# Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal

L.M. CAMACHO J.R. GÓMEZ R.M. NAVARRO

# 3-filiform Lie algebras of dimension 8

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 6, n° 2 (1999), p. 1-13 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP</a> 1999 6 2 1 0>

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# 3-filiform Lie algebras of dimension 8<sup>1</sup>

L.M. Camacho<sup>2</sup>

J.R. Gómez<sup>2</sup>

R.M. Navarro<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

We give, up to isomorphism and in dimension 8, all the 3-filiform Lie algebras (whose Goze's invariant is (n-3,1,1,1)).

## 1 Introduction

The classification of finite dimensional complex Lie algebras is an open problem. Only the seven (or less) dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras are classified. A general classification seems very difficult. In fact, a recent result of Goze [11] shows that the general classification of 2p or 2p + 1-dimensional Lie algebras is equivalent to the linear classification of (2,1)-tensors in  $\mathbb{C}^p$ . This implies that the Jacobi conditions do not reduce the difficult problem of classification of the (2,1)-tensors.

Except the 7-dimensional case, we know also the classification of filiform algebras up to dimension 11 ([2], [8], [10]) or the general classification of 2-abelian filiform Lie algebras [9]. The results of Khakimdjanov [12] are very important for these classifications.

Cabezas, Gómez and Jiménez-Merchán [4], [6] and [5] generalize the notion of filiform algebra to p-filiform algebra, which correspond to nilpotent algebras of Goze's invariant  $(n-p,1,\ldots,1)$  where  $n=dim(\mathfrak{g})$ ; hence, the filiform algebras are the 1-filiform algebras and the quasi-filiform algebras are the 2-filiform algebras. The authors above mentioned also give the classification for high values of p (that is, close to the dimension of the algebra), more exactly for the integer values of p between n-4 and n-2.

In [3], the (n-5)-filiform Lie algebras with maximal derived subalgebra are classified. In this way our first goal in this paper is to give an explicit description of the 3-filiform Lie algebra in 8-dimension.

Goze's invariant or characteristic sequence of the nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , denoted by  $c(\mathfrak{g})$ , is defined to be  $\sup\{c(X): X \in \mathfrak{g} - [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]\}$ , where c(X) is the sequence, in decreasing order, of dimensions of characteristic subspaces of the nilpotent operator ad(X). Thus, the filiform, quasifiliform and abelian Lie algebras of dimension n have as their Goze's invariant (n-1,1), (n-2,1,1) and  $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ , respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially supported by the PAICYT, FQM143, of the Junta de Andalucía (Spain).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Dpto. Matemática Aplicada I. Univ. Sevilla. Avda. Reina Mercedes S.N. 41012 Sevilla (Spain). E-mail: lcamacho@euler.fie.us.es; jrgomez@cica.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Dpto. de Matemáticas. Univ. de Extremadura. Avda. de la Universidad S.N. 10071 Cáceres (Spain). E-mail: rnavarro@unex.es

## 2 Notation and Terminology

The notions and notations used in this paper are defined in [11].

Let g be a 3-filiform Lie algebra of dimension 8. We consider a characteristic vector  $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g} - [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . An adapted basis [11] is given by  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, Y_1, Y_2\}$  where  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, Y_1, Y_2\}$  is a Jordan basis of  $ad(X_0)$ . It satisfies  $[X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 4$  and  $[X_0, Y_j] = 0$ , j = 1, 2. We denote by AL3F the set of complex 3-filiform Lie algebras of dimension 8, AL3F(k) the subset of AL3F constituted of Lie algebras whose derived subalgebra is of dimension k, AL3F(k,1) the subset of AL3F(k) for which elements satisfy  $dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})) = l$ , AL3F(k,-m) the subset of AL3F(K) with  $dim\mathcal{D}^2(\mathfrak{g}) = m$ .

If  $g \in AL3F$  will be given by the following brackets

$$\begin{array}{ll} [X_0,X_i] & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ [X_i,X_{i+1}] & 1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ [X_1,Y_j] & 1 \leq j \leq 2 \\ [Y_1,Y_2] & \end{array}$$

An easy computation (using Jacobi's identity) shows that the remaining brackets can be found from the above mentioned.

In what follows, when we use subindexs i and j, then respective ranges of variation will be  $1 \le i \le 4$  and  $1 \le j \le 2$ , though we do not indicate it. The laws will be denoted by  $\mu^s_{(5,1,1,1)}$  or by  $\mu^{21,\lambda}_{(5,1,1,1)}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{C}/R$ , being R the equivalence relation defined by  $uRv \iff u = \pm v$ .

# 3 3-filiform complex Lie algebras of dimension 8

#### 1. Decomposable case

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a 6-dimension filiform Lie algebra. Then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\oplus \mathbf{C}^2$  is a 8-dimension 3-filiform Lie algebra.

Let  $\mathfrak g$  be a 7-dimension 2-filiform Lie algebra. Then  $\tilde{\mathfrak g}=\mathfrak g\oplus \mathbb C$  is a 8-dimension 3-filiform Lie algebra.

The proof is obvious.

As we know the 6-dimensional Lie algebras and the 7-dimensional 2-filiform Lie algebras, we can deduce the complete classification of decomposable 8-dimension 3-filiform Lie algebras.

### 2. Non decomposable case.

Now, we consider only 3-filiform non-decomposable Lie algebras.

**Lemma 3.2.** [3] If  $g \in AL3F$  there is an adapted basis satisfying

$$(1) \begin{cases} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = cX_4 + dX_5 + \alpha_1 Y_1 + \alpha_2 Y_2 \\ [X_2, X_3] = -eX_5 - \beta_1 Y_1 - \beta_2 Y_2 \\ [X_1, Y_j] = a_{3j} X_3 + a_{2j} X_4 + a_{1j} X_5 \\ [Y_1, Y_2] = bX_5 \end{cases}$$

with the restrictions following  $\alpha_k a_{3i} = 0$ ;  $2a_{32}e - \alpha_1 b = 0$ ;  $2a_{31}e + \alpha_2 b = 0$ ;  $\beta_k a_{3i} = 0$ ;  $\beta_k a_{2i} c = 0$ ;  $\beta_k a_{1i} = 0$ ;  $\beta_k b = 0$ ;  $\beta_1 a_{21} + \beta_2 a_{22} = 0$ ,  $1 \le k \le 2$ 

We can deduce that there exists three families of AL3F, pairwise non-isomorphic, whose laws can be expressed, in a suitable adapted basis by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{AL3F}(6): & \operatorname{AL3F}(5): & \operatorname{AL3F}(4): \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = cX_4 + Y_1 \\ [X_2, X_3] = -Y_2 \\ [X_1, Y_1] = a_{21}X_4 \\ ca_{21} = 0 \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = cX_4 + Y_1 \\ [X_2, X_3] = -eX_5 - \beta Y_1 \\ [X_1, Y_j] = a_{2j}X_4 + a_{1j}X_5 \\ \beta a_{1j} = 0; & \beta a_{21} = 0; \\ \beta a_{22}c = 0 \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = cX_4 + dX_5 \\ [X_2, X_3] = -eX_5 \\ [X_1, Y_j] = a_{3j}X_3 + a_{2j}X_4 + a_{1j}X_5 \\ [X_1, Y_j] = a_{3j}X_3 + a_{2j}X_4 + a_{1j}X_5 \\ a_{3j}e = 0 \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}$$

In fact, let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . We have

$$dim(\mathcal{D}^1(\mathfrak{g})) = 4 + rank(A)$$

a) rank(A) = 2. We consider the change of basis

$$\begin{cases} Y_1' = dX_5 + \alpha_1 Y_1 + \alpha_2 Y_2 \\ Y_2' = eX_5 + \beta_1 Y_1 + \beta_2 Y_2 \end{cases}$$

The relation (1) can be reduced to  $\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = 1$  and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_1 = d = e = 0$ . This gives the first family AL3F(6). KINANI b) rank(A) = 1. Always we can supposed  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . The change of basis

$$\begin{cases} Y_1' = dX_5 + \alpha_1 Y_1 + \alpha_2 Y_2 \\ Y_2' = Y_2 \end{cases}$$

permits to consider  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (1, 0)$  and  $\beta_2 = 0$ . We obtain AL3F(5).

c) 
$$rank(A) = 0$$
. We find AL3F(4).

**Theorem 3.3.** [3] If  $g \in AL3F(6)$ , then it is isomorphic to one of the algebras, pairwise non-isomorphic, that will be denoted by  $\mu^s$ , with  $1 \leq s \leq 3$ .

Lemma 3.4. There exist three subfamilies of AL3F(5), pairwise non isomorphic, whose laws can be expressed, in a suitable adapted basis by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{AL3F}(5,3): & \operatorname{AL3F}(5,2): & \operatorname{AL3F}(5,1): \\ & \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2]=cX_4+Y_1 \\ [X_2,X_3]=-eX_5-\beta Y_1 \\ [X_2,X_3]=-eX_5-\beta Y_1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2]=cX_4+Y_1 \\ [X_1,Y_j]=a_{2j}X_4+a_{1j}X_5 \\ a_{21}a_{12}-a_{22}a_{11}=0, \\ \exists i,j:a_{ij}\neq 0 \\ \beta a_{1j}=0,\ \beta a_{21}=0, \\ \beta a_{22}c=0 \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{AL3F}(5,1): \\ & \operatorname{AL3F}(5,1): \\ & \operatorname{AL3F}(5,1): \\ & [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ & [X_1,X_2]=cX_4+Y_1 \\ & [X_2,X_3]=-eX_5 \\ & [X_1,Y_j]=a_{2j}X_4+a_{1j}X_5 \\ & a_{12}a_{21}-a_{22}a_{11}\neq 0 \\ & a_{12}a_{21}-a_{22}a_{11}\neq 0 \end{array}$$

**Proof:** It is easy to check that the dimension of the center of any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{g} \in AL3F(5)$  depends of the rank of the matrix  $B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus, we will consider three cases:

- (1) If rank(B) = 0 we lead to AL3F(5,3).
- (2) If rank(B) = 1 this implicates that  $a_{21}a_{12} a_{22}a_{11} = 0$  with any  $a_{ij} \neq 0$  obtaining of this form the family AL3F(5,2).
- (3) If rank(B) = 2 then  $a_{21}a_{12} a_{22}a_{11} \neq 0$  from we can assert that  $(a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}) \neq (0, 0, 0)$  what together to the above restrictions lead to  $\beta = 0$ , and thus we obtain the family AL3F(5,1).

We can note that if  $g \in AL3F(5,3)$  then g is decomposable of the form  $g = g_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$  with  $g_1$  of dimension 7. These algebras are will known (see § 3.1). We find the algebras  $\mu_{(5,1,1)}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}$  for s = 1 to 8.

Consider the case AL3F(5,2). We note that if  $a_{22} = a_{12} = 0$  then  $a_{11} \neq 0$  or  $a_{21} \neq 0$ . The corresponding algebras are decomposable.

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $g \in AL3F(5,2)$ , then it is isomorphic to one of the algebras, pairwise non-isomorphic, of laws  $\mu^s_{(5,1,1,1)}$  with  $12 \le s \le 15$  and the decomposable Lie algebras  $\mu^s_{(5,1,1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ ,  $9 \le s \le 18$ ,  $s \ne 14$  and  $\mu^{14,\lambda}_{(5,1,1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_2$ .

**Proof:** The nullity of  $\beta$  is an invariant. In fact,  $dim(\mathcal{C}^3(\mathfrak{g}))$  is 2 if  $\beta = 0$  and 3 if  $\beta \neq 0$ . Case 1:  $(\beta = 0)$  Making generic changes of basis,

$$X_0' = P_0 X_0 + P_1 X_1 + P_2 X_2 + P_3 X_3 + P_4 X_4 + P_5 X_5 + P_6 Y_1 + P_7 Y_2$$

$$X_1' = Q_0 X_0 + Q_1 X_1 + Q_2 X_2 + Q_3 X_3 + Q_4 X_4 + Q_5 X_5 + Q_6 Y_1 + Q_7 Y_2$$

$$Y_0' = S_0 X_0 + S_1 X_1 + S_2 X_2 + S_3 X_3 + S_4 X_4 + S_5 X_5 + S_6 Y_1 + S_7 Y_2$$

the condition of change of basis for to remain  $(X_5' \neq 0)$  is

$$(P_0 + P_1e)(P_0^2 + P_1^2a_{21})(P_0Q_1 - P_1Q_0) \neq 0$$

and for to remain into the family,  $([X'_1, X'_3] = c'X'_5)$ , we have to impose that:  $P_0Q_0 + P_1Q_1a_{21} = 0$ , and the changes will be completed particularizing for some concrete values of any parameter and the respective restrictions.

The new parameters are:

$$c' = \frac{Q_1c}{P_0^2 + P_1^2a_{21}} + \frac{P_1(Q_0c + Q_1a_{11})}{(P_0 + P_1e)(P_0^2 + P_1^2a_{21})};$$

$$e' = \frac{(P_0e - P_1a_{21})(P_0Q_1 - P_1Q_0)}{(P_0 + P_1e)(P_0^2 + P_1^2a_{21})}; \qquad a'_{21} = \frac{(P_0Q_1 - P_1Q_0)^2}{(P_0^2 + P_1^2a_{21})^2}a_{21}.$$

We observe that the nullities of  $a_{21}$  and  $e^2 + a_{21}$  are invariants of the algebra, indeed

$$e'^2 + a'_{21} = \frac{(P_0Q_1 - P_1Q_0)^2}{(P_0 + P_1e)^2(P_0^2 + P_1^2a_{21})}(e^2 + a_{21})$$

• If  $a_{21} = 0$ , to remain into the family:  $P_1S_7a_{22} + P_0S_3 = 0$  and  $P_0S_4 + P_1S_3c + P_1S_4e + P_0S_5 = 0$  $P_1S_6a_{11} + P_1S_7a_{12} - P_2S_3e + P_2S_7a_{22} = 0$ , and the condition of change of basis is  $P_0Q_1(P_0 + P_1S_6a_{11} + P_1S_7a_{12} - P_2S_3e + P_2S_7a_{22} = 0$  $P_1e)S_7 \neq 0.$ 

Thus, the parameters remain:

$$\begin{split} c' &= \frac{Q_1c}{P_0^2} + \frac{P_1Q_1a_{11}}{P_0^2(P_0 + P_1e)}; \qquad e' = \frac{Q_1e}{P_0 + P_1e} \\ \\ a'_{11} &= \frac{Q_1^2a_{11}}{P_0(P_0 + P_1e)^2}; \qquad a'_{22} = \frac{S_7a_{22}}{P_0^3}; \\ \\ a'_{12} &= \frac{S_6a_{11} + S_7a_{12}}{P_0^2(P_0 + P_1e)^2} - \frac{P_1S_7a_{22}(3P_0c + P_1a_{11})}{P_0^5(P_0 + P_1e)} - \frac{P_1^3S_7ce^2a_{22}}{P_0^5(P_0 + P_1e)^2} \end{split}$$

Furthermore, the nullity of  $ce + a_{11}$  is an invariant. In fact

$$c'e' + a'_{11} = \frac{Q_1^2}{P_0^2(P_0 + P_1e)}(ce + a_{11})$$

By the above changes of basis we observe that the nullities of e,  $a_{11}$  and  $a_{22}$  are invariants. Taking into account that the dimension of the center is 1 together to fact that  $a_{21} = 0$ , we arrive at  $a_{22}a_{11} = 0$  with any  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ .

From, we show if a table tilt configuration of the parameters 
$$\begin{cases} c = 0 & a_{22} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{12} \neq 0 \\ a_{22} \neq 0 & a_{12} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$c = 0 \begin{cases} a_{22} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{12} \neq 0 \\ a_{22} \neq 0 & a_{12} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$c \neq 0 \begin{cases} a_{22} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{12} \neq 0 \\ a_{22} \neq 0 & a_{12} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$a_{11} \neq 0 \quad (2) \longrightarrow c = 0; \quad a_{22} = 0; \quad a_{12} = 0$$

$$a_{22} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{12} \neq 0$$

$$a_{22} \neq 0 \begin{cases} a_{12} = 0 \\ a_{12} \neq 0 \\ a_{12} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$a_{22} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{12} \neq 0$$

$$a_{22} \neq 0 \quad (3) \begin{cases} a_{12} = 0 \\ a_{22} \neq 0 \quad (3) \end{cases}$$

$$a_{22} \neq 0 \quad (3) \begin{cases} a_{12} = 0 \\ a_{12} \neq a_{22} \neq 0 \quad (3) \end{cases}$$

$$a_{11} \neq 0 \quad (4) \begin{cases} ce + a_{11} \neq 0 \longrightarrow c = 0; \quad a_{22} = 0; \quad a_{12} = 0 \\ ce + a_{11} = 0 \longrightarrow c = -\frac{a_{11}}{e}; \quad a_{22} = 0; \quad a_{12} = 0 \end{cases}$$
ere the cases  $(1), (2), (3)$  and  $(4)$  are described below

(1) 
$$e = a_{11} = 0$$
,  $ca_{22} \neq 0$ 

We choose  $P_1 = \frac{P_0 a_{12}}{3ca_{22}}$  in order to obtain  $a_{12} = 0$ .

 $(2) \ e = 0, \quad a_{11} \neq 0$ 

By choosing  $P_1 = -\frac{P_0c}{a_{11}}$  always can be supposed c = 0 and  $a_{22}a_{11} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{22} = 0$ . Thus, taking  $S_6 = -\frac{S_7a_{12}}{a_{11}}$  we lead to  $a'_{12} = 0$ .

(3)  $a_{11} = 0$ ,  $eca_{22} \neq 0$ 

It is easily seen that  $e'a'_{12} + c'a'_{22} = \frac{Q_1S_7}{P_0^2(P_0 + P_1e)^3}(ea_{12} + ca_{22})$  and so, its nullity is an invariant. Thus, if  $ea_{12} + ca_{22} \neq 0$ , by a suitable choosing of  $P_1 \neq -\frac{P_0}{e}$  can be obtained  $a_{12} = 0$ . Otherwise, if  $ea_{12} + ca_{22} = 0$  then  $a_{12} = -\frac{ca_{22}}{e}$ .

(4)  $ea_{11} \neq 0$ ,  $c' = \frac{Q_1(P_0c + P_1(ce + a_{11}))}{P_0^2(P_0 + P_1e)}$  and, so, the nullity of c depends on the nullity of  $ce + a_{11}$ . If  $ce + a_{11} = 0$ , the nullity of c is an invariant and if  $ce + a_{11} \neq 0$ , by substituting  $P_1 = -\frac{P_0c}{ce + a_{11}}$  we obtain c = 0 and in the same way  $S_6 = -\frac{S_7a_{12}}{a_{11}}$  lead to  $a_{12} = 0$ .

At this point, is a laborious but simple process to verify that the only algebras or families of algebras with  $a_{21} = 0$ , pairwise non-isomorphic, are the correspond to the enunciate, previous changes of scale when they are needed.

• If  $a_{21} \neq 0$ , the change of basis given by  $(X'_0 = X_0, X'_1 = X_1, Y'_1 = Y_1, Y'_2 = a_{21}Y_2 - a_{22}Y_1)$  together to the restriction  $a_{21}a_{12} - a_{22}a_{11} = 0$ , let us suppose  $a_{22} = a_{12} = 0$ . In this case, we can note that any algebra is decomposable of the form  $\mu^s_{(5,1,1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  for s = 12 to s = 18,  $s \neq 14$ , and  $\mu^{14,\lambda}_{(5,1,1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_2$ .

Case 2:  $(\beta \neq 0)$  Taking into account the restrictions, we obtain  $a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$ ,  $a_{22} \neq 0 \Longrightarrow c = 0$  and doing an suitable change of basis  $(X'_0 = X_0; X'_1 = X_1 - \frac{e}{\beta a_{22}} Y_2)$  we can supposed e = 0. In this way, we arrive at  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{15}$ , previous change of scale.

**Theorem 3.6.** If  $g \in AL3F(5,1)$ , then it is isomorphic to one of the algebras, pairwise non-isomorphic, of laws  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^s$  with  $16 \le s \le 25$  and  $s \ne 21$  or to one of the type  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{21,\lambda}$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_2$ .

**Proof:** By the generic changes of basis used in Theorem 3.5 can be proved that the nullity of  $a_{21}$  is an invariant.

• If  $a_{21}=0 \longrightarrow Q_0=0$ , and from the condition of change of basis we can arrive at  $a_{11}a_{22}\neq 0$  and thanks to the change of basis  $(Y_1'=Y_1,\ Y_2'=a_{11}Y_2-a_{12}Y_1)$  can be supposed  $a_{12}=0$ . The others parameters remain:

$$c' = \frac{(P_0 + P_1 e)Q_1 c + P_1 Q_1 a_{11}}{P_0^2 (P_0 + P_1 e)}; \qquad e' = \frac{Q_1 e}{P_0 + P_1 e}$$

under the restrictions:

$$\begin{split} P_0Q_1(P_0+P_1e) \neq 0; \\ P_0^3S_6a_{11} - 3P_0P_1S_7(P_0+P_1e)ca_{22} - P_1^2S_7(P_0+P_1e)a_{11}a_{22} - P_1^3S_7ce^2a_{22} = 0 \end{split}$$

We observe that the nullities of e and  $ce + a_{11}$  are invariants.

· if 
$$e = 0$$
, choosing  $P_1 = -\frac{P_0c}{a_{11}}$   $(a_{11} \neq 0)$  we obtain  $c = 0$  and · if  $e \neq 0$ ,

- \*  $ce + a_{11} \neq 0$ , choosing  $P_1 = -\frac{P_0c}{ce + a_{11}}$  we obtain c = 0,
- \*  $ce + a_{11} = 0$ , then  $c = -\frac{a_{11}}{e}$ .

After all the above considerations, we can consider the following configuration for the parameters:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{21} = 0 \Longrightarrow a_{11}a_{22} \neq 0; \\ \Longrightarrow a_{12} = 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{cases} e = 0 \longrightarrow c = 0 \\ c = 0 \\ c = -\frac{a_{11}}{e} \end{cases}$$

obtaining the algebras  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^s$ , with  $16 \le s \le 18$ , previous changes of scale.

- If  $a_{21} \neq 0$ , using a similar reasoning of Theorem 3.5 the nullity of  $e^2 + a_{21}$  is invariant and the change  $(Y_1' = Y_1, Y_2' = a_{21}Y_2 a_{22}Y_1)$  let us suppose  $a_{22} = 0$  and so  $a_{12} \neq 0$ .
- · If  $e^2 + a_{21} \neq 0$ , choosing  $P_1 = \frac{P_0 e}{a_{21}}$ , we obtain e = 0.

By doing again the changes of basis together to the imposition of remain into the family, that is e = 0, we have that  $Q_0 = P_1 = 0$ , leading to

$$c' = \frac{Q_1c}{P_0^2}; \qquad a'_{11} = \frac{Q_1^2a_{11}}{P_0^3}$$

obtaining  $\mu^s_{(5,1,1,1)}$ , with  $19 \le s \le 20$  and  $\mu^{21,\lambda}_{(5,1,1,1)}$ , with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_2$  previous changes of scale when they are needed.

· If  $e^2 + a_{21} = 0 \longrightarrow a_{21} = -e^2$ ;  $e \neq 0$ . It is easy to see that the changes of basis used in the proof of the Theorem 3.5 can be adapted because of there is not necessary to consider the vector  $Y_2$  thanks to the fact that  $Y_2 \notin \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $a_{12} \neq 0$ . The nullities of  $a_{11} - ec$  and  $a_{11} + 3ec$  hold, and now repeating the cases considered in Theorem 3.5 we obtain the algebras  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^g$ , with  $22 \leq s \leq 25$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** There exist two subfamilies of AL3F(4), pairwise non-isomorphic, whose laws can be expressed, in a suitable adapted basis by

$$AL3F(4,-,0): \qquad AL3F(4,-,1): \\ \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2]=cX_4+dX_5 \\ [X_1,X_3]=cX_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=a_{31}X_3+a_{21}X_4+a_{11}X_5 \\ [X_2,Y_1]=a_{31}X_4+a_{21}X_5 \\ [X_2,Y_2]=a_{22}X_5 \\ [X_3,Y_1]=a_{31}X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_2]=bX_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1,X_2\\ [X_1,X_2]=cX_4+dX_5 \\ [X_1,X_3]=cX_5 \\ [X_1,X_4]=eX_5 \\ [X_2,X_3]=-eX_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=a_{21}X_4+a_{11}X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_2]=a_{22}X_4+a_{12}X_5 \\ [X_2,Y_1]=a_{21}X_5 \\ [X_2,Y_2]=a_{22}X_5 \\ [X_2,Y_2]=a_{22}X_5 \\ [Y_1,Y_2]=bX_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_2,Y_2\\ [X_2,Y_2]=a_{22}X_5 \\ [Y_1,Y_2]=bX_5 \end{bmatrix} e \neq 0$$

**Proof:** By tacking into account the restrictions of the family of laws AL3F(4), can be supposed  $a_{32} = 0$  (doing changes of basis when they are needed). The nullity of e is an invariant, in fact  $dim(\mathcal{D}^2(\mathfrak{g}))$  is 0 if e = 0 and 1 if  $e \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $g \in AL3F(4,-,0)$ , then it is isomorphic to one of the algebras, pairwise non-isomorphic, of laws  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^s$  with  $26 \le s \le 41$ , and the decomposable Lie algebras  $\mu_{(5,1)}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $1 \le s \le 3$ ,  $\mu_{(5,1,1)}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}$ ,  $19 \le s \le 27$ .

Proof: Similar to precedents.

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $g \in AL3F(4,-,1)$ , then it is isomorphic to one of the algebras, pairwise non-isomorphic, of laws  $\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^s$  with  $42 \le s \le 47$ , and the decomposable Lie algebras  $\mu_{(5,1)}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $4 \le s \le 5$ ,  $\mu_{(5,1,1)}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}$ ,  $28 \le s \le 33$ .

Proof: Similar to precedents.

## 4 List of laws

Continuously we will explicit the laws of each one of the algebras with Goze's invariant (5,1,1,1) in order to simplify their placing. We remind that it is only necessary to know the following brackets:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [X_0,X_i] & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ [X_i,X_{i+1}] & 1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ [X_1,Y_j] & 1 \leq j \leq 2 \\ [Y_1,Y_2] \end{array}$$

the remaining brackets can be found using Jacobi's identity.

The used notations in the list of algebras can be see in [7]. By commodity, we include the list of laws of any (n-5)-filiform Lie algebra of dimension 6 and 7 (whose Goze's invariant are (5,1) ([10], [13]) and (5,1,1) ([1]), respectively).

AL3F(6):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^1 : & \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^2 : & \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^3 : \\ \begin{cases} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y_1 \\ [X_2,X_3] = -Y_2 \end{cases} & \begin{cases} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y_1 \\ [X_2,X_3] = -Y_2 \\ [X_1,Y_1] = X_4 \end{cases} & \begin{cases} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 + Y_1 \\ [X_2,X_3] = -Y_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

AL3F(5):

AL3F(5,3):

$$\mu_{(5,1,1)}^s \oplus \mathbf{C}, \qquad 1 \le s \le 8$$

AL3F(5,2):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^4 : & \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^5 : & \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^6 : \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y_1 \\ [X_1,Y_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y_1 \\ [X_1,Y_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y_1 \\ [X_1,Y_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{7}: & \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{8}: & \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{8}: \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} + Y_{1} \\ [X_{1},Y_{2}] = X_{5} \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} + Y_{1} \\ [X_{1},Y_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{5} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{1} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{5} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4} \end{array} \right. \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{lll} [X_{0},X_{i}] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_{1},X_{2}] = X_{4}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{25}: \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},X_{2}\right] = Y_{1} \\ \left[X_{2},X_{3}\right] = -X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{1}\right] = -X_{4} + X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \text{AL3F}(4): \\ \text{AL3F}(4): \\ \begin{array}{l} AL3F(4): \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[Y_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[Y_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},X_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},X_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},X_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},X_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{0},X_{i}\right] = X_{i+1} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2}\right] = X_{5} \\ \left[X_{1},Y_{2$$

AL3F(4,-,1): 
$$\mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{42}: \qquad \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{43}: \qquad \mu_{(5,1,1,1)}^{44}:$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_2,X_3]=-X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=X_4 \\ [X_1,Y_2]=X_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_2,X_3]=-X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=X_4 \\ [X_1,Y_2]=X_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_2,X_3]=-X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=X_4 \\ [X_1,Y_2]=X_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y_2]=X_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2]=X_4 \\ [X_1,X_2]=X_4 \\ [X_1,X_2]=X_4 \\ [X_1,X_2]=X_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2]=X_4 \\ [X_2,X_3]=-X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=X_4 \\ [Y_1,Y_2]=X_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [X_0,X_i]=X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2]=X_4 \\ [X_2,X_3]=-X_5 \\ [X_1,Y_1]=X_4 \\ [Y_1,Y_2]=X_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mu_{(5,1)}^{s} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{2}, \qquad 4 \leq s \leq 5$$

$$\mu_{(5,1,1)}^{s} \oplus \mathbf{C}, \qquad 28 \leq s \leq 33$$

# 5 Appendix

We will explicit the laws of each one of the algebras with Goze's invariant (5,1) and (5,1,1) in order to simplify their placing. We write only essential brackets (see § 4).

## 5.1 Lie algebras of Goze's invariant (5,1)

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{ see, } [10], \, [13]) \\ \mu^1_{(5,1)}: & \mu^2_{(5,1)}: & \mu^3_{(5,1)}: \\ \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ \mu^4_{(5,1)}: & \mu^5_{(5,1)}: \\ \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right. \\ \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right. & \left[ \begin{array}{ll} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1, X_2] = X_4 \\ [X_2, X_3] = -X_5 \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}$$

## 5.2 Lie algebras of Goze's invariant (5,1,1)

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mu_{(5,1,1)}^{T}: & \mu_{(5,1,1)}^{S}: & \mu_{(5,1,1)}^{S}: \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 + Y \\ [X_2,X_3] = -Y \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 + Y \\ [X_2,X_3] = -\sqrt{2}X_5 - Y \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y \\ [X_2,X_3] = -X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y_2] = Y \\ [X_2,X_3] = -X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,Y] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = Y \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_5 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [X_0,X_i] = X_{i+1} \\ [X_1,X_2] = X_4 \end{array} \right] & \left[ \begin{array}{c} [$$

and the decomposable algebras

 $\mu_{(5,1)}^s \oplus \mathbf{C}, \qquad 1 \le s \le 5.$ 

## References

- [1] J.M. Ancochea, M. Goze, Classification des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes complexes de dimension 7, Archiv. Math., 52:2, pp. 175-185, 1989.
- [2] J.M. Ancochea, M. Goze, Classification des algèbres de Lie filiformes de dimension 8, Archiv. Math., 50, 511-525, 1988.
- [3] J.M. Cabezas, L.M. Camacho, J.R. Gómez, R.M. Navarro, A class of nilpotent Lie algebras, To appear in Communications in Algebra.
- [4] J.M. Cabezas, J.R. Gómez, Generación de la familia de leyes de álgebras de Lie (n-5)filiformes en dimensión cualquiera, Meeting on Matrix Analysis and its Applications, 109-116, Sevilla, 1997.
- [5] J.M. Cabezas, J.R. Gómez, (n-4)-filiform Lie algebras, Communications in Algebra, vol 27, 1999.
- [6] J.M. Cabezas, J.R. Gómez, A. Jiménez-Merchán, Family of p-filiform Lie algebras, In Algebra and Operator Theory, 1997. Ed. Y. Khakimdjanov, M. Goze, Sh. Ayupov, 93-102. Kluwer Academic Publishers. 1998.
- [7] L.M. Camacho, J.R. Gómez, R.M. Navarro, (n-5)-filiform Lie algebras up to dimension 7, Preprint of Departamento Matemática Aplicada I, Universidad de Sevilla. MA1-03-99IX.
- [8] J.R. Gómez, F.J. Echarte, Classification of complex filiform Lie algebras of dimension 9, Rend. Sem. Fac. Sc. Univ. Cagliari, 61:1, 21-29, 1991.
- [9] J.R. Gómez, M. Goze, Y. Khakimdjanov, On the k-abelian filiform Lie algebras, Communications in Algebra, 25(2), 431-450, 1997.
- [10] J.R. Gómez, A. Jiménez-Merchán, Y. Khakimdjanov, Low-dimensional filiform Lie algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 130(2), 133-158, 1998.
- [11] M. Goze, Y. Khakimdjanov, Nilpotent Lie Algebras, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [12] Y. Hakimdjanov (Khakimdjanov), Variété des lois d'algèbres de Lie nilpotentes, Geometriae Dedicata, 40, 229-295, 1991.
- [13] V. Morosov, Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of sixth order, Izv. Vysch. U. Zaved. Mat., 4(5), 161-171, 1958.