

J. DEPREZ

R. DESZCZ

L. VERSTRAELEN

**Pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions on
hypersurfaces of Euclidean spaces and on
Kahlerian manifolds**

Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 5^e série, tome 9, n^o 2
(1988), p. 183-192

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1988_5_9_2_183_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (<http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annales/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions on hypersurfaces of Euclidean spaces and on Kahlerian manifolds

J. DEPRez^{(1) (2)}, R. DESZCZ⁽³⁾, L. VERSTRAELEN⁽¹⁾

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions des variétés Riemanniennes pseudo-symétriques, qui sont des généralisations des espaces symétriques et semi-symétriques. Nous classifions les hypersurfaces pseudo-symétriques d'un espace Euclidien. Nous prouvons qu'il n'y a pas de variété Kaehlerienne pseudo-symétrique et non semi-symétrique.

ABSTRACT. — We study pseudo-symmetric Riemannian manifolds, which are generalizations of symmetric and semi-symmetric spaces. We classify the pseudo-symmetric hypersurfaces of a Euclidian space. We prove that there are no pseudo-symmetric Kaehlerian manifolds that are not semi-symmetric.

I - Introduction

In this paper we study Riemannian manifolds satisfying the curvature condition $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ (this type of condition will be called a pseudo-symmetry curvature condition and will be explained in the next section). This condition arose during the study of umbilical hypersurfaces (see [AD], [DEP]) and is a generalization of the conditions $\nabla R = 0$ and $R \cdot R = 0$ (symmetric and semi-symmetric spaces [DDV]).

First, we study one simple case, namely isometric immersions into an $(N + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space of N -dimensional Riemannian manifolds satisfying this curvature condition or one of the related conditions $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ or $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ for the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C and the Ricci tensor S . We obtain a full classification of the

⁽¹⁾ Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Department Wiskunde Celestijnenlaan 200B, B-3030 Leuven - Belgium.

⁽²⁾ Research Assistent of the National Fund of Scientific Research of Belgium

⁽³⁾ Agricultura Academy Department of Mathematics, ul. C. Norwida 25, 50-375 Wrocław Poland

hypersurfaces satisfying one of these conditions. We show that there are many non-conformally flat Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ (in this respect, see [DDV, Theorem 5.1]). Furthermore, we obtain that each conformally flat hypersurface of a Euclidean space satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$. Theorems 1 and 3 show that each hypersurface of a Euclidean space satisfying $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$. This is related to a theorem of Deszcz and Grycak which states that each analytic Riemannian manifold satisfying $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ also satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ or $C = 0$ in case $N \geq 5$ (for a precise formulation, see [DG]; see also [G]). Concerning Kähler manifolds we obtained a stronger result : there are no Kähler manifolds that satisfy $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ and for which $R \cdot R \neq 0$.

More precisely, we will prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.— *Let $F : (M^n, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold in a Euclidean space. Then (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ if and only if for each point p in M , F has at most two distinct principal curvatures in p or $R \cdot R = 0$ in p .*

THEOREM 2.— *Let $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold in a Euclidean space. Then (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ if and only if for each point p in M , F has at most two distinct principal curvatures in p or $R \cdot S = 0$ in p .*

THEOREM 3.— *Let $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold in a Euclidean space. Then (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ if and only if for each point p in M , F has at most two distinct principal curvatures in p or $R \cdot C = 0$ in p .*

THEOREM 4.— *Let (M^N, J, g) be a Kähler manifold satisfying $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$. Then (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot R = 0$.*

2 - Preliminaries

Let (M^N, g) be a (connected) n -dimensional Riemannian manifold ($N \geq 2$). In the following X, Y, Z denote vector fields that are tangent to M^N . ∇ is the Levi Civita connection of (M^N, g) and R is the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of (M^N, g) . \tilde{S} is the (1,1)-tensor related to the Ricci tensor S of (M^N, g) by $g(\tilde{S}X, Y) = S(X, Y)$ for all X and Y . $\tau = tr \tilde{S}$ is the scalar curvature of (M^N, g) . $X\Lambda Y$ is the (1,1)-tensor field defined by

Pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions

$(X\Lambda Y)(Z) := g(Z, Y)X - g(Z, X)Y$. The *Weyl conformal curvature tensor* of (M^N, g) (for $N \geq 3$) is defined by

$$C(X, Y) := R(X, Y) - \frac{1}{N-2}(\tilde{S}X \wedge Y + X \wedge \tilde{S}Y) + \frac{\tau}{(N-1)(N-2)}X \wedge Y. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ be an isometric immersion of (M^N, g) in an $(N+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Let ξ be a local normal section on F . Then the *second fundamental form* h and the *second fundamental tensor* A of F are defined by the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten : $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)\xi$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -AX$ ($\tilde{\nabla}$ is the standard connection of E^{N+1}). A is related to h by $h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$. We will not distinguish between A_p and its matrix ($p \in M$). The equation of Gauss is given by

$$R(X, Y) = AX \wedge AY. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $p \in M$. In the following x, y, z denote vectors in $T_p M$. Let $x\Lambda y$ denote the endomorphism $T_p M \rightarrow T_p M : z \mapsto g(z, y)x - g(z, x)y$. Since A_p is symmetric, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ of $(T_p M, g_p)$ consisting of eigenvectors of A_p , i.e. such that

$$Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ are called the *principal curvatures* of F in p . (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_i, e_j) &= \lambda_i \lambda_j e_i \wedge e_j, \\ \tilde{S}e_i &= \mu_i e_i, \\ C(e_i, e_j) &= a_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_i = \lambda_i(\text{tr } A - \lambda_i)$ and $a_{ij} = \lambda_i \lambda_j - \frac{1}{N-2}(\mu_i + \mu_j) + \frac{(\text{tr } A)^2 - \text{tr } A^2}{(N-1)(N-2)}$ (2.4)

for all i, j and k in $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

Let $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_r$ denote the mutually distinct eigenvalues of A_p with multiplicities s_1, \dots, s_r respectively. Denote by V_α the space of eigenvectors with eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \{1, \dots, r\}$). If $e_i, e_k \in V_\alpha$ and $e_j, e_l \in V_\beta$, then

$\mu_i = \mu_k$ and $a_{ij} = a_{k\ell}$, ($i, j, k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, r\}$). We define numbers $\mu_\alpha := \mu_i$ and $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} := a_{ij}$ where $e_i \in V_\alpha$ and $e_j \in V_\beta$, ($i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, r\}$).

Let (M, J, g) be a Kähler manifold and let $p \in M$. Then the following properties are well known :

$$R(JX, JY) = R(X, Y) \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$R(X, Y)J = JR(X, Y) \quad (2.6)$$

for all X and Y tangent to M .

(M^N, g) is called (locally) *conformally flat* if (M^N, g) is (locally) conformally equivalent to E^N . It is well known that (M^N, g) is conformally flat if and only if $C = 0$ for $N \geq 4$. We recall that every surface is conformally flat and that $C = 0$ for every 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold. F is called *quasi-umbilical* if for each point p in M A_p has an eigenvalue with multiplicity at least $N - 1$. For $N \geq 4$, E.Cartan proved that F is quasi-umbilical if and only if (M^N, g) is conformally flat. We remark that $C = 0$ in p if and only if A_p has an eigenvalue with multiplicity at least $N - 1$ if $N \geq 4$ (i.e. also the "pointwise" version of Cartan's result holds).

Concerning the notations $R \cdot C, R \cdot S, \dots$ we say for example that (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot C = 0$ if and only if $R(X, Y) \cdot C = 0$ for all vectorfields X and Y tangent to M , where $R(X, Y)$ acts as a derivation on the algebra of tensor fields on M , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (R(X, Y) \cdot C)(Z, U; V, W) &= -C(R(X, Y)Z, U; V, W) \\ &\quad - C(Z, R(X, Y)U; V, W) - C(Z, U; R(X, Y)V, W) \\ &\quad - C(Z, U; V, R(X, Y)W) \end{aligned}$$

for X, Y, Z, U, V, W tangent to M^N . The derivation $R(X, Y) \cdot$ is the derivation $\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$.

For every $(0, s)$ -tensor T on M a $(0, s + 2)$ -tensor $Q(T)$ is defined by

$$Q(T)(X_1, \dots, X_s; Y, Z) = ((Y \wedge Z) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_s)$$

(see, e.g. [T]). We say that a Riemannian manifold (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot T = fQ(T)$ if there exists a function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$(R(Y, Z) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_s)(p) = f(p)Q(T)(X_1, \dots, X_s; Y, Z)(p)$$

for every p in M and all X_1, \dots, X_s, Y, Z tangent to M .

3 - Proof of theorem 1

Suppose that $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ is an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold. Let p be a point in M and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be a basis for $T_p M$ satisfying (2.3). From (2.4) it is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & (R(e_i, e_j) \cdot R)(e_k, e_\ell; e_m, e_n) - f(p)Q(R)(e_k, e_\ell; e_m, e_n; e_i, e_j) = \\ & = (f(p) - \lambda_i \lambda_j) \{ \delta_{jk} \lambda_i \lambda_\ell (\delta_{in} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{im} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ & \quad - \delta_{ik} \lambda_j \lambda_\ell (\delta_{jn} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ & \quad + \delta_{j\ell} \lambda_i \lambda_k (\delta_{im} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{in} \delta_{km}) \\ & \quad - \delta_{i\ell} \lambda_j \lambda_k (\delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{km}) \\ & \quad + \delta_{jm} \lambda_k \lambda_\ell (\delta_{i\ell} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ & \quad - \delta_{im} \lambda_k \lambda_\ell (\delta_{j\ell} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jk} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ & \quad + \delta_{jn} \lambda_k \lambda_\ell (\delta_{ik} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{km}) \\ & \quad - \delta_{in} \lambda_k \lambda_\ell (\delta_{jk} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{j\ell} \delta_{km}) \} \end{aligned}$$

for all i, j, k, ℓ, m and n in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Using this it can be verified that $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ in p if and only if $(R(e_i, e_j) \cdot R)(e_i, e_k; e_j, e_k) = f(p)Q(R)(e_i, e_k; e_j, e_k; e_i, e_j)$ for all mutually distinct i, j and k in $\{1, \dots, N\}$, i.e. if and only if

$$(f(p) - \lambda_i \lambda_j)(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \lambda_k = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for all mutually distinct i, j and k in $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

Let $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_r$ be the mutually distinct eigenvalues of $A(p)$ and denote their respective multiplicities by s_1, \dots, s_r .

If $r = 1$, it is clear from (3.1) that $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ in p .

If $r = 2$, it is easy to see from (3.1) that $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ for $f(p) = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2$.

Now suppose that $r \geq 3$ and choose mutually distinct indices α, β and γ in $\{1, \dots, r\}$. Assume that (M, g) satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ in p . (3.1) implies that

$$\bar{\lambda}_\beta (f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\gamma) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda}_\gamma (f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Subtraction of (3.2) and (3.3) yields that $(\bar{\lambda}_\beta - \bar{\lambda}_\gamma)f(p) = 0$ from which we conclude that $f(p) = 0$ and hence that $R \cdot R = 0$ in p . The converse is trivial (take $f(p) = 0$). This proves Theorem 1.

From Theorem 1 and the fact that a hypersurface of a Euclidean space is conformally flat if and only if it is quasi-umbilical it easily follows that each conformally flat hypersurface of a Euclidean space satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$. Moreover it is now easy to give examples of non-conformally flat Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$: in a Euclidean space all hypersurfaces with exactly two principal curvatures with multiplicities at least two provide examples of such manifolds.

4 - Proof of theorem 2

Suppose that $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ is an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold. Let p be a point in M and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be a basis for $T_p M$ satisfying (2.3). From (2.4) it is easy to find that

$$\begin{aligned} (R(e_i, e_j) \cdot S)(e_k, e_\ell) - f(p)Q(S)(e_k, e_\ell; e_i, e_j) = \\ = (f(p) - \lambda_i \lambda_j)(\mu_i - \mu_j)(\delta_{ik} \delta_{j\ell} - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{jk}) \end{aligned}$$

for all i, j, k and ℓ in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. It can be verified that $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ in p if and only if $(R(e_i, e_j) \cdot S)(e_i, e_j) = f(p)Q(S)(e_i, e_j; e_i, e_j)$ for all distinct i and j in $\{1, \dots, N\}$, i.e. if and only if

$$(f(p) - \lambda_i \lambda_j)(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\text{tr } A - \lambda_i - \lambda_j) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for all distinct i and j in $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

Denote by $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_r$ the mutually distinct eigenvalues of $A(p)$ and let s_1, \dots, s_r be their respective multiplicities. Then $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ in p if and only if

$$(f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta)(\text{tr } A - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha - \bar{\lambda}_\beta) = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for all distinct α and β in $\{1, \dots, r\}$.

If $r = 1$, then $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ in p .

If $r = 2$, then $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ in p for $f(p) = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2$.

Now assume that $r \geq 3$. Choose mutually distinct indices α, β and γ in $\{1, \dots, r\}$. Suppose that (M, g) satisfies $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ in p . Since $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha, \bar{\lambda}_\beta$ and $\bar{\lambda}_\gamma$ are mutually distinct we may assume that $\text{tr } A - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha - \bar{\lambda}_\beta \neq 0$ and $\text{tr } A - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha - \bar{\lambda}_\gamma \neq 0$. (4.2) now implies that $f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta = 0$ and $f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\gamma = 0$.

Subtraction yields that $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha = 0$ and hence that $f(p) = 0$, which means that $R \cdot S = 0$. The converse is trivial.

5 - Proof of theorem 3

Suppose that $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ is an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold. Let p be a point in M and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be a basis for $T_p M$ satisfying (2.3). From (2.4) it is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (R(e_i, e_j) \cdot C)(e_k, e_\ell; e_m, e_n) - f(p)Q(C)(e_k, e_\ell; e_m, e_n; e_i, e_j) = \\ = (f(p) - \lambda_i \lambda_j) \{ \delta_{jk} a_{i\ell} (\delta_{in} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{im} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ - \delta_{ik} a_{j\ell} (\delta_{jn} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ + \delta_{j\ell} a_{ik} (\delta_{im} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{in} \delta_{km}) \\ - \delta_{i\ell} a_{jk} (\delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{km}) \\ + \delta_{jm} a_{k\ell} (\delta_{i\ell} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ - \delta_{im} a_{k\ell} (\delta_{j\ell} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jk} \delta_{\ell n}) \\ + \delta_{jn} a_{k\ell} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{km}) \\ - \delta_{in} a_{k\ell} (\delta_{jk} \delta_{\ell m} - \delta_{j\ell} \delta_{km}) \} \end{aligned}$$

for all i, j, k, ℓ, m and n in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Using this it can be verified that $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ in p if and only if $(R(e_i, e_j) \cdot C)(e_i, e_k; e_j, e_k) = f(p)Q(C)(e_i, e_k; e_j, e_k; e_i, e_j)$ for all mutually distinct i, j and k in $\{1, \dots, N\}$, i.e. if and only if

$$(f(p) - \lambda_i \lambda_j)(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\text{tr } A - \lambda_i - \lambda_j - (N - 2)\lambda_k) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

for all mutually distinct i, j and k in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Let $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_r$ be the mutually distinct eigenvalues of A in p and denote their respective multiplicities by s_1, \dots, s_r .

If $r = 1$, it is clear from (5.1) that $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ in p .

If $r = 2$, it is easy to see from (5.1) that $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ in p for $f(p) = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2$.

Now suppose that $r \geq 3$ and assume that (M, g) satisfies $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ in p . Choose mutually distinct indices α, β and γ in $\{1, \dots, r\}$. Since $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha, \bar{\lambda}_\beta$ and $\bar{\lambda}_\gamma$ are mutually distinct we may suppose that $\text{tr } A - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha - \bar{\lambda}_\gamma - (N - 2)\bar{\lambda}_\beta \neq 0$ and $\text{tr } A - \bar{\lambda}_\beta - \bar{\lambda}_\gamma - (N - 2)\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \neq 0$. By (5.1) then, we obtain that $f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\gamma = 0$ and $f(p) - \bar{\lambda}_\beta \bar{\lambda}_\gamma = 0$. It follows that $\bar{\lambda}_\gamma = 0$ and also that $f(p) = 0$ and hence $R \cdot C = 0$ in p . The converse is trivial.

Theorems 1 and 3 imply the following.

COROLLARY . — *Let $F : (M^N, g) \hookrightarrow E^{N+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold in a Euclidean space. The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (i) (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$,
- (ii) (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$.

Proof. — If (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$, then (M^n, g) also satisfies $R \cdot S = fQ(S)$ since the derivations $R(X, Y) \cdot$ and $(X \wedge Y) \cdot$ commute with contractions (see Lemma 2.1 from [DDVV]). It is easy to see then that (M^N, g) also satisfies $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ (use a reasoning similar to the one in part (iii) of Lemma 2.1 in [DDVV]).

Suppose that (M^N, g) satisfies $R \cdot C = fQ(C)$ and let p be a point in M . There are two possibilities : (i) $A(p)$ has at most two distinct eigenvalues, or (ii) $A(p)$ has more than two distinct eigenvalues and $R \cdot C = 0$ in p . In the first case it is clear that $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$ in p by Theorem 1. For the second case, it follows from Proposition 2 from [BVV] that $R \cdot R(p) = 0$ (use formula (3.1) with $f(p) = 0$).

6 - Proof of theorem 4

Suppose that (M^N, J, g) is a Kähler manifold satisfying $R \cdot R = fQ(R)$. Suppose that p is a point in M for which $R \cdot R(p) \neq 0$. We will derive a contradiction.

It is clear that $f(p) \neq 0$. First, observe that

$$Q(R)(u, v; Jz, Jw; x, y) = Q(R)(u, v; z, w; x, y) \quad (6.1)$$

for all $x, y, u, v, z, w \in T_p M$. Indeed, using (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} Q(R)(u, v; Jz, Jw; x, y) &= \frac{1}{f(p)} (R(x, y) \cdot R)(u, v; Jz, Jw) \\ &= \frac{1}{f(p)} (R(x, y) \cdot R)(u, v; z, w) \\ &= Q(R)(u, v; z, w; x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(6.1) and (2.5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} R(u, v; (x \wedge y)Jz, Jw) + R(u, v; Jz, (x \wedge y)Jw) \\ - R(u, v; (x \wedge y)z, w) - R(u, v; z, (x \wedge y)w) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N\}$ be an orthonormal basis for T_pM . (6.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \{R(u, v; (e_i \wedge y)Jz, Je_i) + R(u, v; Jz(e_i \wedge y)Je_i) \\
 &\quad - R(u, v; (e_i \wedge y)z, e_i) - R(u, v; z, (e_i \wedge y)e_i)\} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N R(u, v; e_i, Je_i) \right) g(Jz, y) - (N - 2)R(u, v; z, y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

for all $u, v, z, y \in T_pM$.

Let $x \in T_pM \setminus \{0\}$. By (6.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N R(u, v; e_i, Je_i) \right) g(Jx, Jx) &= (N - 2)R(u, v; x, Jx) \\
 &= (N - 2)R(x, Jx; u, v) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N R(x, Jx; e_i, Je_i) \right) g(Ju, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in T_pM$, which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N R(u, v; e_i, Je_i) = rg(Ju, v), \tag{6.4}$$

for all $u, v \in T_pM$, where

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N R(x, Jx; e_i, Je_i)}{g(Jx, Jx)}$$

Combination of (6.3) and (6.4) gives that

$$R(u, v; z, w) = \frac{r}{N - 2} g(Ju, v)g(Jz, w) \tag{6.5}$$

for all $u, v, z, w \in T_pM$. From (6.5) and (2.6) it is easy to see now that $R \cdot R(p) = 0$, which contradicts our initial assumption.

This proves that $R \cdot R = 0$ on M .

References

- [AD] ADAMOW (A.), DESZCZ (R.).— On totally umbilical submanifolds of some class Riemannian manifolds, *Demonstratio Math.*, t. 16, 1983, p. 39-59.
- [BVV] BLAIR(D.E.), VERHEYEN (P.), VERSTRAELEN (L.).— Hypersurfaces satisfaisant à $R \cdot C = 0$ ou $C \cdot R = 0$, *C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sc.*, t. 37/11, 1984, p. 459-1462.
- [DDV] DEPREZ (J.), DESZCZ (R.), VERSTRAELEN (L.).— *On some examples of conformally flat warped products*, to appear.
- [DDVV] DEPREZ (J.), DILLEN (F.), VERHEYEN (P.), VERSTRAELEN (L.).— Conditions on the projective curvature tensor of hypersurfaces in Euclidean space, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Paul Sabatier Toulouse*, t. VII, 1985, p. 229-249.
- [DG] DESZCZ (R.), GRZYK (W.).— *Notes on manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions*, *Colloquium Math.*, to appear.
- [DEP] DESZCZ (R.), EWERT-KRZEMIENIEWSKI (S.), POLICHT (J.).— *On totally umbilical submanifolds of conformally birecurrent manifolds*, *Colloquium Math.*, to appear.
- [G] GRZYK (W.).— *Riemannian manifolds with a symmetry condition imposed on the second derivative of the conformal curvature tensor*, to appear.
- [T] TACHIBANA (S.).— A theorem on Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. Math. Sci*, t. 40, 1974, p. 301-302.

(Manuscrit reçu le 8 octobre 1987)