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Abstract.

We present a finitely axiomatizable class of Heyting algebras (with identity \((-x) + (-x) = 1\)) such that this class and the class of Boolean algebras with \(n\) distinguished ideals are mutually first order definable.

As a corollary some results on countably categoricity, finitely axiomatizibility, decidability, prime and countably saturated models for Heyting algebras are obtained.

I - Constructions of Boolean algebras with particular subsets from Heyting algebras, and converse problems of representation.

For each element \(x\) of an Heyting algebra \(H = (H, +, \cdot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)\), the element \(x \rightarrow 0\) is denoted by \(-x\); \(x\) is called dense if \(-x = 0\), and regular if \(-x = x\).

It is well-known (see for example [14]) that one obtains a Boolean algebra \(A(H)\) by endowing the set of all regular elements of \(H\) with the constants 0 and 1, and with the operations \(+^*\), \(\cdot\), \(-\), where \(+^*\) is defined by \(x +^* y = -(x + y)\). Similarly the set \(\nabla(H)\) of all dense elements of \(H\) is an implicative lattice and a filter in \(H\).

Notation.

Let \(H\) be an Heyting algebra. For any \(a \in A(H)\) and \(b \in \nabla(H)\) put:

\[ \nabla_a = \{ c \in \nabla(H) : a \leq c \} \quad \text{and} \]

\[ P_b = \{ c \in A(H) : c \leq b \} . \]
It is shown in \[7\] that each \( V_a \) is a filter in \( H \), that each \( P_b \) is decreasing (i.e. \( x \leq y \) and \( y \in P_b \) imply \( x \in P_b \)), and that \( H \) satisfies the identity \((-x) + (-x) = 1\) if and only if \( P_b \) is an ideal of \( A(H) \) for each \( b \in V(H) \) (it is well-known that this identity is equivalent to the identity \((-x) + (-y) = -(-((-x) + (-y)))\)).

Now let \( A \) be a Boolean algebra and \( \{ V_a \}_{a \in A} \) be a set of filters in an implicative lattice \( V \). Put \( L = (\{ (a, b) : a \in A, b \in V \}/ \sim ; \leq / \sim ) \) where \( (a_1, b_1) \leq (a_2, b_2) \) if \( a_1 \leq a_2 \) and \( b_1 \to b_2 \in V_{a_1} \); \( (a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2) \) if \( (a_1, b_1) \leq (a_2, b_2) \) and \( (a_2, b_2) \leq (a_1, b_1) \).

It is proved in \[7\] that \( L \) is a lower semilattice.

The following question may then be interesting: when \( L \) is an Heyting algebra?

A necessary condition for \( A \) and \( \{ V_a \}_{a \in A} \) to generate an Heyting algebra \( L \) was presented in the theorem 1 of \[7\].

However, S.I. Mardaev have given an example showing that this condition is not sufficient.

So, the theorem 1 of \[7\] saying that the presented condition is necessary and sufficient, an its corollary 2, turned out to be wrong.

Call \( P \)-algebra each Boolean algebra with distinguished decreasing subsets. As a consequence of the previous situation the following lemma, based on this corollary 2, has lost a proof:

Lemma \[7\].

Let us consider a finite implicative lattice \( V = (\{ b_1, ..., b_n \}, \leq) \) and a \( P \)-algebra \((A, P_{b_1}, ..., P_{b_n})\). There exists an Heyting algebra \( H \) such that \( V = V(H) \) and \((A, P_{b_1}, ..., P_{b_n}) = (A(H), P_{b_1}, ..., P_{b_n}) \) if and only if:

\[(*)\] \( P_c \cap P_d = P_{c,d} \) and \( 1 \in P_e \Leftrightarrow e = 1 \) for any \( c, d, e \in V \).
It is obvious that the condition (*) is necessary for existing such an Heyting algebra H. So it is natural to consider the following problems:

**Problem 1.** Do every implicative lattice \( \mathbb{V} \) and P-algebra \((A, P_b) \in \mathbb{V}\) verifying (*) can be represented as \( \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(H) \) and \((A, P_b) \in \mathbb{V} = (A(H), P_b) \in \mathbb{V} \) for some Heyting algebra H?

A P-algebra \((A, P_j) \in j\) will be called I-algebra if every \( P_j \) is an ideal.

Problem 1\( _i \) is the problem 1 for I-algebra \((A, P_b) \in \mathbb{V}\); problem 1\( _f \) is the problem 1 for P-algebra \((A, P_b) \in \mathbb{V}\) with finite \( \mathbb{V} \), and problem 1\( _f \) is the problem 1 for I-algebra \((A, P_b) \in \mathbb{V}\) with finite \( \mathbb{V} \).

**Problem 2.** Does every I-algebra \((A, I_1, \ldots, I_n)\) can be represented by \( A = A(H) \) and \( I_j = P_{b_j} \) for some Heyting algebra H with finite set \( \mathbb{V}(H) \) and \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{V}(H) \)?

**Problem 3.** Does every I-algebra \((A, I_1, \ldots, I_n)\) can be represented as \( A = A(H) \) and \( I_j = P_{b_j} \) for some Heyting algebra H and \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{V}(H) \)?

**Problem 4.** Does every I-algebra \((A, I_1, \ldots, I_n)\) can be represented as \( A = A(H) \) and \( I_j = P_{b_j} \) for some Heyting algebra H and some definable \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{V}(H) \) (it means that there exist formulas \( \phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x) \) of the Heyting algebra first order language such that \( \{ c \in H : H \models \phi_i(c) \} = \{ b_i \} \) ?

Problems 2\( _i \), 3\( _i \) and 4\( _i \) are problems 2, 3 and 4 for Heyting algebra H satisfying the identity \((-x) + (\neg x) = 1\).

Interest to these problems is connected with the following result:

**Theorem [7].**

Let \( H \) and \( H' \) be Heyting algebras.

Then \( H = H' \) if and only if there exists an isomorphism \( \phi : \mathbb{V}(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(H') \) such that \((A(H), P_b) \in \mathbb{V}(H) = (A(H'), P_{\phi(b)}) \in \mathbb{V}(H)\).
A special interest to problems 1, 1.1, 2 is connected with the following facts:

**Theorem [7].**

Let $H$ and $H'$ be Heyting algebras and $\nabla(H)$ be finite. Then $H = H'$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi: \nabla(H) \to \nabla(H')$$

such that $(A(H), P_b)_b \in \nabla(H) = (A(H'), P_{\phi(b)})_b \in \nabla(H').$

**Corollary [7].**

Let $H$ be a Heyting algebra with $\nabla(H)$ finite.

The theory of $H$ is countably categorical (finitely axiomatizable, decidable) if and only if the theory of $(A(H), P_b)_b \in \nabla(H)$ is the same.

Interest to problems 1, 1.1, 2, 3, 3' and 4' is also connected with results on different model theoretical properties of Boolean algebras with distinguished ideals (see all bibliographic references except [14]).

**II - Some negative answers**

**Proposition 1.**

Let $\varpi$ be an implicative semilattice, $(A, P_b)_b \in \varpi$ be a $P$-algebra verifying the condition (*) and such that there exist $b_0$ with $P_{b_0}$ maximal for inclusion in $\{P_b\}_b \neq 1$, and $a \in A$ with $a, -a \notin P_{b_0}$. Then there does not exist an Heyting algebra $H$ with $\varpi = \nabla(H)$ and $(A, P_b)_b \in \varpi = (A(H), P_{b(H)})_b \in \varpi$.

**Proof.** Suppose that such an $H$ exists.

Then $a \to b_0 \geq b_0 \in \nabla(H)$ gives $P_{b_0} \subseteq P_a \to b_0$ and $a \notin P_{b_0}$ gives $P_a \to b_0 \neq P_1$; as moreover $-a = a \to 0 \leq a \to b_0$ implies $-a \notin P_a \to b_0$, we obtain a contradiction with the maximality of $P_{b_0}$.
Corollary 1.

Problems 1, 1 i, 1 f and 1 i f have a negative solution.

For each element a of a Boolean algebra, let (a) be the principal ideal generated by a.

Proposition 2.

Let H be an Heyting algebra with \( \Lambda(H) \) atomless. If there exists \( d \in \Lambda(H) \) with 
\( d \neq 0 \) and \((d) \cap P_f = (0)\) for some \( f \in \mathcal{V}(H)\), then \( \mathcal{V}(H) \) is infinite.

Proof. We can take an infinite sequence of regular elements \( d_1 < d_2 < ... < d \).
Suppose \( f + d_i = f + d_{i+1} \) for one \( i \), then
\( d_{i+1} \cdot (-d_i) \leq (f + d_i) \cdot (-d_i) \leq f \) gives \( d_{i+1} \cdot (-d_i) \in (d) \cap P_f = (0) \), so that \( d_{i+1} \leq -d_i = d_i \) which is contradictory.
Thus the \( f + d_i \)'s form a strictly increasing sequence of elements of \( \mathcal{V}(H) \).

Corollary 2.

Problems 2 and 2' have a negative solution.

III - A finitely axiomatizable class of Heyting algebras.

If an Heyting algebra H contains a least dense element \( a_1 \), \( \mathcal{V}(H) \) is an Heyting algebra for the operations +, \( \cdot \), \( \rightarrow \), and the constants \( a_1 \) and 1. We then put \( \mathcal{V}^1(H) = \mathcal{V}(H) \), \( \mathcal{V}^2(H) = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}^1(H)) \), and continue the process by putting \( \mathcal{V}^{i+1}(H) = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}^i(H)) \) as long as \( \mathcal{V}(H) \) contains a least dense element \( a_{i+1} \).
Notice that \( a_{n+1} = 1 \) for a number \( n \) if and only if \( \mathcal{V}^n(H) \) is a Boolean algebra.

Definition.

For a number \( n \) different from 0, let \( C_n \) be the class of all Heyting algebras verifying:

1) \((-x) + (\neg x) = 1\),

2) \( \mathcal{V}^i(H) \) has a least element \( a_i \) for each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and \( \mathcal{V}^n(H) \) is a Boolean algebra.
3) For each \( x \in [a_i, a_{i+1}] \) there exists \( y \in A(\mathcal{H}) \) satisfying \( x = y \cdot a_{i+1} + a_i \) (with \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \), putting \( a_0 = 0 \) and \( a_{n+1} = 1 \)).

Notice that if \( a_1 \) exists in an Heyting algebra, the condition 3) is satisfied for \( i = 0 \) by taking \( y = -x \). It is easy to see that:

**Remark.**

\( C_n \) is finitely axiomatizable.

**Definition.**

Let \( A = (A, I_1, \ldots, I_n) \) be a Boolean algebra \( A \) with distinguished ideals \( I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_n \).

We put (identifying \( A/I_1 \) with \( A/I_{i+1} \)):

\[
H(A) = \{(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A \times (A/I_1) \times \ldots \times (A/I_n) : a_0/I_1 \geq a_1 \text{ and } a_i/(I_{i+1}/I_i) \leq a_{i+1} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\},
\]

and we endow this set with the pointwise order (i.e. \( (a_0, \ldots, a_n) \leq (b_0, \ldots, b_n) \) if \( a_i \leq b_i \) for \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \)).

**Proposition 3.**

\( H(A) \) is an Heyting algebra of the class \( C_n \), with:

\( A(H(A)) = \{(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \in H(A) : a_i = a_0/I_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}, \)

and for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \):

\[
\forall^1(H(A)) = \{(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \in H(A) : a_0 = 1, a_1 = 1/I_1, \ldots, a_{i-1} = 1/I_{i-1}, a_i = 1/I_i \}
\]

(so that \( a_i = (1, 1/I_1, \ldots, 1/I_{i-1}, 0/I_i, \ldots, 0/I_n) \)).

**Proof.** Obviously \( H(A) \) is a bounded lattice with + and \( \cdot \) computed pointwise, such that for each elements \( (a_0, \ldots, a_n) \) and \( (b_0, \ldots, b_n) \) there exists \( (c_0, \ldots, c_n) = (a_0, \ldots, a_n) \rightarrow (b_0, \ldots, b_n) \), defined by:

\[
c_0 = b_0 + (-a_0) \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
c_i = ((b_0 + (-a_0))/I_1) \quad \text{ou moins} \quad ((b_1 + (-a_1))/I_1/I_1) \ldots \quad (b_{i-1} + (a_{i-1}))/I_i/I_{i-1} \).
\]
So $H(A)$ is an Heyting algebra, and for each $(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \in H(A)$ we have

$$-(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = \left( -a_0, -a_0/I_1, -a_0/I_2, \ldots, -a_0/I_n \right),$$

which shows that $H(A)$ verifies the identity $(-x) + (-x) = 1$ and gives the expected description of $A(H(A))$ and $\mathbb{V}^1(H(A))$.

Supposing now that $a_i$ exists for $i \geq 1$ and takes the value given in the proposition, we have

$$(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \rightarrow a_i = \left( 1, 1/I_1, \ldots, 1/I_{i-1}, -a_i, -a_i/(I_{i+1}/I_i), \ldots, -a_i/(I_n/I_i) \right),$$

which gives the existence and value of $a_{i+1}$ if $i < n$, and shows that $\mathbb{V}^n(H(A))$ is a Boolean algebra isomorphic to $A/I_n$ if $i = n$.

Finally each element $x$ of $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$ has the form $x = (1, 1/I_1, \ldots, 1/I_{i-1}, a_i, 0/I_{i+1}, \ldots, 0/I_n)$; taking $a_0 \in A$ with $a_j = a_0/I_j$ and putting

$$y = (a_0, a_0/I_1, \ldots, a_0/I_n)$$
we obtain $y \in A(H(A))$ with $x = y \cdot a_{i+1} + a_i$.

**Définition.**

For each Heyting algebra $H$ of the class $C_n$, put $I_i(H) = A(H) \cap \{a_i\}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ (where $\{a_i\} = \{x \in H : x \leq a_i\}$), and put $A(H) = (A(H), I_1(H), \ldots, I_n(H))$.

**Theorem 1.**

a) Let $A = (A, I_1, \ldots, I_n)$ be a Boolean algebra $A$ with distinguished ideals $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_n$. Then $A = A(H(A))$.

b) Let $H$ be an Heyting algebra of the class $C_n$. Then $H \cong H(A(H))$.

**Proof.**

a) From proposition 3 we see that we define an isomorphism

$$f : A \rightarrow A(H(A))$$

by putting $f(a) = (a, a/I_1, \ldots, a/I_n)$ for each $a \in A$.

Moreover for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

$$f(a) \in I_i(H(A)) \iff f(a) \leq (1, 1/I_1, \ldots, 1/I_{i-1}, 0/I_i, \ldots, 0/I_n) \iff a \in I_i$$

b) If $a \in H$ then for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ there exists $b_i \in A(H)$ with $a \cdot a_{i+1} + a_i = b_i \cdot a_{i+1} + a_i$. Notice that if $b_{i+1}$ is suitable too, then

$$b_i/I_i(H) = b_{i+1}/I_i(H)$$

(don't write $I_i(H)$ when $i = 0$) : indeed
b_i \cdot a_{i+1} \leq b'_i \cdot a_{i+1} \leq a_i \cdot a_i + a_i \text{ gives } b_i \cdot (-b'_i) \cdot a_i + 1 \leq a_i, \text{ so that }

b_i \cdot (-b'_i) \leq a_{i+1} \Rightarrow a_i = a_i \text{ and thus } b_i \cdot (-b'_i) \in I_i (H) \text{, as }

1 = b'_i + (-b'_i) \text{ we see that } b_i / I_i (H) = (b_i \cdot b'_i) / I_i (H), \text{ and obtain }

b'_i / I_i (H) = (b_i \cdot b'_i) / I_i (H) \text{ by a similar argument. This allows us to define }

\phi : H \rightarrow H (\mathbf{A} (H)) \text{ by putting } \phi (a) = (b_0, b_1 / I_1 (H), ..., b_n / I_n (H)).

Conversely we define \( \psi : H (\mathbf{A} (H)) \rightarrow H \) by putting \( \psi (x) = x_0 \cdot (x_1 + a_1) ... (x_n + a_n) \) for each element \( x = (x_0, x_1 / I_1 (H), ..., x_n / I_n (H)) \) of \( H (\mathbf{A} (H)) \).

Then by some simple verifications we see that \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) preserve the orders and that each of them is inverse of the other, which gives the result.

IV. Positive answers

First notice that from any ideals \( I_1, ..., I_n \) of a Boolean algebra, an increasing sequence (for inclusion) of \( 2^n - 1 \) ideals can be computed by using Heyting algebras operations, such that \( I_1, ..., I_n \) are computable from them in a similar way. We can thus apply the results of the previous section to any Boolean algebra with distinguished ideals \( I_1, ..., I_n \):

Corollary 3.

Problems 3, 3', 4 and 4' have a positive solution.

Corollary 4.

For any Heyting algebras \( H, H' \) of the class \( C_n \):

a) \( H = H' \) if and only if \( \mathbf{A} (H) = \mathbf{A} (H') \),

b) \( H = H' \) if and only if \( \mathbf{A} (H) = \mathbf{A} (H') \),

c) The theory of \( H \) is countably categorical (finitely axiomatizable, decidable) if and only if the theory of \( \mathbf{A} (H) \) is the same,

d) The theory of \( H \) has a prime (countably saturateal) model if and only if the theory of \( \mathbf{A} (H) \) has a same model.
e) $H$ is prime (countably saturated) if and only if $A(H)$ is the same.

The decidability of the theory of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals is due to Rabin [13]; a description of countably categorical Boolean algebras with a finite number of distinguished ideals is due to Macintyre and Rosenstein [2] (see also [6] and [17]); descriptions of finitely axiomatizable such algebras are given in [8] and [17]; numbers of theories of $(A, I)'s$ according to fixed $A's$, or decidability or undecidability of theories of all $(A, I)'s$ for fixed $A's$, are given in [11] and [14]. Descriptions of elementary equivalence of Boolean algebras with distinguished ideals are presented in [3], [6], [8], [15] and [16]; a complete description of decidability of elementary theories of Boolean algebras with distinguished ideals is obtained in [8]; a classification of complete theories using an axiomatization of structures of definable ideals is given in [17]; prime models were studied in [5], [9], [12] and [17], countably saturated in [5] and [12].

In particular these results imply:

**Corollary 5.**

1) *The theory of the class $C_n$ is decidable.*

2) *For any non-superalomic Boolean algebra $A$ there exists a continuum of Heyting algebras $H$ with $A = A(H)$, satisfying $(-x) + (-x) = 1$, and having different theories which:*

   a) have a prime model,
   b) have a prime model but no countably saturated model,
   c) have a countably saturated model.

The results of this paper were obtained in a collaboration of the authors during July 1991, when the first author was invited by the University Blaise Pascal of Clermont-Ferrand. Grateful acknowledgements are due to Professors Guillaume, Maksimova, Mardoev and Tomasik for interesting discussions.
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