

# ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

J. VUOLLE-APIALA

S. E. GRAVERSEN

## **Duality theory for self-similar processes**

*Annales de l'I. H. P., section B*, tome 22, n° 3 (1986), p. 323-332

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB\\_1986\\_\\_22\\_3\\_323\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1986__22_3_323_0)

© Gauthier-Villars, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

# Duality theory for self-similar processes

by

J. VUOLLE-APIALA and S. E. GRAVERSEN

Department of Mathematics, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade,  
DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

**ABSTRACT.** — Let  $(X(t))$  be an  $\alpha$ -self similar, rotation invariant Markov process on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . We show that there exists another  $\alpha$ -self similar process of the same type, which is in a weak duality with  $X(t)$  with respect to the measure  $|x|^{1/\alpha-n} dx$ . Two characterisations of the dual process are also given.

**RÉSUMÉ.** — Soit  $(X(t))$  un processus  $\alpha$ -self similaire invariant par rotation et de Markov sur  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Nous montrons qu'il existe un second processus du même type qui est en dualité faible avec  $(X(t))$  par rapport à la mesure  $|x|^{1/\alpha-n}$ . Deux caractérisations de ces processus duales sont également données.

## INTRODUCTION

All processes considered in this note have time index set  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and we will therefore suppress this in the notation.

$\alpha$ -self-similar Markov processes ( $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P.) on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  were introduced by J. Lamperti in 1972 [8], where for each  $\alpha > 0$  a process  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in [0, \infty)))$  with state space  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is called an  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. if there exists a Borel semigroup  $(P_t(\cdot, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfying

- a)  $P_0(\cdot, \cdot) = I$
- b)  $P_t(x, A) = P_{at}(a^\alpha x, a^\alpha A)$  for  $t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $a > 0$

Classification AMS : 60-XX.

such that  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in [0, \infty)))$  is a time homogeneous strong Markov process with transition function  $(P_t(\cdot, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$  and with sample paths which are  $P^x$ -almost surely right continuous with left limits for all  $x$  in  $[0, \infty)$ .

$\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. with state space  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  or more generally cones in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are defined similarly. Lamperti used the word semistable instead of self-similar. In [6] [7] the authors proved that if an  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is rotation invariant, i. e.  $(P_t(\cdot, \cdot))_{t > 0}$  also satisfies

$$c) \quad P_t(x, A) = P_t(T(x), T(A)) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$$

and  $T \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (the group of orthogonal transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), it can be represented as the following skew product,

$$(X(t)) \sim P^x = (|X(t)|, \Theta(A_t)) \sim P^x x Q^{x/|x|} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

$((Z(t)) \sim P^x$  denotes the distribution of the process  $(Z(t))$  under the measure  $P^x$ ), where  $(A_t) = \left( \int_0^t |X(s)|^{-1/\alpha} ds \right)$  and  $(\Theta(t), (Q^x, x \in S^{n-1}))$  is a time homogeneous Markov process on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having the following properties

- 1)  $Q^x(\Theta(0) = x) = Q^x(\Theta(t) \in S^{n-1}) = 1$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,
- 2)  $t \rightarrow \Theta(t)$  is right continuous with left limits  $Q^x$ -a. s. for  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,
- 3)  $(\Theta(t)) \sim Q^x = (T^{-1}(\Theta(t))) \sim Q^{T(x)}$  for  $x \in S^{n-1}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Furthermore, if  $(X(t))$  is a diffusion, there exist parameters  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $(\Theta(\rho t), (Q^x, x \in S^{n-1}))$  are Brownian Motions on  $S^{n-1}$  and the characteristic operator of  $(X(t))$  restricted to  $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$  is equal to the differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{-1/\alpha} & \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \delta^2 x_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \rho x_j^2 \right) \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^2 - \rho) \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n x_i x_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \mu - \frac{n-1}{2} \rho \right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \partial / \partial x_i - \lambda \right). \end{aligned}$$

The main object of this note is to prove that every rotation invariant  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  has a strong Markov dual at least in the weak sense (see 5 for the definition of weak duality) which is also an  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. This result is proved in Section 3). Section 4) contains representations of the dual process.

### 1. NOTATION

In [8] and [6] only  $\alpha > 0$  was considered. In this paper, however,  $\alpha$  will be allowed to vary in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . But as we shall see, this does not bring about much new.  $\Delta$  denotes a point used as graveyard for the process under consideration, and we will always assume that  $\Delta$  is joined to the particular state space as a topological isolated point. We shall use the notation  $E_n$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $E_1$  for  $(0, \infty)$ . For  $n \geq 1$   $\Omega_n$  denotes the space of all functions  $\omega$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow E_n \cup \Delta$  if  $n \geq 2$  and from  $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow E_1 \cup \Delta$  if  $n = 1$ , which satisfy

- (1.1)  $\omega(t) = \Delta$  for  $t \geq \zeta(\omega) = \inf \{ t \geq 0 \mid \omega(t) = \Delta \}$
- (1.2)  $\omega$  is right continuous and  $\omega$  or  $\frac{\omega}{|\omega|^2}$  has left limits in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $[0, \infty)$  at every  $t$  in  $(0, \zeta(\omega)]$ .

DEFINITION. — Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $n \geq 2$  be given. A stochastic process  $(X(t), (\mathbb{P}^x, x \in E_n))$  with state space  $E_n \cup \Delta$  is called a rotation invariant  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  if what follows is satisfied:

There exists a Borel semigroup  $(P_t(\cdot, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$  on  $E_n \times \mathcal{B}(E_n)$  with the properties

- (1.3)  $P_0(\cdot, \cdot) = I$
- (1.4)  $P_t(x, A) = P_{at}(a^\alpha x, a^\alpha A)$  for  $t \geq 0, x \in E_n, A \in \mathcal{B}(E_n)$  and  $a > 0$
- (1.5)  $P_t(x, A) = P_t(T(x), T(A))$  for  $t \geq 0, x \in E_n, A \in \mathcal{B}(E_n)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

such that  $(X(t), (\mathbb{P}^x, x \in E_n))$  is a time homogeneous Markov process with transition function  $(P_t(\cdot, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$  and such that  $t \rightarrow X(t) \in \Omega_n$   $\mathbb{P}^x$ -a. s. for  $x \in E_n$ .

$\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $(0, \infty)$  are defined similarly writing  $E_1$  instead of  $E_n$  and omitting (1.5).

Notice that we do not require the strong Markov property. Because, as proved in [6], theorem 2.1, every rotation invariant  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and every  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $(0, \infty)$  is automatically a strong Markov proces w. r. t. a right-continuous filter of  $\sigma$ -fields. In [6] this fact was proved only for positive  $\alpha$ , but Lemma 1 below shows that it also holds in the case  $\alpha < 0$ .

Finally we shall use the notation  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  and  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  to denote all rotation invariant  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and all  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $(0, \infty)$  respectively.

2. GENERALITIES

All results in this section are easily proved using the observation that a time homogeneous Markov process  $(X(t), (P^x))$  with a Borel transition function and sample paths of the correct type is an element of  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  if

$$(2.1) \quad (X(t)) \sim P^x = (a^{-\alpha}X(at)) \sim P^{a^{\alpha x}} \text{ for } x \in E_n \text{ and } a > 0$$

$$(2.2) \quad (X(t)) \sim P^x = (T^{-1}(X(t))) \sim P^{T(x)} \text{ for } x \in E_n \text{ and } T \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and an element of  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  if

$$(2.3) \quad (X(t)) \sim P^x = (a^{-\alpha}X(at)) \sim P^{a^{\alpha x}} \text{ for } x \in E_1 \text{ and } a > 0.$$

For  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , denote by  $\phi_p$  the mapping  $x \rightarrow x |x|^{-p}$  for  $x$  in  $E_n$ .

LEMMA 1. — Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  be given.

$$(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1) \Leftrightarrow (Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(-\alpha, E_1)$$

where  $Y(t) = 1/X(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $Q^x = P^{1/x}$  for  $x \in E_1$ .

$$(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n) \Leftrightarrow (Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(-\alpha, E_n)$$

where  $Y(t) = \phi_2(X(t))$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $Q^x = P^{\phi_2(x)}$  for  $x \in E_n$ .

COROLLARY. — If  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  and  $(X(t))$  is also a diffusion, then the characteristic operator of  $(X(t))$  restricted to  $\mathcal{C}_c^2((0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  equals the differential operator

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta^2 x^{2-1/\alpha} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu x^{1-1/\alpha} \frac{d}{dx} - \lambda x^{-1/\alpha} \text{ for some } \delta > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lambda \geq 0.$$

In [6] several stability properties of  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  and  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  for  $\alpha > 0$  were mentioned. It is easy to see by Lemma 1 that these extend to the case  $\alpha < 0$ . In this note we shall furthermore use the following result.

LEMMA 2. — Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  for some  $n \geq 2$  be given. Then  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha(1-p), E_n)$  if  $Y(t) = \phi_p(X(t))$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $Q^x = P^{\phi_\alpha(x)}$  for  $x \in E_n$ , where  $p$  is a real number different from 1 and  $q = p/p - 1$ .

Likewise  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha(1+\alpha\beta)^{-1}, E_n)$  if  $Y(t) = X(A_t^-)$ , where  $(A_t^-)$  is the right continuous inverse of  $(A_t) = \left( \int_0^t |X_s|^\beta ds \right)$ , and  $Q^x = P^x$  for  $x \in E_n$ , where  $\beta$  is a real number different from  $-1/\alpha$ .

Remark. — A similar result is true for  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$ .

### 3. DUALITY

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be fixed and let  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_1))$  in  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  be given. According to proposition 2.2 [6] there exists a Levy process (see [3] for definition)  $(r(t), (Q^x, x \in R))$  with state space  $R$  and a  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

$$(X(A_t^-)) \sim P^x = (\exp(r(t))) \sim Q^{\log x, \lambda} \quad \text{for } x \in E_1,$$

where  $(A_t^-)$  is the right continuous inverse of  $(A_t) = \left(\int_0^t |X_s|^{-1/\alpha} ds\right)$  and  $Q^{\log x, \lambda}$  denotes the measure corresponding to  $(r(t), Q^{\log x})$  killed with an independent exponential distributed clock with mean  $1/\lambda$ .

Well known theory about Levy processes ensures the existence of another Levy process  $(\hat{r}(t), (\hat{Q}^x, x \in R))$  with state space  $R$  with the property:  $(r(t), (Q^{x, \lambda}, x \in R))$  and  $(\hat{r}(t), (\hat{Q}^{x, \lambda}, x \in R))$  are in weak duality w. r. t. Lebesgue measure  $dx$  on  $R$ , i. e.

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-\lambda t} E^x(f(r(t)))g(x)dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x)e^{-\lambda t} \hat{E}^x(g(\hat{r}(t)))dx$$

for all  $f$  and  $g$  bounded real-valued Borel functions defined on  $R$ .

A simple substitution now gives that

$$(\exp(r(t)), (Q_1^x, x \in E_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\exp(\hat{r}(t)), (\hat{Q}_1^x, x \in E_1))$$

are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $x^{-1}dx$  on  $E_1$ , where  $Q_1^x = Q^{\log x, \lambda}$  and  $\hat{Q}_1^x = \hat{Q}^{\log x, \lambda}$  for  $x \in E_1$ . Using a theorem of J. B. Walsh [9], we can conclude that

$$(\exp(r(T_t^-)), (Q_1^x, x \in E_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\exp(\hat{r}(\hat{T}_t^-)), (\hat{Q}_1^x, x \in E_1))$$

are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $x^{-1+1/\alpha}dx$  on  $E_1$ , where  $(T_t^-)$ , respectively  $(\hat{T}_t^-)$  is the right continuous inverse of  $(T_t) = \left(\int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}r(s)\right)ds\right)$  and  $(\hat{T}_t) = \left(\int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\hat{r}(s)\right)ds\right)$ .

But theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in [6] imply that

$$(X(t)) \sim P^x = (\exp(r(T_t^-))) \sim Q_1^x \quad \text{for } x \in E_1$$

and  $(\exp(\hat{r}(\hat{T}_t^-)), (\hat{Q}_1^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$ .

We have thus proved the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** — For  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1), \alpha > 0$ , there exists  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  such that  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_1))$  and  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_1))$  are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $x^{-1+1/\alpha}dx$  on  $E_1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** — Theorem 1 is also true for  $\alpha < 0$ .

*Proof.* — Immediate from Lemma 1.

**COROLLARY 2.** — Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0)$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  be given. If furthermore  $(X(t))$  is a diffusion with characteristic operator

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta^2 x^{2-1/\alpha} d^2/dx^2 + \mu x^{1-1/\alpha} d/dx - \lambda x^{-1/\alpha},$$

then  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_1))$  can be chosen also to be a diffusion with characteristic operator

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta^2 x^{2-1/\alpha} d^2/dx^2 + (\delta^2 - \mu) x^{1-1/\alpha} d/dx - \lambda x^{-1/\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* — Straightforward calculations using the fact that the Levy process corresponding to  $(X(t))$  is Brownian Motion with constant drift up to a time change of the form  $t \rightarrow rt, r > 0$ .

This result will now be generalized to rotation invariant  $\alpha$ -s. s. M. P. on  $E_n$ . Like above we need only consider the case  $\alpha > 0$ . Therefore, let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  for some  $n \geq 2$  be given. According to theorem 2.2 [6] there exists a time homogeneous Markov process  $(\Theta(t), (Q^x \in S^{n-1}))$  with state space  $S^{n-1}$  fulfilling 1), 2) and 3) as stated in the introduction such that

$$(X(t)) \sim P^x = (|X(t)|, \Theta(A_t)) \sim P^x x Q^{x/|x|} \quad \text{for } x \in E_n,$$

where 
$$(A_t) = \left( \int_0^t |X(s)|^{-1/\alpha} ds \right).$$

By time change we therefore have

$$(X(A_t^-)) \sim P^x = (|X(A_t^-)|, \Theta_t) \sim P^x x Q^{x/|x|} \quad \text{for } x \in E_n.$$

Since  $(|X(t)|, (\tilde{P}^x, x \in E_1)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_1)$  if  $\tilde{P}^x = P^{\tilde{x}}$  for some  $\tilde{x}$  in  $E_n$  with  $|\tilde{x}| = x$  for  $x \in E_1$ , we known from above how to handle the radial process. Concerning the angular process the following result is important.

LEMMA 3. —  $(\Theta(t), (Q^x, x \in S^{n-1}))$  defined as above is in weak duality with itself w. r. t. the uniform measure  $m_{n-1}(dx)$  on  $S^{n-1}$ .

Proof. — Let  $t > 0$  be given. We shall show that for all  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , the set of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $S^{n-1}$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} H_t f(\Theta) g(\Theta) m_{n-1}(d\Theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\Theta) H_t g(\Theta) m_{n-1}(d\Theta),$$

where  $H_t h(x) = E^x(h(\Theta_t))$  for  $x \in S^{n-1}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $n = 2$ ,  $(\Theta(t), (Q^x, x \in S^1))$  is a symmetric Levy process on the circle group in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in which case (3.1) is clear. Therefore we may assume  $n \geq 3$ . As proved in proposition 2.3 [6] there exists a probability measure  $F_t(ds)$  on  $[-1, 1]$  such that for all  $\Theta$  in  $S^{n-1}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$  we have

$$(3.2) \quad H_t h(\Theta) = \int_{S^{n-2}(\Theta)} \int_{-1}^1 h(s\Theta + \sqrt{1-s^2}\tilde{\Theta}) F_t(ds) m_{n-2}(d\tilde{\Theta}),$$

where  $S^{n-2}(\Theta) = \{ \tilde{\Theta} \in S^{n-1} \mid \Theta \cdot \tilde{\Theta} = 0 \}$ .  $S^{n-2}(\Theta)$  can be identified with  $S^{n-2}$  in a natural way and thus equipped with the measure  $m_{n-2}$ .

Since  $m_{n-1}$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , (3.1) is satisfied if  $F_t(ds)$  is concentrated on the set  $\{1, -1\}$ . A continuity and linearity argument therefore implies that it suffices to consider the case where  $F_t(ds)$  is absolutely continuous w. r. t. the Lebesgue measure on  $(-1, 1)$ .

Similarly to (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} h(x) m_{n-1}(dx) = \int_{S^{n-2}(\Theta)} \int_{-1}^1 h(s \cdot \Theta + \sqrt{1-s^2}\tilde{\Theta}) G(ds) m_{n-2}(d\tilde{\Theta})$$

for all  $\Theta \in S^{n-1}$  and all  $h \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $G(ds) = \mathcal{C}(1-s^2)^{n-3/2} ds$  where  $1/\mathcal{C} = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$  (see [1]).

(3.2) and (3.3) imply that for each  $\Theta \in S^{n-1}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$

$$(3.4) \quad H_t h(\Theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{g}(\Theta, \eta) h(\eta) m_{n-1}(d\eta),$$

where  $\tilde{g}(\Theta, \eta) = g(\Theta \cdot \eta)$  for  $\eta \in S^{n-1}$  and  $s \rightarrow g(s)$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $F_t(ds)$  w. r. t.  $G(ds)$ . (3.1) now follows from (3.4) and Fubini's theorem.

From above we know that there exists a  $\lambda \geq 0$  and a Levy process  $(\tilde{\tau}(t), (\tilde{Q}^x, x \in \mathbb{R}))$  with state space  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $(|X(A_t^-)|, (\tilde{P}^x, x \in E_1))$  and  $(\exp(\tilde{\tau}(t)), (\tilde{Q}_1^x, x \in E_1))$  are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $x^{-1} dx$  on  $E_1$ , where for  $x \in E_1$   $\tilde{P}^x = P^x$  for some  $\tilde{x} \in E_n$  with  $|\tilde{x}| = x$  and

$\hat{Q}_1^x = \hat{Q}^{\log x, \lambda}$ . The independence of the radial and angular processes permits us to conclude by Lemma 3 that

$$(|X(A_t^-)| \cdot \Theta(t), (P^x x Q^{x/|x|}, x \in E_n))$$

and

$$(\exp(\hat{r}(t)) \cdot \Theta(t), (\hat{Q}_1^{x/|x|} Q^{x/|x|}, x \in E_n))$$

are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $|x|^{-n} dx$  on  $E_n$ . By the afore-mentioned theorem of J. B. Walsh [9] we conclude that  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  and  $(\exp(\hat{r}(\hat{T}_t^-)) \cdot \Theta(\hat{T}_t^-), \hat{Q}_1^{x/|x|} Q^{x/|x|}, x \in E_n)$ , where  $(\hat{T}_t^-)$  is the right continuous inverse of  $\left(\int_0^t \exp(1/\alpha \hat{r}(s)) ds\right)$ , are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $|x|^{-n+1/\alpha} dx$  on  $E_n$ . Referring to theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in [6] we have therefore proved the following result:

**THEOREM 2.** — For  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  such that  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  and  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n))$  are in weak duality w. r. t. the measure  $|x|^{-n+1/\alpha} dx$  on  $E_n$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** — Theorem 2 is also valid for  $\alpha < 0$ .

*Proof.* — Immediate from Lemma 1.

**COROLLARY 4.** — Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0)$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  be given. If  $(X(t))$  is a diffusion with characteristic operator on  $\mathcal{C}_c^2(E_n, \mathbb{R})$  equal to

$$|x|^{-1/\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \delta^2 x_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \rho x_j^2 \right) \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^2 - \rho) \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n x_i x_j \partial^2 / \partial x_i \partial x_j \right. \\ \left. + \left( \mu - \frac{n-1}{2} \rho \right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \partial / \partial x_i - \lambda \right),$$

then  $(Y(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n))$  can be chosen also to be a diffusion with characteristic operator

$$|x|^{-1/\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \delta^2 x_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \rho x_j^2 \right) \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^2 - \rho) \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n x_i x_j \partial^2 / \partial x_i \partial x_j \right. \\ \left. + \left( \delta^2 - \mu - \frac{n-1}{2} \rho \right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \partial / \partial x_i - \lambda \right).$$

*Proof.* — Follows from Corollary 2 and Lemma 3 and the fact that the spherical process corresponding to a diffusion is the spherical Brownian motion up to a time change of the form  $t \rightarrow rt, r > 0$ .

#### 4. CHARACTERISATIONS OF THE DUAL PROCESS

In this section we shall give two characterisations of the dual process. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  be given. Assume for convenience that  $(X(t))$  is a diffusion.

The first characterisation uses  $h$ -transform theory [4]. Let  $h$  be an excessive function of  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  and let  $(X^h(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  denote the corresponding  $h$ -process. General theory implies that if  $h$  is continuous, then this process is a time homogeneous Markov process with continuous sample paths and governed by the transition function

$$(4.1) \quad P_t^h(x, A) = h(x)^{-1} \int_{\setminus} P_t(x, dy)h(y).$$

From this formula it is seen that the self-similarity property will be preserved if  $h$  is of the form  $x \rightarrow |x|^k$  for an appropriate  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this connection we have the following result which we state without proof.

**THEOREM 3.** — Let  $\alpha$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  be as above. Then  $h: x \rightarrow |x|^{1-2\mu/\delta^2}$  is excessive and  $(X^h(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  is an element of  $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}(\alpha, E_n)$  and is the weak dual of  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  w. r. t. the measure  $|x|^{-n+1/\alpha} dx$  on  $E_n$ .

$\delta^2$  and  $\mu$  are coefficients in the characteristic operator of  $(X(t))$  (see the introduction).

The second characterisation of the dual process is contained in the following construction which in the case of Brownian Motion was used by M. Yor [10]. By Lemma 2 we have that for each  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (1/\alpha(p-1))$   $(Y^{p,\beta}(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n)) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}(\alpha(1-p)(1+\alpha(1-p)\beta)^{-1}, E_n)$ , where  $Y^{p,\beta}(t) = \phi_p(X(A_t^-))$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $(A_t^-)$  is the right continuous inverse of  $(A_t) = \left( \int_0^t |\phi_p(X(s))|^\beta ds \right)$ , and  $Q^x = P^{\phi_q(x)}$  for  $x \in E_n$  with  $q = p/p-1$ .

Straightforward calculations now show

**THEOREM 4.** — Let  $\alpha$  and  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  be as above. If  $p = 2$  and

$\beta = 2/\alpha$ , then  $(X(t), (P^x, x \in E_n))$  and  $(Y^{p,\beta}(t), (Q^x, x \in E_n))$  are in weak duality with respect to the measure  $|x|^{-n+1/\alpha}dx$  on  $E_n$ .

*Remark.* — We have above concentrated on weak duality, but in many cases, e. g. in the diffusion case, we will indeed have strong duality.

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. H. BINGHAM, Random walk on spheres, *Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete*, t. **22**, 1972, p. 169-192.
- [2] R. M. BLUMENTHAL, R. K. GETOOR, *Markov processes and potential theory*, New York, Academic Press, 1968.
- [3] K. L. CHUNG, *Lectures from Markov processes to Brownian motion*, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, Springer, 1982.
- [4] J. L. DOOB, Conditional Brownian motion and the boundary limits of harmonic functions, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, t. **85**, 1957, p. 431-458.
- [5] R. K. GETOOR, M. J. SHARPE, Naturality, standardness and weak duality for Markov processes, *Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete*, t. **67**, 1984, p. 1-62.
- [6] S. E. GRAVERSEN, J. VUOLLE-APIALA,  $\alpha$ -self-similar Markov processes. To appear in *Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete*.
- [7] S. E. GRAVERSEN, J. VUOLLE-APIALA,  $\alpha$ -semi stable Markov processes, Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet, Preprint Ser., 1982-1983, no. 24.
- [8] J. W. LAMPERTI, Semi-stable Markov processes I, *Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete*, t. **22**, 1972, p. 205-225.
- [9] J. B. WALSH, Markov processes and their functionals in duality, *Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete*, t. **24**, 1972, p. 222-246.
- [10] M. YOR, A propos de l'inverse du mouvement Brownien dans  $R^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*, t. **21** (1), 1985, p. 27-38.

*(Manuscrit reçu le 17 septembre 1985)*