

STANY DE SMEDT

Local invertibility of non-archimedean vector-valued functions

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 5, n° 1 (1998), p. 13-23

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP_1998__5_1_13_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

LOCAL INVERTIBILITY OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

Stany De Smedt

Abstract We are well acquainted with the local invertibility of C^n -functions of one variable (see [4]). Surprisingly this is not the case for vector-valued functions. In this paper we will fill this gap in non-archimedean functional analysis.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification : 46S10

1 Introduction

Let K be a complete non-archimedean non-trivially valued field, and $C(X \rightarrow K)$ the space of all continuous functions from X to K , where X is a nonempty subset of K without isolated points.

Let $f : X \rightarrow K$ and $\nabla^2 X = X \times X \setminus \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$. The (first) difference quotient $\phi_1 f : \nabla^2 X \rightarrow K$ is defined by

$$\phi_1 f(x, y) = \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}$$

f is called continuously differentiable (or strictly differentiable, or uniformly differentiable) at $a \in X$ if $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (a,a)} \phi_1 f(x, y)$ exists. We will also say that f is C^1 at a .

In a similar way, we may define C^n -functions as follows :

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\nabla^{n+1} X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in X^{n+1} \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$ and the n -th difference quotient $\phi_n f : \nabla^{n+1} X \rightarrow K$ by $\phi_0 f = f$ and

$$\phi_n f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \frac{\phi_{n-1} f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) - \phi_{n-1} f(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1})}{x_2 - x_1}$$

A function f is called a C^n -function if $\phi_n f$ can be extended to a continuous function $\overline{\phi_n f}$ on X^{n+1} .

The set of all C^n -functions from X to K will be denoted by $C^n(X \rightarrow K)$.

For $f : X \times X \rightarrow K$, the first difference quotients $\phi_1^{(1)} f$ and $\phi_1^{(2)} f$ are defined as

$$\phi_1^{(1)} f(x, x', y) = \frac{f(x, y) - f(x', y)}{x - x'}$$

and

$$\phi_1^{(2)} f(x, y, y') = \frac{f(x, y) - f(x, y')}{y - y'}$$

(for $x \neq x'$ and $y \neq y'$).

If $\phi_1^{(1)} f$ and $\phi_1^{(2)} f$ can be extended to continuous functions $\overline{\phi_1^{(1)} f}$, $\overline{\phi_1^{(2)} f}$ respectively, defined on X^3 then f is called a C^1 -function. The space of all these C^1 -functions, will be denoted $C^1(X \times X \rightarrow K)$.

We have obviously

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = \overline{\phi_1^{(1)} f}(x, x, y)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = \overline{\phi_1^{(2)} f}(x, y, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

For the difference quotients of second order, we get

$$\phi_2^{(11)} f(x, x', x'', y) = \frac{\phi_1^{(1)} f(x, x', y) - \phi_1^{(1)} f(x, x'', y)}{x' - x''}$$

$$\phi_2^{(21)} f(x, x', y, y') = \frac{\phi_1^{(1)} f(x, x', y) - \phi_1^{(1)} f(x, x', y')}{y - y'}$$

$$\phi_2^{(12)} f(x, x', y, y') = \frac{\phi_1^{(2)} f(x, y, y') - \phi_1^{(2)} f(x', y, y')}{x - x'}$$

$$\phi_2^{(22)} f(x, y, y', y'') = \frac{\phi_1^{(2)} f(x, y, y') - \phi_1^{(2)} f(x, y, y'')}{y' - y''}$$

and f is a C^2 -function if those four functions can be extended to continuous functions on X^4 . Remark that $\phi_2^{(21)} f(x, x', y, y') = \phi_2^{(12)} f(x, x', y, y')$. Following the notations above, we denote $C^2(X \times X \rightarrow K)$ for the space of all C^2 -functions. Continuing in the same way, we define the difference quotients of n -th order and the C^n -functions.

More information about C^n -functions can be found in [1], [2], [3] and [4].

2 Vector-valued C^1 -functions

Now let us take a look to vector-valued functions.

Let E be an n -dimensional non-archimedean vectorspace, F an m -dimensional non-archimedean vectorspace, and let $f : E \rightarrow F$. Let x be a point of E . For all vectors h such that $|h|$ is small (and $h \neq 0$), the point $x + h$ also lies in E .

However we cannot form a quotient $\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$ because it is meaningless to divide by a vector. In order to define what we mean for a function f to be continuously differentiable, we must find a way which does not involve dividing by h . We reconsider the case of functions f of one variable :

f is continuously differentiable at a point a if $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (a,a)} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}$ exists

In other words f is C^1 at a if f is differentiable at a and if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x-a| < \delta, |y-a| < \delta, x \neq y$ then $\left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} - f'(a) \right| < \epsilon$

This can also be written as :

f is C^1 at a if there exists a function g such that for all $x \neq y$:

$$f(y) - f(x) = f'(a).(y - x) + g(y - x) \text{ whereby } \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (a,a)} \frac{g(y - x)}{y - x} = 0$$

We now consider a function of n variables.

Definition

Let X be a nonempty subset of E without isolated points. The function $f : X \rightarrow F$ is *continuously differentiable at a* if there is a linear function L (depending on a) such that for all $x \neq y \in X$:

$$f(x) - f(y) = L(x - y) + g(x - y) \text{ with } \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (a,a)} \frac{|g(y - x)|}{|y - x|} = 0$$

The linear function L is then denoted by df_a and is called the *differential of f at a* . Its matrix $f'(a)$ is called *the derivative of f at a* . Thus $f'(a)$ is the unique $m \times n$ -matrix such that $df_a(x) = f'(a).x$ for all $x \in X$. The set of all C^1 -functions $f : X \rightarrow F$ is denoted $C^1(X \rightarrow F)$.

Lemma

The function $f : X \rightarrow F$ is continuously differentiable at a if and only if each of its component functions f_1, \dots, f_m is.

This lemma follows immediately from a componentwise reading of the vector equation in the definition above.

Proposition

The composition of two C^1 -functions is a C^1 -function.

Proof

We prove the proposition for $n = m = 2$. The proof is completely similar for the general case.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1^{(1)}(g \circ f)_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\
&= \frac{(g \circ f)_1(x_1, x_2) - (g \circ f)_1(x'_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x'_1} \\
&= \frac{g_1(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) - g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x'_1, x_2))}{x_1 - x'_1} \\
&= \frac{g_1(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) - g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2))}{x_1 - x'_1} \\
&\quad + \frac{g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) - g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x'_1, x_2))}{x_1 - x'_1} \\
&= \frac{g_1(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) - g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2))}{f_1(x_1, x_2) - f_1(x'_1, x_2)} \cdot \frac{f_1(x_1, x_2) - f_1(x'_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x'_1} \\
&\quad + \frac{g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) - g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x'_1, x_2))}{f_2(x_1, x_2) - f_2(x'_1, x_2)} \cdot \frac{f_2(x_1, x_2) - f_2(x'_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x'_1} \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2), f_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1^{(1)}(g \circ f)_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(f_1(x'_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2), f_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1^{(2)}(g \circ f)_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_1(x_1, x'_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(f_1(x_1, x'_2), f_2(x_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1^{(2)}(g \circ f)_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(f_1(x_1, x_2), f_1(x_1, x'_2), f_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(f_1(x_1, x'_2), f_2(x_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

And these are continuous extendable since f and g are continuously differentiable functions.

Theorem

Let $f : E \rightarrow E$ be C^1 at a and suppose $\det f'(a) \neq 0$, then f is locally invertible. The local inverse g of f is C^1 at $f(a)$ and $g'(f(a)) = (f'(a))^{-1}$.

Proof

We may assume that $a = 0 = f(a)$. If this is not the case, we first make translations, replacing $f(x)$ by $F(x) = f(x + a) - f(a)$.

Let $L = df_0$ and $\Phi = L^{-1} \circ f$. Note that the inverse L^{-1} exists since $\det f'(0) \neq 0$. Moreover $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $d\Phi_0 = L^{-1} \circ df_0 = L^{-1} \circ L = I$.

L^{-1} is a linear function, so L^{-1} is C^1 and thus also Φ is C^1 . From the definition of C^1 -functions we then get that given ϵ there exists a neighbourhood U of 0 of radius δ such that $|\Phi(s) - \Phi(t) - (s - t)| \leq \epsilon|s - t|$ for every $s, t \in U$.

Choose $\epsilon < 1$, then f is injective on U .

Indeed, suppose $f(s) = f(t)$ with $s, t \in U$, then $\Phi(s) = L^{-1}(f(s)) = L^{-1}(f(t)) = \Phi(t)$. And thus $|s - t| = |\Phi(s) - \Phi(t) - (s - t)| \leq \epsilon|s - t|$, so $s = t$.

Given $y \in U$, define $\varphi_y : E \rightarrow E$ by $\varphi_y(x) = x - \Phi(x) + y$.

We want to show that φ_y is a contraction on U , its unique fixed point will then be the desired point $x \in U$, such that $\Phi(x) = y$.

For $x \in U$:

$$|\varphi_y(x)| = |x - \Phi(x) + y| \leq \max(|x - \Phi(x)|, |y|) < \max(|x|, |y|) < \delta$$

So φ_y maps U into itself.

$$|\varphi_y(s) - \varphi_y(t)| = |s - \Phi(s) - t + \Phi(t)| \leq \epsilon|s - t|$$

Thus $\varphi_y : U \rightarrow U$ is indeed a contraction, and therefore has a unique fixed point $x \in U$ such that $\Phi(x) = y$.

The fixed point $x = \Psi(y)$ is the limit of the sequence (x_m) defined by

$$x_0 = 0, x_{m+1} = x_m - \Phi(x_m) + y$$

We now apply that $|\Phi(s) - \Phi(t) - (s - t)| \leq \epsilon|s - t|$ with $s = \Psi(x), t = \Psi(y)$ for any $\epsilon < 1$, then

$$|\Phi(\Psi(x)) - \Phi(\Psi(y)) - (\Psi(x) - \Psi(y))| \leq \epsilon|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)| < |\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)|$$

or equivalently

$$|x - y - (\Psi(x) - \Psi(y))| < |\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)|$$

Because of the strict inequality, this means that $|x - y| = |\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)|$, so

$$|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y) - (x - y)| \leq \epsilon|x - y|$$

This proves that Ψ is continuously differentiable with $d\Psi_0 = I$.

Let $\eta = \frac{\delta}{\|L^{-1}\|}$ and let V be the η -neighbourhood of 0.

Define g by $g(x) = \Psi(L^{-1}(x))$ for all $x \in V$. Thus g is C^1 as composition of two C^1 -functions and $g(V) \subset U$.

Moreover,

$$f(g(x)) = f(\Psi(L^{-1}(x))) = L \circ \Phi \circ \Psi \circ L^{-1}(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in V$$

And

$$g(f(t)) = \Psi \circ L^{-1} \circ f(t) = \Psi \circ \Phi(t) = t \text{ for all } t \in U$$

The chain rule gives $g'(f(a)) = (f'(a))^{-1}$, which ends our proof.

3 Local invertibility of C^k -functions

As in classical analysis, C^2 -functions can be defined as follows.

Definition

The function $f : E \rightarrow F$ is C^2 at a if there is a linear function L_1 and a bilinear function L_2 (both depending on a) such that for all $x \neq y \in E$:

$$f(x) - f(y) = L_1(x-y) + L_2(x-y)(x-y) + g(x-y) \text{ with } \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (a,a)} \frac{|g(y-x)|}{|y-x|^2} = 0$$

In order to give a similar definition for C^k -functions, we have to formulate an extra assumption on the domain of our function (see [4], section 83). Whereas the proof of the local invertibility theorem for C^1 -functions is based on such a definition, we will prefer the following definition to prove the local invertibility theorem for C^k -functions.

Definiton

The function $f : E \rightarrow F$ is C^k at a if and only if each of its components f_1, \dots, f_m is.

We then get

Theorem

Let $f : E \rightarrow E$ be C^k at a and suppose $\det f'(a) \neq 0$, then f is locally invertible. The local inverse g of f is C^k at $f(a)$.

Proof

We will restrict our proof to the case $k = 2, n = 2$, since otherwise the notations become too complicated.

Since f is a C^2 -function by assumption, f is also a C^1 -function. So the previous theorem tells us that f is locally invertible and the local inverse g of f is C^1 at $f(a)$. To prove that g is also C^2 at $f(a)$ we will give an expression for the second order difference quotients of the components g_1 and g_2 of g .

We start from the formulas in the proposition of section 2, for example

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_1^{(1)}(f \circ g)_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\ &= \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\ & \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since f and g are inverse functions $f \circ g = I$, the identity function. So all second order difference quotients of $f \circ g$ are identically 0. We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi_2^{(11)}(f \circ g)_1(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ &= \frac{\phi_1^{(1)}(f \circ g)_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) - \phi_1^{(1)}(f \circ g)_1(x_1, x''_1, x_2)}{x'_1 - x''_1} \\ &= \left(\phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \right. \\ & \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\ & \quad - \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad \left. - \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x''_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \right) \cdot \frac{1}{x'_1 - x''_1} \\ &= \left(\phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \right. \\ & \quad - \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad + \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \\ & \quad - \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad - \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad - \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \\ & \quad \left. + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \right) \cdot \frac{1}{x'_1 - x''_1} \\ &= \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(11)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(11)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& + \phi_2^{(11)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& + \phi_2^{(12)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& + \phi_2^{(22)} f_1(g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x''_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x'_1, x''_1, x_2)
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \phi_2^{(11)} (f \circ g)_2(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& = \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(11)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(11)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(12)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x''_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x'_1, x''_1, x_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_2(g_1(x''_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x''_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x'_1, x''_1, x_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \phi_2^{(21)} (f \circ g)_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& = \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(21)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(21)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(21)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(12)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \phi_2^{(21)} (f \circ g)_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& = \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(21)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(21)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(21)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(12)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
& \quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi_2^{(12)}(f \circ g)_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(12)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(12)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(21)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(12)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi_2^{(12)}(f \circ g)_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(12)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(12)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(21)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(12)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x'_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(1)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x'_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x'_1, x_2, x'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi_2^{(22)}(f \circ g)_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(22)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(22)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x_1, x''_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x''_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(12)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x_1, x''_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x''_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(22)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x''_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x''_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x''_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x'_2, x''_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi_2^{(22)}(f \circ g)_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&= \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(22)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_2^{(22)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2) \\
&\quad + \phi_2^{(11)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x_1, x''_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x''_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x'_2, x''_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \phi_2^{(12)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_1(x_1, x''_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x''_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_1(x_1, x'_2, x''_2) \\
& + \phi_2^{(22)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x''_2)) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x''_2) \cdot \phi_1^{(2)} g_2(x_1, x'_2, x''_2)
\end{aligned}$$

We now get a system of 8 ($= n^{k+1}$) equations in the 8 unknowns

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_2^{(11)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2), \phi_2^{(11)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x''_1, x_2), \phi_2^{(21)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2), \phi_2^{(21)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2), \\
& \phi_2^{(12)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2), \phi_2^{(12)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2), \phi_2^{(22)} g_1(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2), \phi_2^{(22)} g_2(x_1, x_2, x'_2, x''_2)
\end{aligned}$$

with determinant

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B \end{vmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) & \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \\ \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) & \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(g_1(x'_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x'_1, x_2)) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{(1)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) & \phi_1^{(2)} f_1(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \\ \phi_1^{(1)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x_2), g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) & \phi_1^{(2)} f_2(g_1(x_1, x'_2), g_2(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x'_2)) \end{pmatrix}$$

In the limit that $(x_1, x_2), (x'_1, x_2), (x_1, x'_2)$ tend to $f(a)$, D becomes equal to $(\det f'(a))^4$ which is different from 0. (Herein $4 = n^k$). So $D \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of $f(a)$.

Since f is a C^2 -function and g is a C^1 -function, and using Cramers rule to solve a system of linear equations, we see that all second order difference quotients of g are continuously extendable. Thus g is a C^2 -function.

Remark

In the proof above we could reduce our system to a system of 6 equations in 6 unknowns, since $\phi_2^{(21)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) = \phi_2^{(12)} g_1(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2)$ and $\phi_2^{(21)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) = \phi_2^{(12)} g_2(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2)$, but then it is more difficult to see the generalization to arbitrary n and k .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT : The author wishes to thank professor W. Schikhof for pointing this subject to his attention.

4 References

- [1] S. DE SMEDT, The van der Put base for C^n -functions, Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society - Simon Stevin 1(1), 1994, p.85-98

- [2] S. DE SMEDT, p -adic continuously differentiable functions of several variables, *Collectanea Mathematica* 45(2), 1994, p.137-152
- [3] W.H. SCHIKHOF, Non-Archimedean calculus (Lecture notes), Report 7812, Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen, 1978
- [4] W.H. SCHIKHOF, *Ultrametric Calculus : an introduction to p -adic analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1984

Stany De Smedt
Free University of Brussels
Faculty of Applied Sciences
Pleinlaan 2
B-1050 Brussels
Belgium

Manuscrit reçu en Décembre 1997