# HAJER BAHOURI JALAL SHATAH Decay estimates for the critical semilinear wave equation

*Annales de l'I. H. P., section C*, tome 15, nº 6 (1998), p. 783-789 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC\_1998\_15\_6\_783\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC\_1998\_15\_6\_783\_0</a>

© Gauthier-Villars, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section C » (http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# Decay estimates for the critical semilinear wave equation

by

#### Hajer BAHOURI

Univ. of Tunis, Dept. of Maths, Tunis, Tunisie. E-mail: hajer@hp9000.cck.tn

and

#### Jalal SHATAH

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012-1185. E-mail: shatah@cims.nyu.edu

ABSTRACT. – In this paper we prove that finite energy solutions (with added regularity) to the critical wave equation  $\Box u + u^5 = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  decay to zero in time. The proof is based on a global space-time estimate and dilation identity. © Elsevier, Paris

RÉSUMÉ. – Dans cet article, on montre que les solutions à énergie finie (avec régularité ajoutée) de l'équation des ondes critique  $\Box u + u^5 = 0$ , dans  $\mathbb{R}^3$  décroissent vers zéro en temps. La démonstration est basée sur une estimée temps-espace globale et une identité de dilatation. © Elsevier, Paris

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we will show that the solutions of the critical semilinear wave equation with finite energy initial data

(1.1)  $u_{tt} - \Delta u + u^5 = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ 

(1.2)  $u_{|t=0} = u_0, \quad u_{t|t=0} = u_1 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3$ 

(1.3)  $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ 

Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse non linéaire - 0294-1449 Vol. 15/98/06/© Elsevier, Paris are globally in the space

(1.4) 
$$(u, u_t) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^1 \times L^2\right) \cap L^4\left(\mathbb{R}, \dot{B}^{1/2} \times \dot{B}^{-1/2}\right)$$

The study of the general semilinear wave equations dates back to the early works of Segal [7], Jörgen [5], Strauss [10]. For a detailed bibliography, see Zuily [16]. For nonlinearities that are subcritical with respect to the  $H^1$  norm, Ginibre and Velo [3] have shown global existence and uniqueness of solutions in the space defined by (1.4), using a subtle improvement of the Strichartz ([13], [14]) estimates for the wave equations. For the critical problem, when the initial data are only of finite energy, Shatah and Struwe [9] have shown global existence and uniqueness of solutions in the space

(1.5) 
$$(u, u_t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1 \times L^2) \cap L^4_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, \dot{B}^{1/2} \times \dot{B}_4^{-1/2})$$

Their approach hinges on showing that the energy and the Morawetz identity hold for weak solutions, and thus are able to prove non-concentration of the energy of solutions. This identity was used originally to prove the existence of globally smooth solutions by Struwe [15], and Grillakis [3]. In the radial case, Ginibre, Soffer and Velo [1] have shown that the solutions are in the space defined by (1.4). The proof of (1.4) that we present here is a consequence of the decay estimate

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^6 dx \underset{|t| \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

which is obtained using the methods of Shatah and Struwe [9]. These decay estimates are used by Bahouri and Gerard [1] to prove scattering of solutions to the above equation with finite energy initial data.

### **2. STUDY OF THE FUNCTION** g

LEMMA 2.1. – Let u be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), then

(2.1) 
$$g(t) = \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^6 dx \xrightarrow[|t| \to +\infty]{} 0$$

*Proof.* – For any  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  we have to show the existence of  $T_0$  such that

(2.2) 
$$\forall t > T_0 \quad , \qquad |g(t)| \leq \varepsilon_0 \, .$$

Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse non linéaire

Since the initial data has finite energy, we have for R large enough

(2.3) 
$$\int_{|x|\ge R} e(u)(0, x) dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{8}$$

where

(2.4) 
$$e(u) = \frac{1}{2} (|u_t|^2 + |\nabla_x u|^2) + \frac{1}{6} |u|^6$$

denotes the energy density.

The classical energy-conservation law on the exterior of a truncated forward light cone (see Strauss [11]) implies that

(2.5) 
$$\int_{|x|>R+t} e(u) \, dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{flux } (0,t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{8}$$

where the flux on the mantle is given by

(2.6) 
$$\text{flux } (a,b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{M_b^a} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x}{|x|} u_t + \nabla u \right|^2 + \frac{|u|^6}{6} \right\} d\sigma$$

(2.7) 
$$M_a^{b \operatorname{def}} \{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [a,b]; |x| = R+t \}$$

Therefore to prove the lemma, it suffices to show the existence of  $T_0$  such that

$$\forall t > T_0 , \frac{1}{6} \int_{|x| \le R+t} |u(t, x)|^6 dx \le \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$$

and by translating time  $t \longrightarrow t + R$  it is sufficient to prove

(2.8) 
$$\forall t > T_0 , \frac{1}{6} \int_{|x| \le t} |u(t, x)|^6 dx \le \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}.$$

Proceeding exactly as in Shatah and Struwe [8], multiply equation (1.1) by  $tu_t + x \cdot \nabla u + u$  to obtain the identity

(2.9) 
$$\partial_t (tQ_0 + u_t u) - \operatorname{div} (tP_0) + R_0 = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= e + u_t \Big( \frac{x}{t} \cdot \nabla u \Big), \\ P_o &= \frac{x}{t} \left( \frac{|u_t|^2 - |\nabla u|^2}{2} - \frac{|u|^6}{6} \right) + \nabla u \Big( u_t + \frac{x}{t} \cdot \nabla u + \frac{u}{t} \Big) \\ R_0 &= \frac{|u|^6}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Vol. 15, n° 6-1998.

Integrating equation (2.9) over the truncated cone  $K_{T_1}^{T_2} = \{z = (x, t) | |x| < t, T_1 \le t \le T_2\}$ , where  $0 < T_1 < T_2$ , we obtain by Stokes formula

$$(2.10) \int_{D(T_2)} (T_2 Q_0 + u_t u) dx - \int_{D(T_1)} (T_1 Q_0 + u_t u) dx - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_{T_1}^{T_2}} \left( t Q_0 + u_t u + t P_0 \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right) d\sigma + \int_{K_{T_1}^{T_2}} \frac{|u|^6}{3} dx dt = I + II + III + IV = 0$$

where

$$D(T_i) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 | |x| \leq T_i \}$$

denotes space-like sections for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $M_{T_1}^{T_2}$  denotes the truncated mantle. On  $M_{T_1}^{T_2}$ , we have |x| = t, therefore we can rewrite the term III using spherical coordinates

III = 
$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_{T_1}^{T_2}} \left\{ r(u_t + u_r)^2 + u(u_t + u_r) \right\} d\sigma$$

Parametrizing  $M_{T_1}^{T_2}$  via  $y \to (|y|, y)$ , and setting v(y) = u(|y|, y) we find

$$III = -\int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{S^2} r \left( v_r + \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 r^2 \, dr \, d\omega$$
$$+ \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{2} \left( r^2 \, v^2 \right)_r \, dr \, d\omega$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

(2.11) III = 
$$-\int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{S^2} r \left( v_r + \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 r^2 dr d\omega$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} T_2^2 v^2 (T_2 \omega) d\omega - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} T_1^2 v^2 (T_1 \omega) d\omega$ 

To estimate the first term we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I} &= \int_{D(T_2)} \left\{ T_2 \left( \frac{|u_t|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_r + \frac{1}{r} u \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2r^2} |\nabla_\omega u|^2 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} |u|^6 \right) + r \left( u_r + \frac{1}{r} u \right) u_t \right\} dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_2} \int_{S^2} T_2 (ru^2)_r \, dr \, d\omega \end{split}$$

Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse non linéaire

Integrating by parts in the last term of the expression for I yields

$$(2.12) \mathbf{I} = \int_{D(T_2)} \left\{ T_2 \left( \frac{|u_t|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_r + \frac{1}{r} u \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2r^2} |\nabla_\omega u|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |u|^6 \right) + r \left( u_r + \frac{1}{r} u \right) u_t \right\} dx \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} T_2^2 v^2 (T_2 \omega) d\omega$$

In the same manner, the second term can be written

(2.13) II = 
$$-\int_{D(T_1)} \left\{ T_1 \left( \frac{|u_t|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_r + \frac{1}{r} u \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2r^2} |\nabla_\omega u|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |u|^6 \right) + r \left( u_r + \frac{1}{r} u \right) u_t \right\} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} T_1^2 v^2 (T_1 \omega) d\omega$$

Let  $T_2 = T > 0$ , and  $T_1 = \varepsilon T$  for some  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Substituting equations (2.11), (2.12), and (.213) into equation (2.10), and using Hardy's inequality

(2.14) 
$$\int \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C \int |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

we deduce

$$(2.15) T \int_{D(T)} \frac{|u|^6}{6} dx \leq C \varepsilon TE + \int_{\varepsilon T}^T \int_{S^2} T \left( v_r + \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 r^2 dr d\omega$$

where C is a constant and

$$E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e(u)(t, x) \, dx$$

denotes the energy.

Dividing by T, we obtain

$$\int_{D(T)} \frac{|u|^6}{6} dx \leq C \varepsilon E + \int_{\varepsilon T}^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \left( v_r + \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 r^2 dr d\omega$$

Choose  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$C \varepsilon E = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}$$

From Hardy's inequality and the energy inequality (2.5) there exists a  $T_0$  such that

$$\int_{\varepsilon T_0}^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \left( v_r + \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 r^2 \, dr \, d\omega \quad \leq \quad 2 \operatorname{flux} \, \left( \varepsilon T_0, \infty \right) \, < \, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}.$$

This proves inequality (2.8) which implies the  $L^6$  norm decay of solutions.

Vol. 15, n° 6-1998.

#### **3. STATEMENT AND PROOF OF THE THEOREM**

THEOREM 3.1. – The Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has a unique global solution u in the space

$$(u, u_t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1 \times L^2) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}, \dot{B}_4^{1/2} \times \dot{B}_4^{-1/2})$$

*Proof.* – We have to show that  $u \in L^4([T_0, \infty[, \dot{B}_4^{1/2}]))$ , for some  $T_0$ . Fix  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  sufficiently small and choose  $T_0$  such that (2.2) is satisfied.

Following the proof of the proposition 1.4 of Shatah and Struwe [8], we find, for  $T > T_0$ 

$$(3.1) \qquad ||u||_{L^{4}\left([T_{0}, T]; \dot{B}_{4}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})\right)} \leq C\left\{E^{1/2} + ||u||_{L^{4}\left([T_{0}, T]; \dot{B}_{4}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})\right)} \sup_{T_{0} \leq t \leq T} ||u(t, \cdot)||_{L^{6}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2}\right\}$$

where E denotes the energy and C is a constant independent of T. Using the  $L^6$  decay of solutions we obtain for arbitrary small  $\varepsilon_0$ 

$$(3.2) ||u||_{L^4([T_0,T]; \dot{B}_4^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CE^{1/2} + \varepsilon_0^{1/3} ||u||_{L^4([T_0,T]; \dot{B}_4^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^3$$

Choose  $\varepsilon_0$  sufficiently small, then the above inequality implies

$$(3.3) ||u||_{L^4([T_0, T]; \dot{B}_4^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq 2C E^{1/2}$$

for all  $T > T_0$ , and letting  $T \to \infty$  finishes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark* 3.1. – The same result holds in n dimensions. The proof is identical and uses the n dimensional result of Shatah and Struwe [9].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. BAHOURI, P. GERARD, Private communications.
- [2] J. GINIBRE, A. SOFFER, G. VELO, The global Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear wave equation, J. Func. Analysis, Vol. 110, 1992, pp. 96–130.
- [3] J. GINIBRE, G. VELO, The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, *Math. Zeit.*, Vol. 189, 1985, pp. 487–505.
- [4] M. GRILLAKIS, Regularity and asymptotic behavior of the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity, Ann. of Math., Vol. 132, 1990, pp. 4875–509.
- [5] K. JÖRGEN, Das Anfangswertproblem in Grossen für eine Klasse nichtlinearer wellengleichunger, Math. Zeit., Vol. 77, 1961, pp. 295–308.

- [6] J. RAUCH, The u<sup>5</sup> Klein-Gordon equation, Nonlinear PDE's and Applications. *Pitman Research Notes in Math.*, Vol. 53, pp. 335–364.
- [7] I.E. SEGAL, The global Cauchy problem for a relativistic scalar field with power interaction. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Vol. 91, 1963, pp. 129–135.
- [8] J. SHATAH, M. STRUWE, Regularity results for nonlinear wave equation, Ann. of Math., Vol. 138, 1993, pp. 503–518.
- [9] J. SHATAH, M. STRUWE, Well-posedness in the energy space for semilinear wave equations with critical growth, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, Vol. 7, 1994, pp. 303–309.
- [10] W. STRAUSS, Decay and asymptotics for  $\Box u = F(u)$ , J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 2, 1968, pp. 405-457.
- [11] W. STRAUSS, Nonlinear invariant wave equations, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 23, 1978, 197–249, Springer, New York.
- [12] W. A. STRAUSS, Nonlinear scattering theory of low energy, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 41, 1981, pp. 110–133.
- [13] R.S. STRICHARTZ, Convolutions with kernels having singularities on a sphere, *Trans. A.M.S.*, Vol. 148, 1970, pp. 461–471.
- [14] R.S. STRICHARTZ, Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations, *Duke Math. J.*, Vol. 44, 1977, pp. 705–714.
- [15] M. STRUWE, Globally regular solutions to the u<sup>5</sup> Klein-Gordon equation, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., 4, Vol. 15, 1988, pp. 495–513.
- [16] C. ZUILY, Solutions en grand temps d' equations d' ondes non linéares Séminaire BouBaki, 46<sup>ème</sup> année, 1993–94, n° 779.

(Manuscript received September 2, 1996; Revised December 9, 1996.)