

P.N. NATARAJAN

Some Steinhaus type theorems over valued fields

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 3, n° 2 (1996), p. 183-188

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP_1996__3_2_183_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

SOME STEINHAUS TYPE THEOREMS OVER VALUED FIELDS

par P.N. NATARAJAN

1. Preliminaries :

In this paper K denotes R (the field of real numbers) or C (the field of complex numbers) or a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field as will be explicitly stated depending on the context.

In the sequel, infinite matrices $A = (a_{nk}), n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and sequences $x = \{x_k\}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ have their entries in K . If X, Y are two classes of sequences, we write (X, Y) to denote the class of all infinite matrices $A = (a_{nk}), n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ for which

$$Ax = \{(Ax)_n\} \in Y \text{ whenever } x = \{x_k\} \in X,$$

$$\text{where } (Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k, n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

it being assumed that the series on the right converge. The sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$ is called the A -transform of $x = \{x_k\}$. The sequence spaces $\ell_p, p \geq 1, \ell_\infty, c, c_0$ are defined as usual i.e.,

$$\ell_p = \{x = \{x_k\} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty\}, p \geq 1 ;$$

$$\ell_\infty = \{x = \{x_k\} : \sup_{k \geq 1} |x_k| < \infty\} ;$$

$$c = \{x = \{x_k\} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = s \text{ for some } s \in K\} ;$$

$$c_0 = \{x = \{x_k\} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0\} .$$

Note that $\ell_p \subset c_0 \subset c \subset \ell_\infty$ where $p \geq 1$. For convenience we write $\ell_1 = \ell. (\ell, c; P')$

denotes the class of all infinite matrices $A \in (\ell, c)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$

whenever $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell$.

2. The case $K = R$ or C

When $K = R$ or C , it is known ([11]), p. 4, 17) that $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell, c)$ if and only if

$$(1) \quad \sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| < \infty ;$$

and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \delta_k \text{ exists, } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We now prove the following

THEOREM 2.1 :

When $K = R$ or C , $A \in (\ell, c; P')$ if and only if (1) holds and (2) holds with

$$(3) \quad \delta_k = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof.

Let $A \in (\ell, c; P')$. Let e_k be the sequence in which 1 occurs in the k^{th} place and 0 elsewhere, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ i.e.,

$$e_k = \left\{ x_i^{(k)} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{(k)} &= 1, \text{ if } i = k ; \\ &= 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $e_k \in \ell, k = 1, 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(k)} = 1$ and $(Ae_k)_n = a_{nk}$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 1$, i.e.,

$\delta_k = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus (1) and (3) are necessary for $A \in (\ell, c; P')$.

Conversely, let (1) and (3) hold. Let $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell$. In view of (1), $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ converges,

$n = 1, 2, \dots$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax)_n &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - 1)x_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k, \end{aligned}$$

this being true since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ both converge.

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2A},$$

where $A = \sup_{n,k} |a_{nk} - 1|$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we can choose a positive integer $N' > N$ such that

$$(5) \quad |a_{nk} - 1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2NM} \quad , \quad n \geq N', k = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $M > 0$ is such that $|x_k| \leq M, k = 1, 2, \dots$. Now, for $n \geq N'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - 1)x_k \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N |a_{nk} - 1| |x_k| + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - 1| |x_k| \\ &< N \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2NM} \cdot M + A \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2A}, \text{ in view of (4) and (5)} \\ &= \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - 1)x_k = 0$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$.

Consequently $A \in (\ell, c ; P')$ which completes the proof of the theorem.

When $K = R$ or C , the Steinhaus theorem ([4], p. 187, Theorem 14) can be written conveniently in the form $(c, c ; P) \cap (\ell_{\infty}, c) = \emptyset$, where $(c, c ; P)$ denotes the class of all infinite matrices $A \in (c, c)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$.

We shall call such type of theorems as "Steinhaus type theorems". Such theorems were considered in [2], [3], [8]. Using Theorem 1, we shall deduce one such theorem.

THEOREM 2.2 :

$$(\ell, c ; P') \cap (\ell_p, c) = \emptyset \text{ whenever } p > 1.$$

Proof. :

Suppose $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell, c ; P') \cap (\ell_p, c)$ where $p > 1$. It is known ([11], p. 4, 16) that $A \in (\ell_p, c)$ whenever $p > 1$, if and only if (2) holds and

$$(6) \quad \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^q < \infty,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. It now follows that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_k|^q < \infty$, which contradicts the fact that

$\delta_k = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$, since $A \in (\ell, c ; P')$ and consequently $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_k|^q$ diverges. This establishes our claim.

Remark 2.3.

Since $(\ell_{\infty}, c) \subset (c, c) \subset (c_0, c) \subset (\ell_p, c)$ where $p > 1$, we have $(\ell, c ; P') \cap (X, c) = \emptyset$, when $X = \ell_{\infty}, c, c_0, \ell_p$ where $p > 1$.

3. The case when K is a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field.

For concepts and results in Analysis over complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean fields, we refer to [1]. In this case, Steinhaus type theorems were considered in [6], [7], [8], [10].

When K is a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field, one can prove that Theorem 2.1 continues to hold. In this case, if $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell, c ; P') \cap (\ell_{\infty}, c)$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq 1} |a_{nk} - 1| = 0$ (see [6], Theorem 2). So for any $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists

a positive integer N such that

$$|a_{nk} - 1| < \varepsilon, n \geq N, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In particular, $|a_{Nk} - 1| < \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_{Nk} - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ i.e., $|0 - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ (since $A \in (\ell_{\infty}, c), \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$, by Theorem 2 of [6]) i.e., $1, \leq \varepsilon$, a contradiction on the choice of ε . Consequently we have :

Theorem 3.1

When K is a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field,
 $(\ell, c ; P') \cap (\ell_{\infty}, c) = \emptyset$.

Remark 3.2 :

However, $(\ell, c ; P') \cap (c, c) \neq \emptyset$ when K is a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field, as the following example illustrates.

Consider the infinite matrix

$$A = (a_{nk}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -4 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } a_{nk} &= 1, k \leq n - 1 & ; \\ &= -(n - 1), k = n & ; \\ &= 0, \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| \leq 1 < \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 0$ so that $A \in$

$(\ell, c; P') \cap (c, c)$ (for criterion for $A \in (c, c)$, see [5], [9]). Since $(c, c) \subset (c_0, c) \subset (\ell_p, c)$ where $p > 1$, it follows that $(\ell, c; P') \cap (X, c) \neq \emptyset$, when $X = c, c_0, \ell_p$ where $p > 1$. This indicates a violent departure in when K is a non-archimedean valued field from the case $K = R$ or C .

$(c_0, c; P')$ denotes the class of all infinite matrices $A \in (c_0, c)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ whenever $x = \{x_k\} \in c_0$. In this context it is worthwhile to note that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ converges if and only if $\{x_k\} \in c_0$.

Remark 3.3 :

$$(c_0, c; P') = (\ell, c; P').$$

Proof.

Adapting the proof of Theorem 2.1, with suitable modifications for the non-archimedean case, we have, $A \in (c_0, c; P')$ if and only if (1) and (3) hold. The result now follows.

4. General remarks

It is to be noted that $\ell_p, p \geq 1, c_0, c, \ell_{\infty}$ are linear spaces with respect to coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication irrespective of how K is chosen. When $K = R$ or C , c_0, c, ℓ_{∞} are Banach spaces with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{k \geq 1} |x_k|$ where $x = \{x_k\} \in$

c_0, c or ℓ_{∞} , while they are non-archimedean Banach spaces under the above norm when K is a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field.

Whatever be K, ℓ_p is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_p.$$

Whatever be K , if $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell, c; P')$, then A is bounded and $\|A\| = \sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}|$.

However, $(\ell, c; P')$ is not a subspace of $BL(\ell, c)$, i.e., the space of all bounded linear mappings of ℓ into c , since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2a_{nk} = 2, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and consequently $2A \notin (\ell, c; P')$ when $A \in (\ell, c; P')$.

I thank the referee for his helpful comments which enabled me to present the material in a better form.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] G. Bachman, *Introduction to p -adic numbers and valuation theory*, Academic Press, 1964.
- [2] J.A. Fridy, *Properties of absolute summability matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 583-585.
- [3] I.J. Maddox, *On theorems of Steinhaus type*, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 239-244.
- [4] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [5] A.F. Monna, *Sur le théorème de Banach-Steinhaus*, Indag. Math. 25 (1963), 121-131.
- [6] P.N. Natarajan, *The Steinhaus theorem for Toeplitz matrices in non-archimedean fields*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 20 (1978), 417-422.
- [7] P.N. Natarajan, *Characterization of a class of infinite matrices with applications*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 34 (1986), 161-175.
- [8] P.N. Natarajan, *A Steinhaus type theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1987), 559-562.
- [9] P.N. Natarajan, *Criterion for regular matrices in non-archimedean fields*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 6 (1991), 185-195.
- [10] P.N. Natarajan, *Characterization of some special classes of infinite matrices over non-archimedean fields*, Indian J. Math. 34 (1992), 45-51.
- [11] M. Stieglitz, H. Tietz, *Matrixtransformationen von Folgenräumen Eine Ergebnisübersicht*, Math. Z. 154 (1977), 1-16.

Department of Mathematics,
Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda College
Madras - 600 004
INDIA