articles a detailed analysis of the properties of the semigroup $(Q(t))_{t \geq 0}$ can be found.

3. Other results on the convergence of iterates of positive operators to semigroups can be found in [5] and [11]. ■

Finally we give an application of Theorem 2.4 in the case where $X = B(x_0, r)$ is the ball in \mathbb{R}^p ($p \geq 1$) of center x_0 and radius r (other examples may be obtained in a similar manner in the case where X is the standard simplex of \mathbb{R}^p or the hypercube of \mathbb{R}^p (cf. [2, 3.1-2] and [3, ex. 1-2])). In this case, the n -th Stancu-Mühlbach operator Q_{n,a_n} associated with the arithmetic mean Toeplitz matrix is defined by putting, for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in X$ (cf. [3, 2., ex. 2.] and [3, (2.13)])

$$Q_{n,a_n}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p_n(a_n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{k!} a_n^{n-k} \left(\frac{r^2 - \|x_0 - x\|^2}{r \sigma_p} \right)^k \sum_{|v|=n} \frac{1}{v_1 \dots v_k} \\ \cdot \int_{\partial X} \dots \int_{\partial X} \frac{f\left(\frac{v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_k x_k}{n}\right)}{\|x_1 - x\|^p \dots \|x_k - x\|^p} d\sigma(x_1) \dots d\sigma(x_k) & \text{if } \|x - x_0\| < r, \\ f(x) & \text{if } \|x - x_0\| = r, \end{cases}$$

where σ_p denotes the surface area of the unit sphere and σ is the surface measure on the boundary ∂X of X .

Moreover, the positive projection $T : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by putting for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in X$ (cf. [2, (3.7)])

$$T(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 - \|x_0 - x\|^2}{r \sigma_p} \int_{\partial X} \frac{f(z)}{\|z - x\|^p} d\sigma(z) & \text{if } \|x - x_0\| < r, \\ f(x) & \text{if } \|x - x_0\| = r; \end{cases}$$

for every $i, j = 1, \dots, p$, it results (cf. [2, (3.8)])

$$T(pr_i pr_j) = \begin{cases} pr_i pr_j & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \frac{1}{p} \left(r^2 - \sum_{\lambda \neq i} (pr_\lambda - pr_\lambda(x_0))^2 + (p-1)(pr_i - pr_i(x_0))^2 \right) \\ + 2pr_i(x_0) pr_i - pr_i^2(x_0) & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

and therefore the projection T satisfies the condition (i)' of Theorem 2.4 (cf. [2, (3.8)]).

If A denotes the operator defined by (2.10), then, by the preceding formula and (2.11), we may easily deduce that the operator A agrees on A_∞ with the degenerate elliptic second order differential operator

$$W(f)(x) = (1+b) \frac{r^2 - \|x - x_0\|^2}{2p} \Delta f(x),$$

and therefore, the function

$$u(t, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Q_n^{[nt]}(u_0))(x) \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in X,$$

is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = C u(t, x) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad x \in X, \quad u_0 \in D(C),$$

where C is the closure of W . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] F. ALTOMARE, *Teoremi di approssimazione di tipo Korovkin in spazi di funzioni*, Rend. Mat., (6), **13** (1980), no. 3, 409-429.
- [2] F. ALTOMARE, *Limit Semigroups of Bernstein-Schnabl operators associated with positive projections*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., Serie IV, (16) **2** (1989), 259-279.

- [3] M. CAMPITI, *A generalization of Stancu-Mühlbach operators*, Constr. Approx. **7** (1991), 1-18.
- [4] L. COMTET, *Analyse combinatoire II*, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1970.
- [5] G. FELBECKER, *Approximation und Interpolation auf Räumen Radonscher Wahrscheinlichkeitsmaße*, Dissertation, Bochum, 1972.
- [6] G. FELBECKER, *Über Verallgemeinerte Stancu-Mühlbach-Operatoren*, Numer. Anal. **53** (1973), 188-189.
- [7] S. KARLIN – Z. ZIEGLER, *Iteration of positive approximation operators*, J. Approx. Theory **3** (1970), 310-339.
- [8] G.G. LORENTZ, *Bernstein polynomials*, University Press, Toronto, 1953.
- [9] C.A. MICCHELLI, *The saturation class and iterates of the Bernstein polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, **8** (1973), 1-18.
- [10] T. NISHISHIRAO, *Saturation of bounded linear operators*, Tôhoku Math. J. **30** (1978), 69-81.
- [11] T. NISHISHIRAO, *The convergence and saturation of iterations of positive linear operators*, Math. Z. **194**, 397-404 (1987).
- [12] R. SCHNABL, *Zum Saturationsproblem der verallgemeinerten Bernsteinoperatoren*, Proc. Conf. on “Abstract spaces and approximation” held at Oberwolfach, July 18-27, 1968, edited by P.L. Butzer and B.Sz.-Nagy, Birkhäuser Basel, 1969, 281-289.
- [13] R. SCHNABL, *Über gleichmäßige Approximation durch positive lineare Operatoren*, Constructive theory of functions (Proc. Internat. Conf. Varna, 1970) 287-296, Izdat. Bolgar. Akad. Nauk Sofia, 1972.
- [14] H.F. TROTTER, *Approximation of semi-groups of operators*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 887-919.

Università di Bari
Dipartimento di Matematica
Campus Universitario
Via E. Orabona, 4
70125 Bari