ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

E. BALSLEV
E. SKIBSTED

Resonance theory of two-body Schrödinger operators

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 51, nº 2 (1989), p. 129-154
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1989__51_2_129_0>

© Gauthier-Villars, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré,

Vol. 51, n° 2, 1989, p. 129-154 . *Physique théorique*

Resonance theory of two-body Schrödinger operators

by

E. BALSLEV and E. SKIBSTED

Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitat
Ny Munkegade, DK-8000 Aarhus C,
Danmark

ABSTRACT. — We discuss resonances of Schrödinger operators $H = -\Delta + V + W$, where V is dilation-analytic, short-range and W exponentially decaying. Resonances are defined as poles of the analytically continued resolvent of H and identified with poles of the analytically extended S-matrix. Resonance functions associated with a resonance k_0 are defined as certain exponentially growing solutions u of the Schrödinger equation $(H - k_0^2) u = 0$, and an isomorphism is established between the space of resonance functions and the null space of the analytically continued inverse S-matrix.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous discutons les résonances des opérateurs de Schrödinger $H = -\Delta + V + W$, où V est analytique par dilatation et à courte portée, et où W est à décroissance exponentielle. Les résonances sont définies comme les poles de la résolvante de H continuée analytiquement et identifiés avec les poles de la matrice S continuée analytiquement. Les fonctions de résonance associées à la résonance k_0 sont définies comme des solutions exponentiellement croissantes de l'équation de Schrödinger $(H - k_0^2) u = 0$, et nous établissons un isomorphisme entre l'espace des fonctions de résonance et le noyau de la continuation analytique de l'inverse de la matrice S.

INTRODUCTION

Resonances of Schrödinger operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the form $H = -\Delta + Q$, where $Q = V + W$ and $V = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-\varepsilon})$ is a multiplicative, dilation-analytic potential and W an exponentially decaying potential were

studied in [5]. In the present paper the theory is extended and generalized to cover the case where V is short range (not necessarily local or symmetric) and the dimension $n \geqq 3$.

Basic to our approach is an extension of the limiting absorption principle for the resolvent $R(k)=(H-k^2)^{-1}$ from the real axis to the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}^+$. This is studied in Section 1. It was established by Saitō [14] for a certain class of potentials. Using Saitō's result for $R_0(k)=(H_0-k^2)^{-1}$, where $H_0=-\Delta$, we extend this to a large class of short-range potentials Q (Theorem 1.4). From this we obtein an analytic extension to $\mathbb{C}^+$ of the trace operators $T(k)$ defining a spectral representation of the absolutely continuous part of H (Lemma 1.8) and, as a consequence, an analytic extension to $\mathbb{C}^+$ of the generalized eigenfunctions of H (Theorem 1.10). Recently Agmon [2] gave a simple proof of an extended limiting absorption principle for $R_0(k)$, using the Phragmèn-Lindelöf principle.

Section 2 deals with the analytic continuation of resolvent and scattering matrix to the lower half-plane. We start by considering short-range potentials V which satisfy an implicit analyticity condition, assuming that the S-matrix $S_1(k)$ of (H_0, H_1), where $H_1=H_0+V$, has an analytic extension $\tilde{S}_1(k)$ to a region $\mathcal{O}$ in the lower half-plane. Under this assumption it is proved that the resolvent $(H_1-k^2)^{-1}$ has an analytic continuation $\tilde{R}_1(k)$ to $\mathcal{O}$ as an operator from a space of exponentially decaying functions to its dual (Theorem 2.2). In this context we also prove the existence of a meromorphic continuation of the generalized eigenfunctions to the lower half-plane. The result on $\tilde{R}_1(k)$ makes it possible to study the perturbation of H_1 by an exponentially decaying term W and prove the existence of a meromorphic extension $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ to $\mathcal{O}$ of the resolvent $(H_2-k^2)^{-1}$, where $H_2=H_1+W$, and of the S-matrices $S_{12}(k)$ of (H_1, H_2) and $S_2(k)$ of (H_0, H_2), identifiying their poles (Theorem 2.4). The analytically continued resolvent $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ provides a natural definition of resonance functions associated with a resonance k_0 as solutions u of the Schrödinger equation $(-\Delta+V+W-k_0^2)u=0$. lying in the range of the residue of $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ at k_0. We furthermore study the pole expansion of $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ around k_0 and establish an isomorphism of this space of resonance functions with the null space of $\tilde{S}_2^{-1}(k_0)$ (Theorem 2.4). The function τ on S^{n-1} corresponding by this isomorphism to the resonance function f also enters into the asymptotic formula

$$f(r, \omega) \simeq c^{-1}(k_0, n)\, e^{ik_0 r} r^{(1-n)/2} \tau(\omega) \quad \text{for } r \to \infty \quad \text{(Theorem 2.5).}$$

For dilation-analytic, short-range potentials V the S-matrix $S_1(k)$ is known to have a meromorphic extension to the dilation angle S_α [4]. Thus the theory applies to an operator $H_2=H_0+V+W$ with $W=o(e^{-2br})$ and $\mathcal{O}=\{k \in S_\alpha \,|\, |\operatorname{Im} k| < b\}$ (neither V nor W need to be local). Any S_α-dilation-analytic potential V can be decomposed as a sum $V_{1\varepsilon}+V_{2\varepsilon}$ of S_α-dilation-analytic potentials such that $-\Delta+V_{1\varepsilon}$ is resonance-free outside

an ε-distance from the limiting halfline $e^{-2i\alpha}\mathrm{R}^+$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2\varepsilon}$ decays faster than any exponential (Lemma 2.8). As a consequence we obtain as a main result the identification of the poles of the analytically continued resolvent $\tilde{\mathrm{R}}(k)$ and S-matrix $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}(k)$ of $(\mathrm{H}_0, \mathrm{H}_0+\mathrm{Q})$ for a potential $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{W}$ (Theorem 2.9). Potentials Q which admit a decomposition of the type $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{W}$ seem to constitute a rather large class. Thus, if Q is an "exterior analytic", short-range potential, let

$$\mathrm{V}(r, \cdot)=\mathrm{Q}(r+\mathrm{K}e^{-r^2}, \cdot).$$

Then one can show, using Cauchy's integral formula, that for K large enough V is dilation-analytic and short-range, while $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{V}$ decays like e^{-r^2}.

The results of Section 1 and Theorem 2.2 are formulated and proved (in fact without any complication of the proof) for not necessarily symmetric potentials. This will be useful in [8], where we obtain precise results on the analyticity and asymptotic properties of resonance functions for dilation-analytic potentials (*cf.* Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.6).

1. ANALYTIC EXTENSION OF THE FREE RESOLVENT BOUNDARY VALUES TO THE UPPER HALF-PLANE

We shall make use of some straightforward extensions of results of Saitō [14], which we formulate in the following Lemma. We refer to [14] for the proofs and to [7] Appendix 4 for some comments on the extension.

We start by introducing the basic Hilbert spaces and operators.

DEFINITION 1.1. — The weighted spaces $\mathrm{L}^2_{\delta, b}$ of complex-valued, measurable functions f on n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ are defined for $\delta, b \in \mathbb{R}$, setting $r=|x|$, by

$$\mathrm{L}^2_{\delta, b}=\mathrm{L}^2_{\delta, b}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\left\{ f \,\middle|\, \|f\|^2_{\delta, b}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 (1+r^2)^\delta e^{2br}\, dx<\infty \right\}.$$

The weighted Sobolev spaces $\mathrm{H}^2_{\delta, b}$ are defined by

$$\mathrm{H}^2_{\delta, b}=\mathrm{H}^2_{\delta, b}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\{ f \,|\, \|f\|^2_{2, \delta, b}=\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \|\mathrm{D}^\alpha f\|^2_{\delta, b}<\infty \},$$

where

$$\mathrm{D}^\alpha=\prod_j (\partial_j)^{\alpha_j}, \qquad \alpha=(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), \qquad |\alpha|=\sum_j \alpha_j.$$

We set

$$\mathrm{L}^2_{\delta, 0}=\mathrm{L}^2_\delta, \qquad \mathrm{H}^2_{\delta, 0}=\mathrm{H}^2_\delta$$

$\mathbb{C}$ = the complex plane

$$\mathbb{C}^{(\pm)}=\{k=a+ib \mid a\in\mathbb{R},\ b\underset{(<)}{>}0\}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{(\pm)}=\{k=a+ib \mid k\neq 0,\ b\underset{(<)}{\geqq}0\}.$$

$$\hat{D}_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}+\frac{n-1}{2r^2}x_j-ik\frac{x_j}{r}$$

$$\hat{D}u=\{\hat{D}_1 u,\ \ldots,\ \hat{D}_n u\}.$$

S^{n-1} is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathfrak{h}=L^2(S^{n-1})$.

We assume throughout the paper that $n\geqq 3$.

Let M be a subset of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. A statement is said to hold locally uniformly for $k\in M$ if it is true uniformly for k in any compact subset of M.

Given an operator T, we denote by $\mathscr{D}(T)$, $\mathscr{R}(T)$ and $\mathscr{N}(N)$ the domain, range and null space of T, respectively.

For $b\geqq 0$ the operators $H_0^{\pm b}$ in $L^2_{0,\ \pm b}$ are defined [*cf.* Lemma A 1.1)] by

$$\mathscr{D}(H_0^{\pm b})=H^2_{0,\ \pm b}$$

and

$$H_0^{\pm b}u=-\Delta u \qquad \text{for} \quad u\in\mathscr{D}(H_0^{\pm b}).$$

For $b=0$, $H_0^0=H_0$ is selfadjoint with $\sigma(H_0)=\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}=\{k^2 \mid k\in\mathbb{R}\}$.

For $b>0$ the spectrum of $H_0^{\pm b}$ is the parabolic region $\mathscr{P}_b=\{k^2 \mid k=a+ib_1,\ a\in\mathbb{R},\ |b_1|\leqq b\}$, *see* Appendix 2. For $k=a+ib$, $b\geqq 0$ and $k'=a+ib'$, $b'>b$ we set

$$R_0^{\pm b}(k')=(H_0^{\pm b}-k'^2)^{-1}\in\mathscr{B}(L^2_{0,\ \pm b},\ H^2_{0,\ \pm b})$$

and introduce the operators

$$H^+_{0,k}=e^{\mp ikr}H_0^{\pm b}e^{\pm ikr} \quad \text{on } \mathscr{D}(H^+_{0,k})=H^2$$

$$R^+_{0,k}(k')=(H^+_{0,k}-k'^2)^{-1}=e^{\mp ikr}R_0^{\pm b}(k')e^{\pm ikr}\in\mathscr{B}(L^2,\ H^2).$$

With respect to the duality of $L^2_{0,b}$ and $L^2_{0,\ -b}$ we have

$$H_0^{-b}=(H_0^b)^*, \qquad H_0^b=(H_0^{-b})^* \tag{1.1}$$

$$R_0^{-b}(k')=R_0^b(-\bar{k}')^*, \qquad R_0^b(k')=R_0^{-b}(-\bar{k}')^* \tag{1.2}$$

$$H^-_{0,\ k}=H^{+*}_{0,\ -\bar{k}}, \qquad H^+_{0,\ k}=H^{-*}_{0,\ -\bar{k}} \tag{1.3}$$

$$R^-_{0,k}(k')=R^+_{0,\ -\bar{k}}(-\bar{k}')^*, \qquad R^+_{0,\ k}(k')=R^-_{0,\ -\bar{k}}(-\bar{k}')^*. \tag{1.4}$$

LEMMA 1.2. — *Let* $1>\delta>\frac{1}{2}$, $k'=a+ib'\in\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$, $b'\geqq b\geqq 0$, $0<\varepsilon<\frac{\pi}{2}$. *Let* $f\in L^2_{\delta,\ -b}$. *Then there exists a unique solution u of*

$$\begin{gathered}(-\Delta-k'^2)u=f\\ u\in L^2_{-\delta,\ -b}\\ \|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1,\ -b}<\infty\end{gathered} \tag{1.5}$$

The exists $C=C(\delta, \varepsilon)$ *such that the following estimates hold for* $b' \geqq b \geqq |a| \geqq 0$ *and for* $0 \leqq b < |a|$, $b \leqq b'$, $\left|\operatorname{Arg} k' - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| \geqq \varepsilon$

$$\|u\|_{-\delta, -b} \leqq \frac{C}{|k'|} \|f\|_{\delta, -b} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1, -b} \leqq C \|f\|_{\delta, -b}. \tag{1.7}$$

Moreover, $u \in H^2_{-\delta, -b}$ *and*

$$\|u\|_{2, -\delta, -b} \leqq C(|k'| + |k'|^{-1}) \|f\|_{\delta, -b}. \tag{1.8}$$

Proof. — We refer to [14] for the proof of existence and uniqueness as well as (1.6) and (1.7) in the case $b'=b$ and to [7] Appendix 4 for remarks on the extension to $b' \geqq b$; the inequalities (1.6) and (1.7) are given in [7] Lemma A 4.5. By Lemma A 1.1, the norm $\|u\|_{2, \delta, b}$ is equivalent to the norm $\{\|u\|^2_{\delta, b} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{\delta, b}\}^{1/2}$. Then (1.8) follows from (1.6), since $\Delta u = -f - k'^2 u$. □

Clearly, for $b' > b$, $R_0^{-b}(k') | L^2_{\delta, -b} \in \mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta, -b}, L^2_{\delta-1, -b})$ and hence, by Lemma A 1.1, $R_0^{-b}(k') | L^2_{\delta, -b} \in \mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta, -b}, H^2_{\delta-1, -b})$. Thus, for $f \in L^2_{\delta, -b}$, $u = R_0^{-b}(k') f$ is the unique solution of (1.5).

By Lemma 1.2, there exists $C=C(\delta, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|R^-_{0, k}(k')\|_{\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})} < C(|k'| + |k'|^{-1}) \tag{1.9}$$

for $|a| \leqq b < b'$ and for $0 \leqq b < |a|$, $b' > b$, $\left|\operatorname{Arg} k' - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| < \varepsilon$.

By duality [*cf.* (1.4)],

$$\begin{aligned}\|R^+_{0, k}(k')\|_{\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, L^2_{-\delta})} &= \|R^-_{0, -\bar{k}}(-\bar{k}')^*\|_{\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, L^2_{-\delta})} \\ &= \|R^-_{0, -\bar{k}}(-\bar{k}')\|_{\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, L^2_{-\delta})} < C(|k'| + |k'|^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

and hence, by Lemma A 2.1.

$$\|R^+_{0, k}(k')\|_{\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})} < C(|k'|^3 + |k'|^{-1}) \tag{1.10}$$

Letting $b' \downarrow b$, we obtain the boundary values of $R^+_{0, k}(k')$ on the spectrum $\mathscr{P}_b$ of $H^{\pm b}_{0, k}$.

THEOREM 1.3. — *The following limits exist in the operator norm topology, locally uniformly in* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$,

$$R_0^\pm(k) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R^+_{0, k}(k + i\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } \mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$$

$$R_0^\pm(k + i0) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R_0^{\pm b}(k + i\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } \mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta, \pm b}, H^2_{-\delta, \pm b}).$$

We have

$$R_0^\pm(k) = e^{\mp ikr} R_0^\pm(k + i0) e^{\pm ikr} \tag{1.11}$$

The $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$*-valued functions* $R_0^\pm(k)$ *are analytic in* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *and continuous in* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$.

For $f \in L^2_{\delta,-b}$, $u = R_0^-(k+i0)f$ *is the unique solution of* (1.5) *with* $k' = k$.

Remark. — For $b=0$, $R_0^\pm(k+i0) = R_0 k+i0)$ is the usual limit of $R_0(k+i\varepsilon)$ in $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$.

Proof. — The statements about the existence of the limits $R_0^\pm(k)$ and $R_0^\pm(k+i0)$ are equivalent, and the identity (1.11) is obvious.

We consider the case of $R_0^-(k)$. For $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (f, R^-_{0,k}(k+i\varepsilon)g) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (e^{-i\bar{k}r} f, (-\Delta-(k+i\varepsilon)^2)^{-1} e^{-ikr} g)$$

exists, locally uniformly in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$. This is obvious if $k \in K$, where K is a compact subset of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$ with $K \cap \mathbb{R} = \varnothing$, and if $K \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \varnothing$ it is easy to prove by local deformation of the integration contour in momentum space (*cf.* [5] Lemma A 1).

By the proof of Agmon [1] Theorem 4.1, this in view of (1.9) implies that the weak limit $R_0^-(k)$ is actually the limit of $R^-_{0,k}(k+i\varepsilon)$ in the operator norm topology of $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, L^2_{-\delta})$, locally uniformly in k. By Lemma A 1.1 it follows that this limit is also attained in the operator norm of $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$, locally uniformly in k. The proof for $R_0^+(k)$ is similar, using (1.10).

Since $R^+_{0,k}(k+i\varepsilon)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and continuous in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$ for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the analyticity and continuity properties of $R_0^\pm(k)$ follows from the fact that $R^+_{0,k}(k+i\varepsilon) \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} R_0^\pm(k)$ in the norm of $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$, locally uniformly on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$.

The last statement follows from a Theorem of Ikebe and Saito [10] in the case $b=0$. For $b>0$ and $f \in L^2$, $u = R_0^-(k+i0)f = (-\Delta-k^2)^{-1} f \in H^2$, so u satisfies (1.5). This means that $R_0^-(k+i0)$ coincides on L^2 with the operator $(L-k^2)^{-1}$, defined in accordance with Lemma 1.2, which maps $f \in L^2_{\delta,-b}$ into the unique solution of (1.5). It then follows from (1.6) that $R_0^-(k+i0)$ is identical with $(L-k^2)^{-1}$ on $L^2_{\delta,-b}$, and the statement follows. □

We now introduce the interaction V and the Hamiltonian $H = -\Delta + V$. The basic assumptions on V are as follows. Let V be a linear operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying for a fixed $\delta_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ and every $b \in \mathbb{R}$ the condition

$$V \in \mathscr{C}(H^2_{-\delta_1, b}, L^2_{\delta_1, b}) \tag{A.1}$$

with the norms of V in $\mathscr{B}(H^2_{-\delta_1, b}, L^2_{\delta_1, b})$ locally bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

The singular set Σ_r defined by

$$\Sigma_r = \{k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathscr{N}(1+VR_0(k+i0) \neq \{0\}\} \tag{A.2}$$

is bounded and accumulates at most at 0.

We shall also refer to the class of dilation-analytic potentials defined as follows.

Let $\{U(\rho)\}_{\rho \in \mathbf{R}^+}$ be the group of dilations on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$(U(\rho) f)(x) = \rho^{(n-1)/2} f(\rho x).$$

Let $S_\alpha = \{\rho e^{i\varphi} \mid \rho > 0, |\varphi| < \alpha\}$.

An H_0-compact, symmetric operator V is said to be S_α-dilation-analytic if the family $V(\rho) = U(\rho) V U(\rho^{-1})$ of H_0-compact operators has an analytic extension $V(z)$ to the angle S_α.

Remark. — Notice that we neither assume V to be symmetric nor local. If V is multiplicative, (A.1) is implied by the short-range condition $V \in \mathscr{C}(H^2_{-\delta_1}, L^2_{\delta_1})$. This also holds for example if V is a first order differential operator. The local boundedness ensures that the functions $V^{\pm}(k)$ defined below are analytic.

The condition (A.2) is natural in the context of the present work. Thus it is known to hold for V symmetric and satisfying $V \in \mathscr{C}(H^2_{-\delta+s}, L^2_{\delta+s})$ for $0 \leqq s \leqq \delta$ (*cf.* [11]). It holds for $V(z)$ with V dilation-analytic and satisfying (A.1) (*cf.* [4] and Lemma 2.7), and it will be clear from what follows that (A.2) also holds for $V(z) + W$ with W exponentially decaying.

The results of this section can be generalized if condition (A.1) is replaced by

$$V \in \mathscr{C} H^2_{-\delta_1, b}, L^2_{\delta_1, b}) \qquad (A.1_{b_0})$$

with the norms of V in $\mathscr{B}(H^2_{-\delta_1, b}, L^2_{\delta_1, b})$ locally bounded on $(-b_0, b_0)$, where b_0 is fixed, $0 < b_0 \leqq \infty$.

The same conclusions are obtained under the assumption $(A.1_{b_0})$ on replacing $\mathbb{C}^+$ by $\mathbb{C}^+_{b_0} = \{k = a + ib \mid 0 < b < b_0\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$ by

$$\mathbb{C}^+_{b_0} = \{k \neq 0 \mid 0 \leqq b \leqq b_0\}$$

and similarly with $\mathbb{C}^-$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^-$.

This allows for example potentials of the type $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\varphi_i, .)\psi_i$ with φ_i, $\psi_i \in L^2_{0, b_0}$.

To simplify the presentation we formulate most results for $b = \infty$. We denote by V the above defined operator acting in any of the spaces $L^2_{0, \pm b}$.

The operator H is defined on $\mathscr{D}(H) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $Hu = -\Delta u + Vu$. H is closed on $\mathscr{D}(H) = \mathscr{D}(\Delta)$, since V is Δ-compact. The essential spectrum of H is $\overline{\mathbf{R}^+}$. Let $\Sigma_d = \{k \in \mathbb{C}^+ \mid k^2$ is a (discrete) eigen value of $H\}$ and set $\Sigma = \Sigma_d \cup \Sigma_r$.

The operators $H^{\pm b}$ in $L^2_{0, \pm b}$ are defined by

$$H^{\pm b} = H_0^{\pm b} + V \quad \text{on } \mathscr{D}(H^{\pm b}) = H^2_{0, \pm b}.$$

By (A.1) and Lemma 1.1, V is $H_0^{\pm b}$-compact, hence $H^{\pm b}$ is closed. By Lemma A 2.1,

$$\sigma_d(H^{\pm b})\setminus\mathscr{P}_b=\sigma_d(H)\setminus\mathscr{P}_b=(\Sigma_d^b)^2,$$

where

$$\Sigma_d^b=\{k'=a+ib' \mid k'\in\Sigma_d,\ b'>b\}.$$

For $k=a+ib$, $b\geqq 0$ and $k'=a+ib'$, $b'>b$, $k'\notin\Sigma_d^b$, we set

$$R^{\pm b}(k')=(H^{\pm b}-k'^2)^{-1}\in\mathscr{B}(L^2_{0,\ \pm b},\ H^2_{0,\ \pm b}).$$

For $k\in\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$ we introduce the following families of operators:

$$V^{\pm}(k)=e^{\mp ikr}\,V\,e^{\pm ikr}\in\mathscr{C}(H^2_{-\delta_1},\ L^2_{\delta_1})$$
$$H_k^{\pm}=e^{\mp ikr}H^{\pm b}e^{\pm ikr}\quad\text{on }\mathscr{D}(H_k^{\pm})=H^2$$
$$R_k^{\pm}(k')=(H_k^{\pm}-k'^2)^{-1}=e^{\mp ikr}R^{\pm b}(k')e^{\pm ikr}\in\mathscr{B}(L^2,\ H^2).$$

Based on Theorem 1.3 and (A.1) we have the following result. Here and in what follows δ is assumed to satisfy $1/2<\delta\leqq\min\{\delta_1,\ 1\}$.

THEOREM 1.4. — *The following limits exists in the operator norm topology, locally uniformly in* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+\setminus\Sigma$,

$$R^{\pm}(k):=\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}R_k^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon)\quad\text{in }\mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta},\ H^2_{-\delta})$$
$$R^{\pm}(k+i0):=\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}R^{\pm b}(k+i\varepsilon)\quad\text{in }\mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta,\ \pm b},\ H^2_{-\delta,\ \pm b}).$$

We have

$$R^{\pm}(k)=e^{\mp ikr}R^{\pm}(k+i0)\,e^{\pm ikr}\tag{1.12}$$

The operators $V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k)$ *are analytic,* $\mathscr{C}(L^2_{\delta})$*-valued functions on* $\mathbb{C}^+$, *continuous on* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$. *The* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta})$*-valued functions* $(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k))^{-1}$ *are meromorphic in* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *with poles at* Σ_d *and continuous on* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+\setminus\Sigma$, *Moreover,*

$$R^{\pm}(k)=R_0^{\pm}(k)(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k))^{-1},\tag{1.13}$$

and $R^{\pm}(k)$ *are meromorphic,* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_{\delta},\ H^2_{-\delta})$*-valued functions on* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *with poles at* Σ_d *and continuous on* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+\setminus\Sigma$.

Let $k\in\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+\setminus\Sigma$ *and* $f\in L^2_{\delta,\ -b}$. *The function* $u=R^-(k+i0)f$ *in* $H^2_{-\delta,\ -b}$ *is a solution of the equation*

$$(-\Delta+V-k^2)u=f\tag{1.14}$$

satisfying $\|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1,\ -b}<\infty$, *and* u *is uniquely determined as a solution of* (1.14) *such that* $u\in H^2_{-\delta,\ -b}$ *and* $\|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1,\ -b}<\infty$.

Proof. — It is proved in Lemma A 2.3 that

$$\{k\in\mathbb{C}^+ \mid \mathscr{N}(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k))\neq\{0\}\}=\Sigma_d.$$

Then the existence of $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R_k^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon)$ for $k \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$ follows from Theorem 1.3 and the second resolvent equation

$$R_k^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon) = R_{0,k}^{+}(k+i\varepsilon)(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_{0,k}^{+}(k+i\varepsilon))^{-1}.$$

The existence of $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon)$ and the identity (1.12) follow immediately from this.

By Theorem 1.3 and (A.1), $V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k)$ are analytic, $\mathscr{C}(L_\delta^2)$-valued functions on $\mathbb{C}^+$, continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$. By the analytic Fredholm Theorem and Lemma A 2.3, $(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k))^{-1}$ are meromorphic, $\mathscr{B}(L_\delta^2)$-valued functions in $\mathbb{C}^+$ with poles at Σ_c, and $(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0(k))^{-1}$ are continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$. This together with Theorem 1.3 implies that $R^{\pm}(k)$ are given by (1.13) and are meromorphic, $\mathscr{B}(L_\delta^2, H_{-\delta}^2)$-valued functions in $\mathbb{C}^+$ with poles at Σ_c, continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$.

To prove the last statement, assume that $u \in H_{-\delta,-b}^2$ is a solution of (1.14) with $f=0$ and $\|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1,-b} < \infty$. Then

$$(-\Delta - k^2)u = -Vu$$

where $-Vu \in L_{\delta,-b}^2$ by (A.1). Hence, by Theorem 1.3, u agrees with the unique solution $v = R_0^-(k+i0)(-Vu) \in H_{-\delta,-b}^2$ with $\|\hat{D}v\|_{\delta-1,-b} < \infty$ of

$$(-\Delta - k^2)v = -Vu,$$

so $u = R_0^-(k+i0)(-Vu)$. Then $w = Vu$ is a solution in $L_{\delta,-b}^2$ of $(1+VR_0^-(k+i0))w = 0$. Since $k \notin \Sigma$, this implies $w=0$. Thus u is a solution of $(-\Delta - k^2)u = 0$, so by Lemma 1.2 $u=0$, proving the uniqueness.

On the other hand, let $f \in L_{\delta,-b}^2$ and set

$$u = R^-(k+i0)f = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } H_{-\delta,-b}^2$$

where $u_\varepsilon = R^{-b}(k+i\varepsilon)f$ is a solution of

$$(-\Delta - (k+i\varepsilon)^2 + V)u_\varepsilon = f.$$

$$R_k^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon) = R_{0,k}^{+}(k+i\varepsilon)(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_{0,k}^{+}(k+i\varepsilon))^{-1}.$$

The existence of $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon)$ and the identity (1.12) follow immediately from this.

By Theorem 1.3 and (A.1), $V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k)$ are analytic, $\mathscr{C}(L_\delta^2)$-valued functions on $\mathbb{C}^+$, continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$. By the analytic Fredholm Theorem and Lemma A 2.3, $(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k))^{-1}$ are meromorphic, $\mathscr{B}(L_\delta^2)$-valued functions in $\mathbb{C}^+$ with poles at Σ_c, and $(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0(k))^{-}$ are continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$. This together with Theorem 1.3 implies that $R^{\pm}(k)$ are given by (1.13) and are meromorphic, $\mathscr{B}(L_\delta^2, H_{-\delta}^2)$-valued functions in $\mathbb{C}^+$ with poles at Σ_c, continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$.

To prove the last statement, assume that $u \in H^2_{-\delta,\,-b}$ is a solution of (1.14) with $f=0$ and $\|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1,\,-b}<\infty$. Then

$$(-\Delta-k^2)\,u=-V\,u$$

where $-V\,u \in L^2_{\delta,\,-b}$ by (A.1). Hence, by Theorem 1.3, u agrees with the unique solution $v=R_0^-(k+i0)(-V\,u) \in H^2_{-\delta,\,-b}$ with $\|\hat{D}v\|_{\delta-1,\,-b}<\infty$ of

$$(-\Delta-k^2)\,v=-V\,u,$$

so $u=R_0^-(k+i0)(-V\,u)$. Then $w=V\,u$ is a solution in $L^2_{\delta,\,-b}$ of $(1+VR_0^-(k+i0))\,w=0$. Since $k \notin \Sigma$, this implies $w=0$. Thus u is a solution of $(-\Delta-k^2)\,u=0$, so by Lemma 1.2 $u=0$, proving the uniqueness.

On the other hand, let $f \in L^2_{\delta,\,-b}$ and set

$$u=R^-(k+i0)\,f=\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } H^2_{-\delta,\,-b}$$

where $u_\varepsilon=R^{-b}(k+i\varepsilon)\,f$ is a solution of

$$(-\Delta-(k+i\varepsilon)^2+V)\,u_\varepsilon=f.$$

This implies $\Delta u_\varepsilon \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} \Delta u$ in $L^2_{-\delta,\,-b}$, hence $V\,u_\varepsilon \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} V\,u$ by (A.1), and it follows that u is a solution of (1.14). Since

$$u=R_0^-(k+i0)(1+VR_0^-(k+i0))^{-1}\,f,$$

the fact that $\|\hat{D}u\|_{\delta-1,\,b}<\infty$ follows from the last statement of Theorem 1.3. □

DEFINITION 1.5. — The trace operator $T_0(k) \in \mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, \mathfrak{h})$ is defined for $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $f \in L^2_\delta$, by

$$(T_0(k)\,f)(\omega)=F\,f(k,\,\cdot), \tag{1.15}$$

where F is the Fourier-Plancherel transform; we set

$$T_0^+(k)=T_0(k)\,e^{ikr}. \tag{1.16}$$

$T_0^*(\bar{k})$ may be considered as an operator in $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, H^2_{-\delta})$, given for $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{h}$ by

$$(T_0^*(\bar{k})\,\sigma)(x)=(2\pi)^{-n/2}\int_{S^{n-1}} \sigma(\omega)\,e^{ik\omega\cdot x}\,d\omega \tag{1.17}$$

and similarly we have

$$T_0^{+*}(\bar{k})=e^{-ikr}\,T_0^*(\bar{k}) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, H^2_{-\delta}). \tag{1.18}$$

For $k>0$, in $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$

$$R_0(k+i0)-R_0(-k+i0)=\pi\,ik^{n-2}\,T_0^*(\bar{k})\,T_0(k). \tag{1.19}$$

LEMMA 1.6. — *The $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, \mathfrak{h})$-valued function $T_0^+(\bar{k})$ has a continuous extension to $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$, analytic in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and given by* (1.15) *and* (1.16).

The $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, H^2_{-\delta})$*-valued function* $T_0^{+*}(\bar{k})$ has a continuous extension to $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^-$, *analytic in* $\mathbb{C}^-$ *and given by* (1.17) *and* (1.18).

Proof. — The second statement is proved in Appendix 3, and the first follows by taking adjoints.

DEFINITION 1.7. — The perturbed trace operators $T_V(k) \in \mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, \mathfrak{h})$ are defined for $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\{0\} \cup \Sigma_r\}$ by

$$T_V(k) = T_0(k)(1 - VR(k + i0)) \tag{1.20}$$

and we set

$$T_V^+(k) = T_V(k) e^{ikr} = T_0^+(k)(1 - V^+(k) R^+(k)) \tag{1.21}$$

The perturbed adjoint trace operators $T^*_{V^*}(\bar{k}) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, H^2_{-\delta})$ are defined for $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\{0\} \cup \{-\Sigma_r\})$ by

$$T^*_{V^*}(\bar{k}) = (1 - R(-k + i0) V) T_0^*(\bar{k}) \tag{1.22}$$

and we set

$$T^{+*}_{V^*}(\bar{k}) = e^{-ikr} T^*_{V^*}(\bar{k}) = (1 - R^-(-k) V^-(-k)) T_0^{+*}(\bar{k}) \tag{1.23}$$

Remark. — If V^* satisfies (A.1) (for example if V is multiplicative) then $T^*_{V^*}(\bar{k})$ is the adjoint of the trace operator for $H_0 + V^*$ defined by V^* in (1.20).

LEMMA 1.8. — *The* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, \mathfrak{h})$*-valued function* $T_V^+(k)$ *has a continuous extension to* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$, *meromorphic in* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *with poles at* Σ_d *and given by* (1.21).

The $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, H^2_{-\delta})$*-valued function* $T^{+*}_{V^*}(\bar{k})$ *has a continuous extension to* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^- \setminus \{-\Sigma\}$, *meromorphic in* $\mathbb{C}^-$ *with poles at* $-\Sigma_d$ *and given by* (1.23).

Proof. — This follows from Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 1.6. □

DEFINITION 1.9. — For $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\{0\} \cup \Sigma_r)$ and $0 \neq \sigma \in \mathfrak{h}$, the family of generalized eigenfunctions $\psi(k, \sigma, \cdot) \in H^2_{-\delta}$ is defined by

$$\psi(k, \sigma, \cdot) = T^*_{V^*}(-\bar{k}) R\sigma = (1 - R^-(k + i0) V) T_0^*(\bar{k}) \sigma \tag{1.24}$$

and we set

$$\psi^+(k, \sigma, \cdot) = T^{+*}_{V^*}(-\bar{k}) R\sigma = (1 - R^-(k) V^-(k)) T_0^{+*}(-\bar{k}) R\sigma \tag{1.25}$$

where $(R\sigma)(\omega) = \sigma(-\omega)$.

THEOREM 1.10. — (*a*) $\psi^+(k, \sigma, \cdot)$ *has a* $H^2_{-\delta}$*-valued continuous extension to* $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$, *meromorphic in* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *with poles contained in* Σ_d, *given by* (1.25).

(*b*) *For any* $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $k \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+ \setminus \Sigma$, $\psi^+(k, \sigma, \cdot) \notin L^2_{\delta-1}$.

Proof. — (*a*) This follows from Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 1.6. (*b*) If

$$\psi = \psi(k, \sigma, \cdot) := e^{-ikr} \psi^+(k, \sigma, \cdot) \in L^2_{\delta-1, -b}$$

then $\Delta\psi = -k^2\psi + V\psi \in L^2_{\delta-1,-b}$, so by Lemma A 1.1, $\psi \in H^2_{\delta-1,-b}$ and hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi \in L^2_{\delta-1,-b} \quad \text{for } j=1, \ldots, n.$$

Since $\hat{D}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + B_j$, where $B_j \in \mathscr{B}(H^2_{\delta-1,-b}, L^2_{\delta-1,-b})$, we conclude that $\hat{D}_j\psi \in L^2_{\delta-1,-b}$ or $\|\hat{D}\psi\|_{\delta-1,-b} < \infty$.

Since ψ satisfies (1.14), this implies by the uniqueness statement of Theorem 1.4 that $\psi = 0$, a contradiction, so $\psi \notin L^2_{\delta-1,-b}$ and $\psi^+(k, \sigma, \cdot) \notin L^2_{\delta-1}$. Here we have used Lemma A 2.3.

2. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF RESOLVENT AND EIGENFUNCTIONS

Assume that V satisfies (A.1) and (A.2). For any bounded open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\bar{I} \cup (-\bar{I}) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_r$ the results of Kako and Yajima [11] show that the local wave operators $W_\pm(I)$ exist and are complete. The local scattering operator $S(I) = W_+^{-1}(I)\, W_-(I)$ is a bicontinuous isomorphism of $E_0(I)\, L_2$ onto itself, where $\{E_0(\cdot)\}$ is the spectral measure of $-\Delta$. Since $S(I)\, E_0(B) = E_0(B)\, S(I)$ for any Borel set $B \subset I$, $S(I)$ has a spectral representation via the Fourier transform, and it is given explicitly for $f \in L^2$ by

$$(FS(I)\, f)(k, \cdot) = S(k)\, T_0(k)\, f \tag{2.1}$$

where $S(k)$ is the bounded operator on $\mathfrak{h}$ defined for $k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \Sigma_r$ by

$$S(k) = I - \pi i k^{n-2}\, T_0(k)(V - VR(k+i0)\, V)\, T_0^*(k) \tag{2.2}$$

The connection between the scattering matrix $S(k)$ and the trace operators $T_V(\pm k)$ is given by the following formula.

LEMMA 2.1. — *For $k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \Sigma_r$ such that $-k \notin \Sigma_r$*

$$T_V(k) = S(k)\, R\, T_V(-k). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. — Let I be as above. The operators $F_\pm(I) = W_\pm^{-1}(I)$ have the following representation for $f \in L_{2,\delta}$,

$$(FF_+(I)\, f)(k, \cdot) = T_V(k)\, f, \qquad k \in I \tag{2.4}$$

$$(FF_-(I)\, f)(k, \cdot) = R\, T_V(-k)\, f, \qquad k \in I \tag{2.5}$$

Since $S(I)\, F_-(I) = F_+(I)$, we obtain (2.3) for $k \in I$. Letting I vary over all open bounded intervals in $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\bar{I} \cup (-\bar{I}) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma$, we obtain (2.3) for all $k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \Sigma_r$ such that $-k \notin \Sigma_r$.

We now introduce the basic additional analyticity condition on H.

(A.3) The S-matrix $S(k)$ has a $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h})$-valued extension $\tilde{S}(k)$ from an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $I \cup (-I) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_r$ to a domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}^- \setminus (-\Sigma_d)$ with $\partial \mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R} = I$, such that $\tilde{S}(k)$ is analytic in $\mathcal{O}$ and continuous in $I \cup \mathcal{O}$.

THEOREM 2.2. — *Assume that* (A.1)-(A.3) *are satisfied.*

(*a*) *The* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$*-valued function* $e^{-ikr} R(k+i0) e^{-ikr}$ *has a continuous extension* $e^{-ikr} \tilde{R}(k) e^{-ikr}$ *from* I *to* $I \cup \mathcal{O}$, *analytic in* $\mathcal{O}$ *and given by*

$$e^{-ikr} \tilde{R}(k) e^{-ikr} = e^{-ikr} R(-k) e^{-ikr} + \pi i k^{n-2} T^{+*}_{V*}(\bar{k}) \tilde{S}(k) R T^+_V(-k). \quad (2.6)$$

(*b*) *For each* $\sigma \in \mathfrak{h}$ the $H^2_{-\delta}$*-valued function* $e^{-ikr} \psi(k, \sigma, \cdot)$ *has a continuous extension* $e^{-ikr} \tilde{\psi}(k, \sigma, \cdot)$ *from* I *to* $I \cup \mathcal{O}$ *analytic in* $\mathcal{O}$ *and given by*

$$e^{-ikr} \tilde{\psi}(k, \sigma, \cdot) = e^{-ikr} T^*_{V*}(\bar{k}) \tilde{S}(k) \sigma \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover,

$$e^{-ikr} \tilde{\psi}(k, \sigma, \cdot) \notin L^2_{\delta-1} \qquad \textit{for any} \quad \delta > \frac{1}{2}.$$

(*c*) *The* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, \mathfrak{h})$*-valued function* $T_V(k) e^{-ikr}$ *has a continuous extension* $\tilde{T}_V(k) e^{-ikr}$ *from* I *to* $I \cup \mathcal{O}$, *analytic in* $\mathcal{O}$ *and given by*

$$\tilde{T}_V(k) e^{-ikr} = \tilde{S}(k) R T^+_V(-k).$$

Proof. — (*a*) This follows from (2.3), Lemma 1.8 and the following identity, valid in $\mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta, H^2_{-\delta})$ for $k \in I$,

$$R(k+i0) - R(-k+i0) = \pi i k^{n-2} T^*_{V*}(k) T_V(k). \quad (2.8)$$

(*b*) It follows from the identity

$$\psi(k, \sigma, \cdot) = T^*_{V*}(k) S(k) \sigma, \qquad k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus (\Sigma_r \cup (-\Sigma_r))$$

to be established below and Lemma 1.8 that $e^{-ikr} \psi(k, \sigma, \cdot)$ has an extension with the stated properties, given by (2.7). The last statement follows from the uniqueness of solutions of (1.14) proved in Theorem 1.4, *see* the proof of Theorem 1.10.

To prove (2.9), we note that by (1.24) this amounts to showing that for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$T^*_{V*}(-k) R \sigma = T^*_{V*}(k) S(k) \sigma \quad (2.10)$$

Since $\mathscr{R}(R T_V(-k))$ is dense in $\mathfrak{h}$, it suffices to prove (2.10) for all σ of the form $\sigma = R T_V(-k) u$, $u \in L^2_\delta$, or, in view of (2.3), to prove

$$T^*_{V*}(-k) T_V(-k) u = T^*_{V*}(k) T_V(k) u, \qquad u \in L^2_\delta. \quad (2.11)$$

The identity (2.11) in turn is an easy consequence of (1.22), (1.19) and the resolvent equations.

(*c*) The statement is obvious from (2.3) and Lemma 1.8. □

In the rest of this section we develop the perturbation theory of (H_1, H_1+W) where $H_1=H_0+V$, V satisfies (A.1)-(A.3) and W is exponentially decaying.

THEOREM 2.3. — *Let* V *be a symmetric operator in* L^2 *satisfying* $(A.1_{b_0})$, (A.2) *and* (A.3) *for a fixed* b_0 *with* $\mathcal{O}\subset\{k=a+ib \mid a>0, -b_0<b<0\}$. *Let* W *be a symmetric operator in* L^2 *satisfying the condition*

$$\text{(A.4)} \qquad W\in\mathscr{C}(H^2_{0,-b_0}, L^2_{0,b_0}).$$

Let

$$H_2=H_1+W \quad \text{on } \mathscr{D}(H_2)=\mathscr{D}(H_1)=\mathscr{D}(H_0)=H^2$$

and

$$R_2(k)=(H_2-k^2)^{-1} \qquad \text{for} \quad k^2\in\rho(H_2).$$

Let $S_{12}(k)$ *be the scattering matrix of the pair* (H_1, H_2) *associated with the spectral representation of* $H_{1,ac}$ *defined by* $T_1(k)$ (cf. [12]), *where* $T_1(k)$ *is the perturbed trace operator* $T_V(k)$ *of Definition* 1.7. *Let* $S_1(k)$ *and* $S_2(k)$ *be the scattering matrices of* (H_0, H_1) *and* (H_0, H_2) *respectively.*

$$\text{Let } \Sigma_{di}=\{i\beta \mid -\beta^2\in\sigma_d(H_i)\}, \qquad i=1,2.$$

(*a*) $R_2(k)$ *considered as a* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_{0,b_0}, H^2_{0,-b_0})$*-valued function on* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *(with poles at* Σ_{d2}*) has a meromorphic continuation* $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ *across* I *to* $\mathcal{O}$ *given by*

$$\tilde{R}_2(k)=\tilde{R}_1(k)(I+W\tilde{R}_1(k))^{-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

(*b*) $S_{12}(k)$ *has a continuous extension* $\tilde{S}_{12}(k)$ *to* $(I\cup\mathcal{O})\setminus\mathscr{R}$, *where* $\mathscr{R}$ *is the set of poles of* $\tilde{R}_2(k)$, *meromorphic in* $\mathcal{O}$ *and given by*

$$\tilde{S}_{12}(k)=I-\pi ik^{n-2}\tilde{T}_1(k)(W-W\tilde{R}_2(k)W)T_1^*(\bar{k}) \tag{2.13}$$

(*c*) *For* $k_0\in\mathscr{R}\setminus(-\Sigma_{d1})$, $\mathscr{N}(\tilde{S}_{12}^{-1}(k_0))$ *and* $\mathscr{N}(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$ *are isomorphic via the map*

$$\mathscr{N}(I+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))\ni\Omega\to\sigma=\tilde{T}_1(k_0)\Omega\in\mathscr{N}(\tilde{S}_{12}^{-1}(k_0)) \tag{2.14}$$

with the inverse

$$\Omega=-\pi ik_0^{n-2}(I-WR_2(-k_0))WT_1^*(\bar{k}_0)\sigma. \tag{2.15}$$

(*Here* $\tilde{S}_{12}^{-1}(k_0)$ *denotes the analytic extension of* $S_{12}^{-1}(k)$, *evaluated at* $k=k_0$).

(*d*) $S_2(k)$ *has a continuous extension* $\tilde{S}_2(k)$ *to* $(I\cup\mathcal{O})\setminus\mathscr{R}$, *meromorphic in* $\mathcal{O}$ *and given by*

$$\tilde{S}_2(k)=\tilde{S}_{12}(k)\tilde{S}_1(k). \tag{2.16}$$

(*e*) *The functions* $\tilde{R}_2(k)$, $\tilde{S}_{12}(k)$ *and* $\tilde{S}_2(k)$ *have the same poles and of the same orders in* $\mathcal{O}$.

Proof. — We refer to [5] Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 for the proof of (*a*)-(*d*).

To prove (*e*), we notice that $V+W$ satisfies $(A.1_{b_0})$, (A.2) and (A.3), so we can apply the above theory to $H_2=H_0+V+W$. Replacing V by $V+W$ in Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\tilde{R}_2(k)=R_2(-k)+\pi i k^{n-2}T_2^*(\bar{k})\tilde{S}_2(k)RT_2(-k). \tag{2.17}$$

Since $\tilde{T}_1(k)$, $T_1^*(\bar{k})$, $T_2(-k)$, $T_2^*(\bar{k})$ and $\tilde{S}(k)$ are regular in $\mathcal{O}$, we obtain (*e*) from (2.13), (2.16) and (2.17).

We shall now discuss in more detail the pole expansion of $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ around a resonance k_0 (*i.e.* $k_0\in\mathcal{R}$). With a slight abuse of notation we write b instead of the number b_0 appearing in Theorem 2.3. We choose $\delta>0$ such that $S(k_0,\delta)=\{k\,|\,|k-k_0|<\delta\}\subset\mathcal{O}$ and such that k_0 is the only pole in $S(k_0,\delta)$ of the $\mathcal{B}(L^2_{0,b}, H^2_{0,-b})$-valued function $\tilde{R}_2(k)$.

We have for $k\in S(k_0,\delta)\setminus\{k_0\}$

$$H^{-b}\tilde{R}_2(k)=\tilde{R}_2(k)H^b \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$(H^{-b}-k^2)\tilde{R}_2(k)=I \quad \text{on } L^2_{0,b}. \tag{2.19}$$

Let $C=\left\{k\,\middle|\,|k-k_0|=\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$ and define $P\in\mathcal{B}(L^2_{0,b}, H^2_{0,-b})$ by

$$P=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C \tilde{R}_2(k)\,dk^2 \tag{2.20}$$

By (2.19) and (2.20), $\mathcal{R}(P)\subseteq\mathcal{D}((H^{-b}-k_0^2)^j)$ for $j=1, 2, \ldots$ and

$$(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^j P=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C (k^2-k_0^2)^j\tilde{R}_2(k)\,dk^2 \tag{2.21}$$

Note that by (2.16) $H^{-b}P=PH^b$. Since $\tilde{W}R_1(k)$ is a $\mathcal{C}(L^2_{0,b})$-valued, analytic function on $S(k_0,\delta)$, $(I+W\tilde{R}_1(k))^{-1}$ has a pole at k_0 and hence $\tilde{R}_2(k)=\tilde{R}_1(k)(I+W\tilde{R}_1(k))^{-1}$ has a pole expansion around k_0

$$\tilde{R}_2(k)=L(k)-\frac{P}{k^2-k_0^2}-\sum_{j=2}^{N}\frac{D_j}{(k^2-k_0^2)^j} \tag{2.22}$$

where $L(k)$ is regular at k_0. By (2.21),

$$D_j=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C (k^2-k_0^2)^{j-1}\tilde{R}_2(k)\,dk^2=(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^{j-1}P \tag{2.23}$$

and hence

$$\tilde{R}_2(k)=L(k)-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^{j-1}P}{(k^2-k_0^2)^j}. \tag{2.24}$$

Note also that the range of $(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^j P$ is a proper subspace of the range of $(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^{j-1}P$ for $j=1, \ldots, N$.

From (2.24) we get

$$(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k))^{-1}=1-W\tilde{R}_2(k)=1-WL(k)+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{W(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^{j-1}P}{(k^2-k_0^2)^j} \tag{2.25}$$

Writing

$$\tilde{R}_1(k)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(k^2-k_0^2)^m L_m \tag{2.26}$$

we obtain from (2.25) and (2.26) the following formula for the residue P of $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ at k_0

$$P=-\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} L_j W(H^{-b}-k_0^2)^j P. \tag{2.27}$$

DEFINITION. — The space $\mathscr{F}$ of resonance functions of H_2 at k_0 is defined by

$$\mathscr{F}=\{f\in\mathscr{R}(P)\,|\,(H^{-b}-k_0^2)f=0\}.$$

THEOREM 2.4. — *Under the conditions of Theorem* 2.3 *and the assumption that* $\tilde{S}_1(k_0)$ *is invertible the following holds.*

(*a*) $\mathscr{F}$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathscr{N}(I+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$ *via the map* W *with the inverse* $-\tilde{R}_1(k_0)$.

(*b*) $\pi i k_0^{n-2}T_2^*(\bar{k}_0)\tilde{T}_1(k_0)=\tilde{R}_1(k_0)$ *on* $\mathscr{N}(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$.

(*c*) $\mathscr{N}(\tilde{S}_2^{-1}(k_0))$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathscr{F}$ *via the map* $T_2^*(\bar{k}_0)$ *with the inverse* $-\pi i k_0^{n-2}\tilde{T}_1(k_0)W$.

Proof. — (*a*) Suppose $f=Pg\in\mathscr{F}$. Then by (2.27) $f=-\tilde{R}_1(k_0)Wf$, so $Wf\in\mathscr{N}(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$. Suppose on the other hand that $\Phi\in\mathscr{N}(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$. Then $f=\tilde{R}_1(k_0)\Phi\in\mathscr{N}(H^{-b}-k_0^2)$. Moreover, $\tilde{R}_1(k_0)\Phi=\lim_{k\to k_0}\tilde{R}_2(k)(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k))\Phi\in\mathscr{R}(P)$, since only the singular part of $\tilde{R}_2(k)$ contributes. Thus, $-\tilde{R}_1(k_0)\Phi\in\mathscr{F}$ and $W(-\tilde{R}_1(k_0)\Phi)=\Phi$. This proves (*a*).

(*b*) For $\Phi\in\mathscr{N}(I+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi i k_0^{n-2}T_2^*(\bar{k}_0)\tilde{T}_1(k_0)\Phi &=\pi i k_0^{n-2}(I-R_2(-k_0)W)T_1^*(k_0)\tilde{T}_1(k_0)\Phi\\ &=(I-R_2(-k_0)W)(\tilde{R}_1(k_0)-R_1(-k_0))\Phi\\ &=\tilde{R}_1(k_0)\Phi-R_1(-k_0)\Phi+R_2(-k_0)(I+WR_1(-k_0))\Phi=\tilde{R}_1(k_0)\Phi. \quad\square\end{aligned}$$

(*c*) By Theorem 2.3 (*c*), the map $\tilde{T}_1(k_0)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathscr{N}(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$ onto $\mathscr{N}(\tilde{S}_{12}^{-1}(k_0))$, which equals $\mathscr{N}(\tilde{S}_2^{-1}(k_0))$ by (2.16). By (*a*) and (*b*), $T_2^*(\bar{k})\tilde{T}_1(k_0)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathscr{N}(1+W\tilde{R}_1(k_0))$ onto $\mathscr{F}$ with inverse $-\pi i k_0^{n-2}W$, and (*c*) follows. $\square$

Remark. — The assumption that $\tilde{S}_1(k_0)$ be invertible is always satisfied if V is S_α-dilation-analytic, *see* Theorem 2.9 and Remark 2.10.

The function $\tau \in \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}_2^{-1}(k_0))$ connected with f through the isomorphism of Theorem 2.4 (c) is directly related as follows to the asymptotic behavior of $f = f(r, \omega)$ for $r \to \infty$.

THEOREM 2.5. — *Assume that the conditions of Theorem* 2.4 *hold and that* $f \in \mathcal{F}$ *satisfies*

$$f(r, \cdot) = r^{(1-n)/2} e^{ik_0 r}(\tilde{\tau} + \varepsilon_1(r)) \tag{2.28}$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}\{r^{(n-1)/2} f(r, \cdot)\} = ik_0 e^{ik_0 r}(\tilde{\tau} + \varepsilon_2(r)) \tag{2.29}$$

where $\tilde{\tau} \in \mathfrak{h}$ *and* $\varepsilon_i(r) \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{} 0$ *in* $\mathfrak{h}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Then

$$\tilde{\tau} \in \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}_2^{-1}(k_0)) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad f = c(k_0, n)\, T_2^*(\bar{k}_0)\tilde{\tau},$$

where

$$c(k_0, n) = k_0^{(1-n)/2}(-i)^{(1-n)/2}(2\pi)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. — By Theorem 2.4(c) and (1.22) there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}_2^{-1}(k_0))$ such that

$$f = T_2^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau = (1 - R^-(-k_0 + i0)V)\, T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau \tag{2.30}$$

Inserting (2.30) first in (2.29) and then in (2.28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dr}\{r^{(n-1)/2} T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau\} - ik_0 e^{ik_0 r}(\tilde{\tau} + \varepsilon_2(r)) \\ = \frac{d}{dr}\{r^{(n-1)/2} R^-(-k_0 + i0) V T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau\} \\ = \left(\frac{d}{dr} + ik_0\right)\{r^{(n-1)/2} R^-(-k_0 + i0) V T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau\} \\ - ik_0 r^{(n-1)/2}\{T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau - r^{(1-n)/2} e^{ik_0 r}(\tilde{\tau} + \varepsilon_1(r))\}\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Setting

$$F(r, \cdot) = e^{-ik_0 r}\left(\frac{d}{dr} + ik_0\right)\{r^{(n-1)/2} R^-(-k_0 + i0) V T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau\},$$

we can write (2.31) as

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-ik_0 r}\frac{d}{dr}\{r^{(n-1)/2} T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau\} \\ + ik_0 r^{(n-1)/2} e^{-ik_0 r} T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\tau - 2ik_0\tilde{\tau} \\ = F(r, \cdot) + ik_0(\varepsilon_1(r) + \varepsilon_2(r))\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

By Theorem 1.4, $r^{(1-n)/2}\,F(r,\cdot)\in L^2_{\delta-1}$, hence there exists a sequence $r_p\to\infty$ such that $\|F(r_p,\,.)\|_{\mathfrak{h}}\to 0$, so in $\mathfrak{h}$

$$\left[e^{-ik_0 r}\frac{d}{dr}\{r^{(n-1)/2}\,T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\,\tau\}+ik_0\,r^{(n-1)/2}\,e^{-ik_0 r}\,T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)\,\tau\right]_{r=r_p}$$
$$\to 2ik_0\,\tau \qquad \text{for} \quad p\to\infty. \quad (2.33)$$

Now we use the representation of $T_0^*(\bar{k}_0)$ given by (1) of Appendix 3 and take inner product of (2.33) with any spherical harmonic Y_{mj}. Taking into account the well known asymptotics of $J_m(z)$, we obtain $(\tau-c(k_0,\,n)\,\tilde{\tau},\,Y_{mj})=0$ for all m, j and hence $\tau=c(k_0,\,n)\,\tilde{\tau}$.

Remark 2.6. — The asymptotic formulas (2.28) and (2.29) as well as Theorem 2.5 were proved for exponentially decreasing potentials in [15] and [16]. For dilation-analytic, short-range potentials (2.28) and (2.29) are proved in [8], utilizing the joint analyticity in k and the dilation parameter z. For potentials satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.3 these results are proved by a different method in [6] for $n=3$. The proof can be extended to $n\geqq 3$.

Based on these asymptotics, exponential decay in time of resonance states, defined as suitably cut-off resonance functions, was proved in [16] for exponentially decreasing potentials. Using the asymptotics established in [6] ([8]) the results and proofs of [16] section 4 carry over to the classes of potentials treated in [6] ([8]).

The assumption (A.2) is satisfied with $\mathcal{O}=S_\alpha\cap C^-$ for V satisfying (A.1) and S_α-dilation-analytic. This is proved in [3] under an additional assumption, which is made to ensure that Σ_r coincides with the set $\{k\in\mathbb{R}\,|\,k\neq 0,\ k^2\in\sigma_p(H)\}$. This, however, can be proved under the condition (A.1), using the dilation-analyticity, as indicated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.7. — *Assume that* V *is* S_α*-dilation-analytic and for some* $\delta>\frac{1}{2}$, $V(z)$ *is a* $\mathscr{C}(H^2_{-\delta},\,L^2_\delta)$*-valued, analytic function in* S_α. *Then*

$$\Sigma_r=\{k\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}\,|\,k^2\in\sigma_p(H)\}.$$

Proof. — We consider the case $k>0$, the proof is similar for $k<0$. We define projections $P(z)$ onto the algebraic null spaces of $1+z^2\,V(z)\,R_0(z,\,\lambda)$ for $\operatorname{Arg} z>0$ by

$$P(z)=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C(-\mu+V(z)\,R_0(z,\,k))^{-1}\,d\mu$$

where C is a small circle centered at -1, and prove

$$P(z) \underset{z \to 1}{\to} P = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C (-\mu + VR_0(k+i0)^{-1}\, d\mu.$$

(We refer for details to [4] Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 and note, incidentally, that the proof is also valid under our assumption.) This implies the Lemma, since it is known that $k^2 \in \sigma_p(H)$ if and only if $P(z) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Arg} z > 0$. □

It is also known [4] that (A.3) holds under the assumption of Lemma 2.7. Thus, Theorem 2.2 holds under these assumptions with $\mathcal{O} = S_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}^-$, and Theorem 2.3 holds under the assumptions $(A.1_{b_0})$ with $\mathcal{O} = \{z \in S_\alpha \mid -b_0 < \operatorname{Im} z < 0\}$ and (A.4). The following lemma enables us to obtain a precise result, formulated as Theorem 2.9, about the identity of the poles of the S-matrix and the poles of the resolvent for an operator $H = H_0 + V + W$ with V and W satisfying $(A.1_{b_0})$ and (A.4) respectively.

DEFINITION. — Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and assume that V is S_α-dilation-analytic. Let $\beta = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}$ and define for $\varepsilon > 0$ the S_α-dilation-analytic operator V_ε by

$$V_\varepsilon = \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta)\, V \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta).$$

LEMMA 2.8. — *Let $0 < \kappa' < \alpha$ and $\gamma > 0$ be given. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ there are no resonances and no eigenvalues of $H_0 + V - V_\varepsilon$ in $T_{\kappa', \gamma} = \{\eta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(e^{2i\kappa'}\eta) > \gamma\}$.*

Proof. — It suffices to prove for some κ, $\kappa' < \kappa < \alpha$,

$$\|(V(e^{i\kappa}) - V_\varepsilon(e^{i\kappa}))(e^{-2i\kappa}H_0 - \eta)^{-1}\| \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} 0 \tag{2.34}$$

uniformly for $\eta \in T_{\kappa', \gamma}$. This follows from

$$(1 - \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta e^{i\kappa\beta}))\, V(e^{i\kappa})(H_0+1)^{-1} \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} 0 \tag{2.35}$$

and

$$V(e^{i\kappa})(1 - \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta e^{i\kappa\beta}))(H_0+1)^{-1} \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} 0 \tag{2.36}$$

Using the compactness of $V(e^{i\kappa})(H_0+1)^{-1}$, (2.35) is clear. As for (2.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(e^{i\kappa})&(1 - \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta e^{i\kappa\beta}))(H_0+1)^{-1} \\ &= V(e^{i\kappa})(H_0+1)^{-1}\{1 - \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta e^{i\kappa\beta}) \\ &\quad + (\Delta \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta e^{i\kappa\beta}))(H_0+1)^{-1} \\ &\quad + 2(\nabla \exp(-\varepsilon r^\beta e^{i\kappa\beta}))\cdot \nabla (H_0+1)^{-1}\} \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\to} 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.9. — *Let* $0<\alpha<\frac{\pi}{2}$, $b_0>0$, $\delta>\frac{1}{2}$ *be given. Assume that* V *is a symmetric operator satisfying* $(A.1_{b_0})$ and $V_\delta := (1+r^2)^{\delta/2} V (1+r^2)^{\delta/2}$ *is an* H_0*-compact,* S_α*-dilation-analytic operator,* i.e. $V_\delta(z) \in \mathscr{C}(H^2, L^2)$ *for* $|\operatorname{Arg} z| < \alpha$. *Let* W *be a symmetric operator satisfying* (A.4).

Then $R(k) = (H_0 + V + W - k^2)^{-1}$ *has a meromorphic,* $\mathscr{B}(L^2_{0,b_0}, H^2_{0,-b_0})$*-valued, meromorphic continuation* $\tilde{R}(k)$ *from* $\mathbb{C}^+$ *across* $\mathbb{R}^+$ *to* $S_\alpha^{b_0} = \{k \in S_\alpha \mid -b_0 < \operatorname{Im} k < 0\}$. *The* S*-matrix* $S(k)$ *of* (H_0, H_0+V+W) *has a continuous extension* $\tilde{S}(k)$ *to* $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup S_\alpha^{b_0}$, *meromorphic in* $S_\alpha^{b_0}$. *Moreover, the poles of* $\tilde{R}(k)$ *and* $\tilde{S}(k)$ *in* $S_\alpha^{b_0}$ *coincide and are of the same order.*

Proof. — Let $\alpha > \kappa > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ be given, and choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ as in Lemma 2.8. Set

$$H_{1\varepsilon} = H_0 + V - V_\varepsilon, \qquad H = H_{1\varepsilon} + (V_\varepsilon + W) = H_0 + V + W.$$

Let $I_{\varkappa,\gamma} = (\gamma/\sin 2\kappa, \infty)$. By Lemma 2.8, $H_{1\varepsilon}$ has no eigenvalues, hence by Lemma 2.7 no singular points, in $I^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$ and no resonances in $T^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$. Then by [4] Theorem 4.1, $\tilde{S}_{1\varepsilon}(k)$ has an analytic extension from $I^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$ to $T^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$. By Theorem 2.3 (*a*) and (*d*) with W replaced by $V_\varepsilon + W$ we obtain the meromorphic continuation $\tilde{R}(k)$ of $R(k)$ across $I^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$ to $T^{b_0}_{\varkappa,\gamma} := \{k \in T^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma} \mid -b_0 < \operatorname{Im} k < 0\}$ and the meromorphic extension $\tilde{S}(k)$ of $S(k)$ from $I^{1/2}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$ to $T^{b_0}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.4 (*e*) the poles of $\tilde{R}(k)$ and $\tilde{S}(k)$ and their orders agree in $T^{b_0}_{\varkappa,\gamma}$. Letting $\kappa \uparrow \alpha$ and $\gamma \downarrow 0$, we have $T^{b_0}_{\varkappa,\gamma} \uparrow S^{b_0}_\alpha$, and the Theorem is proved. □

Remark 2.10. — Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, $S_2(k)$ has a meromorphic extension $\tilde{S}_2(k)$ to $\{k \in S_\alpha \mid |\operatorname{Im} k| < b_0\}$, regular for $\operatorname{Im} k \geqq 0$. It was shown in [4] that $S_1(k)$ has a meromorphic extension $\tilde{S}_1(k)$ to S_α, regular in $S_\alpha \cap \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^+$. Moreover, $S_{12}(k)$ has a meromorphic extension $\tilde{S}_{12}(k)$ to S_α, given for $\operatorname{Im} k \leqq 0$ by (2.13) and for $\operatorname{Im} k \geqq 0$ by

$$\tilde{S}_{12}(k) = 1 - \pi i k^{n-2} T_1(k)(W - WR_2(k)W)\, \tilde{T}_1^*(\bar{k}),$$

where $\tilde{S}_{12}(k)$ is regular for $\operatorname{Im} k \geqq 0$. The extension $\tilde{S}_2(k)$ is then defined by (2.16).

APPENDIX 1

LEMMA A 1.1. — *For* δ, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ *the following four norms are equivalent; locally uniformly in* $k = a + ib$

$$\|u\|_{1,a} = \|e^{ikr}(1+r^2)^{\delta/2} u\|_{H^2}$$
$$\|u\|_{2,a} = \|e^{ikr}(1+r^2)^{\delta/2} u\|_{\Delta}$$

$$\|u\|_3 = \Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \|D^\alpha u\|^2_{\delta,\, b}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\|u\|_4 = (\|u\|^2_{\delta,\, b} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{\delta,\, b})^{1/2}.$$

Proof. — We set $a=0$. The extension to the general case is obvious. We use, setting $f_{\delta,\, b}(r) = e^{br}(1+r^2)^{\delta/2}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_{\delta,\, b} \cdot u + f_{\delta,\, b} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f_{\delta,\, b} \cdot u + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_{\delta,\, b} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f_{\delta,\, b} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + f_{\delta,\, b} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \tag{2}$$

From (1) follows

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u) \right\| \leqq C\left(\|u\|_{\delta,\, b} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\delta,\, b} \right) \tag{3}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\delta,\, b} \leqq C\left(\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u \right\| + \|u\|_{\delta,\, b} \right) \tag{4}$$

From (2) and (3) follows

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u) \right\| \leqq C\left(\|u\|_{\delta,\, b} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{\delta,\, b} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{\delta,\, b} \right) \tag{5}$$

From (2) and (4) follows

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{\delta,\, b} \leqq C\left(\left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u) \right\| + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f_{\delta,\, b}\, u) \right\| + \|u\|_{\delta,\, b} \right) \tag{6}$$

From (3) and (5) follows

$$\|u\|_1 \leqq C\|u\|_3.$$

From (4) and (6) follows

$$\|u\|_3 \leqq C\|u\|_1.$$

It is well known that $\|u\|_1$ and $\|u\|_2$ are equivalent.
Clearly,

$$\|u\|_4 \leqq C\|u\|_3.$$

Finally,

$$\|u\|_2 \leqq C\, \|f_{\delta,\, b}\, u\|_{f_{\delta,\, b}\Delta f^{-1}_{\delta,\, b}} \leqq C(\|u\|_{\delta,\, b} + \|\Delta u\|_{\delta,\, b}) \leqq C\|u\|_4.$$

Here we have used

$$f_{\delta,\, b}\Delta f^{-1}_{\delta,\, b} = \Delta + 2 f_{\delta,\, b} \nabla f^{-1}_{\delta,\, b} \cdot \nabla + f_{\delta,\, b} \Delta f^{-1}_{\delta,\, b},$$

and noting that the last two terms are $\Delta-\varepsilon$-bounded, the equivalence of the Δ-norm and the $f_{\delta,\,b}\Delta f_{\delta,\,b}^{-1}$-norm follows. $\square$

APPENDIX 2

We refer to the definitions of $H_0^{\pm b}$, $H^{\pm b}$, $H_{0,\,k}^{\pm}$, $H_k^{\pm}$, $R_{0,k}^{+}(k+i\varepsilon)$, $R_k^{\pm}(k+i\varepsilon)$ and $R_0^{\pm}(k)$, $R^{\pm}(k)$ given in the beginning of Section 1.

Consider first $H_0^{\pm b}$, acting in $L^2_{0,\,\pm b}$. From the representation of $(-\Delta-k^2)^{-1}$ as a convolution operator by a function behaving asymptotically as $cr^{(1-n)/2}e^{ikr}$ for $r\to\infty$ [3] it follows that $\sigma(H_0^{\pm b})\subseteq\mathscr{P}_b$.

On the other hand, for $|\operatorname{Im} k|<b$ the functions $e^{ik\omega x}$ are eigenfunctions of H_0^{-b}, so $\mathscr{P}_b=\sigma(H_0^{-b})$ and hence, by duality $\mathscr{P}_b\subseteq\sigma(H_0^{b})$, so $\sigma(H_0^{\pm b})=\mathscr{P}_b$. By analytic Fredholm theory this implies that $\sigma_e(H^b)$, $\sigma_e(H^{-b})\subseteq\mathscr{P}_b$. (If both V and V* satisfy (A.1) then it follows easily that in fact $\sigma_e(H^b)=\sigma_e(H^{-b})=\mathscr{P}_b$.) The operators $H(k)=e^{-ikr}H^b e^{ikr}$ from an entire analytic self-adjoint family of type A of operators in L^2 with common domain H^2 and $H(k)=H_k^+$ for $\operatorname{Im} k\geqq 0$, $H(k)=H_{-k}^-$ for $\operatorname{Im} k\leqq 0$, $H(0)=H$. For b fixed the operators $H(a+ib)$ are unitarily equivalent, hence the spectrum $\sigma(H(k))$ depends only on b. This together with the analyticity of $H(k)$ implies

LEMMA A 2.1. — *Let $b>0$ be fixed. For $k=a+ib'$, $|b'|\leqq b$, we have $\sigma_d(H(k))\setminus\mathscr{P}_b=\sigma_d(H)\setminus\mathscr{P}_b$.*

For $k_0^2\in\sigma_d(H)\setminus\mathscr{P}_b$, $k_0=a_0+ib_0$, let $\{\Phi_1,\ldots,\Phi_m\}$ *be a basis of $\mathscr{N}(H-k_0^2)$.* Then $\{e^{-ikr}\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ forms a basis of $\mathscr{N}(H(k)-k_0^2)$ for $|\operatorname{Im} k|<b_0$. *Any Φ in $\mathscr{N}(H^{-b}-k_0^2)$* belongs to $L^2_{0,\,b_1}$ *for every $b_1<b_0$.*

Proof. — The first statement is proved above.

Let $k_0^2\in\sigma_d(H)\setminus\mathscr{P}_b$. For $|\operatorname{Im} k|<b_0$ let C_k be a circle separating k_0^2 from the rest of the spectrum of $H(k)$, and define $P(k)=-\dfrac{1}{2\pi i}\displaystyle\int_{C_k}(H(k)-\mu)^{-1}\,d\mu$. Then $P(k)$ is an analytic, $\mathscr{B}(L^2)$-valued function of k defined for $|\operatorname{Im} k|<b_0$. By a standard method this is used to construct a basis $\{\Phi_i(k)\}_{i=1}^m$ of eigenfunctions of $H(k)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue k_0^2, such that $\Phi_i(0)=\Phi_i$, and the second statement of the Lemma follows. The last statement follows easily from the above discussion. $\square$

LEMMA A 2.2. — *Let $k=a+ib$, $k_1=a+ib_1$, $0<b_1<b$. Then*

$$R_0^{\pm}(k)=\lim_{b_1\uparrow b}R_{0,\,k_1}^{\pm}(k)\quad \textit{in}\ \mathscr{B}(L^2_\delta,H^2_{-\delta}). \tag{1}$$

Proof. — Fix $k=a+ib$, $b>0$, and let $\varepsilon>0$ be given.

By Theorem 1.3 there exists $\varepsilon'>0$ such that for $k_1=a+ib_1$, $b_1\in(b-\varepsilon', b)$,

$$\| R_0^{\pm}(k_1)-R_{0,k_1'}^{\pm}(k)\|_{\mathscr{B}(L_\delta^2,\, H_{-\delta}^2)}<\varepsilon/2 \tag{2}$$

and such that

$$\| R_0^{\pm}(k)-R_0^{\pm}(k_1)\|_{\mathscr{B}(L_\delta^2,\, H_{-\delta}^2)}<\varepsilon/2 \tag{3}$$

and (1) follows. □

LEMMA A 2.3. — *Assume that* (A 1) *holds. Then*

$$\Sigma_d=\{k\in\mathbb{C}^+ \mid \mathscr{N}(1+V^{\pm}(k)R_0^{\pm}(k))\neq\{0\}\}.$$

Proof. — This is proved using Lemma A 2.1 and A 2.2 in the same way as [5] Lemma A 4.

APPENDIX 3

We shall complete the proof of Lemma 1.6 using the following expansion, *cf.* [9].

For all $r>0$, $k\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and ω, $\omega'\in S^{n-1}$

$$e^{ikr\,\omega\cdot\omega'}=(2\pi)^{n/2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(i)^m(kr)^{1-(n/2)}J_{p_m}(kr)$$
$$\times\sum_{j=1}^{N(n,\,m)}Y_{mj}(\omega)\overline{Y_{mj}(\omega')},\qquad p_m=\frac{n}{2}+m-1. \tag{1}$$

The set $\{Y_{mj}\mid 0\leqq m,\ 1\leqq j\leqq N(n,m)\}$ is a basis in $\mathfrak{h}$ of spherical harmonics, and $J_p(z)$ are Bessel functions which satisfy: $J_p(z)$ are entire analytic for $p=1, 2, \ldots$, $z^{-1/2}J_p(z)$ are entire analytic for $p=1/2, 3/2, \ldots$

$$|J_p(z)|\leqq\begin{cases} C_p|z|^p, & \text{for } |z|\leqq 1\\ C_p e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}|z|^{-1/2}, & \text{for } |z|>1\end{cases}$$

and for $p\geqq 5/2$

$$J_{p-2}(z)-J_p(z)=2\frac{d}{dz}J_{p-1}(z) \tag{2}$$

$$J_{p-2}(z)+J_p(z)=2(p-1)z^{-1}J_{p-1}(z). \tag{3}$$

We define $T_0^*(\bar{k})$ for $k\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ to be the integral operator which has the kernel (1). It has to be proved that $T_0^{+*}(\bar{k}):=e^{-ikr}T_0^*(\bar{k})$ is continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^-$ as a $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, L_{-\delta}^2)$-valued function, $\delta>\frac{1}{2}$.

Boundedness. — Using (1) we obtain the following bound on the norm.

$$\|e^{-ikr}\mathrm{T}_0^*(\bar{k})\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h},\,\mathrm{L}^2_{-\delta})} \leqq 2\pi |k|^{2-n}$$
$$\sup_{m\geqq 0}\int_0^\infty dr\, r\,\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\, e^{2br}|\mathrm{J}_{p_m}(kr)|^2,$$
$$k=a+ib, \qquad \rho(r)=(1+r^2)^{1/2}.$$

To see that the r. h. s. is finite we procced as follows, *cf.* [9] :

We replace $\frac{d}{dz}$ by $\frac{1}{k}\frac{d}{dr}$ on the r. h. s. of (2), complex conjugate the equation and finally multiply by (3). The real part of the resulting equation reads

$$|\mathrm{J}_{p-2}(kr)|^2-|\mathrm{J}_p(kr)|^2=2(p-1)\,|k|^{-2}r^{-1}\frac{d}{dr}|\mathrm{J}_{p-1}(kr)|^2. \tag{4}$$

From (4) we obtain for any $\mathrm{R}>0$, $p\geqq\frac{5}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\mathrm{R}} dr\, r\,\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\, e^{2br}\{|\mathrm{J}_{p-2}(kr)|^2-|\mathrm{J}_p(kr)|^2\}&\\ =2(p-1)|k|^{-2}\rho^{-2\delta}(\mathrm{R})\, e^{2b\mathrm{R}}|\mathrm{J}_{p-1}(k\mathrm{R})|^2&\\ +2(p-1)|k|^{-2}\int_0^{\mathrm{R}} dr\,\{2r\,\delta\rho^{-2}(r)-2b\}&\\ \times\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\,e^{2br}|\mathrm{J}_{p-1}(kr)|^2.&\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In particular

$$\int_0^\infty dr\, r\,\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\,e^{2br}|\mathrm{J}_p(kr)|^2\leqq\int_0^\infty dr\, r\,\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\,e^{2br}|\mathrm{J}_{p-2}(kr)|^2, \tag{6}$$

which proves boundedness.

Continuity. — We use (1). Continuity in each "sector" follows easily, so it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^\infty dr\, r\,\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\,e^{2br}|\mathrm{J}_p(kr)|^2\to 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad p\to\infty$$

uniformly in $k\in\mathrm{K}$ where K is any given compact set in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^-$.

By Dini's theorem and (6) the problem is reduced to proving for fixed $k\in\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^-$ that

$$\int_0^\infty dr\, r\,\rho^{-2\delta}(r)\,e^{2br}|\mathrm{J}_p(kr)|^2\to 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad p\to\infty. \tag{7}$$

Let $k \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^-$ and $R > 0$ be given. Define

$$a_p = \int_0^R dr\, r\, \rho^{-2\delta}(r)\, e^{2br} |J_p(kr)|^2, \qquad p = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots$$

Then by (5), $\{a_p\}$ is convergent along any of the four sequences $p = \frac{1}{2}\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, 2\right) + 2q$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and

$$a_{p-2} - a_p \geqq 2(p-1)|k|^2 2\delta \rho^{-2}(R)\, a_{p-1}. \tag{8}$$

This implies that $a_p \to 0$ for $p \to \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and choose $\frac{1}{2} < \delta' < \delta$. Since (6) holds for δ replaced by δ' there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty dr\, r\, \rho^{-2\delta'}(r)\, e^{2br} |J_p(kr)|^2\, dr < C, \qquad p \geqq \frac{5}{2}.$$

Now we choose $R > 0$ such that

$$C \rho^{2(\delta' - \delta)}(R) \leqq \varepsilon/2.$$

Then for all $p \geqq \frac{5}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty dr\, r\, \rho^{-2\delta}(r)\, e^{2br} |J_p(kr)|^2 &= \int_0^R + \int_R^\infty \\ &\leqq \int_0^R + \rho^{2(\delta' - \delta)}(R) \int_R^\infty dr\, r\, \rho^{-2\delta'}(r)\, e^{2br} |J_p(kr)|^2 \\ &\leqq \int_0^R dr\, r\, \rho^{-2\delta}(r)\, e^{2br} |J_p(kr)|^2 + \varepsilon/2.\end{aligned}$$

By (8) the r. h. s. is smaller than ε for all sufficiently large p. This proves (7).

To complete the proof of Lemma 1.6 we need to verify that $T_0^{+*}(\bar{k})$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^-$. This follows easily from the continuity and weak analyticity.

Since $(-\Delta - k^2) T_0^*(\bar{k}) = 0$, we can use Lemma A 1.1 and obtain that $L^2_{-\delta}$ can be replaced by $H^2_{-\delta}$, *i. e.* $T_0^{+*}(\bar{k}) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{h}, H^2_{-\delta})$, $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] S. AGMON, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa*, Vol. 4, 2, 1975, pp. 151-218.

[2] S. AGMON, A representation theorem for solutions of the Helmholtz equation and resolvent estimates for the Laplacian, in *Jürgen Moser 60th birthday volume* (to appear).
[3] P. ALSHOLM and G. SCHMIDT, Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, Vol. **40**, 1971, pp. 281-311.
[4] E. BALSLEV, Analytic scattering theory of two-body Schrödinger operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, Vol. **29**, 3, 1978, pp. 375-396.
[5] E. BALSLEV, Analyticity properties of Eigenfunctions and Scattering Matrix, *Comm. Math. Phys. vil.*, Vol. **114**, No. 4, 1988, pp. 599-612.
[6] E. BALSLEV, Asymptotic properties of resonance functions and generalized eignenfunctions, in *Schrödinger operators*, Nordic Summer School, 1988, *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (to appear).
[7] E. BALSLEV and E. SKIBSTED, *Resonance theory for two-body Schrödinger operators*, Aarhus Universitet Preprint, Series 1987-1988, No. 7.
[8] E. BALSLEV and E. SKIBSTED, Analytic and asymptotic properties of resonance functions (to appear).
[9] U. GREIFENEGGER, K. JÖRGENS, J. WEIDMANN and M. WINKLER, Streutheorie für Schrödinger Operatoren, 1972 (preprint).
[10] T. IKEBE and Y. SAITŌ, Limiting absorption method and absolute continuity, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, Vol. **12**, 1972, pp. 513-542.
[11] T. KAKO and K. YAJIMA, Spectral and scattering theory for a class of non-self adjoint operators, *Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo*, Vol. **26**, 1976, pp. 73-89.
[12] S. T. KURODA, Scattering theory for differential operators I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, Vol. **25**, 1973, pp. 75-104.
[13] S. T. KURODA, An introduction to scattering theory, *Lect. Notes*, Vol. **51**, 1980, Matematisk Institut, Aarhus.
[14] Y. SAITŌ, Extended limiting absorption method and analyticity of the S-matrix, *J. f. d. reine u. angewdt. Math.*, Vol. **343**, 1983, pp. 1-22.
[15] N. SHENK and D. THOE, Eigenfunction expansions and scattering theory for parturbations of $-\Delta$, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, Vol. **1**, 1971, pp. 89-125.
[16] E. SKIBSTED, On the evolution of resonance states, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. 141, No 1, 1989, pp. 28-48.

(*Manuscript received November* 29, 1988)
(*in revised form: March* 29, 1989.)