

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

G. FANO

G. LOUPIAS

On a class of infinite products occurring in quantum statistical mechanics

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 15, n° 2 (1971), p. 91-106

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1971__15_2_91_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1971__15_2_91_0)

© Gauthier-Villars, 1971, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On a class of infinite products occurring in quantum statistical mechanics

by

G. FANO (*)

Istituto di Fisica dell' Università di Bologna,
Bologna (Italy)

and

G. LOUPIAS (**)

Physique Mathématique. Faculté des Sciences,
34-Montpellier (France)

ABSTRACT. — We study the class of infinite products

$$f_c^L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^L \lambda^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \{ 1 + \lambda c(k_j)^2 \}, \quad k_j = j \frac{2\pi}{L} \quad \text{and} \quad L \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where $c(k) = \frac{A}{k^m}$, $k \geq 0$, $A > 0$, $m > \frac{1}{2}$, which occurs naturally in quantum statistical mechanics. In particular, we compute the limits

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty \atop \frac{2n}{L} = d}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty \atop \frac{2n}{L} = d}} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log } a_n^L$$

which are relevant in the problem of the thermodynamic limit of the BCS superconducting state. By the same way, we get new results concerning the infinite products of the form

$$g_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \mu^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \mu \frac{A}{j^{1/\rho}} \right\}, \quad A > 0, \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

(*) Partially supported by C. N. R. S.

(**) Équipe de Recherche Associée au C. N. R. S., n° 154.

In particular we are able to compute the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/\rho} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \text{Log } n^{\frac{1}{\rho}} b_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions la classe de produits infinis

$$f_c^L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^L \lambda^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \{ 1 + \lambda c(k_j)^2 \}, \quad k_j = j \frac{2\pi}{L} \quad \text{et} \quad L \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

où $c(k) = \frac{A}{k^m}$, $k \geq 0$, $A > 0$, $m > \frac{1}{2}$, qui se présentent naturellement en mécanique statistique quantique. En particulier nous calculons les limites

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \quad \text{et} \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log } a_n^L$$

qui ont leur importance dans la limite thermodynamique de l'état de la supraconductivité de Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer. Simultanément nous obtenons des résultats nouveaux relatifs aux produits infinis de la forme

$$g_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \mu^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \mu \frac{A}{j^{1/\rho}} \right\}, \quad A > 0, \quad 0 < \rho < 1$$

En particulier nous sommes en mesure de calculer les limites

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/\rho} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} \quad \text{et} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \text{Log } n^{\frac{1}{\rho}} b_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

I. INTRODUCTION

It is now well known that algebras of observables are useful in the kinematical description, from a quantum mechanical point of view, of systems of interacting particles. For that purpose, we constructed, in a preceding paper [1], a family of states (positive linear functionals of norm 1) over a Clifford-C*-algebra, each of which characterized by a real function c of real argument and describing a « condensate » of pairs of fermions with density d .

In that work, we studied a class of entire functions of the complex variables λ , depending on the function c and on a length L , defined by the infinite products

$$(1) \quad f_c^L(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \{ 1 + \lambda c(k_j)^2 \}, \quad L \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad k_j = j \frac{2\pi}{L}$$

More precisely, if we write

$$(2) \quad f_c^L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^L \lambda^n$$

where

$$(3) \quad a_n^L = \sum_{0 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n} c(k_{j_1})^2 c(k_{j_2})^2 \dots c(k_{j_n})^2$$

the main point was the existence of the limit

$$(4) \quad \gamma(d) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L}, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

and we were able to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. — Let c be a real, bounded, decreasing, square integrable function of a real positive variable k , tending to zero, when k tends to infinity, faster than $k^{-1/2}$. Let d and L be positive reals.

Then the limit (4) exists. Moreover, defining the function $g(d)$ as

$$(5) \quad g(d) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log } a_n^L,$$

then $g(d)$ exists, is convex and differentiable, and

$$(6) \quad \gamma(d) = e^{2g'(d)}$$

Finally one has the following integral formula

$$(7) \quad d = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{c^2(k)}{\gamma(d) + c^2(k)} dk$$

The methods employed are rather involved and do not fully exploit the analyticity properties of f_c^L . On the other hand, the theorem quoted

above is only an existence theorem, so that except for very special choices for c ([I], (109)), it does not allow to compute explicitly the quantities $g(d)$ and $\gamma(d)$ as functions of d .

Our purpose is to restate that theorem, using now entire functions technics. To that end, we have to make a different choice for our class of functions c , giving up definiteness at the origin (and so boundedness and square integrability) but requiring an homogeneity condition. The quantities a_n^L , $g(d)$ and $\gamma(d)$ are now explicitly given.

By the same way, we study the class of infinite products

$$(8) \quad g_\rho(\mu) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \mu \frac{A^2}{j^{1/\rho}} \right\}, \quad A > 0, \quad 0 < \rho < 1$$

obtaining some new results for $\rho \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

II. CHOICE OF THE CLASS OF FUNCTIONS c

Let us consider, for the moment, positive functions $c(k)$, defined for $k > 0$, and vanishing at infinity faster than $k^{-1/2}$. The infinite products (1) are then convergent and define entire functions f_c^L whose zeros lie on the real negative axis and are given by

$$(9) \quad \lambda_j = - \frac{1}{c(k_j)^2}$$

By definition ([4], I, 4), ([5], 2.5.2), the convergence exponent of the sequence (9) is the greatest lower bound ρ of the reals α such that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{c(k_j)^2} \right\}^{-\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(k_j)^{2\alpha} < +\infty$$

If, by now, we restrict ourselves to functions such that

$$(11) \quad c(k) \sim \frac{A}{k^m}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad m > \frac{1}{2}, \quad A > 0$$

a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of (10) is

$$(12) \quad 2m\alpha = 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

so that

$$(13) \quad \rho = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \left\{ \alpha: \alpha = \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2m} \right\} = \frac{1}{2m} < 1$$

On the other hand, the f_c^L are infinite canonical products of order ρ and genus p ([4], I, Th. 7), ([5], 2.6.5), the genus p being related to the order ρ according to

$$(14) \quad p \leq \rho \leq p + 1, \quad p \text{ integer.}$$

In the case of (11), we can then conclude that the entire functions f_c^L are characterised by

$$(15) \quad p = 0, \quad 0 < \rho = \frac{1}{2m} < 1, \quad \frac{1}{2} < m < \infty$$

Further more, let $n(r)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the function giving the number of zeros of f_c^L with modulus less than or equal to r . One can show ([4], I, lemma 1), ([5], 2.5.8) that

$$(16) \quad \rho = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Log } n(r)}{\text{Log } r}$$

and one defines the density Δ of the sequence (9) as

$$(17) \quad \Delta = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r^\rho}$$

We are going to estimate these quantities, restricting now to functions c such that (11) holds, which are monotonic and differentiable at least for k large and such that

$$(18) \quad c'(k) \sim \frac{-B}{k^{m+1}}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad B > 0$$

Turning back to the definition of $n(r)$, we can write that

$$(19) \quad n(r) = \text{Max} \left\{ j: \frac{1}{c(k_j)^2} \leq r \right\} = \text{Max} \left\{ j: k_j \leq v\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\right) \right\} = \left[\frac{L}{2\pi} v\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\right) \right]$$

where v is the inverse function of c (defined at least for r large enough) and where the squared brackets mean « the largest integer contained in ». Thanks to our hypothesis (18), it is an easy task to show that

$$(20) \quad n(r) \sim \frac{L}{2\pi} A^{2\rho} r^\rho, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

(a result which agrees with (16)) and that

$$(21) \quad \Delta = \frac{L}{2\pi} A^{2\rho}$$

From these estimations, it is actually possible to deduce that ([4], I, Th. 25), ([5], 4.1.1)

$$(22) \quad \text{Log } f_c^L(re^{i\theta}) \sim e^{i\rho\theta} \frac{L}{2} A^{2\rho} (\text{cosec } \pi\rho) r^\rho, \quad -\pi < \theta < +\pi, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

or, taking the real part, that

$$(23) \quad \text{Log } |f_c^L(re^{i\theta})| \sim \frac{L}{2} A^{2\rho} (\text{cosec } \pi\rho) \cos \rho\theta \cdot r^\rho, \quad -\pi < \theta < +\pi, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly with respect to θ if $-\pi + \varepsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi - \varepsilon$.

Then, the indicator function $h_c^L(\theta)$ of f_c^L is given by ([4], I, 15), ([5], 2.1.8)

$$(24) \quad h_c^L(\theta) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Log } |f_c^L(re^{i\theta})|}{r^\rho} = \frac{L}{2} A^{2\rho} (\text{cosec } \pi\rho) \cos \rho\theta, \quad -\pi < \theta < +\pi$$

and also for $-\pi \leq \theta \leq +\pi$ as h_c^L is a continuous function, defined by periodicity for other values of θ .

From now on, we are able to compute the type of f_c^L according to the formula ([4], I, 1 and Th. 29), ([5], 2.1.4)

$$(25) \quad \tau(L) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Log } \text{Max}_{|\lambda|=r} |f_c^L(\lambda)|}{r^\rho} = \text{Max}_\theta |h(\theta)| = \frac{L}{2} A^{2\rho} \text{cosec } \pi\rho$$

as well as, by ([4], I, Th. 2), ([5], 2.2.10)

$$(26) \quad \tau(L) = \frac{1}{e\rho} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(a_n^L)^{\rho/n}$$

Comparing formulas (20) and (16), we see that the $\overline{\lim}$ occurring in (16) is in fact a limit, as well as the ones in (17), (24), (25) and consequently in (26).

Unfortunately, the comparison of formulas (25) and (26) does not allow to estimate the limit (4) because of a lack of uniformity with respect to L . A simple case where uniformity can be recovered is given by

$$(27) \quad a_n^L = \left(\frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^{n/\rho} b_n \quad b_n \text{ independent of } L$$

The following proposition, the proof of which is trivial, shows that (27) holds if and only if $c(k)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $-\frac{1}{2\rho}$:

PROPOSITION. — If

$$f_c^L(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \{1 + \lambda c(k_j)^2\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^L \lambda^n$$

where $k_j = j \frac{2\pi}{L}$, then $a_n^L = \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{n/\rho} b_n$, b_n independent of L , if and only if

$$c(k) = \frac{A}{k^{1/2\rho}} = \frac{A}{k^m},$$

$\rho = \frac{1}{2m}$ being the order of f_c^L .

So our conclusion is that entire functions technics can be easily applied to our problem if we restrict ourselves to the class of functions

$$(28) \quad c(k) = \frac{A}{k^m}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < m < \infty, \quad A > 0, \quad 0 < k < \infty$$

for which conditions (11) and (18) are evidently fulfilled.

III. THE MAIN THEOREM

From now on, we can write, thanks to (25),

$$(29) \quad f_c^L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{n/\rho} b_n \lambda^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau(L)^{1/\rho} \lambda)^n}{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho} \pi}{\sin \pi \rho}\right)^{n/\rho} \frac{1}{b_n}}$$

and

$$(30) \quad g_\rho(\mu) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{1 + \mu \frac{A^2}{j^{1/\rho}}\right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \mu^n$$

Moreover, the expressions

$$(31) \quad \frac{a_n^L}{\left(\frac{\tau(L)e\rho}{n}\right)^{n/\rho}} = \frac{b_n}{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho} \pi}{\sin \pi \rho}\right)^{n/\rho} \left(\frac{e\rho}{n}\right)^{n/\rho}}$$

and (where Γ means the usual gamma function)

$$(32) \quad \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \left/ \frac{\left(\frac{\tau(L)e\rho}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{\rho}}}{\left(\frac{\tau(L)e\rho}{n} \right)^{n/\rho}} \right. = \frac{b_{n+1}}{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{e\rho}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{\rho}}} \frac{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{\frac{n}{\rho}} \left(\frac{e\rho}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{\rho}}}{b_n}$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n+1}}{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{\rho}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{\rho} + 1\right)}} \frac{1}{b_n \frac{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{\frac{n}{\rho}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho} + 1\right)}{\chi(n+1; \rho)}} = \frac{\chi(n+1; \rho)}{\chi(n; \rho)}$$

are now independent of L . Therefore the result we are aiming at is equivalent to

$$(33) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi(n+1; \rho)}{\chi(n; \rho)} = 1$$

or

$$(34) \quad b_n = \left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{n/\rho} \frac{\chi(n; \rho)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho} + 1\right)} \quad \text{where} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi(n+1; \rho)}{\chi(n; \rho)} = 1$$

Incidentally it is interesting to remark that

$$(35) \quad g_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{n/\rho} \chi(n; \rho)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho} + 1\right)} \mu^n$$

is of order ρ and type

$$(36) \quad \tau = \frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} = \tau(2\pi)$$

as is also the Mittag-Leffler function [6]

$$(37) \quad E_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{A^{2\rho}\pi}{\sin \pi\rho} \right)^{n/\rho}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho} + 1\right)} \mu^n$$

which shows the close relation between E_ρ and g_ρ and asserts the well

cation of the same inequality to $f^{(n-1)}(\lambda)$, which is also an entire function of the same order, type and genus as f . It follows that

$$\frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} < \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{a_n^L}{a_{n-1}^L} < \frac{a_n^L}{a_{n-1}^L}.$$

It is interesting to remark that, by adapting to our case the proof of ([1 bis], lemma 3, (80)), one has conversely

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} n \frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} &\leq (n+1) \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} + A^2 \\ \frac{a_n^L}{a_{n-1}^L} &\leq \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} + \frac{1}{\pi d} A^2 \end{aligned}$$

We are now able to prove our theorem.

THEOREM. — Let $c(k) = \frac{A}{k^m}$ with $k > 0$, $A > 0$, $m > \frac{1}{2}$, L and d be positive, and

$$(44) \quad \begin{cases} f_c^L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^L \lambda^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \{1 + \lambda c(k_j)^2\}, & k_j = j \frac{2\pi}{L} \\ g_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \mu^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{1 + \mu \frac{A^2}{j^{1/\rho}}\right\}, & \rho = \frac{1}{2m}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(45) \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log } a_n^L = g(d) = \frac{d}{2\rho} \text{Log} \left(\frac{A^{2\rho} e \rho \operatorname{cosec} \pi \rho}{d} \right)$$

and

$$(46) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Log } n^{1/\rho} b_n^{1/n} = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \text{Log} (\pi A^{2\rho} e \rho \operatorname{cosec} \pi \rho) = g\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)$$

Moreover

$$(47) \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} = \gamma(d) = \left(\frac{A^{2\rho} \rho \operatorname{cosec} \pi \rho}{d} \right)^{1/\rho}$$

$$(48) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/\rho} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = \gamma = (\pi A^{2\rho} \rho \operatorname{cosec} \pi \rho)^{1/\rho} = \gamma\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)$$

and

$$(49) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma(d) = e^{2g'(d)} \\ \gamma = e^{2g'\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)} \end{cases}$$

Finally one has the following integral formulas

$$(50) \quad \begin{cases} d = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{c(k)^2}{c(k)^2 + \gamma(d)} dk = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{1 + \frac{\gamma(d)}{A^2} k^{2m}} \\ 1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{c(k)^2}{c(k)^2 + \gamma} dk = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{1 + \frac{\gamma}{A^2} k^{2m}} \end{cases}$$

Proof (our proof is similar to that used recently by Dobrushin and Minlos [9]). — Formulas (26) and (36) tell us that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N(\varepsilon): n > N(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau e \rho}\right)^{n/\rho} < \frac{b_n}{\left(\frac{\tau e \rho}{n}\right)^{n/\rho}} < \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau e \rho}\right)^{n/\rho}$$

which gives rise to the equivalent formulas

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Log } b_n - \frac{1}{\rho} \text{Log } \frac{\tau e \rho}{n} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau e \rho^2}$$

or

$$\left| \frac{\frac{\text{Log } b_n}{\frac{n}{\rho} \text{Log } \frac{\tau e \rho}{n}} - 1 \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau e \rho} \left| \frac{1}{\text{Log } \frac{\tau e \rho}{n}} \right| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{for } n \text{ large enough}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log } a_n^L &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{d}{2\rho} \left\{ \text{Log } \frac{L}{2\pi} + \frac{\rho}{n} \text{Log } b_n \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{d}{2\rho} \left\{ \text{Log } \frac{L}{2\pi} + \text{Log } \frac{\tau e \rho}{n} \right\} = \frac{d}{2\rho} \text{Log } \frac{\tau e \rho}{\pi d} = g(d) \end{aligned}$$

and we get (45) or, in the same way, (46).

On the other hand, we have, from (27),

$$\text{Log } \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} = \frac{1}{\rho} \text{Log } \frac{L}{2\pi} + \text{Log } \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$$

It is sufficient to prove (47) to get also (48) and (49). We shall proceed in two steps, proving successively that

$$a) \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \geq e^{2g'(d)}$$

$$b) \quad \overline{\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \frac{2n}{L} = d \right\}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \leq e^{2g'(d)}$$

a) Inequality (41) allows to write, for m positive integer,

$$\frac{a_{n+1+m}^L}{a_n^L} = \frac{a_{n+1+m}^L}{a_{n+m}^L} \cdot \frac{a_{n+m}^L}{a_{n+m-1}^L} \cdot \frac{a_{n+m-1}^L}{a_{n+m-2}^L} \cdots \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \leq \left(\frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_b^L} \right)^{m+1}$$

or else

$$\frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \geq \left(\frac{a_{n+1+m}^L}{a_n^L} \right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}} = e^{\frac{L}{m+1} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log}(a_{n+m+1}^L - a_n^L)}$$

Taking the limit of both sides for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $L \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{2n}{L} = d$, $m \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{2(m+1)}{L} = \varepsilon$, we get

$$\overline{\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \frac{2n}{L} = d \right\}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \geq e^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon} [g(d+\varepsilon) - g(d)]}$$

which proves the desired result thanks to the arbitrariness of ε .

b) Inequality (41) allows to write, for m positive integer,

$$\frac{a_n^L}{a_{n-m}^L} = \frac{a_n^L}{a_{n-1}^L} \frac{a_{n-1}^L}{a_{n-2}^L} \cdots \frac{a_{n-m+1}^L}{a_{n-m}^L} \geq \left(\frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \right)^m$$

or else

$$\frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \leq \left(\frac{a_n^L}{a_{n-m}^L} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} = e^{\frac{L}{mL} \text{Log}(a_n^L - a_{n-m}^L)}$$

Taking the limit of both sides for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $L \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{2n}{L} = d$, $m \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{2m}{L} = \varepsilon$, we get

$$\overline{\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \frac{2n}{L} = d \right\}} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} \leq e^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon} [g(d) - g(d-\varepsilon)]}$$

which again proves the desired result (b) thanks to the arbitrariness of ε .

Finally, formulas (50) can be easily deduced from the identity ([10], 3.241, 2):

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{1+k^{2m}} = \frac{\pi}{2m} \operatorname{cosec} \frac{\pi}{2m}, \quad m > \frac{1}{2}$$

To end this part, we want to mention that it is possible to compute the

quantities b_n , and consequently a_n^L , with the help of the ζ function of Riemann, using methods patterned from the Fredholm theory of integral equations [11]. This result cannot be considered new. It is contained, for instance, into the formulas ([10], 8.334, 1, 8.321, 2)

$$(51) \quad g_\rho(\mu) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \mu \frac{A^2}{j^{2m}} \right\} = \frac{1}{\mu A^2} \prod_{k=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left[-(-\mu A^2)^{\frac{1}{2m}} \exp \frac{2\pi k i}{2m} \right]}$$

and

$$(52) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(z+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k$$

where

$$d_0 = 1, \quad d_{n+1} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k s_{k+1} d_{n-k}}{n+1}, \quad s_1 = C, \quad s_n = \zeta(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

and $C = \text{Euler's constant} = 0,57721 \dots$

However, for sake of completeness, we give here a direct proof of it. From (30) we can deduce that

$$(53) \quad \frac{g'_\rho(\mu)}{g_\rho(\mu)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(j)^2}{1 + \mu c(j)^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n c(j)^{2n+2} \mu^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \sigma_{n+1} \mu^n$$

provided that

$$(54) \quad |\mu c(j)^2| < 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{i.e.} \quad |\mu| < \frac{1}{c(1)^2} = \frac{1}{A^2}$$

if we define

$$(55) \quad \sigma_p = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(j)^{2p} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A^{2p} \frac{1}{j^{p/\rho}} = A^{2p} \zeta(p/\rho)$$

But, on the other hand,

$$(56) \quad g_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \mu^n, \quad g'_\rho(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) b_{n+1} \mu^n$$

so that formula (53) gives rise to the relation

$$(57) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) b_{n+1} \mu^n = \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m \mu^m \right\} \left\{ \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \sigma_{q+1} \mu^q \right\}$$

from which we deduce that

$$(58) \quad b_{n+1} = \sum_{m+q=n}^{\infty} (-1)^q b_m \sigma_{q+1}; \quad b_0 = 1$$

Solving this system of equations, we get the following formula, which is quite familiar in the theory of integral equations (see for instance [11])

$$(59) \quad b_n = \frac{1}{n!} \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 2 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \sigma_3 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 3 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & n-1 \\ \sigma_n & \sigma_{n-1} & \sigma_{n-2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \sigma_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

For instance

$$(60) \quad \begin{cases} b_1 = A^2 \zeta\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \\ b_2 = \frac{A^2}{2} \left[\zeta\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^2 - \zeta\left(\frac{2}{\rho}\right) \right] \\ \text{etc.} \end{cases}$$

IV. AN EXAMPLE

As an illustration of the preceedings results, let us now study the well known case where

$$(61) \quad c(k) = \frac{1}{k}, \quad A = 1, \quad m = 1, \quad \tau(L) = \frac{L}{2}, \quad \tau = \pi, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2}$$

We have then :

$$(62) \quad \begin{cases} f_{1/k}^L(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \lambda \left(\frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{j^2} \right\} = \frac{\sin \frac{iL}{2} \sqrt{\lambda}}{\frac{iL}{2} \sqrt{\lambda}} \\ g_{1/2}(\mu) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mu}{j^2} \right\} = \frac{\sin i\pi \sqrt{\lambda}}{i\pi \sqrt{\lambda}} \end{cases}$$

and consequently,

$$(63) \quad a_n^L = \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}; \quad b_n = \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}$$

We can then immediately see that the ratio (31) is independent of L and that

$$(64) \quad \chi\left(n; \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2n+1}; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi\left(n+1; \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\chi\left(n; \frac{1}{2}\right)} = 1$$

Moreover one get directly that

$$(65) \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \frac{2n}{L} = d \right\} \frac{a_{n+1}^L}{a_n^L} = \left(\frac{1}{2d}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$$

in agreement with formulas (47) and (48).

In the same way, we can compute the limits

$$(66) \quad \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \left\{ \frac{2n}{L} = d \right\} \frac{1}{L} \text{Log } a_n^L = d(1 - \text{Log } 2d)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Log } n^2 b_n^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 - \text{Log } \frac{2}{\pi}\right)$$

These results are consistent with formulas (45) and (46).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Dr. G. GALLAVOTTI for his collaboration in the adaptation of Dobrushin's results, to Professors E. AKUTOWICZ, P. BILLARD, K. CHADAN and J. P. KAHANE for interesting discussions during the elaboration of this work. One of them (G. F.) would like to thank Professor D. KASTLER for the hospitality received at the University of Aix-Marseille.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. FANO and G. LOUPIAS, On the thermodynamic limit of the B. C. S. state. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, t. 20, 1971, p. 143.
- [1 bis] G. FANO and G. LOUPIAS, Conjectures on a class of physical states of Fermi systems. On the thermodynamical limit of the B. C. S. state. Un published report.

- [2] D. RUELLE, *Helv. Phys. Acta* t. **36**, 1963, p. 789.
- [3] M. E. FISHER, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, t. **17**, 1964, p. 377.
- [4] B. LEVIN, Distribution of zeros of entire functions. *Transl. of Math. Monograph. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1964.
- [5] R. BOAS, *Entire functions*, Academic Press, 1954.
- [6] G. M. MITTAG-LEFFLER, *Acta Math.*, t. **29**, 1905, p. 101.
- [7] E. PHRAGMÉN, *Acta Math.*, t. **28**, 1904, p. 351.
- [8] D. RUELLE, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, t. **36**, 1963, p. 183.
- [9] R. L. DOBRUSHIN and R. A. MINLOS, *Theory of probability and its applications*, t. **12**, 1967, p. 535.
- [10] I. S. GRADSHTEYN and I. M. RYZHIK, *Table of integrals, series and products*, Academic Press, 1965.
- [11] F. SMITHIES, *Duke Math. J.*, t. **8**, 1941, p. 107.

(Manuscrit reçu le 18 mars 1970).
