

M. MEGAN

D.R. LATCU

On uniform exponential N -dichotomy

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 1, n° 2 (1994), p. 33-41

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP_1994__1_2_33_0>

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON UNIFORM EXPONENTIAL N-DICHOTOMY

M. MEGAN and D.R. LATCU

The problem of uniform exponential N -dichotomy of evolutionary processes in Banach spaces is discussed. Generalizations of the some well-known results of R. Datko, Z. Zabczyk, S. Rollewicz and A. Ichikawa are obtained. The results are applicable for a large class of nonlinear differential equations.

I - INTRODUCTION.

Let X be a real or complex Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let T be the set defined by

$$T = \{(t, t_0) : 0 \leq t_0 \leq t < \infty\}$$

Let $\Phi(t, t_0)$ with $(t, t_0) \in T$ be a family of operators with domain $X_{t_0} \subset X$.

Definition 1.1

The family $\Phi(t, t_0)$ with $(t, t_0) \in T$ is called an *evolutionary process* if :

- $e_1)$ $\Phi(t, t_0)x_0 \in X_t$ for all (t, t_0) and $x_0 \in X_{t_0}$;
- $e_2)$ $\Phi(t, t_1)\Phi(t_1, t_0)x_0 = \Phi(t, t_0)x_0$ for $(t, t_1), (t_1, t_0) \in T$ and $x_0 \in X_{t_0}$;
- $e_3)$ $\Phi(t, t)x = x$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in x_t$;
- $e_4)$ for each $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in X_{t_0}$ the function $t \mapsto \Phi(t, t_0)x_0$ is continuous on $[t_0, \infty]$;
- $e_5)$ there is a positive nondecreasing function $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| \leq \varphi(t - t_0)\|x_0\|$ for all $(t, t_0) \in T$ and $x_0 \in X_{t_0}$.

Throughout in this paper for each $t_0 \geq 0$ we denote by

$$X_{t_0}^1 = \{x_0 \in X_{t_0} : \Phi(\cdot, t_0)x_0 \in L_{t_0}^\infty(X)\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{t_0}^2 = X_{t_0}^2 = X_{t_0} \setminus X_{t_0}^1$$

where $L_{t_0}^\infty(X)$ is the Banach space of X -valued function f defined a.e. on $[t_0, \infty]$, such that f is strongly measurable and essentially bounded.

Remark 1.1 If $x_0 \in X_{t_0}^1$ and $t \geq t_0$ then $\Phi(t, t_0)x_0 \in X_t^1$.

Indeed, if $x_0 \in X_{t_0}^1$ then

$$\Phi(., t)\Phi(t, t_0)x_0 = \Phi(., t_0)x_0 \in L_{t_0}^\infty(X) \subset L_t^\infty(X)$$

and hence $\phi(t, t_0)x_0 \in X_t^1$.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of strictly increasing real functions N defined on $[0, \infty]$ which satisfies :

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} N(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N(t, t_0) \leq N(t)N(t_0)$$

for all $t, t_0 \geq 0$.

Remark 1.2. It is easy to see that if $N \in \mathcal{N}$ then

- i) $N(t) > 0$ for every $t > 0$;
- ii) $N(0) = 0$ and $N(1) \geq 1$;
- iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = \infty$.

Definition 1.2. Let $N \in \mathcal{N}$. The evolutionary process $\Phi(., .)$ is said to be *uniformly exponentially N -dichotomic* (and we write u.e - N-d.) if there are $M_1, M_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1, x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ we have :

$$Nd_1) \quad N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) \geq M_1 e^{-\nu_1(t-s)} \cdot N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|), \text{ and}$$

$$Nd_2) \quad N(\|(t, t_0)x_2\|) \leq M_2 e^{\nu_2(t-s)} \cdot N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|).$$

Particulary, for $N(t) = t$, if $\Phi(., .)$ is u.e-N-d. then $\Phi(., .)$ is called an *uniform exponential dichotomic* (and we write u.e.d.) process. If $\Phi(., .)$ is u.e-N-d. (respectively u.e.d.) and $X_{t_0}^1 = X_{t_0}$ for every $t_0 \leq 0$ then $\Phi(., .)$ is called an *uniform exponential N -stable* (respectively uniform exponential stable) process.

Remark 1.3. $\Phi(., .)$ is u.e-N-d. if and only if the inequalities (d_1) and (d_2) from Definition 1.2. hold for all $t \leq s+1 > s \leq t_0 \leq 0$.

Indeed, if $t_0 \geq s \geq t \geq s+1, x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ and $T_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) &\leq N(\varphi(t-s)) \cdot N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|) \geq N(\varphi(1))N(\|(s, t_0)x_1\|) \geq \\ &\leq N(\varphi(1)) \cdot e^{\nu_1 - \nu_1(t-s)} \cdot N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 \cdot e^{\nu_2} \cdot N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|) &\leq N(\|\Phi(s+1, t_0)x_2\|) \leq \\ &\leq N(\varphi(s+1-t)) \cdot N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) \leq \\ &\leq N(\varphi(1)) \cdot e^{\nu_2 - \nu_2(t-s)} \cdot N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|). \end{aligned}$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform exponential stability of a linear evolutionary process in a Banach space has been proved by Datko in [1]. The extension of Datko's theorem for uniform exponential dichotomy has been obtained by Preda and Megan in [3].

The case of uniform exponential- N -stable processes has been considered by Ichikawa in [2]. The particular case when the process is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators has been studied by Zabczyk in [5] and Rolewicz in [4].

In this paper we shall extend these results in two directions. First, we shall give a characterization of u.e.- N -dichotomy, which can be considered as a generalization of Datko's theorem. Second, we shall not assume the linearity and boundedness of the process $\Phi(.,.)$. The obtained results are applicable for a large class of nonlinear differential equations described in [2].

II - PRELIMINARY RESULTS

An useful characterization of the uniform exponential- N -dichotomy property is given by

Proposition 2.1

The evolutionary process $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e.- N -d. if and only if there are two continuous functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with the properties :

$$Nd'_1) \quad N(\|\phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) \leq \varphi_1(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|)$$

$$Nd'_2) \quad N(\|\phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) \leq \varphi_2(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)$$

$$Nd'_3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_1(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_2(t) = \infty \quad \text{for all } t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0,$$

$$x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2.$$

Proof.

The necessity is obvious from Definition 1.2 for $\varphi_1(t) = M_1 e^{-\nu_1 t}$ and $\varphi_2(t) = M_2 e^{\nu_2 t}$.

The sufficiency. From (Nd'_3) it follows that there are $s_1, s_2 > 0$ such that $\varphi_1(s_1) < 1$ and $\varphi_2(s_2) > 1$. Then for all $t \geq s \geq t_0$ there are two natural numbers n_1 and n_2 such that

$$t - s = n_1 s_1 + r_1 = n_2 s_2 + r_2, \quad \text{where } r_1 \in [0, s_2].$$

From (e_5) and (Nd'_1) it results that if $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ then

$$N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) \leq N(\varphi(r_1))N(\|\Phi(s + n_1 s_1, t_0)x_1\|) \leq N(\varphi(s_1))\varphi_1(s_1)$$

$$.N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|) \leq M_1 e^{-\nu_1(t-s)}.N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|$$

$$\text{where } M_1 = N(\varphi(s_1))e^{\nu_1 s_1} = \frac{N(\varphi(s_1))}{\varphi_1(x_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_1 = -\frac{\ln \varphi_1(s_1)}{x_1}.$$

Similary, if $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \in X_t^2$ then

$$N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) \geq \varphi_2(r_2)N(\|(s + n_2 s_2, t_0)x_2\|) \geq \varphi_2(r_2)\varphi_2(s_2)^n.$$

$$N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|) \geq m_2 e^{\nu_2 n_2 s_2}.M(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)$$

$$M_2 e^{\nu_2(t-s)}N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|),$$

$$\text{where } m_2 = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq s_2} \varphi_2(t), \quad M_2 = \frac{m_2}{\varphi_2(s_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2 = \frac{\ln \varphi_2(s_2)}{s_2}.$$

In virtue of Definition 1.2 it follows that $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e-N-d.

Corollary 2.1.

The evolutionary process $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e.d. if and only if there are two continuous functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with the properties :

$$d'_1) \quad \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\| \leq \varphi_1(t-s).\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|,$$

$$d'_2) \quad \|(t, t_0)x_2\| \geq \varphi_2(t-s).\|\phi(s, t_0)x_2\|,$$

$$Nd'_3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_1(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_2(t) = \infty.$$

for all $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$, $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ and $x_2 \in S_{t_0}^2$.

Proof. : Is obvious from Proposition 2.1 for $N(t) = t$.

The relation between u. e-N-d. and u.e.d. properties is given by

Proposition 2.2 :

The evolutionary process $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e.d. if and only if there is $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e-N-d.

Proof :

The necessity is obvious from Definition 1.2.

The sufficiency. Suppose that there is $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\Phi(.,.)$ satisfies the condition (Nd_1) and (Nd_2) from Definition 1.2.

Let $s_1, s_2, s_3 > 0$ such that $M_1 N(2) < e^{\nu_1 s_1}, N(2) < M_2 e^{\nu_2 s_2}$ and $N(s_3) < M_2$. If $t \geq s \geq t_0$ then there are two natural numbers n_1 and n_2 such that $t - s = n_1 s_1 + r_1 = n_2 s_2 + r_2$, where $r_1 \in (0, s_1)$ and $r_2 \in (0, s_2)$. Then for $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|) &\geq \frac{e^{\nu_1 s_1}}{M_1} N(\|\Phi(s_1 + s, t_0)x_1\|) \\
&\geq N(2)N(\|\Phi(s + s_1, t_0)x_1\|) \geq N(2\|\Phi(s + s_1, t_0)x_1\|)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence (because N is nondecreasing)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\| &\geq 2\|(s + s_1, t_0)x_1\| \text{ and (by induction)} \\
\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\| &\geq 2^n \|\Phi(s + ns_1, t_0)x_1\| \text{ for every natural number } n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ we obtain that

$$\|\phi(t, t_0)x_1\| \leq \varphi(r_1)\|\Phi(s + n_1s_1, t_0)x_1\| \leq \frac{\varphi(s_1)}{2^{n_1}}\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|$$

and hence

$$(2.1) \quad \|\phi(t, t_0)x_1\| \leq \varphi_1(t - s)\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\| \text{ for } t \geq s \geq t_0 \text{ and } x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1, \text{ where } \varphi_1(u) = \frac{\varphi(s_1)}{2^{u/s_1}}.$$

On the other hand, for $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(t, t_0)x_2\| &\geq M_2 e^{\nu_2 s_2} N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|) \geq N(2)N(\|\phi(s, t_0)x_2\|) \\
&\geq N(2\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(s + s_2, t_0)x_2\| &\geq 2\|\phi(s, t_0)x_2\| \text{ and (by induction)} \\
\|\phi(s + ns_2, t_0)x_2\| &\geq 2^n \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\| \text{ for all } s \geq t_0 \geq 0, x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2 \text{ and every natural} \\
&\text{number } n.
\end{aligned}$$

hence, if $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) &= N(\|\Phi(s + n_2s_2 + r_2, t_0)x_2\|) M_2 e^{\nu_2 r_2} N(\|\Phi(s + n_2s_2, t_0)x_2\|) \\
&\geq N(s_3\|\Phi(s + n_2s_2, t_0)x_2\|),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\| \geq s_3\|\Phi(s + n_2s_2, t_0)x_2\| \geq 2^{n_2} \cdot s_3\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|$$

and hence

$$(2.2) \quad \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\| \geq \varphi_2(t - s)\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\| \text{ for } t \geq s \geq 0 \text{ and } x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2, \text{ where } \varphi_2(u) = \frac{s_3}{2} 2^{u/s_2}.$$

From (2.1) , (2.2) and Corollary 2.1 it follows that $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e.d.

3 - THE MAIN RESULTS.

The following theorem is an extension of Datko's theorem ([1]) to the general case of uniform exponential- N -dichotomy.

Theorem 3.1.

The evolutionary process $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e- N -d. if and only if there are $M, m > 0$ such that

$$(Nd_1'') \quad \int_t^\infty N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|)ds \leq M.N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|),$$

$$(Nd_2'') \quad \int_{t_0}^t N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)ds M.N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|),$$

$$(Nd_3'') \quad N(\|\Phi(t+1, t_0)x_2\|)m.N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0, x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ and $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$.

Proof. The necessity is simply verified. Now we prove the sufficiency part.

Let $s \geq t_0 \geq 0, x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ and $\frac{1}{M_0} = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\psi(t)}$, where $\psi = N.\varphi$.

If $t \geq s+1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|)}{M_0} &= \int_0^1 \frac{N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|)}{\psi(r)} dr \leq \int_s^1 \frac{N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|)}{\psi(t-v)} dr \leq \\ &\leq \int_s^t N(\|\Phi(v, t_0)x_1\|)dv \leq \int_s^\infty N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|)dv \leq M.N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) \leq M.M_0 N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|), \text{ for all } t \geq s+1 \geq t_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s-1)N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) &= \int_s^{t-1} N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|)ds \leq M.M_0 \int_s^\infty N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|)dv \leq \\ &\leq M^2.M_0.N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.1) \quad N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) \leq \varphi_1(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|),$$

for all $t \geq s+1 \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$, where

$$\varphi_1(v) = \frac{M.M_0(1+M)}{1+v}.$$

Let $t_0 \geq 0, x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ and $s \geq t_0 + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)}{M_0} &\leq N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|) \int_{t_0}^s \frac{dv}{\psi(s-v)} \leq \int_{t_0}^s N(\|\Phi(v, t_0)x_2\|) dv \leq \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t N(\|\Phi(v, t_0)x_2\|) dv \leq M.N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) \geq \frac{N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)}{M.M_0} \text{ for all } t \geq s \geq t_0 + 1 \text{ and } x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2.$$

If $t \geq s+1 \geq s \geq t_0$ then (by preceding inequality and Nd_3'')

$$\begin{aligned} N(\|\Phi(y, t_0)x_2\|) &\geq \frac{N(\|\Phi(s+1, t_0)x_2\|)}{M.M_0} \geq \frac{mN(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)}{M.M_0} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)}{M_2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$, where $\frac{1}{M_2} = \min\{\frac{1}{M.M_0}, \frac{m}{M.M_0}\}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s-1)N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) &\leq M_2 \int_{s+1}^t N(\|\Phi(v, t_0)x_2\|) dv \leq M_2 \int_{t_0}^t N(\|\Phi(v, t_0)x_1\|) dv \\ &M.M_2N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.2) \quad N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|) \geq \varphi_2(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|)$$

for all $t \geq s+1 \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$, where $\varphi_2 = \frac{v+1}{M_2.(M+1)}$.

From (3.1), (3.2) and Proposition 2.1 it follows that $\Phi(.,.)$ is u.e-N-d.

As a particular case we obtain

Corollary 3.1

The evolutionary process $\Phi(.,.)$ is u. e. d. if and only if there are two positive constants M and m such that

$$(d_1'') \quad \int_t^\infty \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\| ds \leq M. \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|,$$

$$(d_2'') \quad \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\| ds \leq M. \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|,$$

$$(d_3'') \quad \|\Phi(t+1, t_0)x_2\| ds \geq m \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|,$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ and $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$.

Proof. Is obvious from Theorem 3.1 for $N(t) = t$.

Remark 3.1 Corollary 3.1 is a nonlinear version of Theorem 3.2 from [3]. It is an extension of Theorem 2.1 from [2] from the general case of uniform exponential dichotomy.

Remark 3.2. Corollary 3.1 remains valid if the power 1 from (d_1'') and (d_2'') is replaced by any $p \in (1, \infty)$, i.e. the inequalities (d_1'') and (d_2'') can be replaced, respectively, by

$$(d_1''') \quad \int_t^\infty \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|^p ds \leq M. \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|^p$$

and

$$(d_2''') \quad \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_2\|^p ds \leq M. \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_2\|^p.$$

The proof follows almost verbatim from those given in the case $p = 1$ for $N(t) = t$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. DATKO, *Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary processes in a Banach space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 3 (1973), 428-445.
- [2] A. ICHIKAWA, *Equivalence of L_p stability and exponential stability for a class of nonlinear semigroups*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, vol 8, n° 7 (1984), 805-815.
- [3] P. PREDA, M. MEGAN, *Exponential dichotomy of evolutionary processes in Banach spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. Journal, 35 (110) (1985) 312-323.
- [4] S. ROLEWICZ, *On uniform N-equistability*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. vol 115 (2) (1986), 434-441.
- [5] Z. ZABCZYK, *Remarks on the control of discrete-time distributed and parameter systems*, SIAM J. Control. Optim. 12 (1974), 721-735.

M. MEGAN AND D. R. LATCU
Department of Mathematics, University of Timisoara
B-dul V. Parvan Nr. 4 1900 - Timisoara
ROMANIA