ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

J.-P. NICOLAS

Scattering of linear Dirac fields by a spherically symmetric Black-Hole

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 62, nº 2 (1995), p. 145-179
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1995__62_2_145_0>

© Gauthier-Villars, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré,

Vol. 62, n° 2, 1995, p. 145-179. *Physique théorique*

Scattering of linear Dirac fields by a spherically symmetric Black-Hole

by

J.-P. NICOLAS

Mathematical Institute 24-29 St-Giles',
Oxford OX1 3LB, England
or
CeReMaB, Université Bordeaux-I,
Unité de Recherche Associée au CNRS n° 226,
351, cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France.

ABSTRACT. – We study the linear Dirac system outside a spherical Black-Hole. In the case of massless fields, we prove the existence and asymptotic completeness of classical wave operators at the horizon of the Black-Hole and at infinity.

RÉSUMÉ. – On étudie le système linéaire de Dirac à l'extérieur d'un Trou Noir sphérique. Dans le cas des champs sans masse, on montre l'existence et la complétude asymptotique des opérateurs d'onde classiques à l'horizon du Trou Noir et à l'infini.

1. INTRODUCTION

We develop a time-dependent scattering theory for the linear Dirac system on Schwarzschild-type metrics. The first time-dependent scattering results on the Schwarzschild metric were obtained by J. Dimock [8]. Using the short range at infinity of the interaction between gravity and a massless scalar field, he proved the existence and asymptotic completeness of classical wave-operators for the wave equation. The case of the Maxwell

system in which the interaction is pseudo long-range has been worked out by A. Bachelot [2], and for the Regge-Wheeler equation, a complete scattering theory has been developed by A. Bachelot and A. Motet-Bachelot [3]. Our purpose in this work is to study the classical wave operators and their asymptotic completeness for the linear massless Dirac system on a general "Schwarzschild-type" metric which covers all the usual cases of spherical black-holes. The main tools are Cook's method for the existence and the results obtained in [3] for the asymptotic completeness.

Let us consider the manifold $\mathbb{R}_t \times]0, +\infty[_r \times S^2_{\theta,\phi}$ endowed with the pseudo-riemannian metric

$$g_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu\, dx^\nu = F(r)\, e^{2\delta(r)}\, dt^2 - [F(r)^{-1}\, dr^2 + r^2\, d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2] \quad (1)$$

where $F, \delta \in C^\infty(]0, +\infty[_r)$. We assume the existence of three values r_ν of r, $0 \leq r_- < r_0 < r_+ \leq +\infty$, which are the only possible zeros of F, such that

$$F(r_\nu) = 0, \quad F'(r_\nu) = 2\kappa_\nu, \quad \kappa_\nu \neq 0, \quad \text{if } 0 < r_\nu < +\infty,$$
$$F(r) > 0 \quad \text{for } r \in]r_0, r_+[, \qquad F(r) < 0 \quad \text{for } r \in]r_-, r_0[.$$

When they are finite and non zero, r_-, r_0 and r_+ are the radii of the spheres called: horizon of the black-hole (r_0), Cauchy horizon (r_-) and cosmological horizon (r_+). κ_ν is the surface gravity at the horizon $\{r = r_\nu\}$. If r_+ is infinite, we assume moreover that

$$F(r) = 1 - \frac{r_1}{r} + O(r^{-2}), \qquad r_1 > 0,$$
$$\delta(r) = \delta(+\infty) + o(r^{-1}), \qquad r \to +\infty,$$
$$F'(r), \quad \delta'(r) = O(r^{-2}), \qquad r \to +\infty.$$

All these properties are satisfied by usual spherical black-holes (*see* [13]).

NOTATIONS. – Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $C_0^\infty(M)$ denotes the set of C^∞ functions with compact support in M, $H^k(M, g)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is the Sobolev space, completion of $C_0^\infty(M)$ for the norm

$$\| f \|^2_{H^k(M)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_M \langle \nabla^j f, \nabla^j f \rangle\, d\mu,$$

where ∇^j, $d\mu$ and $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ are respectively the covariant derivatives, the measure of volume and the hermitian product associated with the metric g. We write $L^2(M, g) = H^0(M, g)$.

If E is a distribution space on M, E_{comp} represents the subspace of elements of E with compact support in M.

The 2-dimensional euclidian sphere S^2_ω is endowed with its usual metric

$$d\omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi^2, \qquad 0 \le \theta \le \pi, \quad 0 \le \varphi < 2\pi.$$

2. THE COVARIANT GENERALIZATION OF THE LINEAR DIRAC SYSTEM ON SCHWARZSCHILD-TYPE METRICS

The covariant generalization of the Dirac system on the metric g has the form

$$(i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu - m)\,\Phi = 0, \qquad m \ge 0 \tag{2}$$

for a particle with mass m, where Φ is a Dirac 4-spinor, the γ^μ are the contravariant Dirac matrices on curved space-time and ∇_μ is the covariant derivation of spinor fields. We make the following choices of flat space-time Dirac matrices

$$\gamma_{\tilde 0} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_{\tilde\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_\alpha \\ -\sigma_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha = 1,\, 2,\, 3 \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_1 = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sigma_2 &= -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_3 = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

are the Pauli matrices, and of local Lorentz frame

$$e^\mu_{\tilde\alpha} = \begin{cases} |\, g^{\mu\mu}\,|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if} \quad \tilde\alpha = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \tilde\alpha \ne \mu. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

We recall that flat space-time Dirac matrices are a set of 4×4 matrices $\{\gamma_{\tilde\alpha}\}_{0 \le \tilde\alpha \le 3}$ such that

$$\{\gamma_{\tilde\alpha},\, \gamma_{\tilde\beta}\} = \gamma_{\tilde\alpha}\,\gamma_{\tilde\beta} + \gamma_{\tilde\beta}\,\gamma_{\tilde\alpha} = 2\,\eta_{\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta}\,\mathbf{1} \quad (\tilde\alpha,\, \tilde\beta = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, 3) \tag{6}$$

where

$$\eta_{\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta} = \operatorname{diag}(1,\, -1,\, -1,\, -1) \tag{7}$$

is the Minkowski metric. The indices with a tilde refer to flat space-time and can be raised or lowered using $\eta_{\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta}$, whereas the indices without tilde refer to curved space-time and are raised or lowered using the metric g.

With these definitions, the γ^μ and ∇_μ are then defined by (*see* for example [5], [7])

$$\gamma^\mu = \gamma_{\tilde\alpha}\, e^{\tilde\alpha\mu} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\, G_{[\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta]}\, \omega^{\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta}{}_\mu \tag{9}$$

where

$$G_{[\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta]} = \frac{1}{4}\,[\gamma_{\tilde\alpha},\, \gamma_{\tilde\beta}] \equiv \frac{1}{4}\,(\gamma_{\tilde\alpha}\,\gamma_{\tilde\beta} - \gamma_{\tilde\beta}\,\gamma_{\tilde\alpha}) \tag{10}$$

are the generators of the spinor representation of the proper Lorentz group and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\tilde\alpha\tilde\beta}{}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde\alpha\nu}\,(e^{\tilde\beta}{}_{\nu,\mu} - e^{\tilde\beta}{}_{\mu,\nu}) - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde\beta\nu}\,(e^{\tilde\alpha}{}_{\nu,\mu} - e^{\tilde\alpha}{}_{\mu,\nu}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde\alpha\nu}\, e^{\tilde\beta\sigma}\,(e^{\tilde\gamma}{}_{\nu,\sigma} - e^{\tilde\gamma}{}_{\sigma,\nu})\, e_{\tilde\gamma\mu} = -\omega^{\tilde\beta\tilde\alpha}{}_\mu\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

are the coefficients of the spin connection, $_{,\mu}$ standing for the derivation with respect to the μ-th variable. We compute the *a priori* non zero components:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\tilde t\tilde r}{}_t &= \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\,[\partial_t\,(e^{\tilde r}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde r}{}_t)] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde r r}\,[\partial_t\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_r) - \partial_r\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_t)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\, e^{\tilde r r}\,[\partial_r\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_r)]\, e_{\tilde t t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde r r}\, \partial_r\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_t)\,(1 + e^{\tilde t t}\, e_{\tilde t t}) = \frac{1}{2}\,(-F^{1/2})\, \partial_r\,(F^{1/2}\, e^\delta) \\ &\quad \times (1 + F^{-1/2}\, e^{-\delta}\, F^{1/2}\, e^\delta) = -\left(\frac{F'}{2} + F\,\delta'\right) e^\delta,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\tilde t\tilde r}{}_r &= \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\,[\partial_r\,(e^{\tilde r}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde r}{}_r)] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde r r}\,[\partial_r\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_r) - \partial_r\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_r)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\, e^{\tilde r r}\,[\partial_r\,(e^{\tilde r}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde r}{}_r)]\, e_{\tilde r r} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\tilde t\tilde\theta}{}_t &= \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\,[\partial_t\,(e^{\tilde\theta}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde\theta}{}_t)] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde\theta\theta}\,[\partial_t\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_\theta) - \partial_\theta\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_t)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\, e^{\tilde\theta\theta}\,[\partial_\theta\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_\theta)]\, e_{\tilde t t} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\tilde t\tilde\theta}{}_\theta &= \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\,[\partial_\theta\,(e^{\tilde\theta}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde\theta}{}_\theta)] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde\theta\theta}\,[\partial_\theta\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_\theta) - \partial_\theta\,(e^{\tilde t}{}_\theta)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde t t}\, e^{\tilde\theta\theta}\,[\partial_\theta\,(e^{\tilde\theta}{}_t) - \partial_t\,(e^{\tilde\theta}{}_\theta)]\, e_{\tilde\theta\theta} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{t}\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{t} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{t}t}\, [\partial_t\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{t}) - \partial_t\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{t})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_t\, (e^{\tilde{t}}{}_{\varphi}) - \partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{t}}{}_{t})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{t}t}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{t}}{}_{t}) - \partial_t\, (e^{\tilde{t}}{}_{\varphi})]\, e_{\tilde{t}t} = 0,$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{t}\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{t}t}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{t}) - \partial_t\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{t}}{}_{\varphi}) - \partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{t}}{}_{\varphi})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{t}t}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{t}) - \partial_t\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi})]\, e_{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi} = 0,$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{r}\tilde{\theta}}{}_{r} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, [\partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{r})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, [\partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\theta}) - \partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{r})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, [\partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\theta})]\, e_{\tilde{r}r} = 0,$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{r}\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, [\partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\theta})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, [\partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\theta}) - \partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\theta})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, [\partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\theta})]\, e_{\tilde{\theta}\theta} = F^{1/2},$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{r}\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{r} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, [\partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{r})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial r\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\varphi}) - \partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{r})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\varphi})]\, e_{\tilde{r}r} = 0,$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{r}\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\varphi}) - \partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{r}}{}_{\varphi})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{r}r}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{r}) - \partial_r\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi})]\, e_{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi} = F^{1/2} \sin\theta,$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, [\partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\theta}) - \partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\theta})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\varphi}) - \partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\theta})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\theta}) - \partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\varphi})]\, e_{\tilde{\theta}\theta} = 0,$$

$$\omega^{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\theta}) - \partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi})] - \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\varphi}) - \partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\theta}}{}_{\varphi})]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\, e^{\tilde{\theta}\theta}\, e^{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi}\, [\partial_\varphi\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\theta}) - \partial_\theta\, (e^{\tilde{\varphi}}{}_{\varphi})]\, e_{\tilde{\varphi}\varphi} = \cos\theta$$

and we obtain the following expression for the linear massive Dirac equation outside a spherical black-hole:

$$\left\{\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\,\partial_t + Fe^{\delta}\,\gamma^{\tilde{1}}\left(\partial_r + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{F'}{4\,F} + \frac{\delta'}{2}\right) + \frac{F^{1/2}\,e^{\delta}}{r}\,\gamma^{\tilde{2}}\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{F^{1/2}\,e^{\delta}}{r\sin\theta}\,\gamma^{\tilde{3}}\,\partial_\varphi + i\,F^{1/2}\,e^{\delta}\,m\right\}\Phi = 0. \tag{12}$$

We introduce the frame with respect to which we shall express the equation, $\mathcal{R}' = \left(\frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\,\partial_\varphi,\ -\frac{1}{r}\,\partial_\theta,\ F^{1/2}\,\partial_r\right)$, image of $\mathcal{R} = \left(F^{1/2}\,\partial_r,\ \frac{1}{r}\,\partial_\theta,\ \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\,\partial_\varphi\right)$ by the spatial rotation f with Euler angles (*see* for example [15]) $(\varphi,\ \theta,\ \psi) = (0,\ \pi/2,\ \pi)$, and the Regge-Wheeler variable r_* defined by

$$\frac{dr}{dr_*} = F\,e^{\delta}, \qquad r \in]\,r_0,\ r_+\,[. \tag{13}$$

The spinor

$$\Psi = T_{(f^{-1})}\,r\,F^{1/4}\,e^{\delta/2}\,\Phi, \tag{14}$$

where $T_{(f^{-1})}$ is the spin transformation associated with the rotation f^{-1}, satisfies

$$\partial_t\,\Psi = i\,H\,\Psi,$$
$$H = i\left[\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\,\gamma^{\tilde{3}}\,\partial_{r_*} - \frac{F^{1/2}\,e^{\delta}}{r}\,\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\,\gamma^{\tilde{2}}\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{F^{1/2}\,e^{\delta}}{r\sin\theta}\,\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\,\gamma^{\tilde{1}}\,\partial_\varphi + i\,\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\,F^{1/2}\,e^{\delta}\,m\right] \tag{15}$$

on the domain $\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_{r_*} \times S^2_\omega$ representing the exterior of the black-hole in the variables $(t,\ r_*,\ \omega)$.

We recall (*see* [7]) that, given a spatial rotation f of angle θ around a unit vector $n = (n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3)$, its associated spin transformation T_f is

$$T_f = \operatorname{Exp}\left\{\left[n_1\,G_{[\tilde{2},\,\tilde{3}]} + n_2\,G_{[\tilde{3},\,\tilde{1}]} + n_3\,G_{[\tilde{1},\,\tilde{2}]}\right]\theta\right\} \tag{16}$$

where Exp is the exponential mapping.

3. GLOBAL CAUCHY PROBLEM

We introduce the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{L^2\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*} \times S^2_\omega;\ dr_*^2 + d\omega^2)\}^4. \tag{17}$$

THEOREM 3.1. – *Given $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, equation (15) has a unique solution Ψ such that*

$$\Psi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, \mathcal{H}), \quad \Psi\,|_{t=0} = \Psi_0. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|\,\Psi(t)\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{19}$$

Proof. – We show that the operator

$$\tilde{H} = H + \gamma^{\tilde{0}}\, F^{1/2}\, e^{\delta}\, m \tag{20}$$

is self-adjoint with dense domain on $\mathcal{H}$. We decompose $\mathcal{H}$ using generalized spherical functions of weigths 1/2 and $-1/2$. Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(l,\, m,\, n);\; 2\,l,\, 2\,m,\, 2\,n \in \mathbb{Z};\; l - |\,m\,|,\, l - |\,n\,| \in \mathbb{N}\} \tag{21}$$

and for any half-integer m

$$\mathcal{I}_m = \{(l,\, n);\; (l,\, m,\, n) \in \mathcal{I}\}. \tag{22}$$

For $(l,\, m,\, n) \in \mathcal{I}$, we define the function T^l_{mn} of $(\varphi_1,\, \theta,\, \varphi_2)$, $\varphi_1,\, \varphi_2 \in [0,\, 2\,\pi\,[$, $\theta \in [0,\, \pi]$, by

$$T^l_{mn}(\varphi_1,\, \theta,\, \varphi_2) = e^{-im\,\varphi_2}\, u^l_{mn}(\theta)\, e^{-in\varphi_1} \tag{23}$$

where u^l_{mn} satisfies the following ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d^2\, u^l_{mn}}{d\theta^2} + \operatorname{cotg}\theta\, \frac{du^l_{mn}}{d\theta} + \left[l\,(l+1) - \frac{n^2 - 2\,mn\cos\theta + m^2}{\sin^2\theta} \right] u^l_{mn} = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{du^l_{mn}}{d\theta} - \frac{n - m\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\, u^l_{mn} = -i\,[(l+m)\,(l-m+1)]^{1/2}\, u^l_{m-1,\,n}, \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{du^l_{mn}}{d\theta} + \frac{n - m\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\, u^l_{mn} = -i\,[(l+m+1)\,(l-m)]^{1/2}\, u^l_{m+1,\,n} \tag{26}$$

and the normalization condition

$$\int_0^{\pi} |\,u^l_{mn}(\theta)\,|^2 \sin\theta\, d\theta = \frac{1}{4\,\pi^2}. \tag{27}$$

We know from [12], that $\{T^l_{mn}\}_{(l,\,m,\,n)\in\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is a Hibert basis of

$$L^2([0,\, 2\,\pi\,[_{\varphi_1} \times [0,\, \pi]_{\theta} \times [0,\, 2\,\pi\,[_{\varphi_2};\; \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi_1^2 + d\theta^2 + d\varphi_2^2). \tag{28}$$

Thus, for any half-integer m,

$$\{T^l_{mn}(\varphi,\,\theta,\,0) = e^{-in\varphi}\,u^l_{mn}(\theta)\}_{(l,\,n)\in\mathcal{I}_m}$$

is a Hilbert basis of $L^2(S^2_\omega;\,d\omega^2)$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{(l,\,n)\in\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{H}_{ln} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{ln} = \{{}^t(f_1\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n},\ f_2\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n},\ f_3\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n},\ f_4\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n});\\ f_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*};\,dr^2_*),\ i = 1,\,2,\,3,\,4\},\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{H}_{ln} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*};\,dr^2_*)]^4 \otimes F_{ln};\ F_{ln} = {}^t(T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n},\ T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n},\ T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n},\ T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n}) \tag{31}$$

where the $T^l_{\pm\frac{1}{2},\,n}$ are seen as functions of only φ, θ. Let

$$\Psi = {}^t(f_1,\,f_2,\,f_3,\,f_4) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}.$$

Denoting $\alpha = F^{1/2}\,e^\delta$, the four components of $\tilde{H}\,\Psi$ are

$$i\,\partial_{r_*}\,f_3\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n} - \frac{\alpha}{r}\,f_4\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n} + i\,\frac{\alpha}{r\sin\theta}\,f_4\,\partial_\varphi\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n},$$

$$-i\,\partial_{r_*}\,f_4\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n} + \frac{\alpha}{r}\,f_3\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n} + i\,\frac{\alpha}{r\sin\theta}\,f_3\,\partial_\varphi\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n},$$

$$i\,\partial_{r_*}\,f_1\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n} - \frac{\alpha}{r}\,f_2\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n} + i\,\frac{\alpha}{r\sin\theta}\,f_2\,\partial_\varphi\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n},$$

$$-i\,\partial_{r_*}\,f_2\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n} + \frac{\alpha}{r}\,f_1\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n} + i\,\frac{\alpha}{r\sin\theta}\,f_1\,\partial_\varphi\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n}.$$

Relations (25) and (26) yield

$$\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n} = \frac{n}{\sin\theta}\,T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n} - i\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n}, \tag{32}$$

$$\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right)T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n} = \frac{-n}{\sin\theta}\,T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},\,n} - i\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)T^l_{\frac{1}{2},\,n}, \tag{33}$$

and we also have

$$\partial_\varphi T^l_{\pm\frac{1}{2},n}(\varphi,\,\theta,\,0) = -in\,T^l_{\pm\frac{1}{2},n}(\varphi,\,\theta,\,0). \tag{34}$$

Thus, the four components of $\tilde{H}\,\Psi$ are

$$\left(i\,\partial_{r_*} f_3 + i\,\frac{\alpha}{r}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) f_4\right) T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},n},$$

$$\left(-i\,\partial_{r_*} f_4 - i\,\frac{\alpha}{r}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) f_3\right) T^l_{\frac{1}{2},n},$$

$$\left(i\,\partial_{r_*} f_1 + i\,\frac{\alpha}{r}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) f_2\right) T^l_{-\frac{1}{2},n},$$

$$\left(-i\,\partial_{r_*} f_2 - i\,\frac{\alpha}{r}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) f_1\right) T^l_{\frac{1}{2},n}.$$

We see that on $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$, $\tilde{H}$ has the form

$$\tilde{H}\,|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}} = \left(i\,\partial_{r_*} L + \frac{\alpha}{r}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) M\right)_{r_*} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\theta,\varphi} \tag{35}$$

where the matrices L et M, defined by

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{36}$$

are hermitian and L is invertible. Since the function $\alpha\,r^{-1}$ belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})$, $\tilde{H}\,|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}}$ is self-adjoint with domain

$$D_{ln} = [D\,(i\,\partial_{r_*})]^4 \otimes F_{ln} \simeq [H^1\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*};\,dr_*^2)]^4 \otimes F_{ln} \tag{37}$$

dense in $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$. On D_{ln}, we choose the following norm

$$\Psi = {}^t(f_1,\,f_2,\,f_3,\,f_4) \otimes F_{ln} \in D_{ln},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\,\Psi\,\|^2_{D_{ln}} &= \|\,\Psi\,\|^2_{(L^2\,(\mathbb{R}))^4} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(i\,\partial_{r_*} L + \frac{\alpha}{r}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) M\right) \Psi \right\|^2_{(L^2\,(\mathbb{R}))^4} \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and we introduce the dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} D\,(H) = \{\Psi = &\sum_{(l,\,n)\in\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}} \Psi_{ln};\ \Psi_{ln} \in D_{ln}, \\ &\sum_{(l,\,n)\in\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\,\Psi_{ln}\,\|^2_{D_{ln}} < +\infty\}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$\tilde{H}$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $D(H)$, $\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\alpha m$ is self-adjoint and bounded on $\mathcal{H}$, therefore, H is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}$ with dense domain $D(H)$. Theorem 3.1 follows from Stone's theorem.

Q.E.D.

4. WAVE OPERATORS AT THE HORIZON

When $r \to r_0$, the operator H has the formal limit

$$H_0 = i\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\gamma^{\tilde{3}}\partial r_* \tag{40}$$

which is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with dense domain

$$D(H_0) = \{H^1[(\mathbb{R}_{r_*};\, dr_*^2);\, L^2(S^2_\omega;\, d\omega^2)]\}^4. \tag{41}$$

The spectrum of H_0 is purely absolutely continuous. We define the subspaces of incoming and outgoing waves associated with H_0 :

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\pm} = \{\Psi = {}^t(u^1,\, u^2,\, u^3,\, u^4),\ u^3 = \mp u^1,\ u^4 = \pm u^2\}. \tag{42}$$

$\mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$ as well as the $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$ remain stable under H_0 and we have

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_0^-,\\ \forall\, \Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm},\quad (e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0)(r_*,\, \omega) = \Psi_0(r_* \pm t,\, \omega).\end{gathered} \tag{43}$$

Since we want to compare H with H_0 in the neighbourhood of the horizon, we introduce the cut-off function

$$\begin{gathered}\chi_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}),\quad 0 \le \chi_0 \le 1,\\ \exists\, a,\, b \in \mathbb{R},\quad a < b \textit{ such that}\\ \textit{for}\quad r_* < a\quad \chi_0(r_*) = 1;\qquad \textit{for}\quad r_* > b\quad \chi_0(r_*) = 0\end{gathered} \tag{44}$$

together with the identifying operator

$$\mathcal{J}_0 : \begin{array}{c}\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}\\ \Psi \mapsto \chi_0\,\Psi.\end{array} \tag{45}$$

We consider the classical wave operators

$$W_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0 = s\text{-}\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\, e^{-iHt}\,\mathcal{J}_0\, e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0 \quad \textit{in } \mathcal{H}. \tag{46}$$

THEOREM 4.1. – *The operator W_0^+ (resp. W_0^-) is well-defined from $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_0^-$) to $\mathcal{H}$, is independent of the choice of χ_0 satisfying* (44), *moreover*

$$\forall\, \Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm},\quad \|\, W_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{47}$$

Proof. – We apply Cook's method. $\mathcal{J}_0$ being a bounded operator, it suffices to prove that for

$$\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{ln}^{\pm};\quad \mathcal{D}_{ln}^{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm} \cap \mathcal{H}_{ln} \cap [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*} \times S^2_\omega)]^4,\qquad (l,\, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{48}$$

we have

$$\| (H \mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I}_0 H_0) e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}} \in L^1 (\pm t > 0). \tag{49}$$

Let for $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\Psi_0 \in D^{\pm}_{ln}, \quad \operatorname{Supp} \Psi_0 \subset [-R, R]_{r_*} \times S^2_\omega, \qquad R > 0, \tag{50}$$

then

$$H e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 = \left(i \partial_{r_*} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) M - \alpha m \gamma^{\tilde{0}} \right) \Psi_0 (r_* + t),$$

and

$$H_0 e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 = i \partial_{r_*} L \Psi_0 (r_* + t).$$

Ψ_0 being compactly supported, for t large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (H \mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I}_0 H_0) e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{\alpha}{r} \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) M - \alpha m \gamma^{\tilde{0}} \right) e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\alpha}{r} + \alpha m \right\|_{L^\infty (-R-t, R-t)} \| \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

α is rapidly decreasing in r_* when $r \to r_0$, therefore

$$\| (H \mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I}_0 H_0) e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}} \in L^1 (t > 0)$$

and W_0^+ is well-defined. The same proof can of course be applied to W_0^-. Furthermore, if $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$, we get from (43) that the energy of $e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0$ in a domain of $\mathbb{R}_{r_*} \times S^2_\omega$ bounded to the left in r_* vanishes when t tends to infinity, which gives (47). If now we consider two different cut-off functions χ_o and χ'_o, and the associated identifying operators $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\mathcal{J}'_0$, the difference $\chi_o - \chi'_o$ is compactly supported, thus

$$\| e^{-iHt} \mathcal{J}_0 e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 - e^{-iHt} \mathcal{J}'_0 e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}} \to 0, \qquad t \to \pm\infty.$$

Q.E.D.

REMARK 4.1. – *In the case where r_+ is finite, we construct in the same way classical wave operators at the cosmological horizon*

$$W_1^{\pm} \Psi_0 = \underset{t \to \pm\infty}{s\text{-lim}} \; e^{-iHt} \mathcal{J}_1 e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \quad \textit{in } \mathcal{H} \tag{51}$$

where the identifying operator $\mathcal{J}_1$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_1 : \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \\ \Psi \to \chi_1 \Psi, \end{array} \tag{52}$$

χ_1 *being a cut-off function*

$$\begin{gathered} \chi_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}), \quad 0 \leq \chi_1 \leq 1, \\ \exists\, a,\, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a < b \quad \textit{such that} \\ \textit{for} \quad r_* < a \quad \chi_1(r_*) = 0; \qquad \textit{for} \quad r_* > b \quad \chi_1(r_*) = 1. \end{gathered} \tag{53}$$

W_1^+ *(resp.* W_1^-*) is an isometry from* $\mathcal{H}_0^-$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{H}_0^+$*) to* $\mathcal{H}$ *and is independent of the choice of* χ_1 *satisfying* (53).

5. WAVE OPERATORS AT INFINITY (MASSLESS CASE)

In all this paragraph, we shall assume that $r_+ = +\infty$; the metric (1) is then asymptotically flat in the neighbourhood of infinity and we choose to compare H to an operator H_∞ which is equivalent to the hamiltonian operator for the Dirac equation on the Minkowski space-time. We also make the hypothesis that $m = 0$ in order to avoid long range perturbations at infinity. Let us consider on the Minkowski metric

$$ds^2_{\mathcal{M}} = dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2; \qquad x,\, y,\, z \in \mathbb{R} \tag{54}$$

the massless Dirac system

$$\{\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\, \partial_t + \gamma^{\tilde{1}}\, \partial_x + \gamma^{\tilde{2}}\, \partial_y + \gamma^{\tilde{3}}\, \partial_z\}\, \Phi = 0. \tag{55}$$

The associated hamiltonian operator, defined by

$$H_{\mathcal{M}} = i\, \gamma^{\tilde{0}}\, \{\gamma^{\tilde{1}}\, \partial_x + \gamma^{\tilde{2}}\, \partial_y + \gamma^{\tilde{3}}\, \partial_z\}, \tag{56}$$

is self-adjoint with dense domain on $[L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_y \times \mathbb{R}_z)]^4$ and if $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, [L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_y \times \mathbb{R}_z)]^4)$ is a solution of (55), its energy in a compact domain goes to zero when t goes to $\pm\infty$. In addition, for any $\Phi_0 \in [L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_y \times \mathbb{R}_z)]^4$ with a compact support contained into

$$B(0,\, R) = \{(x,\, y,\, z);\, 0 \leq \rho < R,\, \rho = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}\}, \tag{57}$$

the solution Φ of (55) associated with the initial data Φ_0 satisfies

$$\Phi(t,\, x,\, y,\, z) = 0 \qquad \textit{for} \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq |\, t\,| - R. \tag{58}$$

At the point of spherical coordinates (ρ, θ, φ), we apply the spatial rotation f with Euler angles $(\pi/2, \theta, \pi - \varphi)$. The local frame $(\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)$ is thus transformed by f^{-1} into

$$(\partial_{x^1}, \partial_{x^2}, \partial_{x^3}) = \left(\frac{1}{\rho \sin\theta}\,\partial_\varphi, \frac{-1}{\rho}\,\partial_\theta, \partial_\rho\right). \tag{59}$$

The spinor

$$\Psi = \rho\, T_f\, \Phi, \tag{60}$$

where T_f is the spin transformation associated with f defined in (16), satisfies

$$\partial_t \Psi = i\, H_\infty\, \Psi, \qquad H_\infty = i\left[\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\gamma^{\tilde{3}}\,\partial_\rho - \frac{1}{\rho}\,\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\gamma^{\tilde{2}}\left(\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{cotg}\theta\right) + \frac{1}{p\sin\theta}\,\gamma^{\tilde{0}}\gamma^{\tilde{1}}\,\partial_\varphi\right]. \tag{61}$$

The operator H_∞ on

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{L^2([0, +\infty[_\rho \times S^2_\omega;\ d\rho^2 + d\omega^2)\}^4 \tag{62}$$

is unitarily equivalent to $H_{\mathcal{M}}$ on

$$\{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_y \times \mathbb{R}_z;\ dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)\}^4.$$

Therefore, H_∞ is self-adjoint with dense domain on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ and if $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t, \mathcal{H}_\infty)$ satisfies (61), then its energy in a compact domain goes to zero when t goes to $\pm\infty$. Moreover, for

$$\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\infty; \quad \operatorname{Supp}(\Psi_0) \subset B(0, R)$$

$\Psi(t) = e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_0$ satisfies

$$\Psi(t, \rho, \theta, \varphi) = 0 \qquad \textit{for} \quad 0 \le \rho \le |t| - R. \tag{63}$$

In order to avoid artificial long-range interactions, we choose

$$\rho = r_* \ge 0 \tag{64}$$

and we introduce the cut-off function

$$\begin{gathered} \chi_\infty \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, +\infty[_{r_*}), \quad 0 \le \chi_\infty \le 1, \\ \exists\, 0 < a < b < +\infty \quad \textit{such that} \\ \textit{for} \quad 0 \le r_* \le a \quad \chi_\infty(r_*) = 0, \qquad \textit{for} \quad r_* \ge b\ \chi_\infty(r_*) = 1 \end{gathered} \tag{65}$$

together with the identifying operator

$$\mathcal{J}_\infty : \quad \mathcal{H}_\infty \to \mathcal{H}; \qquad \textit{for} \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \begin{cases} (\mathcal{J}\,\Psi)\,|_{\{r_* \geq 0\}} = \chi_\infty\,\Psi, \\ (\mathcal{J}\,\Psi)\,|_{\{r_* \leq 0\}} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{66}$$

We define the classical wave operators

$$W_\infty^\pm\,\Psi_0 = s\text{-}\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\; e^{-iHt}\,\mathcal{J}_\infty\,e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_0 \quad \textit{in} \;\; \mathcal{H}. \tag{67}$$

THEOREM 5.1. – *The operators $W_\infty^\pm$ are well-defined from $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ to $\mathcal{H}$, are independent of the choice of χ_∞ and*

$$\forall\,\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\infty, \quad \|\,W_\infty^\pm\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty}. \tag{68}$$

Proof. – For $(l,\,n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we introduce the subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$

$$\mathcal{D}_{ln}^\infty = \{\Psi = {}^t(f_1,\,f_2,\,f_3,\,f_4) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty;\; 1 \leq i \leq 4,\; f_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}^+)\} \tag{69}$$

the direct sum of which is dense in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. For $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{ln}^\infty$,

$$H_\infty\,|_{\mathcal{D}_{ln}^\infty} = \left(i\,\partial_{r_*}\,L + \frac{1}{r_*}\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) M\right)_{r_*} \otimes \mathbf{1}_\omega \tag{70}$$

where the matrices L and M are defined by (36), and

$$\mathcal{J}_\infty\,\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}. \tag{71}$$

$\mathcal{J}_\infty$ being a bounded operator, it suffices to prove that for

$$\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{ln}^\infty; \quad \operatorname{Supp}(\Psi_0) \subset B\,(0,\,R), \tag{72}$$

we have

$$\|\,(H\,\mathcal{J}_\infty - \mathcal{J}_\infty\,H_\infty)\,e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} \in L^1\,(\mathbb{R}_t). \tag{73}$$

(63) yields

$$e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_0 = 0 \quad \textit{in} \;\; \{(t,\,r_*,\,\theta,\,\varphi);\; 0 \leq r_* \leq |\,t\,| - R\}. \tag{74}$$

Thus, for $|\,t\,|$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\,(H\,\mathcal{J}_\infty - \mathcal{J}_\infty\,H_\infty)\,e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{\alpha}{r} - \frac{1}{r_*}\right)\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) M\,e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) \|\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \left\| \frac{\alpha}{r} - \frac{1}{r_*} \right\|_{L^\infty\,([|t|+R,\,+\infty[_{r_*})}. \end{aligned}$$

We study the asymptotic behavior of

$$\frac{\alpha}{r} - \frac{1}{r_*} = \frac{1}{r_*}\left(F^{1/2}\, e^{\delta}\, \frac{r_*}{r} - 1\right)$$

when r_* goes to $+\infty$. The Regge-Wheeler variables r_* is defined with respect to r by

$$r_* = \frac{1}{2\,\kappa_0}\left\{\mathrm{Log}\,|\,r - r_0\,| - \int_{r_0}^{r}\left[\frac{1}{r - r_0} - \frac{2\,\kappa_0}{\mathrm{Fe}^{\delta}}\right] dr\right\} \tag{75}$$

where $2\,\kappa_0 = F'\,(r_0)$. For r larger than $r_0 + 1$, we have

$$r_* = C + \int_{r_0+1}^{r} F^{-1}\, e^{-\delta}\, dr \tag{76}$$

where

$$2\,\kappa_0\, C = -\int_{r_0}^{r_0+1}\left[\frac{1}{r - r_0} - \frac{2\,\kappa_0}{\mathrm{Fe}^{\delta}}\right] dr. \tag{77}$$

F and δ satisfy

$$\delta\,(r) = o\,(r^{-1}); \qquad F\,(r) = 1 - \frac{r_1}{r} + O\,(r^{-2}) \quad r_1 > 0; \qquad r \to +\infty$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}\,(r)\, e^{-\delta\,(r)} &= 1 + \frac{r_1}{r} + o\,(r^{-1}), \\ r_* &= r + r_1\,\mathrm{Log}\,(r) + o\,(\mathrm{Log}\,(r)), \\ F^{1/2}\,(r)\, e^{\delta\,(r)} &= 1 - \frac{r_1}{2\,r} + o\,(r^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} F^{1/2}\,(r)\, e^{\delta\,(r)}\, \frac{r_*}{r} - 1 &= r_1\, \frac{\mathrm{Log}\,(r)}{r} \\ &\quad + o\left(\frac{\mathrm{Log}\,(r)}{r}\right) = O\,(r^{-1/2}) = O\,(r_*^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The operators $W_{\infty}^{\pm}$ are thus well-defined. The fact that they are isometries and do not depend upon the choice of the cut-off function can be verified using exactly the same remarks as in the case of the horizon.

Q.E.D.

6. ASYMPTOTIC COMPLETENESS OF OPERATORS $W_0^{\pm}$ AND $W_\infty^{\pm}$ (MASSLESS CASE)

We assume again that $m = 0$ and $r_+ = +\infty$. We introduce the inverse wave operators at the horizon and at infinity, defined for $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0 = s\text{-}\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\; e^{-iH_0 t}\,\mathcal{J}_0^*\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 \quad in\ \mathcal{H}, \tag{78}$$

$$\tilde{W}_\infty^{\pm}\,\Psi_0 = s\text{-}\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\; e^{-iH_\infty t}\,\mathcal{J}_\infty^*\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 \quad in\ \mathcal{H}_\infty, \tag{79}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{J}_\infty^*$ are respectively the adjoints of $\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\mathcal{J}_\infty$. We also define the wave operators W^+ and W^- by

$$\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}, \quad \Psi_\infty \in \mathcal{H}_\infty, \qquad W^{\pm}(\Psi_0,\,\Psi_\infty) = W_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0 + W_\infty^{\pm}\,\Psi_\infty \tag{80}$$

as well as the inverse wave operators $\tilde{W}^+$, W^-.

$$\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \quad \tilde{W}^{\pm}\,\Psi_0 = (\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0,\,\tilde{W}_\infty^{\pm}\,\Psi_0). \tag{81}$$

Eventually, we define the scattering operator

$$S = \tilde{W}^+\,W^-. \tag{82}$$

THEOREM 6.1. – *Operators $\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}$ (resp. $\tilde{W}_\infty^{\pm}$) are well defined from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$ (resp. from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_\infty$), are independent of the choice of χ_o (resp. χ_∞) and their norm is lower or equal to* 1. *Moreover*

$$W^{\pm}\ is\ an\ isometry\ of\ \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm} \times \mathcal{H}_\infty\ onto\ \mathcal{H}.$$

$$\tilde{W}^{\pm}\ is\ an\ isometry\ of\ \mathcal{H}\ onto\ \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm} \times \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

$$S\ is\ an\ isometry\ of\ \mathcal{H}_0^{-} \times \mathcal{H}_\infty\ onto\ \mathcal{H}_0^{+} \times \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

Proof. – For any solution Ψ of (15) in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\,\mathcal{H}_{ln})$, $(l,\,n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we construct asymptotic profiles at the horizon and at infinity. The idea is that each component of Ψ satisfies an equation of the form

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + V(r_*))\,f = 0 \tag{83}$$

where the potential V has the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} &V = V_+ - V_-; \qquad V_+,\,V_- \geq 0, \\ &V_+(r_*) \leq C\,(1 + |\,r_*\,|)^{-1-\varepsilon}, \qquad \varepsilon > 0, \\ &V_-(r_*) \leq C\,(1 + |\,r_*\,|)^{-2-\varepsilon}, \qquad \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

We then apply the scattering results of [3]. This suffices to define $\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}$, but to prove the existence of $\tilde{W}_\infty^{\pm}$, we need to recover a solution of $(\partial_t - i\,H_\infty)\,\Psi = 0$ from the asymptotic profile at infinity.

Firstly, we study some spectral properties of the operator H:

PROPOSITION 6.1. – *The point spectrum of H is empty.*

A straightforward consequence of proposition 6.1 is

COROLLARY 6.1. – *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the direct sum of the sets*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{ln}^{k} = \{ & H^k \Psi;\ \Psi = {}^t(f_1,\ f_2,\ f_3,\ f_4) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}, \\ & 1 \leq i \leq 4,\ f_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})\}; \qquad (l,\ n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof of proposition 6.1. – Let

$$\Psi_{ln} = \phi \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}; \qquad \phi = {}^t(f_1,\ f_2,\ f_3,\ f_4) \in [L^2(\mathbb{R},\ dr_*^2)]^4 \tag{86}$$

such that

$$H\,\Psi_{ln} = \lambda\,\Psi_{ln}; \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{87}$$

Equation (87) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} f_1' &= -\beta_l\, f_2 - i\,\lambda\, f_3, \\ f_2' &= -\beta_l\, f_1 + i\,\lambda\, f_4, \\ f_3' &= -\beta_l\, f_4 - i\,\lambda\, f_1, \\ f_4' &= -\beta_l\, f_3 + i\,\lambda\, f_2, \end{aligned} \qquad \beta_l(r_*) = \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{F^{1/2}\, e^{\delta}}{r}. \tag{88}$$

We first consider the case $\lambda = 0$. Putting

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= f_1 + f_2, \qquad & g_2 &= f_2 - f_1, \\ g_3 &= f_3 + f_4, \qquad & g_4 &= f_4 - f_3, \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

we see that g_1 and g_3 are solutions of

$$g' = -\beta_l . g, \tag{90}$$

while g_2 and g_4 satisfy

$$f' = \beta_l . f. \tag{91}$$

Thus $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue for H if and only if there exists $l = \dfrac{1}{2} + k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that both equations (90) and (91) have solutions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*};\ dr_*^2)$. β_l being smooth on $\mathbb{R}$, any solution of (90) or (91) in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is necessarily smooth. Moreover, β_l decreases exponentially when r_* goes to $-\infty$, thus

$$\forall\, r_*^1 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \beta_l \in L^1(]-\infty,\ r_*^1[) \tag{92}$$

and both integral equation

$$f(r_*) = 1 + \int_{-\infty}^{r_*} \beta_l \cdot f \, dr_*, \tag{93}$$

$$g(r_*) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{r_*} \beta_l \cdot g \, dr_* \tag{94}$$

have a unique solution in $L^\infty(]-\infty, r^1_{r_*}[)$, which can be extended on $\mathbb{R}$ as a smooth but not square integrable function. Therefore, (90) and (91) have no non trivial solution in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue for H.

If now we suppose $\lambda \neq 0$, the components of ϕ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} f_1'' &= (\beta_l^2 - \lambda^2) f_1 - \beta_l' f_2, \\ f_2'' &= (\beta_l^2 - \lambda^2) f_2 - \beta_l' f_1, \\ f_3'' &= (\beta_l^2 - \lambda^2) f_3 - \beta_l' f_4, \\ f_4'' &= (\beta_l^2 - \lambda^2) f_4 - \beta_l' f_3. \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Functions $g_1 = f_1 + f_2$ and $g_3 = f_3 + f_4$ are eigenvectors in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for the operator

$$L_1 = -\partial^2_{r_*} + \beta_l^2(r_*) - \beta_l'(r_*) \tag{96}$$

associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda^2 > 0$, whereas $g_2 = f_2 - f_1$ and $g_4 = f_4 - f_3$ are eigenvectors in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for the operator

$$L_2 = -\partial^2_{r_*} + \beta_l^2(r_*) + \beta_l'(r_*) \tag{97}$$

associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda^2 > 0$. It is easily seen that potentials

$$V_1(r_*) = \beta_l^2(r_*) - \beta_l'(r_*) \tag{98}$$

and

$$V_2(r_*) = \beta_l^2(r_*) + \beta_l'(r_*) \tag{99}$$

satisfy (84). Therefore, the operators L_1 and L_2 are of the same type as the second order operators studied in [3] and have no strictly positive eigenvalue.

Q.E.D.

Proof of corollary 6.1. – For $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if

$$\Psi = \phi \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}; \qquad \phi \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^4,$$

then Ψ belongs to $D(H^k|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}})$. $\mathcal{E}^k_{ln}$ is well-defined and is a subset of $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$. To prove corollary 6.1 it suffices to establish that for $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{E}_{ln}^k$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$. Let

$$\Psi_0 = \phi_0 \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}$$

be orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}_{ln}^k$. Then, for $\phi \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^4$

$$(\phi_0, H^k|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}} \phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})} = 0,$$

$H^k|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}}$ being here considered as an operator on $[L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^4$. We have

$$H^k|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}} \phi_0 = 0 \quad in \ [\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^4 \tag{100}$$

where $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})$ is the space of distributions on $\mathbb{R}_{r_*}$. From (100), we deduce that Ψ_0 belongs to $D(H^k|_{\mathcal{H}_{ln}})$ and

$$H^k \Psi_0 = 0 \quad in \ \mathcal{H}_{ln}. \tag{101}$$

We know by proposition 6.1 that (101) has no non-trivial solution in $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{ln}^k$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$.

Q.E.D.

We also study the spectral properties of operators L_1, L_2. We recall their definition for $l - 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} i = 1, 2, \qquad & L_i = -\partial_{r_*}^2 + V_i(r_*); \\ & V_i(r_*) = \beta_l^2(r_*) + (-1)^i \beta_l'(r_*). \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

PROPOSITION 6.2. – *For $l - 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$, the spectrum of operators L_1 and L_2 is purely absolutely continuous.*

Proof. – We already know that potentials V_1 and V_2 satisfy (84), which, from [3] implies that the singular spectrum of L_1 and L_2 is empty, that their absolutely continuous spectrum is $[0, +\infty[$ and that their point spectrum contains at the most a finite number of negative or zero eigenvalues, all of them being simple. Furthermore, V_1 and V_2 decrease exponentially when $r_* \to -\infty$ and 0 is not an eigenvalue. We show that L_1 and L_2 do not have any strictly negative eigenvalue either by a method similar to the one used in [3]. We recall that for $l - 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$, equations

$$1 \leq i \leq 2 \qquad L_i f = 0 \tag{103}$$

both have on $\mathbb{R}_{r_*}$ a unique continuous strictly positive solution, given respectively by (93) and (94). We consider the general case of a potential

$$V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \tag{104}$$

such that there exists a function g, continuous and strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}_{r_*}$, satisfying

$$L_V g = 0; \qquad L_V = -\partial_{r_*}^2 + V. \tag{105}$$

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})$ be such that

$$L_V f = -\lambda f, \qquad \lambda > 0, \tag{106}$$

which implies

$$f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}). \tag{107}$$

We define the cut-off function

$$\begin{gathered} \chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}), \qquad \textit{for} \quad |r_*| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \chi(r_*) = 1, \qquad \textit{for} \quad |r_*| \geq 1 \qquad \chi(r_*) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{108}$$

Putting for $n \geq 1$

$$f_n(r_*) = \chi\left(\frac{r_*}{n}\right) f(r_*), \tag{109}$$

we easily see that

$$\int_{[-n,\, n]} (|f_n'|^2 + V|f_n|^2)\, dr_* = -\lambda \int_{[-\frac{n}{2},\, \frac{n}{2}]} |f|^2\, dr_* + o(1). \tag{110}$$

Thus, for n large enough

$$\int_{[-n,\, n]} [|f_n'|^2 + V|f_n|^2]\, dr_* < 0.$$

The operator $-\partial_{r_*}^2 + V$ on $L^2([-n,\, n])$ with domain $\{y \in H^2([-n,\, n]);\ y(\pm n) = 0\}$ has a strictly negative eigenvalue $-\lambda_n$ associated with an eigenvector u

$$\left.\begin{gathered} -u'' + V u = -\lambda_n u; \qquad -n < r_* < n, \\ u(-n) = u(n) = 0. \end{gathered}\right\} \tag{111}$$

Even if it means changing u into $-u$, there exist α and β such that

$$\begin{gathered} -n \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n, \\ u(\alpha) = u(\beta) = 0, \qquad u'(\alpha) > 0, \quad u'(\beta) < 0, \\ u > 0 \qquad \textit{for} \quad \alpha < r_* < \beta. \end{gathered} \tag{112}$$

We denote

$$I = \int_\alpha^\beta (u' g - u g')'\, dr_*.$$

On the one hand, we can write

$$I = u'(\beta)\, g(\beta) - u'(\alpha)\, g(\alpha),$$

g being strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}$, (112) yields

$$I < 0.$$

On the other hand

$$(u' g - ug')' = u'' g - g'' u = -\lambda_n ug,$$

thus

$$I = \lambda_n \int_\alpha^\beta ugdr_* > 0.$$

We end up with a contradiction, which means that L_V has no strictly negative eigenvalue.

Q.E.D.

We now prove the existence of the inverse wave operators $\tilde{W}_0^\pm$ and $\tilde{W}_\infty^\pm$. For $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we consider the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{ln} = \mathcal{H}_{ln}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_{ln}^-,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{ln}^\pm = \{\Psi = {}^t(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}; f_2 = \mp f_1, f_4 = \pm f_3\}. \quad (113)$$

Each $\mathcal{H}_{ln}^\pm$ is stable under H and by corollary 6.1, for $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sets

$$\mathcal{E}_{ln}^{k\pm} = \mathcal{E}_{ln}^k \cap \mathcal{H}_{ln}^\pm = \{H^k \Psi;\ \Psi = {}^t(f_1, \mp f_1, f_3, \pm f_3) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_{ln}^\pm;$$
$$f_1, f_3 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})\} \quad (114)$$

are respectively dense in $\mathcal{H}_{ln}^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_{ln}^-$. For $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\pm}$ we establish the existence of the strong limits (78) and (79) defining $\tilde{W}_0^\pm \Psi_0$ and $\tilde{W}_\infty^\pm \Psi_0$. The following lemma guarantees the existence of asymptotic profiles for Ψ_0. The details of its proof will be given after the proof of theorem 6.1.

LEMMA 6.1. – *Given* $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\pm}$, $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, *there exists*

$$\Psi_1 \in [\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; H^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_t; L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}))]^4 \otimes F_{ln} \quad (115)$$

such that

$$\partial_t \Psi_1 = i H_0 \Psi_1, \quad (116)$$

and

$$\underset{t\to\pm\infty}{s\text{-}\lim} \| e^{iHt} \Psi_0 - \Psi_1(t) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \quad (117)$$

Any solution of (116) in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\ \mathcal{H})$ and in particuliar Ψ_1 can be expressed in the form

$$\Psi_1(t) = e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^+ + e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^- \tag{118}$$

where

$$\Psi_0^+ \in \mathcal{H}_0^+, \qquad \Psi_0^- \in \mathcal{H}_0^-. \tag{119}$$

Thus, for a cut-off function χ_0 satisfying (44), we have

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|\,\mathcal{J}_0\,\Psi_1(t) - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^+\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{120}$$

That is to say that for $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\varepsilon}$, $(l,\ n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varepsilon = +,\ -$, there exists

$$\Psi_0^+ \in \mathcal{H}_0^+ \cap \mathcal{H}_{ln}^{\varepsilon} \tag{121}$$

such that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|\,\mathcal{J}_0\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^+\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{122}$$

and of course, we can similarly prove the existence of

$$\Psi_0^- \in \mathcal{H}_0^- \cap \mathcal{H}_{ln}^{\varepsilon} \tag{123}$$

such that

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} \|\,\mathcal{J}_0\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^-\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{124}$$

From (121) to (124), we conclude that $\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0$ is well-defined for $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\varepsilon}$, $(l,\ n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varepsilon = +,\ -$, and

$$\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}, \quad \|\,\tilde{W}_0^{\pm}\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \|\,\Psi_0\,\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{125}$$

Then, corollary 6.1 yields that the operator $\tilde{W}_0^+$ (resp. $\tilde{W}_0^-$) is well-defined from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_0^-$) and its norm is lower or equal to 1.

In order to prove the existence of $\tilde{W}_\infty^+$, we need to compare in the neighbourhood of the future infinity the outgoing part of $\Psi_1(t)$ with a solution of

$$(\partial_t - i\,H_\infty)\,\Psi = 0. \tag{126}$$

LEMMA 6.2. – *The operator* W_0^∞

$$W_0^\infty\,\Psi_0 = s\text{-}\lim_{t\to+\infty}\ e^{-iH_\infty t}\,\mathcal{J}_\infty^*\,e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0 \tag{127}$$

is well-defined from $\mathcal{H}_0^-$ *to* $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ *and is independent of the choice of* χ_∞ *satisfying (65). Of course* W_0^∞ *is defined as well from* $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ *to* $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ *and for* $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$

$$W_0^\infty\,\Psi_0 = 0.$$

Lemma 6.2, and (118), (119) yield the existence of

$$\Psi_\infty^+ \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \tag{128}$$

such that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \mathcal{J}_\infty^* \Psi_1(t) - e^{iH_\infty t} \Psi_\infty^+ \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0 \tag{129}$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \mathcal{J}_\infty^* e^{iHt} \Psi_0 - e^{iH_\infty t} \Psi_\infty^+ \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0. \tag{130}$$

which enables us to define $\tilde{W}_\infty^+$ on $\mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\pm}$, $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and by density on $\mathcal{H}$. The same thing can be done for $\tilde{W}_\infty^-$. Let χ_∞ and χ'_∞ be two cut-off functions satisfying (65) and $\mathcal{J}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{J}'_\infty$ the associated identifying operators. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| e^{-iH_\infty t} \mathcal{J}_\infty^* e^{iHt} \Psi_0 - e^{-iH_\infty t} \mathcal{J}_\infty'^* e^{iHt} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \\ &\quad \leq \| (\chi_\infty - \chi'_\infty) e^{iHt} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \| e^{-iH_\infty t} \mathcal{J}_\infty^* e^{iHt} \Psi_0 - e^{-iH_\infty t} \mathcal{J}_\infty'^* e^{iHt} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0.$$

Thus, the operators $\tilde{W}_\infty^\pm$ are independent of the choice of χ_∞ and by a similar argument, $\tilde{W}_0^\pm$ are independent of the choice of χ_0.

We still have to prove that $W^\pm$ and $\tilde{W}^\pm$ are bijective isometries, which yields that S is a bijective isometry by construction. Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\Psi_0^\pm = \tilde{W}_0^\pm \Psi, \qquad \Psi_\infty^\pm = \tilde{W}_\infty^\pm \Psi. \tag{131}$$

For χ_0 satisfying (44) and χ_∞ satisfying (65), we have

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \| \mathcal{J}_0 (e^{iHt} \Psi - e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0^\pm) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \tag{132}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \| \mathcal{J}_\infty \mathcal{J}_\infty^* e^{iHt} \Psi - \mathcal{J}_\infty e^{iH_\infty t} \Psi_\infty^\pm) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \tag{133}$$

$\mathcal{J}_\infty \mathcal{J}_\infty^*$ being simply the multiplication by χ_∞. The local energy of $e^{iHt}\Psi$ goes to 0 when t goes to $\pm\infty$, therefore

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \| (\chi_o + \chi_\infty - 1) e^{iHt} \Psi \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{134}$$

(132), (133) and (134) imply

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \| e^{iHt} \Psi - \mathcal{J}_0 e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0^\pm - \mathcal{J}_\infty e^{iH_\infty t} \Psi_\infty^\pm \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \tag{135}$$

which means

$$W^{\pm}\,\tilde{W}^{\pm} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{136}$$

If on the other hand we consider

$$\Psi_0^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}, \qquad \Psi_\infty^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \tag{137}$$

and put

$$\Psi = W^{\pm}\,(\Psi_0^{\pm},\, \Psi_\infty^{\pm}), \tag{138}$$

we have (135) from which we get

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|\, \mathcal{J}_0^*\,(e^{iHt}\,\mathbf{\Psi} - \mathcal{J}_0\, e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^{\pm} - \mathcal{J}_\infty\, e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_\infty^{\pm})\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|\, \mathcal{J}_\infty^*\,(e^{iHt}\,\mathbf{\Psi} - \mathcal{J}_0\, e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^{\pm} - \mathcal{J}_\infty\, e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_\infty^{\pm})\,\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0. \tag{140}$$

The local energy of $e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^{\pm}$ and $e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_\infty^{\pm}$ goes to 0 when $|\,t\,|$ goes to $+\infty$, therefore (139) and (140) yield

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|\, \mathcal{J}_0^*\, e^{iHt}\,\Psi - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0^{\pm}\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{141}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|\, \mathcal{J}_\infty^*\, e^{iHt}\,\Psi - e^{iH_\infty t}\,\Psi_\infty^{\pm}\,\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0, \tag{142}$$

thus

$$\tilde{W}^{\pm}\,W^{\pm} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}\times\mathcal{H}_\infty}. \tag{143}$$

(136) and (143) show that $W^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{W}^{\pm}$ are all bijections and if we choose χ_o and χ_∞ such that their supports have no intersection, we deduce from (135)

$$\|\,\Psi\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\,\Psi_0^{\pm}\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|\,\Psi_\infty^{\pm}\,\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty}. \tag{144}$$

Q.E.D.

Proof of lemma 6.1. – Let $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\varepsilon}$, $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varepsilon = +, -$. There exists

$$\Psi_0' = {}^t(f_1,\, -\varepsilon\, f_1,\, f_3,\, \varepsilon\, f_3) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{1\varepsilon} \tag{145}$$

such that

$$\Psi_0 = i\,H\,\Psi_0' \tag{146}$$

and

$$\Psi_0'' = {}^t(g_1,\, -\varepsilon\, g_1,\, g_3,\, \varepsilon\, g_3) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{0\varepsilon} \tag{147}$$

such that

$$\Psi_0' = -i\,H\,\Psi_0''. \tag{148}$$

We denote

$$\tilde{\Psi} = e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0'; \qquad \tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{\phi} \otimes F_{ln} = {}^t(\phi_1,\ -\varepsilon\phi_1,\ \phi_3,\ \varepsilon\phi_3) \otimes F_{ln} \tag{149}$$

and

$$\Psi = \partial_t\,\tilde{\Psi} = i\,H\,\tilde{\Psi}. \tag{150}$$

On the one hand, applying $\partial_t + i\,H$ to equation

$$(\partial_t - i\,H)\,\tilde{\Psi} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(\partial_t^2 - H^2)\,\tilde{\Psi} = 0$$

which, taking into account the fact that $\tilde{\Psi}$ takes its values in $\mathcal{H}_{ln}$ can also be written

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 + \varepsilon\,\beta_l')\,\phi_1 = 0, \tag{151}$$

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 - \varepsilon\,\beta_l')\,\phi_3 = 0. \tag{152}$$

On the other hand

$$\phi_1\,|_{t=0} = f_1; \quad \phi_3\,|_{t=0} = f_3; \qquad f_1,\ f_3 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \tag{153}$$

and since $\Psi_0 = H^2\,\Psi_0''$

$$\partial_t\,\phi_1\,|_{t=0} = (-\partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 + \varepsilon\beta_l')\,g_1, \qquad g_1 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \tag{154}$$

$$\partial_t\,\phi_3\,|_{t=0} = (-\partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 - \varepsilon\beta_l')\,g_3, \qquad g_3 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}). \tag{155}$$

The scattering results obtained in [3] together with proposition 6.2 imply that for any solution

$$f \in \mathcal{C}\,(\mathbb{R}_t;\ H^1\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1\,(\mathbb{R}_t;\ L^2\,(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}))$$

of equation

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 + \eta\,\beta_l')\,f = 0, \qquad \eta = +,\ -$$

with initial data

$$f\,|_{t=0} = \mu_1, \qquad \partial_t f\,|_{t=0} = (-\partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 + \eta\beta_l')\,\mu_2$$

such that

$$i = 1, 2 \quad \mu_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}); \qquad (-\partial_{r_*}^2 + \beta_l^2 + \eta\beta_l')\,\mu_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}),$$

there exists a solution

$$f_1 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, H^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_t;\, L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \tag{156}$$

of

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2)\, f_1 = 0 \tag{157}$$

such that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| f(t) - f_1(t) \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})} + \| \partial_t f(t) - \partial_t f_1(t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})} = 0.$$

$\tilde{\Psi}$ is the solution of (15) with initial data

$$\Psi_0' \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^4 \otimes F_{ln}$$

therefore in particular,

$$\phi_1,\ \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, H^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_t;\, L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}))$$

and (151) to (155) yield the existence of

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1 \in [\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, H^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_t;\, L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}))]^4 \otimes F_{ln}$$

such that

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2)\, \tilde{\Psi}_1 = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| e^{iHt}\, \tilde{\Psi}_0 - \tilde{\Psi}_1 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \qquad \lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \partial_{r_*} (e^{iHt}\, \tilde{\Psi}_0 - \tilde{\Psi}_1) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \partial_t (e^{iHt}\, \tilde{\Psi}_0 - \tilde{\Psi}_1) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0,$$

from which we deduce

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| e^{iHt}\, \Psi_0 - \partial_t\, \tilde{\Psi}_1 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{158}$$

Ψ_0 being an element of $\mathcal{E}_{ln}^{2\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{1\varepsilon}$, we can apply the previous construction to Ψ_0. We find that there exists

$$\Psi_1 \in [\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, H^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_t;\, L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}))]^4 \otimes F_{ln}$$

solution of

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2)\,\Psi_1 = 0$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - \Psi_1 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \\ &\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \partial_{r_*}(e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - \Psi_1) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{159}$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \partial_t(e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - \Psi_1) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{160}$$

From (159) and (160) we deduce

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| (\partial_t - i\,H_0)(e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - \Psi_1) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{161}$$

$e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0$ being a solution of (15) in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\, \mathcal{H}_{ln})$, we have

$$(\partial_t - i\,H)\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 = (\partial_t - i\,H_0 - i\,\beta_l\,M)\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 = 0 \tag{162}$$

and by (158)

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| i\,\beta_l\,M\,(e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 - \partial_t\,\tilde{\Psi}_1) \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0.$$

$\partial_t\,\tilde{\Psi}_1$ is identically zero in

$$\{(t,\, r_*,\, \omega);\ |r_*| \le |t| - R,\ \omega \in S^2\},$$

which is not true in general for $\tilde{\Psi}_1$, therefore

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| i\,\beta_l\,M\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\Psi}_1 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| i\,\beta_l\,M\,e^{iHt}\,\Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{163}$$

(161), (162) and (163) give

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| (\partial_t - i\,H_0)\,\Psi_1 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

and $(\partial_t - i H_0)\Psi_1$ being an element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t;\ \mathcal{H})$ and satisfying

$$(\partial_t + i H_0)[(\partial_t - i H_0)\Psi_1] = 0$$

we must have

$$(\partial_t - i H_0)\Psi_1 = 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Proof of lemma 6.2. – Let

$$\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^- \cap \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{0\varepsilon}, \quad (l,\ n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \varepsilon = +,\ - \tag{164}$$

with

$$\operatorname{Supp}(\Psi_0) \subset [-R,\ R]_{r_*} \times S^2_{\theta,\varphi}, \qquad R > 0. \tag{165}$$

Ψ_0 can be written

$$\begin{gathered}\Psi_0 = {}^t(f_0,\ -\varepsilon f_0,\ f_0,\ \varepsilon f_0) \otimes F_{ln}, \qquad f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \\ \operatorname{Supp} f_0 \subset [-R,\ R]\end{gathered} \tag{166}$$

and

$$e^{iH_0 t}\Psi_0 = {}^t(f,\ -\varepsilon f,\ f,\ \varepsilon f) \otimes F_{ln}, \qquad f(t,\ r_*) = f_0(r_* - t). \tag{167}$$

f is the solution of

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2) f = 0 \tag{168}$$

associated with the initial data

$$f|_{t=0} = f_0, \qquad \partial_t f|_{t=0} = -\partial_{r_*} f_0. \tag{169}$$

Instead of applying Cook's method to operators H_∞ and H_0, which would give an apparently long-range perturbation at infinity, we work on the second order scalar equations and establish the existence of g_η solution of

$$\left.\begin{gathered}(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + V_\eta(r_*))\, g_\eta = 0 \\ V_\eta(r_*) = \chi_\infty(r_*)\,\frac{1}{r_*^2}\left(\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \eta\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right), \quad \eta = +,\ -,\end{gathered}\right\} \tag{170}$$

where χ_∞ is a cut-off function satisfying (65); the solution g_η being such that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \partial_t (g_\eta - f) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \quad \lim_{t\to+\infty} \| \partial_{r_*} (g_\eta - f) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \tag{171}$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \left\| \frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{r} (g_\eta - f) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0. \tag{172}$$

In the case where $l = 1/2$ and $\eta = -$, equations (168) and (170) are the same and it suffices to take $g_- = f$. Let us now assume

$$\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \eta\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) > 0. \tag{173}$$

We write equations (168) and (170) in their hamiltonian form

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \partial_t f \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -\partial_{r_*}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \partial_t f \end{pmatrix} = -A_0 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \partial_t f \end{pmatrix}, \tag{174}$$

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} g \\ \partial_t g \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -\partial_{r_*}^2 + V_\eta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g \\ \partial_t g \end{pmatrix} = -A_\eta \begin{pmatrix} g \\ \partial_t g \end{pmatrix}. \tag{175}$$

The operator $i A_0$ is skew-adjoint with dense domain on

$$\mathbb{H}_0 = BL^1(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \tag{176}$$

completion of $[\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^2$ for the norm

$$\| {}^t(f_1, f_2) \|_{\mathbb{H}_0}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ | \partial_{r_*} f_1 |^2 + | f_2 |^2 \} \, dr_* \tag{177}$$

and $i A_\eta$ is skew-adjoint with dense domain (*cf.* [3]) on

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}) \tag{178}$$

completion of $[\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})]^2$ for the norm

$$\| {}^t(g_1, g_2) \|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ | \partial_{r_*} g_1 |^2 + | g_2 |^2 + V_\eta | g_1 |^2 \} \, dr_*. \tag{179}$$

Under assumption (173), the norm (179) is equivalent to

$$||| {}^t(g_1, g_2) |||^2 = \| {}^t(g_1, g_2) \|_{\mathbb{H}_0}^2 + \left\| \frac{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)\chi_\infty}{r_*} g_1 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})}^2 . \tag{180}$$

Moreover, any solution ${}^t(g, \partial_t g) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathbb{H})$ of (170) satisfies the following energy estimate: for $r_*^1 < r_*^2$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{r_*^1 < r_* < r_*^2} \{ | \partial_{r_*} g(t) |^2 + | \partial_t g(t) |^2 + V_\eta(r_*) | g(t) |^2 \} \, dr_*$$

$$\leq \int_{r_*^1 - |t| < r_* < r_*^2 + |t|} \{ | \partial_{r_*} g(0) |^2 + | \partial_t g(0) |^2 + V_\eta(r_*) | g(0) |^2 \} \, dr_* \tag{181}$$

which is very easily obtained by multiplying (170) by $\partial_t g$ and integrating by parts on the domain

$$\Omega_{t, r_*^1, r_*^2} = \{ (\tau, r_*); \tau \in (0, t), r_*^1 - | t - \tau | < r_* < r_*^2 + | t - \tau | \}. \tag{182}$$

f_0 being in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})$, we can consider that

$$e^{-A_0 t} [{}^t(f_0, -\partial_{r_*} f_0)] \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathbb{H})$$

and we apply Cook's method to prove the existence in $\mathbb{H}$ of the limit

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{0_\eta} \\ g_{1_\eta} \end{pmatrix} = s\text{-}\lim_{t \to +\infty} e^{A_\eta t} e^{-A_0 t} \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ -\partial_{r_*} f_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{183}$$

We shall denote

$$\phi_0 = {}^t(f_0, -\partial_{r_*} f_0), \qquad \phi_\infty = {}^t(g_{0_\eta}, g_{1_\eta}). \tag{184}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \partial_t (e^{A_\eta t} e^{-A_0 t} \phi_0) \|_{\mathbb{H}} &= \| (A_\eta - A_0) e^{-A_0 t} \phi_0 \|_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &= \| V_\eta(r_*) f_0(r_* - t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})} \\ &\leq \| f_0 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{r_*})} \| V_\eta \|_{L^\infty(r_* > t - R)} \end{aligned}$$

and for r_* large enough

$$V_\eta(r_*) = C r_*^{-2}, \qquad C > 0, \tag{185}$$

thus

$$\| \partial_t (e^{A_\eta t} e^{-A_0 t} \phi_0) \|_{\mathbb{H}} = O(t^{-2}); \qquad t \to +\infty,$$

and

$$\| \partial_t (e^{A_\eta t} e^{-A_0 t} \phi_0) \|_{\mathbb{H}} \in L^1(t > 0).$$

The limit (183) is therefore well-defined and if g_η is the solution of (170) such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_\eta(t) \\ \partial_t g_\eta(t) \end{pmatrix} = e^{-A_\eta t}\,\phi_\infty, \tag{186}$$

then

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \| {}^t(g_\eta, \partial_t g_\eta) - {}^t(f, \partial_t f) \|_{\mathbb{H}} = 0. \tag{187}$$

This last limit together with the equivalence of norms (179) and (180) gives (171) and (172). Moreover, for $r_* < t - R$

$$g_\eta(t, r_*) = 0 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \partial_t g_\eta(t, r_*) = 0. \tag{188}$$

Indeed, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\| \phi_\infty - e^{iA_\eta \tau}\, e^{-iA_0 \tau}\, \phi_0 \|_{\mathbb{H}} \le \varepsilon, \qquad \tau \ge t. \tag{189}$$

For ${}^t(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbb{H}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{L}({}^t(f_1, f_2)) = |\partial_{r_*} f_1|^2 + V_\eta |f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2. \tag{190}$$

Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_*<t-R} \mathcal{L}(e^{-iA_\eta t}\,\phi_\infty)\, dr_* \\ &\quad \le \int_{r_*<t-R} \mathcal{L}[e^{-iA_\eta t}(\phi_\infty - e^{iA_\eta \tau}\, e^{-iA_0 \tau}\,\phi_0)]\, dr_* \\ &\qquad + \int_{r_*<t-R} \mathcal{L}(e^{-iA_\eta (t-\tau)}\, e^{-iA_0 \tau}\,\phi_0)\, dr_*. \end{aligned}$$

(181) and (189) yield

$$\int_{r_*<t-R} \mathcal{L}(e^{-iA_\eta t}\,\phi_\infty)\, dr_* \le \varepsilon^2 + \int_{r_*<\tau-R} \mathcal{L}(e^{-iA_0 \tau}\,\phi_0)\, dr_*$$

and this last integral is zero since

$$\operatorname{Supp}(e^{-iA_0 \tau}\,\phi_0) \subset [\tau - R, \tau + R].$$

(188) is therefore satisfied and for t large enough g_η is a solution of

$$\left[\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + \frac{1}{r_*^2}\left(\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \eta\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right] g_\eta = 0. \tag{191}$$

Let us now introduce

$$\tilde{\Psi}_\infty(t) = {}^t(g_{-\varepsilon}(t), -\varepsilon\, g_{-\varepsilon}(t), g_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon\, g_\varepsilon(t)) \otimes F_{ln}. \tag{192}$$

There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that, for $t \geq t_0$, g_ε and $g_{-\varepsilon}$ satisfy

$$\left[\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + \frac{1}{r_*^2}\left(\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \varepsilon\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right] g_\varepsilon = 0, \tag{193}$$

$$\left[\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2 + \frac{1}{r_*^2}\left(\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \varepsilon\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right] g_{-\varepsilon} = 0, \tag{194}$$

with

$$\begin{gathered} g_\varepsilon,\ g_{-\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}\left([t_0,\ +\infty[;\ \mathbb{H}_1\right), \\ \partial_t\, g_\varepsilon,\ \partial_t\, g_{-\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}\left([t_0,\ +\infty[;\ L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_{r_*}\right)\right). \end{gathered} \tag{195}$$

Moreover, for $t \geq t_0$

$$\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Supp}\left(g_\varepsilon(t),\ g_{-\varepsilon}(t),\ \partial_t\, g_\varepsilon(t),\ \partial_t\, g_{-\varepsilon}(t)\right) \\ \subset [t-R,\ +\infty[\ \subset [0,\ +\infty[. \end{gathered} \tag{196}$$

Thus, the quantities

$$\partial_t\, \tilde{\Psi}_\infty, \quad \partial_{r_*}\, \tilde{\Psi}_\infty, \qquad \left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_*^{-1}\, \tilde{\Psi}_\infty$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}\left([t_0,\ +\infty[;\ \mathcal{H}\right)$ and (171), (172) yield

$$\begin{gathered} \lim_{t\to+\infty} \|\, \partial_t\left(\tilde{\Psi}_\infty(t) - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0\right)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \\ \lim_{t\to+\infty} \|\, \partial_{r_*}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_\infty(t) - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0\right)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \end{gathered} \tag{197}$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \left\| \left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_*^{-1}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_\infty(t) - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{198}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{gathered} \lim_{t\to+\infty} \left\| \left(\partial_t + L\,\partial_{r_*} - i\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_*^{-1}\, M\right) \right. \\ \left. \times\left(\tilde{\Psi}_\infty(t) - e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{199}$$

Since $e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0$ is a solution of

$$\left(\partial_t + L\,\partial_{r_*}\right) e^{iH_0 t}\,\Psi_0 = 0,$$

we have

$$\left\| \left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \| r_*^{-1} e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0 \|_{\mathcal{H}} = O(t^{-1}), \qquad t \to \infty$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left\| \left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \tilde{\Psi}_\infty(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0. \tag{200}$$

We introduce

$$\Psi_\infty = \tilde{\Psi}_\infty |_{\{r_* \geq 0\}}. \tag{201}$$

The quantities

$$\partial_t \Psi_\infty, \quad \partial_{r_*} \Psi_\infty, \qquad \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} \Psi_\infty$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}([t_0, +\infty[; \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\varepsilon ln})$ where, for $(l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\varepsilon = +, -$

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\varepsilon ln} = \{ {}^t(f, -\varepsilon f, g, \varepsilon g) \otimes F_{ln} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \}. \tag{202}$$

From (200), we get

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left\| \left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \Psi_\infty(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0 \tag{203}$$

and, the function

$$\left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \Psi_\infty \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, +\infty[; \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\varepsilon ln})$$

satisfies

$$\left(\partial_t - L\partial_{r_*} + i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right)$$
$$\times \left[\left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \Psi_\infty \right] = 0. \tag{204}$$

Therefore, we must have for $t \geq t_0$

$$\left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \Psi_\infty(t) = 0 \quad in \ \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

$\mathbb{H}_1$ being a distribution space, we can write in the sense of distributions for $t \geq t_0$

$$\partial_t \left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \Psi_\infty(t)$$
$$= \left(\partial_t + L\partial_{r_*} - i \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) r_*^{-1} M \right) \partial_t \Psi_\infty(t) = 0 \quad in\ \mathcal{H}_\infty,$$

which implies that $\partial_t \Psi_\infty$ is a solution in $\mathcal{C}([t_0, +\infty[; \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\varepsilon ln})$ of

$$(\partial_t - i H_\infty) \Psi = 0.$$

This solution can be extended to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\varepsilon ln})$ and we denote

$$\Psi_\infty^0 = e^{-iH_\infty t_0} \partial_t \Psi_\infty(t_0) \tag{205}$$

its initial data at $t = 0$. From (196), (197), we get

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \| e^{iH_\infty t} \Psi_\infty^0 - \mathcal{J}_\infty^* \partial_t (e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0) \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = 0. \tag{206}$$

The value of $\partial_t (e^{iH_0 t} \Psi_0)$ at $t = 0$ is $i H_0 \Psi_0$. H_0 is a self-adjoint operator with dense domain on $\mathcal{H}$, its point spectrum is empty and the spaces $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm$, $\mathcal{H}_{ln}^\pm$ are invariant under H_0. Therefore the direct sum of the sets

$$\{H_0 \Psi_0;\ \Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^- \cap \mathcal{E}_{ln}^{0\varepsilon}\}; \qquad (l, n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varepsilon = +, - \tag{207}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^-$. (206) shows that for an initial data $H_0 \Psi_0$ in a set of type (207), the limit

$$\Psi_\infty^0 = s\text{-}\lim_{t \to +\infty} e^{-iH_\infty t} \mathcal{J}_\infty^* e^{iH_0 t} H_0 \Psi_0 \tag{208}$$

exists in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. The operator W_0^∞ is consequently well-defined from $\mathcal{H}_0$ into $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. Since the local energy of the solution $e^{iH_0 t} H_0 \Psi_0$ goes to zero when $|t|$ goes to $+\infty$, the limit Ψ_∞^0 is independent of the choice of χ_∞ satisfying (65).

Q.E.D.

7. CONCLUSION

The scattering theory developed in this paper is only valid for the linear massless Dirac system. In the case of a massive field and when space-time is asymptotically flat, the mass of the field induces long-range perturbations at infinity and classical wave operators will probably not exist. However,

using the methods developed by J. Dollard and G. Velo [10] and by V. Enss and B. Thaller [11] about the relativistic Coulomb scattering of Dirac fields as well as the works of A. Bachelot [1] and J. Dimock and B. Kay [9] on the Klein-Gordon equation on the Schwarzschild metric, it must be possible to show the existence and asymptotic completeness of Dollard-modified wave operators at infinity.

REFERENCES

[1] A. BACHELOT, Asymptotic completeness for the Klein-Gordon equation on the Schwarzschild metric, Internal publication, U.R.A. 226, 1993, to appear in *Ann. Inst. Henri-Poincaré, Physique Théorique.*

[2] A. BACHELOT, Gravitational Scattering of Electromagnetic Field by Schwarzschild Black-Hole, *Ann. Inst. Henri-Poincaré-Physique théorique,* Vol. **54**, No. 3, 1991, pp. 261-320.

[3] A. BACHELOT and A. MOTET-BACHELOT, Les résonances d'un Trou Noir de Schwarzschild, *Ann. Inst. Henri-Poincaré, Physique théorique,* Vol. **59**, No. 1, 1993, pp. 3-68.

[4] D. R. BRILL and J. A. WHEELER, Interaction of Neutrinos and Gravitational Fields, *Revs. Modern Phys.* Vol. **29**, 3, 1957, pp. 465-479.

[5] Y. CHOQUET-BRUHAT and C. DEWITT, Analysis, manifolds and physics, *Part I: basics, Revised edition,* 1982, Part II: 92 applications, 1989, North Holland.

[6] Th. DAMOUR, Black-Hole eddy currents, *Phys. Rev. D18,* Vol. **10**, 1978, pp. 3598-3604.

[7] B. S. DEWITT, The space-time approach to quantum field theory, in *Relativité, groupes et topologie, les Houches,* 1983, North Holland, 1984.

[8] J. DIMOCK, Scattering for the wave equation on the Schwarzschild metric, *Gen. Relativ. Gravitation,* Vol. **17**, No. 4, 1985, pp. 353-369.

[9] J. DIMOCK and B. S. KAY, Classical and Quantum Scattering theory for linear scalar fields on the Schwarzschild metric I, *Ann. Phys.* Vol. **175**, 1987, pp. 366-426.

[10] J. DOLLARD and G. VELO, Asymptotic behavior of a Dirac particle in a Coulomb field, *Il Nuovo Cimento,* Vol. **45,** 1966, pp. 801-812.

[11] V. ENSS and B. THALLER, Asymptotic observables and Coulomb scattering for the Dirac equation, *Ann. Inst. Henri-Poincaré*, Vol. **45**, 2, 1986, pp. 147-171.

[12] I. M. GEL'FAND and Z. Ya. SAPIRO, Representations of the group of rotations of 3-dimensional space and their applications, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.,* Vol. **2**, 2, 1956, pp. 207-316.

[13] J.-P. NICOLAS, Non linear Klein-Gordon equation on Schwarzschild-like metrics, to appear in *J. Math. Pures et appliquées.*

[14] J.-P. NICOLAS, Opérateur de diffusion pour le système de Dirac en métrique de Schwarzschild, to appear in *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris,* Vol. **318**, 1994.

[15] R. PENROSE and W. RINDLER, *Spinors and space-time, Cambridge monographs on mathematical physics,* Vol. **1**, Two-spinor calculus in relativistic fields, Cambridge University Press, 1984.

[16] M. REED and B. SIMON, *Methods of modern mathematical physics,* Vol. **III**, 1979, Academic Press.

(Manuscript received April, 12, 1994.)