

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

S. PULMANNOVÁ

A. DVUREČENSKIJ

On the homomorphisms of sum logics

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 54, n° 2 (1991), p. 223-228

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1991__54_2_223_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the homomorphisms of sum logics

by

S. PULMANNOVÁ and A. DVUREČENSKIJ

Mathematics Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences,
Štefánikova 49, CS-814 73 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

ABSTRACT. — We show that every σ -homomorphism of logics $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, where $(L_1, \mathcal{S}(L_1))$ and (L_2, \mathcal{S}_2) are sum logics [where $\mathcal{S}(L_1)$ is the set of all σ -additive states on L_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 is a quite full set of σ -additive states on L_2] is necessarily a lattice σ -homomorphism. Some applications of this statement to Hilbert space logics and projection logics of von Neumann algebras are given.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous prouvons que tout σ -homomorphisme de logiques $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ où $(L_1, \mathcal{S}(L_1))$ et (L_2, \mathcal{S}_2) sont des logiques sommes [$\mathcal{S}(L_1)$ est l'ensemble de tous les états σ -additifs sur L_1 et \mathcal{S}_2 est un ensemble fort d'états σ additifs sur L_2] est nécessairement un σ -homomorphisme de treillis. Nous donnons des applications de ce résultat aux logiques d'espaces de Hilbert et aux logiques d'algèbres de Von-Neumann.

1. INTRODUCTION

By a (quantum) logic we will mean an orthomodular σ -lattice $L(0, 1, ', \wedge, \vee)$ (see [13] for detailed definition). Two elements a, b of L

Classification A.M.S. : 81 B 10, 03 G 12, 46 L 10.

are *orthogonal* (written $a \perp b$) if $a \leq b'$, and $a, b \in L$ are *compatible* if there are mutually orthogonal elements a_1, b_1, c in L such that $a = a_1 \vee c$ and $b = b_1 \vee c$.

Let L_1 and L_2 be two logics. A map $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is said to be a *homomorphism* (of logics) if (i) $h(1) = 1$, (ii) $h(a') = h(a)'$ for any $a \in L_1$, (iii) $h(a \vee b) = h(a) \vee h(b)$ for any $a, b \in L_1$, $a \perp b$. A homomorphism $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is said to be a σ -*homomorphism* if (iii') $h\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i\right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} h(a_i)$ for any sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of mutually orthogonal elements of L_1 . A homomorphism $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a *complete* homomorphism if (iii'') $h\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} h(a_i)$ for any set $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ of pairwise orthogonal elements of L_1 .

A logic homomorphism $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a *lattice homomorphism* if $h(a \vee b) = h(a) \vee h(b)$ [dually, $h(a \wedge b) = h(a) \wedge h(b)$] for any $a, b \in L_1$. A σ -homomorphism (complete homomorphism) of logics which is a lattice homomorphism, is a lattice σ -homomorphism (complete lattice homomorphism).

It is easy to see that if $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a logic homomorphism, then $h(0) = 0$, $a, b \in L_1$ and $a \leq b$ imply $h(a) \leq h(b)$ in L_2 and $a, b \in L_1$ and $a \perp b$ imply $h(a) \perp h(b)$ in L_2 . Moreover, if $a \leftrightarrow b$, then $h(a) \leftrightarrow h(b)$ and $h(a \vee b) = h(a) \vee h(b)$, $h(a \wedge b) = h(a) \wedge h(b)$. In particular, if L_1 is a Boolean (σ -) algebra, then every (σ -) homomorphism from L_1 into a logic L_2 is a lattice (σ -) homomorphism.

In general, a logic σ -homomorphism need not be a lattice σ -homomorphism. A simple reasoning shows that for a two-dimensional Hilbert space H , there is a two-valued homomorphism from $L(H)$ into itself, which is not a lattice homomorphism. It is easy to find a logic homomorphism from a horizontal sum of three four-element Boolean algebras into the Boolean algebra with three atoms that is even a bijection but it is not a lattice homomorphism.

The aim of this paper is to show that every σ -homomorphism of logics $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ between two sum logics $(L_1, \mathcal{S}(L_1))$ and (L_2, \mathcal{S}) , is necessarily a lattice σ -homomorphism. We note that a special case of this statement is proved in [12]. Since a projection logic of a von Neumann algebra of operators acting on a separable complex Hilbert space which does not contain any I_2 -factor as a direct summand is a sum logic in the sense of our definition (see, e. g., the review paper [9]), we obtain as a consequence that every logic σ -homomorphism from such a projection logic into a projection logic of any von Neumann algebra is necessarily a lattice σ -homomorphism. In particular, if H and K are complex separable Hilbert spaces and $\dim H \neq 2$, then using Hamhalter's result (see [7]), we find that

every homomorphism of projection logics $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$ is a lattice σ -homomorphism.

2. HOMOMORPHISMS OF SUM LOGICS

Let L be a logic. A *state* on L is a map $s: L \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $s(1) = 1$, and $s(\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} s(a_i)$ for any sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of mutually orthogonal elements in L . Let $\mathcal{S}(L)$ denote the set of all states on L . A logic L is called *quite full* if for any $a, b \in L$ such that $a \not\leq b$ there is $s \in \mathcal{S}(L)$ such that $s(a) = 1$ and $s(b) \neq 1$.

An *observable* on a logic L is a σ -homomorphism from the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ of Borel subsets of the real line \mathbb{R} into L . If x is an observable and $s \in \mathcal{S}(L)$, then the map $s_x: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $s_x(E) = s(x(E))$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. The expectation of an observable x in a state s is then defined by $s(x) = \int s_x(dt)$, if the integral exists. An observable x is *bounded* if there is a compact subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $s(C) = 1$. An observable x is a *proposition observable* if $x(\{0, 1\}) = 1$. To every $a \in L$, there is a unique proposition observable q_a such that $q_a(\{1\}) = a$.

If x is a bounded observable on L , then $s(x)$ exists and is finite for every $s \in \mathcal{S}(L)$. In [5], there is proved that also the opposite statement is true: If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}(L)$ is σ -convex and quite full, then an observable x is bounded if and only if $s(x)$ exists and is finite for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let x, y be bounded observables on a logic L with a quite full set of states \mathcal{S} . We say that a bounded observable z is a *sum* of x and y if $s(x) + s(y) = s(z)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}(L)$. A couple (L, \mathcal{S}) , where L is a logic and \mathcal{S} is a σ -convex quite full set of states is said to be a *sum logic* if for every pair x, y of bounded observables on L there is a unique sum z . We shall write $z = x + y$ if z is the sum of x and y . For more details about sum logics we refer to [6].

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result.

THEOREM 1. — *Let $(L_1, \mathcal{S}(L_1))$ and (L_2, \mathcal{S}) , $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}(L_2)$ be sum logics. Then every logic σ -homomorphism $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a lattice σ -homomorphism.*

Proof. — Let $h: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be a σ -homomorphism. Let x be an observable on L_1 . Define $h(x): \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_2$ by $h(x)(E) = h(x(E))$. Then $h(x)$ is an observable on L_2 . For every $s \in \mathcal{S}(L_2)$, the map $s \cdot h: L_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $s \cdot h(a) = s(h(a))$ is a state on L_1 . If x is a bounded observable on L_1 , then for any $s \in \mathcal{S}(L_2)$, $s(h(x)) = s \cdot h(x)$, where $s \cdot h \in \mathcal{S}(L_1)$, and therefore $s \cdot h(x)$ exists and is finite. This implies that $h(x)$ is bounded. For $a \in L_1$, let q_a denote the proposition observable such that $q_a(\{1\}) = a$. Then

$h(q_a) (\{1\}) = h(q_a(\{1\})) = h(a)$, similarly $h(q_a(\{0\})) = h(a)'$. This proves that $h(q_a) = q_{h(a)}$.

Now let x, y be bounded observables on L_1 and let $x + y = z$. Since for every $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $s \cdot h \in \mathcal{S}(L_1)$, we find $s \cdot h(z) = s \cdot h(x) + s \cdot h(y)$, i. e., $s(h(z)) = s(h(x)) + s(h(y))$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, which entails $h(z) = h(x) + h(y)$.

By Gudder [6], for any pair a, b of elements of a sum logic L we have $(q_a + q_b) (\{2\}) = a \wedge b$. Let $a, b \in L_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(a \wedge b) &= h((q_a + q_b) (\{2\})) = h(q_a + q_b) (\{2\}) \\ &= (h(q_a) + h(q_b)) (\{2\}) \\ &= (q_{h(a)} + q_{h(b)}) (\{2\}) = h(a) \wedge h(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h(a \wedge b) = h(a) \wedge h(b)$ holds for all $a, b \in L_1$. This proves that h is a lattice σ -homomorphism.

3. APPLICATIONS

PROPOSITION 1. — *Let H, K be separable, complex Hilbert spaces ($\dim H \geq 3$) and let $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$ be a homomorphism of logics. Then h is a lattice σ -homomorphism.*

Proof. — See [7].

PROPOSITION 2. — *Let H, K be separable, complex Hilbert spaces ($\dim H \geq 3$) and let $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$ be a homomorphism. Then $\dim h([x]) = \dim h([y])$ for every $x, y \in H$ (where $[x]$ denotes the one-dimensional subspace generated by x). In particular, there is a finite or countably infinite cardinal r such that $\dim K = r \dim H$.*

COROLLARY. — *A homomorphism between two separable complex Hilbert space logics $L(H), L(K)$ ($\dim H \geq 3$) is necessarily injective.*

The proof follows by Theorem 1 and Matolcsi [11], Propositions 3 and 4.

Recall that a set S is of *non measurable cardinality* if there does not exist any probability measure defined on all subsets of S vanishing at all points. In the opposite case we say that S is of measurable cardinality.

PROPOSITION 3. — *Let H, K be Hilbert spaces ($\dim H \geq 3$). Then every σ -homomorphism $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$ is a complete lattice homomorphism if and only if $\dim H$ is a non measurable cardinal.*

Proof. — Assume that $\dim H$ is non measurable. Let x be a unit vector of K . Then $m_x^h(M) = \langle h(M)x, x \rangle$, $M \in L(H)$, defines a completely additive state on $L(H)$ (see, e. g., [9]). Since x is arbitrary, we conclude that h

is a complete homomorphism of logics. Applying Theorem 1, we obtain that h is a complete lattice homomorphism.

Now suppose that $\dim H$ is a measurable cardinal. Let $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ be an orthonormal basis in H . Then there exists a probability measure μ on 2^T vanishing on all one-point sets.

Define

$$m(M) = \int_T \|Me_t\|^2 d\mu(t), \quad M \in L(H).$$

Then m is a state on $L(H)$ with the properties $m(H) = 1$ and $m([e_t]) = 0$ for all $t \in T$. Indeed,

$$m(H) = \mu(T) = 1,$$

$$m([e_t]) = \int \|e_t\|^2 d\mu(t) = \mu(\{t\}) = 0.$$

(See also [3]). This implies that m is a σ -additive, but not completely additive measure on $L(H)$. Define

$$K_m(M, N) = \int_T \langle Me_t, Ne_t \rangle d\mu(t).$$

For $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \leq n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j K_m(M_i, M_j) &= \int \sum \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \langle M_i e_t, M_j e_t \rangle d\mu(t) \\ &= \int \left\| \sum \alpha_i M_i e_t \right\|^2 d\mu(t) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that K_m is a reproducing kernel. By [12], there is a vector-valued measure ξ defined on $L(H)$ with values in a Hilbert space K , such that $m(M) = \|\xi(M)\|^2$ ($M \in L(H)$). By [10], there is a σ -homomorphism $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$ of logics and a vector $v \in K$ such that $\xi(M) = h(M)v$ ($M \in L(H)$). This entails that h is not a complete homomorphism.

In a similar manner as Proposition 2, we can prove the following statement.

PROPOSITION 4. — *Every σ -homomorphism $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$, where $2 \neq \dim H$ is non measurable, is injective. Moreover, $\dim h([x]) = \dim h([y])$ for all $x, y \in H$, and there is a cardinal α ($\alpha = \dim [x]$) such that $\dim K = \alpha \dim H$.*

The following proposition is a generalization of the theorem obtained by Jajte, Paszkiewicz [8]. Using Proposition 1 and results of Aerts, Daubechies ([1], [2]) and Wright [14], we obtain an alternative proof.

PROPOSITION 5. — Let H, K be two separable Hilbert spaces with dimensions greater or equal to three and let $h: L(H) \rightarrow L(K)$ be a homomorphism. Then there exists a family of maps $(\varphi_j)_{j \in J}$ from H to K such that

- each φ_j is an isometry or anti-isometry;
- K is the orthogonal sum $K = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \varphi_j(H)$;
- for all $M \in L(H)$, $h(M) = \bigvee_{j \in J} \varphi_j^{-1}(M)$.

PROPOSITION 6 (a generalization of Dye's theorem). — Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with no direct summand of type I_2 . Then any σ -homomorphism of logics between the projection logic of \mathcal{M} and that of a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} is implemented by the direct sum of a \star -isomorphism and a \star -antiisomorphism between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} .

REFERENCES

- [1] D. AERTS and I. DAUBECHIES, About the Structure Preserving Maps of a Quantum-Mechanical Proposition System, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, Vol. **51**, 1978, pp. 637-660.
- [2] D. AERTS and I. DAUBECHIES, Simple Proof that the Structure Preserving Maps Between Quantum Mechanical Propositional Systems Conserve the Angles, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, Vol. **56**, 1983, pp. 1187-1190.
- [3] A. DVUREČENSKIJ, Generalization of Maeda's Theorem, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, Vol. **25**, 1986, pp. 1117-1124.
- [4] H. DYE, On the Geometry of Projections in Certain Operator Algebras, *Ann. Math.*, Vol. **61**, 1955, pp. 73-89.
- [5] S. GUDDER, Spectral Methods for a Generalized Probability Theory, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, Vol. **119**, 1965, pp. 428-442.
- [6] S. GUDDER, Uniqueness and Existence Properties of Bounded Observables, *Pac. J. Math.*, Vol. **19**, 1966, pp. 81-93, pp. 588-589.
- [7] J. HAMHALTER, Orthogonal Vector Measures, *Proc. 2nd Winter School on Measure Theory*, Liptovský Ján 1990 (to appear).
- [8] R. JAJTE and A. PASZKIEWICZ, Vector Measures on the Closed Subspaces of a Hilbert Space, *Studia Math.*, Vol. **63**, 1978, pp. 229-251.
- [9] P. KRUSZYNSKI, Extensions of Gleason Theorem, *Quantum Probability and Applications to Quantum Theory of Irreversible Processes*, Proc. Villa Mondragone, 1982, LNM 1055, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984, pp. 210-227.
- [10] P. KRUSZYNSKI, Vector Measures on Orthocomplemented Lattices, *Math. Proceedings*, Vol. **A 91**, 1988, pp. 427-442.
- [11] T. MATOLCZI, Tensor Product of Hilbert Lattices and Free Orthodistributive Product of Orthomodular Lattices, *Acta Sci. Math.*, Vol. **37**, 1975, pp. 263-272.
- [12] S. PULMANOVÁ and A. DVUREČENSKIJ, Sum Logics, Vector-Valued Measures and Representations, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, Phys. Théor., Vol. **53**, 1990, pp. 83-95.
- [13] V. VARADARAJAN, *Geometry of Quantum Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1985.
- [14] R. WRIGHT, The Structure of Projection-Valued States, a Generalization of Wigner's Theorem, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, Vol. **16**, 1977, pp. 567-573.

(Manuscript received June 22, 1990)

(Revised version received July 27, 1990.)