ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

LUCIA BERETTA
ALBERTO TOGNOLI

Some basic facts in algebraic geometry on a non algebraically closed field

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4e série, tome 3, nº 2 (1976), p. 341-359

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1976_4_3_2_341_0>

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Some Basic Facts in Algebraic Geometry on a non Algebraically Closed Field. (*)

LUCIA BERETTA - ALBERTO TOGNOLI (**)

Introduction.

Some aspects of algebraic geometry on R are studied in [1]. One of the most useful tools to study algebraic (and analytic) geometry on R is the concept of complexification. In this work we introduce a generalization of the complexification which is called completion and is well defined for any field K. One of the diseagreable facts in algebraic geometry on R is that theorem B is false.

In [5] Lucia Beretta proves the following theorem: the functor Γ of the global sections is exact in the category of O_V A-coherent (***) modules if (V, O_V) is an affine variety on R.

Clearly this theorem gives the good condition that replaces the theorem B and makes it possible to work using sheaf theory.

In this work we prove that the functor Γ is exact on the category of O_V A-coherent modules where (V, O_V) is an affine variety on K and K is any field.

1. – Regular functions on an affine reduced variety.

Let K be a field, subfield of the field $\hat{K}$; in the following K^n is considered embedded in $\hat{K}^n$.

The algebraic closure of K shall be noted by $\bar{K}$.

DEFINITION 1. Let V be an affine variety of K^n, we shall call *closure* (or *completion*) of V in $\hat{K}$ the intersection $\hat{V}$ of all closed sets of $\hat{K}^n$ that contain V.

(*) Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del G.N.S.A.G.A. del C.N.R.

(**) Università della Calabria.

(***) An O_V module is called A-coherent if there exists an exact sequence $O_V^n \to O_V^p \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 27 Gennaio 1975.

REMARK 1. *The completion $\hat{V}$ of V depends on the embedding and if $K = \boldsymbol{R}$, $\hat{K} = \boldsymbol{C}$, $\hat{V}$ is the usual complexification of V.*

LEMMA 1. *Let K be an infinite field and $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. If $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in K^n$ then $P \equiv 0$.*

PROOF. If $n = 1$ the result is clear.

Suppose the lemma is proved for $n-1$ and let:

$$P(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = X_n^s \alpha_s(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}) + \ldots + \alpha_0(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}) .$$

For any $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in K^{n-1}$ and $x_n \in K$ we have: $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ then, by induction $\alpha_i(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}) \equiv 0$ and the lemma is proved.

Let K be a subfield of $\hat{K}$ and let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of $\hat{K}$ as K module. We shall suppose $1_k = \alpha_{i_1} \in \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$.

If $P = \sum a_i x^i \in \hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ (we use the multiindex) we have:

$$a_i = \sum_j b_j^i \alpha_j , \qquad b_j^i \in K$$

$$P(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i = \sum_i \Big(\sum_j b_j^i \alpha_j \Big) x^i = \sum_j \alpha_j \sum_i b_j^i x^i = \sum_j \alpha_j P_j(x) \tag{1}$$

where

$$P_j(x) = \sum_i b_j^i x^i \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n] .$$

DEFINITION 2. Let, as before, $P \in \hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of $\hat{K}$ on K then the $P_j(x)$ of (1) are called the *components* of P in the basis $\{\alpha_j\}$.

$P_{i_1}(x)$ is called the *K-component* of P.

REMARK 2. *Let $P \in \hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $x \in K^n$ then if $P(x) \in K$ we have $P(x) = P_{i_1}(x)$.*

By definition it results that $P(x) = P_{i_1}(x) + \sum_{i \neq i_1} P_i(x) \alpha_i$ but $P_i(x) \in K$ and $\{\alpha_i\}$ is a basis hence $\sum_{i \neq i_1} \alpha_i P_i(x) = 0$.

In particular we have $x \in K^n$ and $P(x) = 0 \Rightarrow P_i(x) = 0, \ \forall i \in I$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\hat{K}$ be a field and K a subfield, $V \subset K^n$ an affine variety and $\hat{V}$ the completion in $\hat{K}$.*

Let I_V, $I_{\hat{V}}$ be the ideals of the elements of $K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $\hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ which are zero on V, $\hat{V}$.

We have: $I_{\hat{V}}$ is generated as $\hat{K}$ module by I_V hence $\hat{V} \cap K^n = V$.

PROOF. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_q \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be generators of I_V. Any P_i defines an element $\hat{P}_i$ of $\hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $\{x \in \hat{K}^n | \hat{P}_i(x) = 0\}$ contains V hence $\hat{P}_i \in I_{\hat{V}}$.

So we have proved: $I_{\hat{V}} \supset$ (ideal generated by P_i).

Let now $R \in I_{\hat{V}}$ and $R = \sum_i \alpha_i R_i$ be a decomposition of R associated to a basis $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$. By remark 2 any R_i is an element of I_V hence: $I_{\hat{V}} =$ (ideal generated by P_i).

REMARK 3. *Lemma 2 is equivalent to the following relation*: $I_{\hat{V}} \simeq I_V \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K}$ *and shows that* $\hat{K}^n$ *induces on* K^n *its own topology.*

LEMMA 3. *Let* $\hat{K}$ *be a field and* K *a subfield. Let* $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i$ *be an affine variety of* K^n *and* V_i *the irreducible components. If* $\hat{V} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \hat{V}_i$ *is the completion of* V *in* $\hat{K}$ *and* $\hat{V}_i$ *are the irreducible components of* $\hat{V}$ *we have*: $q = s$ *and* $\hat{V}_i$ *is the completion of* V_i.

PROOF. If $\tilde{V}_i$ is the completion of V_i clearly $\bigcup_{i=1}^{q} \tilde{V}_i$ is the completion of V. We must prove that $\tilde{V}_i$ is irreducible on $\hat{K}$ and $\tilde{V}_i \not\subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} \tilde{V}_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$.

If $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{V}_i' \cup \tilde{V}_i''$ is reducible we may suppose $\tilde{V}_i' \supset V_i$, $\tilde{V}_i' \not\supset \tilde{V}_i''$ (V_i is irreducible) and this is impossible because of the minimality of $\tilde{V}_i$.

We have proved that the $\tilde{V}_i$ are irreducible on $\hat{K}$.

If $\tilde{V}_i \subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} \tilde{V}_j$ then there exists $\bar{j}$ such that $\tilde{V}_{\bar{j}} \supset \tilde{V}_i$ ($\tilde{V}_i$ is irreducible) in this case $V_{\bar{j}} \supset V_i$ but this is impossible. The lemma is proved.

DEFINITION 3. Let $\hat{V} \subset \hat{K}^n$ be an affine variety, we shall say that $\hat{V}$ *is defined on the subfield* K *if the ideal* $I_{\hat{V}} \subset \hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is generated by $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$.

LEMMA 4. *Let* $V \subset K^n$ *be an affine variety and* $\hat{V}$ *the completion in* $\hat{K}$. *The variety* $\hat{V}$ *is the intersection of a finite number of hypersurfaces defined on* K.

PROOF. Let $P_1 = \ldots = P_s = 0$ be a system of generators of $I_{\hat{V}}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ a basis of $\hat{K}$ on K.

In this hypothesis the components P_i^j of the P_i generate $I_{\hat{V}}$ and we have:

$$\hat{V} = \bigcap_{i,j} \{P_i^j(x) = 0\}.$$

The lemma is proved.

DEFINITION 4. Let $V \subset K^n$ be an affine variety, $U \subset V$ an open set and $f\colon U \to K$ a function. f is called *regular* in $x_0 \in U$ iff there exists an open set $U' \ni x_0$ such that $f_{|U'} = P/Q$, $P, Q \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $Q(x) \neq 0$, if $x \in U'$. f is called *regular* if it is regular at any point.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $V \subset K^n$ be an affine variety and $\hat{V} \subset \hat{K}^n$ the completion of V in $\hat{K}$, $\hat{K} \supset K$.*

Any regular function $f\colon V \to K$ is the restriction of a regular function $\hat{f}\colon (\hat{V} - \hat{S}) \to \hat{K}$, where $\hat{S}$ is an affine subvariety of $\hat{V}$ defined on K, such that: $\hat{S} \cap V = \emptyset$.

Two extensions $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{f}'$ of f coincide where both are defined and the extension $\hat{f}$ is defined on K (i.d. *locally $\hat{f}$ is the restriction of a regular element of $K(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$*).

PROOF. For any $x_0 \in V$ there exists a neighbourhood U_{x_0} in V and P, $Q \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $f_{|U_{x_0}} = (P/Q)_{|U_{x_0}}$, $Q(x) \neq 0$, $x \in U_{x_0}$. Let $\hat{P}$, $\hat{Q}$ ... be the elements of $\hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ defined by P, Q ....

We can construct a covering $\{\hat{U}_{x_i}\}_{i=1\ldots s}$ of V using open sets $\hat{U}_{x_i} = \hat{V} - \{\hat{Q}_i = 0\}$ of $\hat{V}$ such that on any $\hat{U}_{x_i}$ an extension $\hat{P}_i/\hat{Q}_i$ of f is defined.

Now we prove the proposition in the case: V is irreducible.

We have $\hat{P}_i\hat{Q}_k - \hat{P}_k\hat{Q}_{i|_V} \equiv 0$, $\forall i$, k then $\hat{P}_i\hat{Q}_k - \hat{P}_k\hat{Q}_{i|_{\hat{V}}} \equiv 0$ and the rational functions $\hat{P}_i/\hat{Q}_i$ define a regular function $\hat{f}\colon (\hat{V} - \hat{S}) \to \hat{K}$, where $\hat{S} = \cap \{\hat{Q}_i = 0\}$. In the general case let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{a} V_i$, $\hat{V} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{a} \hat{V}_i$ be the decomposition of V, $\hat{V}$ into irreducible components (see lemma 3).

We remark that if U is open in $\hat{V}$ and $U \cap \hat{V}_i \neq \emptyset$ then $U \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ ($\hat{S} = \hat{V} - U$ is closed, hence if $\hat{S}$ contains V_i, contains also $\hat{V}_i$). If $\hat{U}_{x_i}$ and $\hat{U}_{x_k}$ intersect $\hat{V}_j$ then they intersect V_j hence $(\hat{P}_i/\hat{Q}_i)|_{\tilde{V}_j}$ and $(\hat{P}_k/\hat{Q}_k)|_{\tilde{V}_j}$ are extensions of $f_{|V_i}$ and hence coincide. So we have proved the first part of the proposition.

The unicity of f is proved in the first part of the proposition.

REMARK 4. *Proposition* 1 *is true* (same proof), *if V is an open set of an affine variety and $\hat{V}$ a neighbourhood of V in the completion.*

LEMMA 5. *Let $\hat{K}$ be a field algebraic on the subfield K and suppose $\hat{K} \neq K$. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $\hat{S} = \{x \in \hat{K}^n\colon P_1(x) = \ldots = P_s(x) = 0\}$. There exists $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $\{x \in K^n\colon P(x) = 0\} = \hat{S} \cap K^n$ and $\{x \in \hat{K}^n / P(x) = 0\} \supset \hat{S}$.*

PROOF. Let $K \subset K_i \subset \hat{K}$ where any element of K_i is separable on K and any element of $\hat{K} - K_i$ is purely inseparable on K_i. Let $\omega \in K_i - K$,

we have

$$\{x \in \hat{K}^n | (P_1 + \omega P_2)(x) = 0\} \cap K^n = \{P_1 = 0\} \cap \{P_2 = 0\} \cap K^n .$$

Let $P_{12} = \prod_{\alpha \in G} \alpha(P_1 + \omega P_2)$ where G is the Galois group of the extension $K(\omega)$. We have that P_{12} is G-invariant, hence $P_{12} \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and clearly:

$$\{P_{12} = 0\} \cap K^n = \{P_1 = 0\} \cap \{P_2 = 0\} \cap K^n \tag{1}$$

and

$$\{x \in \hat{K}^n | P_{12}(x) = 0\} \supset \{P_1 = 0\} \cap \{P_2 = 0\} . \tag{2}$$

Starting from $P_{12} + \omega P_3$ in a similar way we define $P_{123} \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and so on. Clearly $P = P_{12\ldots s}$ satisfies the conditions of the lemma. If $K_i = K$, then there exists $\omega \in \hat{K} - K_i$ and ω satisfies an equation $x^{pn} - \beta = 0$, where $p =$ characteristic of K. Let now $P_{12} = (P_1 + \omega P_2)^{pn}$; clearly P_{12} satisfies (1) and (2). Starting from P_{12} and P_3 we may construct P_{123} and so on .... The lemma is now proved.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let K be a field, $V \subset K^n$ an affine variety and $f\colon V \to K$ a regular function.*

There exists R, $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that:

$$P(x) \neq 0 , \quad \forall x \in K^n , \quad (R/P)|_V = f .$$

PROOF. If K is algebraically closed the result is well known ([2]). Let $\bar{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K and $\bar{V}$ the completion of V. By proposition 1 there exists a regular function $\bar{f}\colon (\bar{V} - \bar{S}) \to \bar{K}$ that extends f, $\bar{S}$ closed set such that $\bar{S} \cap K^n = \emptyset$.

We may suppose that the equations $Q_1 = 0$, $Q_2 = 0, \ldots, Q_s = 0$ of $\bar{S}$ are in $K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ (see proposition 1).

By the lemma 5 we know that there exists $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $\{P = 0\} \supset \bar{S}$ and $P(x) \neq 0$ if $x \in K^n$.

The function $\bar{f}$ is defined in the affine (see [2]), closed subset $\bar{V}_j - \{P = 0\}$ of $\bar{K}^n - \{P = 0\}$, hence it is the restriction of a regular function $\bar{f}'$ defined on $\bar{K}^n - \{P = 0\}$ (see [2]). It is known (see [2]) that $\bar{f}'$ is of the form $\bar{f}' = R'/P^h$. Let now R be the K-component of R' with respect to a basis of $\bar{K}$ on K, clearly $(R/P^h)|_V = f$ and the proposition is proved.

COROLLARY 1. *Let K be a non algebraically closed field then for any $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$ there exists a homogeneous polynomial $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $P(X) = 0$ if and only if $X = (0, \ldots, 0)$.*

PROOF. We apply the construction of the lemma 5 to the polynomials $X_1, \ldots, X_n$.

$$P_{12} = \prod_{\alpha \in G} \alpha(X_1 + \omega X_2) \quad \text{is homogeneous, say of degree } q, \text{ let}$$

$$P_{123} = \prod_{\alpha \in G} \alpha(P_{12} + \omega X_3^q) \quad \text{and so on.}$$

A similar construction is possible if $\hat{K}$ is purely inseparable on K.

In the following proposition we use the euclidean topology of $\boldsymbol{R}^n$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $V \subset \boldsymbol{R}^n$ be an affine, quasi-regular, coherent (*) compact affine variety. $U \supset V$ an open set of $\boldsymbol{R}^n$ and $f\colon U \to \boldsymbol{R}$ a C^∞ function such that $f|_V$ is the restriction of a regular function.*

For any compact set $H \subset U$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $q \in \boldsymbol{N}$ there exist $P, Q \in \boldsymbol{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that:

i) $Q(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \boldsymbol{R}^n$;

ii) $P/Q_{|V} = f_{|V}$;

iii) $\left| \dfrac{\partial^\alpha (f - P/Q)(x)}{\partial x_1^{i_1}, \ldots, \partial x_n^{i_n}} \right| < \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in H$, $\alpha \leqslant q$.

PROOF. By proposition 2 there exist $P', Q' \in \boldsymbol{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $f - P'/Q'|_V \equiv 0$, $Q'(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \boldsymbol{R}^n$.

By theorem 1 of [3] there exist $P'' \in \boldsymbol{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $P''_{|V} \equiv 0$ such that if $P/Q = (P''Q' + P')/Q'$ i), ii) and iii) are satisfied.

2. – Properties of coherence.

Let K be a field and O_{K^n} the sheaf of the germs of the regular functions on K^n.

A (non reduced) *affine variety* on K is a ringed space $(V, O_V = O_{K^n}/\mathfrak{J}_V)$ where $\mathfrak{J}_V$ is a coherent ideal subsheaf of O_{K^n} and: $V =$ support O_V.

(V, O_V) is called *reduced* iff $\mathfrak{J}_V =$ sheaf of all germs zero on V.

(*) V is quasi regular in x if the ideal of analytic functions zero on V_x is generated by I_V, V is quasi regular if it is so at any point. V is coherent iff the associated analytic space is coherent.

Following [2] we call *algebraic prevariety* on K a ringed space (X, O_X) locally isomorphic to an affine variety defined on K.

Finally an algebraic prevariety (X, O_X) is called a *variety* iff the diagonal Δ_X of $X \times X$ is closed in $X \times X$.

Let (X, O_X) be an algebraic prevariety on K and $\mathfrak{J}$ a coherent ideal subsheaf of O_X, the ringed space $(V = \text{support } O_X/\mathfrak{J},\ O_X/\mathfrak{J})$ is called an algebraic subprevariety of X.

As usual we can define the locally closed subprevariety of (X, O_X) and it is easy to verify that any locally closed subprevariety of (X, O_X) has a natural structure of algebraic prevariety on K.

It is easy to verify that any subprevariety of an algebraic variety on K is an algebraic variety on K.

In particular we shall call projective variety any closed subvariety of $P_n(K) =$ projective space on K of dimension n.

Let K be an algebraically closed field, and (V, O_V) an affine variety. An O_V module $\mathcal{F}$ is coherent if, and only if, there exists an exact sequence:

$$O_V^p \to O_V^q \to \mathcal{F} \to 0 . \tag{1}$$

For any K we give the following definition: an O_V module $\mathcal{F}$ is called *A-coherent* if, and only if, there exists a resolution of type (1).

We have the following

THEOREM 1. *Let $(V, O_V = O_{K^n}/\mathfrak{J}_V)$ be an affine reduced variety on the field K, then O_{K^n}, O_V, $\mathfrak{J}_V$ are A-coherent O_{K^n} modules and O_V is an A-coherent O_V module.*

PROOF. Using the arguments of [2] we prove all the coherence conditions (the arguments do not depend on the fact that K is algebraically closed).

We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{J}_V \to O_{K^n} \to O_V \to 0$$

hence to prove that O_V is A-coherent we must verify that there is a surjection $O_{K^n}^p \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{J}_V \to 0$ and this is proved in [2].

To prove that $\mathfrak{J}_V$ is A-coherent we must verify that there is a surjection $O_{K^n}^q \to Ker\ \alpha \to 0$.

Let $\delta_i = (0, \dots 1, \dots 0)$, $i = 1, \dots, p$ be the generators of $\Gamma(O_{K^n}^p)$ and let $\alpha(\delta_i) = \beta_i$ be the images in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}_V)$.

Let now suppose K be infinite, otherwise K^n is discrete and the theorem is trivial.

Let $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (a_i/b_i)\beta_i = 0$, $a_i, b_i \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be a local relation. The function γ is zero on an open non empty set, hence $(\prod b_i)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} (a_i/b_i)\beta_i\right)$ is identically zero and $\sum^{p} (\prod b_i)(a_i/b_i)\beta_i$ gives a global relation that generates the local one. So we have proved that there exists an exact sequence $O_{K^n}^q \to Ker\ \alpha \to 0$ and $\mathfrak{J}_V$ is A-coherent.

The fact that O_V is an A-coherent O_V module is proved by the following.

LEMMA 2. *In the hypothesis of theorem* 1, *a sheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *of* O_V *modules is* A-*coherent as* O_V *module if, and only if, it is* A-*coherent as* O_{K^n} *module.*

PROOF. Using the arguments of [2] we prove the conditions of coherence.

Let us suppose we have the exact sequence

$$O_V^p \to O_V^q \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

then we can construct the commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} O_V^p & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & O_V^q & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{F} & \to & 0 \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi'} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi''} & & & & \\ O_{K^n}^p & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & O_{K^n}^q & & & & \end{array}$$

where π' and π'' are defined using the canonical projection and σ is defined in the following way: let $\delta_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$ be the generators of $\Gamma(O_{K^n}^p)$ and $\beta_i = \alpha \circ \pi'(\delta_i) \in \Gamma(O_V^q)$.

We remark that the maps $\pi' : \Gamma(O_{K^n}^p) \to \Gamma(O_V^p)$, $\pi'' : \Gamma(O_{K^n}^q) \to \Gamma(O_V^q)$ are surjective (see proposition 2 of § 1).

Let $\pi''(\theta_i) = \beta_i$, then σ is defined by $\sigma(\delta_i) = \theta_i$.

The exact sequence $O_{K^n}^p \xrightarrow{\sigma} O_{K^n}^q \xrightarrow{\eta\circ\pi''} \mathcal{F} \to 0$ proves the A-coherence of $\mathcal{F}$ as O_{K^n} module.

Let now be defined the exact sequence

$$O_{K^n}^p \to O_{K^n}^q \to \mathcal{F} \to 0\,.$$

We deduce the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} O_{K^n}^p & \to & O_{K^n}^q & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{F} & \to & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi''} & & & & \\ O_V^p & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & O_V^q & \nearrow{\scriptstyle j} & & & \end{array}$$

where σ is defined using the above arguments and j can be defined because $\mathcal{F}$ is an O_V module and hence if $\theta \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{J}^q)$ we have $\eta(\theta) = 0$. From the diagram we deduce the exact sequence $O_V^p \xrightarrow{\sigma} O_V^q \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{F} \to 0$ that ends the proof.

REMARK 1. There exist locally free sheaves on $\boldsymbol{R}$ that are not A-coherent.

Let $\varphi = x^2(x-1)^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \boldsymbol{R}[x, y, z]$; φ is irreducible (see [1]) and $\{\varphi = 0\} = (0, 0, 0) \cup (1, 0, 0)$.

Let $F \to \boldsymbol{R}^3$ be the line bundle defined by the cocycle $1/\varphi$ given in the covering $U_1 = \boldsymbol{R}^3 - (0, 0, 0)$, $U_2 = \boldsymbol{R}^3 - (1, 0, 0)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the sheaf of the germs of algebraic sections of F.

It's easy to see that any section on U_1 that can be extended to a global section can be divided by φ and hence it is zero on $(0, 0, 0)$. From this fact it follows that $\mathcal{F}$ does'nt satisfy theorem A, and hence it is not A-coherent. We remark that there exist coherent subsheaves of $O_{\boldsymbol{R}^n}$ that do not satisfy theorem A.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the sheaf above constructed and γ the section that coincides with φ on $\boldsymbol{R}^3 - (0, 0, 0)$ and 1 on the second chart.

Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by γ, we have clearly $\mathcal{F}' \simeq O_{\boldsymbol{R}^3}$. $\mathcal{F}'$ contains the subsheaf φ. $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}''$ and $\mathcal{F}'' \simeq \mathcal{F}$; hence $\mathcal{F}''$ does'nt satisfy theorem A.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let K be a field, (V, O_V) an affine subvariety of K^n and $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. In this hypothesis $(V_p = \{x \in V : P(x) \neq 0\}$, $O_{V|V_p})$ is an affine variety. If K is not algebraically closed any open set of V is an affine variety.*

PROOF. It is not difficult to verify (see [2]), that $(V, O_{V|V_p})$ is isomorphic to the affine subvariety of K^{n+1} defined by $g_1 = \ldots = g_q = 0$, $1 - P\, X_{n+1} = 0$ where $g_1 = \ldots = g_q = 0$ are generators of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}_V)$.

If K is not algebraically closed the lemma 5 of § 1 proves that any closed set of V is just the locus of zero of some $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ intersected with V and the proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let K be a non algebraically closed field then the projective space $P_n(K)$ is isomorphic to an affine variety.*

PROOF. Let $R \in K[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ be an homogeneous polynomial of degree q such that $R(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = (0, \ldots, 0)$ (see corollary 1 of § 1). Let $v_q : P_n(K) \to \to P_N(K)$ the Veronese map of degree q and $w = v_q \times R : P_n(K) \Rightarrow P_{N+1}(K)$ the map obtained adding R to the set of all monomial functions of degree q.

Clearly $w(P_n(K)) \cap \{R = 0\} = \emptyset$ hence $w(P_n(K))$ is an affine variety of K^{N+1} isomorphic to $P_n(K)$.

3. – The completion of a prevariety.

In this paragraph we shall define the completion of an affine variety (non necessarly reduced), and of an algebraic prevariety.

DEFINITION 1. Let K be a field, subfield of the field $\hat{K}$, and $\mathcal{F} \to K^n$ an A-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{K^n}$ module. A sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \to U$, defined on a neighbourhood U of K^n in $\hat{K}^n$ is called a *completion* of $\mathcal{F}$ (in $\hat{K}$) if there exist two exact sequences

$$O^p_{K^n} \xrightarrow{\alpha} O^q_{K^n} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$
$$O^p_{\hat{K}^n|U} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} O^q_{\hat{K}^n|U} \to \hat{\mathcal{F}} \to 0$$

such that $\hat{\alpha}$ is an extension of α. A completion $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \to U$ is called a *strong completion* iff for any $x \in K^n$ we have: $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_x \simeq \mathcal{F}_x \otimes_K \hat{K}$.

In the following, we shall consider $\mathcal{F}$ canonically embedded in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{|K^n}$ and it is easy to verify that, from the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{|K^n}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}^n}$ it follows that $\mathcal{F}$ is a subsheaf of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{|K^n}$.

REMARK 1. *If $\hat{K}$ is algebraic on K, then $O_{\hat{K}^n}$ is a strong completion of $\mathcal{O}_{K^n}$. If $\hat{K}$ is not algebraic on K, in general $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}^n,x} \neq \mathcal{O}_{K^n,x} \otimes_K \hat{K}$.*

PROOF. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of $\hat{K}$ on K, and $\alpha_{i_1} = 1_K$. We need the following

LEMMA 1. *If $\hat{K}$ is algebraic on K, $x_0 \in K^n$ and $P, Q \in \hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $Q(x_0) \neq 0$, there exist P_i, $Q_i \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that*

$$\frac{P}{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i \frac{P_i}{Q_i}, \qquad Q_i(x_0) \neq 0 .$$

Using lemma 1, we shall prove remark 1.

For any $x \in K^n$, let

$N_x = \{P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n] | P(x) \neq 0\}$ and $\hat{N}_x = \{P \in \hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] | P(x) \neq 0\}$; we have:

$$\mathcal{O}_{K^n,x} = (K[X_1, \ldots, X_n])_{N_x},$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}^n,x} = (\hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n])_{\hat{N}_x}$$

where A_B = ring of fractions with respect to B.

We have a natural isomorphism $\hat{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \simeq K[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \otimes_K \hat{K}$ and we define an homomorphism $j: \mathcal{O}_{K^n,x} \otimes_K \hat{K} \to \mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}^n,x}$

$$j\left(\sum_i \frac{P_i}{Q_i} \otimes h_i\right) = \sum_i h_i \frac{P_i}{Q_i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}^n,x}, \qquad h_i \in \hat{K} .$$

We wish to prove that j is bijective.

If $h_i = \sum l_j^i \alpha_{i_j}$, $l_j^i \in K$, we have:

$$j\left(\sum_i \frac{P_i}{Q_i} \otimes \sum_j l_j^i \alpha_{i_j}\right) = j\left(\sum_{i,j} l_j^i \frac{P_i}{Q_i} \otimes \alpha_{i_j}\right) = \sum_{i,j} l_j^i \alpha_{i_j} \frac{P_i}{Q_i}.$$

But the last term is zero iff the coefficients of the α_{i_j} are zeros and in this case

$$\sum_{i,j} l_j^i \frac{P_i}{Q_i} \otimes \alpha_{i_j} = 0 ,$$

hence j is injective.

Lemma 1 proves that j is surjective.

Let now $K = Q =$ field of rational numbers, $\hat{K} = Q(\pi)$; we wish to prove $Q[X]_{N_0} \otimes_Q Q(\pi) \neq Q(\pi)[X]_{\hat{N}_0}$.

In fact $1/(X-\pi) \in Q(\pi)[X]_{\hat{N}_0}$, but any element of $Q[X]_{N_0} \otimes_Q Q(\pi)$ is a well defined function on π hence $1/(X-\pi) \notin Q[X]_{N_0} \otimes_Q Q(\pi)$.

Proof of Lemma 1. We remark that $\hat{K}$ is integer on K, hence $O_{\hat{K}^n,x}$ is integer on $O_{K^n,x}$. We have a natural injection $j: O_{K^n,x} \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \to O_{\hat{K}^n,x}$ so we can deduce that $A \overset{\text{def}}{=} O_{K^n,x} \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K}$ is integer on $O_{K^n,x}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_x$ be the maximal ideal of $O_{K^n,x}$, clearly $\mathcal{M}_x \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K}$ is maximal in A and A is a local ring (in general A has finite numbers $I_1, \ldots, I_p$ of maximal ideals over $\mathcal{M}_x$, but any I_j contains $\mathcal{M}_x \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K}$, hence A is local). It is now clear that the maximal ideal of $O_{\hat{K}^n,x}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_x \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K}$, hence j is an isomorphism.

Remark 2. *Let K be a field, subfield of the field $\hat{K}$. For any A-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \to K^n$ there exists a completion $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \to U$ and, if $\hat{K}$ is algebraic on K, then there exists a strong completion. In any case we have: $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_x \simeq \mathcal{F}_x \underset{O_{K^n}}{\otimes} O_{\hat{K}^n,x}$, $\forall x \in K^n$ and hence, if $\hat{K}$ is algebraic on K;*

$$\mathcal{F}_x \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \simeq \mathcal{F}_x \underset{O_{K^n}}{\otimes} O_{\hat{K}^n,x} .$$

Proof. There exists a resolution:

$$O_{K^n}^p \xrightarrow{\alpha} O_{K^n}^q \to \mathcal{F} \to 0 .$$

Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p \in \Gamma(O_{K^n}^p)$, $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_q \in \Gamma(O_{K^n}^q)$ be the generators $(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \ldots$.

To fix α is equivalent to the definition of $(a_{ij}) \in \Gamma(O_{K^n})^{pq}$ such that $\alpha_*(\gamma_i) = \sum_j a_{ij}\eta_j$, where $\alpha_*: \Gamma(O_{K^n}^p) \to \Gamma(O_{K^n}^q)$ is induced by α.

There exists (see proposition 1 of § 1) an open set U of $\hat{K}^n$ such that $U \supset K^n$ and the a_{ij} are the restrictions of regular functions $\hat{a}_{ij}$ defined on U (moreover the $\hat{a}_{ij}$ are defined on K and $U = \hat{K}^n - S$ where S is closed and defined on K).

The matrix $(\hat{a}_{ij})$ defines $O_U^p \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} O_U^q$ and the sheaf coker $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a completion of $\mathcal{F}$.

If $\hat{K}$ is algebraic on K, then, by remark 1, we have for any $x \in K^n$:

$$O_{U,x}^p \simeq O_{K^n,x}^p \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}_x} O_{U,x}^q \simeq O_{K^n,x}^q \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \to \hat{\mathcal{F}}_x \to 0 . \tag{1}$$

From (1) it follows $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_x \simeq \mathcal{F}_x \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K}$ and the first part of the remark is proved.

In the general case we have $O_{\hat{K}^n}^s \simeq O_{K^n}^s \underset{O_{K^n}}{\otimes} O_{\hat{K}^n}$, and the sequence:

$$O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n}^p \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n}^q \to \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{|K^n} \to 0$$

may be written:

$$O_{K^n}^p \underset{O_{K^n}}{\otimes} O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} O_{K^n}^q \underset{O_{K^n}}{\otimes} O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n} \to \mathcal{F} \underset{O_{K^n}}{\otimes} O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n} \to 0$$

and the remark is proved.

REMARK 3. *Let K be a field, subfield of $\hat{K}$, (V, O_V) an affine variety on K, and $\mathcal{F} \to V$ an A-coherent O_V module. Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \to U$ be a completion of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})$, then there exists $\hat{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{U'}(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$, U' open in $\hat{V}$, $U' \supset V$, such that $\hat{\gamma}$ extends γ.*

Let $\alpha: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a homomorphism of coherent O_V modules, and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ two completions, then there exists an extension $\hat{\alpha}: \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{|U} \to \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{|U}$ of α where U is a neighbourhood of V in $\hat{V}$.

PROOF. Using the trivial extension of $\mathcal{F}$ to K^n, it is enough to prove the remark when $V = K^n$.

We have an exact sequence

$$O_{K^n}^p \xrightarrow{\alpha} O_{K^n}^q \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

that can be extended to:

$$O_{\hat{K}^n|U}^p \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} O_{\hat{K}^n|U}^q \xrightarrow{\hat{\eta}} \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

(see remark 2).

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, then there exists a family $\{U_i\}_{i=1\dots,s}$ of open sets (of U) and sections $\beta_i \in \Gamma_{U_i}(O^q_{\hat{K}^n})$ such that: $\bigcup_i U_i = U'$ is an affine open set of $\hat{K}^n$ containing K^n and $\hat{\eta}(\beta_i)_{|V} = \gamma_{|U_i \cap V}$

The cocycle $g_{ik} = \beta_i - \beta_k$ is defined on the covering $\{U_i\}$ and it has values in Ker $\hat{\eta}$, hence (by theorem B) is trivial. From this it follows that there exists $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{U'}(O^q_{\hat{K}^n})$ such that $\hat{\eta}(\gamma')_{|V} = \gamma$. Clearly $\hat{\eta}(\gamma')$ extends γ and the first part of the remark is proved.

To prove the second part we construct the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
O^p_{K^n} & \xrightarrow{\gamma''} & O^t_{K^n} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
O^q_{K^n} & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & O^s_{K^n} \\
\pi\downarrow & & \downarrow\pi' \\
\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{G} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

To define γ' and γ'' we make the following remark: all sheaves and vertical morphism can be extended to Spec $\Gamma(O_{K^n})$ (see lemma 2 of § 4); hence the functor of the global section is exact on the vertical sequences (we use the first part of lemma 3, § 4). Let $\delta_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in \Gamma(O^q_{K^n})$ be the canonical generators and $\beta_i = \gamma \circ \pi(\delta_i)$.

As we have just remarked there exists $\theta_i \in \Gamma(O^s_{K^n})$ such that $\pi'(\theta_i) = \beta_i$; γ' is defined by $\gamma'(\delta_i) = \theta_i$.

In a similar way we define γ''.

The morphism γ', γ'' can be extended (see remark 2) and hence also γ has an extension to the completions and the remark is proved.

DEFINITION 2. Let K be a field, subfield of $\hat{K}$, and (V, O_V) an affine variety defined by the ideal subsheaf $\mathfrak{J}_V$ of O_{K^n}. A (strong) *completion* of (V, O_V) in $\hat{K}$, is any affine variety $(\hat{V}, O_{\hat{V}})$ such that the sheaf $O_{\hat{V}}$ is a (strong) completion of O_V.

REMARK 4. *Let $V \subset K^n$ be an affine variety and suppose $P_1, \dots, P_q \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ generate $\mathfrak{J}_V$ in any point of V. (By the remark contained in* theorem 1 of § 2 $\mathfrak{J}_V$ is generated by a finite number of polynomials).

Let $\hat{P}_i$ be the elements of $\hat{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ defined by P_i, and $\hat{\mathfrak{J}}_V \subset O_{\hat{K}^n}$ the sheaf of ideals generated by $\hat{P}_1, \dots, \hat{P}_q$. If $\hat{K}$ is algebraic on K, we have:

$$(\hat{V}, O_{\hat{V}} = O_{\hat{K}^n}/\hat{\mathfrak{J}}_V) \quad \textit{is a strong completion of } (V, O_V)$$

hence the completion defined in § 1 *coincides with the completion of definition* 2. *Moreover if* $V \subset K^n$ *then there exists a completion* $\hat{V}$ *closed in* $\hat{K}^n$.

PROOF. By definitions and remark 1 we have the exact sequences:

$$O \to \mathfrak{J}_V \to O_{K^n} \to O_V \to 0 \tag{1}$$

$$O \to \mathfrak{J}_V \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \to O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n} \to O_V \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \to 0\,. \tag{2}$$

Clearly $\hat{\mathfrak{J}}_{V|K^n} \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{J}}_V \otimes \hat{K}$ then from (2) we deduce the exact sequence:

$$O \to \hat{\mathfrak{J}}_{V|K^n} \to O_{\hat{K}^n|K^n} \to O_V \underset{K}{\otimes} \hat{K} \to 0 \tag{3}$$

and (3) proves that $(\hat{V}, O_{K^n/\hat{\mathfrak{J}}_V})$ is a strong completion of (V, O_V).

REMARK 5. *Let* (V, O_V) *be an affine variety on* K *and*

$$\mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}'' \tag{1}$$

an exact sequence of A*-coherent* O_V *modules.*

If $(\hat{V}, O_{\hat{V}})$ *is a completion of* V *in the field* $\hat{K}$ *there exists an open set* U' *of* $\hat{V}$ *such that*: $U' \supset V$ *and the homomorphisms* α, β *extend to an exact sequence*:

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}' \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}} \hat{\mathcal{F}}'' \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}'$, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}''$ *are completions of* $\mathcal{F}'$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}''$ *defined on* U' *and* $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\beta}$ *extend* α, β.

PROOF. The existence of (2) is proved in remark 3. For any $x \in V$ we have:

$$\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}'_x) = \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\beta}_{|\hat{\mathcal{F}}_x}\,. \tag{3}$$

The sheaves $\hat{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}')$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\hat{\beta}$ are coherent hence (3) is true in a neighbourhood of x and the remark is proved.

DEFINITION 3. Let K be a field, subfield of $\hat{K}$ and (X, O_X) a prevariety defined on K.

We shall say that the prevariety $(\hat{X}, O_{\hat{X}})$ defined on $\hat{K}$ is a *completion* of (X, O_X) iff $X \subset \hat{X}$ and for any $x \in X$ there exist two affine neighbourhoods U_x in X and $\hat{U}_x$ in $\hat{X}$ such that $(\hat{U}_x, O_{\hat{X}|\hat{U}_x})$ is a completion of $(U_x, O_{X|U_x})$.

We have the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a subfield of the field $\hat{K}$ and (X, O_X) a prevariety defined on K.*

Then there exists a completion $(\hat{X}, O_{\hat{X}})$ of (X, O_X) and if (X, O_X) is a variety, $(\hat{X}, O_{\hat{X}})$ can be chosen to be a variety.

Let $\varphi: (V, O_V) \to (X, O_X)$ be a morphism of K-prevariety and $(\hat{V}, O_{\hat{V}})$, $(\hat{X}, O_{\hat{X}})$ two completions; then there exists an extension $\hat{\varphi}$ of φ defined on a neighbourhood of V in $\hat{V}$ (hence the completion is unique near V).

We need the following:

LEMMA 2. *Let K be a subfield of $\hat{K}$, (V, O_V) be a prevariety on K and $(\hat{V}, O_{\hat{V}})$ a completion of V.*

Let (X, O_X) be a prevariety on K, $(\hat{X}, O_{\hat{X}})$ a completion on $\hat{K}$ and $\varphi: (V, O_V) \to$ $\to (X, O_X)$ be a morphism, then there exists an extension $\hat{\varphi}: (\hat{V}', O_{\hat{V}'}) \to (\hat{X}, O_{\hat{X}})$ of φ defined on a neighbourhood $\hat{V}'$ of V in $\hat{V}$ and two such extensions coincide on a neighbourhood of V in $\hat{V}$.

PROOF. For any $x \in V$ there exists, in V, an open set $V_x \ni x$ such that: $\varphi(V_x)$ is contained in an affine open set W_x of X and W_x has a completion $\hat{W}_x$ that is an affine open set of $\hat{X}$.

We may suppose moreover that V_x is contained in an open set $\hat{V}_x$ of $\hat{V}$ such that $\hat{V}_x$ is a completion of V_x and for any $\hat{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{\hat{V}_x}(O_{\hat{V}})$ we have $\hat{\gamma} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{|V_x} = 0$.

Using proposition 1 of § 1 we may construct an open (in $\hat{V}$) covering $\hat{V}_{x_1}, \ldots, \hat{V}_{x_j}$ of $\hat{V}$ and some extensions $\hat{\varphi}_i: \hat{V}_{x_i} \to \hat{X}$ of $\varphi_{|V_{x_i}}$.

Let $x \in V$, using the argument of proposition 1 of § 1 we deduce that there exists an open set $\hat{B}_x \ni x$ of $\hat{V}$ such that all the $\hat{\varphi}_{i|\hat{B}_x}$ coincide (where defined). (If $\hat{B}_x = \cup \hat{B}_i$ is the decomposition of $\hat{B}_x$ into irreducible components we require that $\hat{B}_i \cap V = B_i$ has $\hat{B}_i$ as completion).

So *gluing together* the $\hat{\varphi}_i$ we define the extension of φ, the unicity of the extension is proved by the same argument.

We remark that the lemma 2 implies that if φ is an isomorphism we may extend φ to an isomorphism $\hat{\varphi}': (\hat{V}', O_{\hat{V}}) \to (\hat{X}', O_{\hat{X}'})$ (it is enough to extend φ and φ^{-1} and to verify that $\varphi \cdot \hat{\varphi}^{-1} = id \Rightarrow \hat{\varphi} \circ \hat{\varphi}^{-1} = id$).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,q}$ be an open affine covering of X and $U_i' \subset K^{n_i}$ some realisation of U_i.

We shall denote by $\hat{U}_i'$ the completions of U_i' in K^{n_i} and by $\varrho_i: U_i' \to U_i$ the natural isomorphisms.

Let $U_{12}' = \varrho_1^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2)$ and $\varrho_2^{-1} \circ \varrho_1 = \varrho_{12}: U_{12}' \to U_2'$.

Using the lemma 2 we may extend ϱ_{12} to a morphism $\hat{\varrho}_{12}$ defined on an open set $\hat{U}_{12}$ of $\hat{U}_1'$ and we may suppose $\hat{\varrho}_{12}: \hat{U}_{12} \to \hat{\varrho}_{12}(\hat{U}_{12})$ is an isomorphism.

Using $\hat{\varrho}_{12}$ we may *glue* together $\hat{U}_1'$ with $\hat{U}_2'$ and construct a completion of $U_1 \cup U_2$. After q constructions we have the completion of X. We wish to prove that if X is a variety then $\hat{X}$ can be constructed to be a variety.

It is enough to prove that if $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is the decomposition of X into open sets, and $\hat{X}_i$ are two varieties, completions of X_i, then we may *glue* together two open sets $\hat{X}_i' \subset \hat{X}_i$ of $\hat{X}_i$ and obtain a variety completion of X.

Let $X_{12} = X_1 \cap X_2 \subset X_1$ and $\varrho_{12}: X_{12} \to X_2$ be the identity map. Let $\hat{\varrho}_{12}: \hat{X}_{12} \to \hat{X}_2$ be an extension of ϱ_{12} $\hat{X}^{12} = \hat{X}_1 \cup \hat{X}_2/\mathcal{R}$, (where $x \overset{\mathcal{R}}{\sim} y \Leftrightarrow y = \hat{\varrho}_{12}(x)$), be the quotient and $\pi: \hat{X}_1 \coprod \hat{X}_2 \to \hat{X}_{12}$ be the natural projection. The diagonal $\hat{\Delta}^{12}$ of $\hat{X}^{12} \times \hat{X}^{12}$ is the image (under $\pi \times \pi$) of $\hat{\Delta}_{22} \cup \hat{\Delta}_{11} \cup \hat{\Delta}_{12} \cup \hat{\Delta}_{21}$ where $\hat{\Delta}_{ii} =$ diagonal of $\hat{X}_i \times \hat{X}_i$ and $\hat{\Delta}_{ik} =$ graph of $\hat{\varrho}_{ik}$. It is easy to see that $\hat{X}^{12}$ is a variety iff the $\hat{\Delta}_{ik}$ are closed subsets of $\hat{X}_i \times \hat{X}_k$: Then $\hat{X}^{12}$ is a variety iff $\hat{\Delta}_{ik}$, $i \neq k$, are closed in $\hat{X}_i \times \hat{X}_k$.

$X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is a variety then Δ_{12} and Δ_{21} are closed in $X_1 \times X_2$ and $X_2 \times X_1$.

Let $\hat{\Delta}_{ik}'$ be a closed completion of Δ_{ik} in $\hat{X}_i \times \hat{X}_x$. For any $x \in X_{12}$, Δ_{12} is the graph of the map ϱ_{12}, hence in a neighbourhood $\hat{U}_x$ of x in $\hat{X}_1$, $\hat{\Delta}_{12}'$ is the graph of a map $\hat{\varrho}_{12}$ that extends ϱ_{12}. Then there exists an open neighbourhood $\hat{X}_{12}'$ of X_{12} in $\hat{X}_1$ such that $\hat{\Delta}_{12}'$ is the graph of a map $\hat{\varrho}_{12}': \hat{X}_{12}' \to \hat{X}_2$.

Using the same argument for Δ_{21} we find two open sets $\hat{X}_i' \supset X_i$, $\hat{X}_i' \subset \hat{X}_i$, such that $\hat{\Delta}_{ik}'$ defines an extension of ϱ_{ik} on $\hat{X}_i'$ and clearly $\hat{\Delta}_{ik}'$ is closed in $\hat{X}_i' \times \hat{X}_k'$.

The theorem is now proved.

4. – The exactness of the functor Γ.

We wish to prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let (V, O_V) be an affine variety defined on the field K. Then the functor $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ of the global sections is exact on the category of the A-coherent O_V modules.*

We begin with a definition.

DEFINITION 1. Let (V, O_V) be a (reduced) affine variety defined on the field K, we shall call *associated scheme* the affine scheme $(\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V, O_{SV})$ where O_{SV} is the usual structural sheaf associated to $\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V$ and $\Gamma_V = \Gamma(O_V)$.

LEMMA 1. *Let (V, O_V) be an affine variety and* $(\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V, O_{SV})$ *the associated scheme, then there exists a natural injection $j: V \to \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V$ such that $O_{SV|j(V)} = \mathfrak{J}_*(O_V)$. Moreover $j(V) =$* {set of the closed points of $\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$}.

PROOF. Let V be realized in K^n, then to any point $x = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in V$ we associate the maximal ideal $j(x)$ generated by the classes $\{X_i - \alpha_i\}$ $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Now we wish to prove that any $\gamma \in \Gamma_U(O_{SV})$ defines an element $\gamma_{U \cap j(V)}$ of $\Gamma_{U \cap j(V)}(j_*(O_V))$.

We may suppose $U = \{x \in \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V / f \notin x$ for a fixed $f \in \Gamma_V\}$ and by definition we have that any element of $\Gamma_U(O_{SV})$ is of the form P/f^p, $P \in \Gamma_V$.

If $x \in j(V)$ then $f \notin x \Leftrightarrow f(j^{-1}(x)) \neq 0$ and clearly P/f^p is a regular function on $j^{-1}(U \cap j(V))$.

Let now $\gamma \in \Gamma_U(O_V)$, $x \in U$, then locally γ is the image of a rational function hence it is the restriction of a section of O_{SV} defined on an open set of $\operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V$ containing $j(x)$. So we have proved $O_{SV|j(V)} = j_*(O_V)$.

We wish now to prove: $j(V) \supset \{$set of the closed points of $\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)\}$, (the other inclusion is clear).

Let α be a maximal ideal of Γ_V and $\bar{V} \subset \bar{K}^n$ be the completion of V into the algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K.

Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the ideal of $\Gamma_{\bar{V}}$ generated by α and S the locus of zeros of $\tilde{\alpha}$, let us suppose $S \cap V = \emptyset$.

Any $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $P_{|S} \equiv 0$ is, by the maximality of α, in α.

By lemma 5 of § 1 there exists $P \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $P(x) \neq 0$ if $x \in K^n$, $P_{|S} \equiv 0$ hence $(1/P) \in \Gamma_V$ and this is impossible. So we must suppose $S \cap V \neq \emptyset$ hence, again by maximality: $\alpha \in j(V)$ and the lemma is proved.

REMARK. *In the following we shall often identify V and $j(V)$, O_V and $O_{SV|j(V)}$ ....*

DEFINITION 2. Let (V, O_V) be an affine variety defined on K and $\mathcal{F} \to V$ a coherent sheaf of O_V module; a coherent sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$ of O_{SV} module is called an extension of $\mathcal{F}$ iff there exist two exact sequences:

$$O_V^n \xrightarrow{\alpha} O_V^p \to \mathcal{F} \to 0\,, \qquad O_{SV}^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} O_{SV}^p \to \hat{\mathcal{F}} \to 0$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an extension of α.

LEMMA 2. *Any A-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \to V$ defined on the affine variety V has an extension $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$.*

PROOF. We have an exact sequence

$$O_V^n \to O_V^p \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

and α is given by $\alpha(\tau_i) = \sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_k$ where $\tau_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \in \Gamma(O_V^n)$, $\gamma_k = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \in \Gamma(O_V^p)$ and $\alpha_{ik} \in \Gamma_V$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_{ik}$ be the extension of α_{ik} to $\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$ and $O_{SV}^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} O_{SV}^p$ the morphism defined by $(\tilde{\alpha}_{ik})_{i,k}$.

Clearly coker $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a coherent sheaf and is an extension of $\mathcal{F}$.

LEMMA 3. *Let (V, O_V) be an affine variety defined on the field K and $\mathcal{F} \to V$ an A-coherent sheaf of O_V module. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$ be an extension of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ then there exists $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that $j_*(\gamma) = \tilde{\gamma}_{|j(V)}$.*

If $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ are two coherent sheaves on V and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ two extensions, any morphism $\alpha: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ can be extended to a morphism $\tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \hat{\mathcal{G}}$.

PROOF. Is the same as the proof of the remark 3 of § 3.

COROLLARY 1. *Let (V, O_V) be an affine variety defined on the field K and $\mathcal{F} \to V$ an A-coherent sheaf. Any two extensions $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i \to \operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$, $i = 1, 2$, of $\mathcal{F}$ are canonically isomorphic.*

PROOF. The identity section of $\operatorname{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2)_{|V}$ can be extended to a global section $\tilde{\gamma}$; $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ is an isomorphism for any $x \in j(V)$ hence, by the argument used in lemma 3, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 4. *Let (V, O_V) be an affine variety defined on the field K and $0 \to \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'' \to 0$ an exact sequence of A-coherent O_V modules. The sequence of extended morphisms:*

$$0 \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}' \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'' \to 0$$

is still exact.

PROOF. The relation $\operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\beta}_x = \operatorname{im} \tilde{\alpha}_x$ is true for any $x \in j(V)$ hence for any $x \in \operatorname{Spec} \Gamma_V$ (see the argument of lemma 3).

REMARK. *It is possible to associate to any algebraic prevariety (V, O_V) on the field K a prescheme in the following way: if $\bar{K}$ is the algebraic closure of K, to (V, O_V) we may associate one completion $(\bar{V}, O_{\bar{V}})$,* (see § 3), *and to $(\bar{V}, O_{\bar{V}})$ we may associate in a unique way a prescheme* (see D. Munford, « An introduction to algebraic geometry »).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. First we remark that it is enough to prove that if the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'' \to 0 \tag{1}$$

is exact, then the sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}') \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}'') \to 0 \tag{2}$$

is exact.

In general we know that if (1) is exact, then:

$$0 \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}') \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}'')$$

is exact.

It is enough to prove that if

$$\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'' \to 0$$

is exact, then

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{F}'') \to 0 \tag{3}$$

is exact.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'' \to 0$ be an extension of α; the coherent sheaves $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, $\mathcal{F}''$ are defined on $\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma_V)$ hence we have:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_*: \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \to \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'') \quad \text{is surjective (by theorem } B). \tag{4}$$

Let now $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}'')$; by lemma 3 there exists an extension $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'')$ of γ, by (4) there exists $\tilde{\eta} \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}_*(\tilde{\eta}) = \tilde{\gamma}$ hence $\gamma = \alpha_*(\tilde{\eta}_{|j(V)})$ and the theorem is proved.

REMARK. Theorem 1 *can be proved also using the completion of V instead of the extension* (see [5] for the proof in the real case).

As a consequence of theorem 1 we have:

THEOREM 2. *Let K be a field and (V, O_V) an affine variety on K. In this hypothesis the category of A-coherent O_V modules is isomorphic to the category of finitely generated $\Gamma(O_V)$ modules.*

PROOF. *The same as in* [2] *and* [5].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] M. GALBIATI - A. TOGNOLI, *Alcune proprietà delle varietà algebriche reali*, Annali della S.N.S. di Pisa (1973), pp. 359-404.

[2] J. P. SERRE, *Faisceaux algébriques coherents*, Ann. of maths., **61** (1955).

[3] A. TOGNOLI, *Su una congettura di Nash*, Annali della S.N.S. di Pisa, (1973), pp. 167-185.

[4] Seminari Cartan 1960-1961.

[5] L. BERETTA, *Sull'esattezza del funtore Γ in geometria algebrica reale*, Boll. U.M.I. (1974), pp. 66-74.

[6] H. CARTAN, *Variétés analytiques réelles et variétés analytiques complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **85** (1957), pp. 77-99.