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# Perturbing Eisenstein polynomials over local fields 

par Kevin KEATING

Résumé. Soit $K$ un corps local de caractéristique résiduelle $p$ et soit $L / K$ une extension séparable finie totalement ramifiée. Soit $\pi_{L}$ une uniformisante de $L$, de polynôme minimal $f(X)$ sur $K$. Supposons que $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ est une autre uniformisante de $L$ telle que $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}\left(\bmod \pi_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)$ pour certains $\ell \geq 1$ et $r \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Soit $\tilde{f}(X)$ le polynôme minimal de $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ sur $K$. Dans cet article nous donnons des congruences pour les coefficients de $\tilde{f}(X)$ en termes de $\ell, r$, et les coefficients de $f(X)$. Ces congruences améliorent le travail de Krasner [8].

Abstract. Let $K$ be a local field whose residue field has characteristic $p$ and let $L / K$ be a finite separable totally ramified extension. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a uniformizer for $L$ and let $f(X)$ be the minimum polynomial for $\pi_{L}$ over $K$. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ is another uniformizer for $L$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}$ $\left(\bmod \pi_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)$ for some $\ell \geq 1$ and $r \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Let $\tilde{f}(X)$ be the minimum polynomial for $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ over $K$. In this paper we give congruences for the coefficients of $\tilde{f}(X)$ in terms of $\ell, r$, and the coefficients of $f(X)$. These congruences improve work of Krasner [8].

## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation $v_{K}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ be the ring of integers of $K$ and let $\mathcal{P}_{K}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Assume that the residue field $\bar{K}=\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathcal{P}_{K}$ of $K$ is a perfect field of characteristic $p$. Let $K^{s e p}$ be a separable closure of $K$ and let $L / K$ be a finite totally ramified subextension of $K^{\text {sep }} / K$. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a uniformizer for $L$ and let

$$
f(X)=X^{n}-c_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X+(-1)^{n} c_{n}
$$

be the minimum polynomial of $\pi_{L}$ over $K$. Let $\ell \geq 1$, let $r \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, and let $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ be another uniformizer for $L$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \bar{\pi}_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)$. Let

$$
\tilde{f}(X)=X^{n}-\tilde{c}_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} X+(-1)^{n} \tilde{c}_{n}
$$

be the minimum polynomial of $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ over $K$. In this paper we use the techniques developed in [7] to obtain congruences for the coefficients of $\tilde{f}(X)$ in terms of $\ell, r$, and the coefficients of $f(X)$.

[^0]The Hasse-Herbrand function $\varphi_{L / K}:[-1, \infty) \rightarrow[-1, \infty)$ of $L / K$ is defined in Chapter IV of [10] for finite Galois extensions, and in the appendix to [1] for finite separable extensions. Krasner [8, p. 157] showed that for $1 \leq h \leq n$ we have $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\kappa_{h}(\ell)}\right)$, where $\kappa_{h}(\ell)=\left\lceil\varphi_{L / K}(\ell)+\frac{h}{n}\right\rceil$. In Theorem 4.3 we prove that $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\rho_{h}(\ell)}\right)$ for certain integers $\rho_{h}(\ell)$ such that $\rho_{h}(\ell) \geq \kappa_{h}(\ell)$. Let $h$ be the unique integer such that $1 \leq h \leq n$ and $n$ divides $n \varphi_{L / K}(\ell)+h$. Krasner [8, p. 157] gave a formula for the congruence class modulo $\mathcal{P}_{K}^{\kappa_{h}(\ell)+1}$ of $\tilde{c}_{h}-c_{h}$. In Theorem 4.5 we give similar formulas for up to $v_{p}(n)+1$ values of $h$.

Heiermann [4] gave formulas which are analogous to the results presented here. Let $S \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}$ be the set of Teichmüller representatives for $\bar{K}$. Let $\pi_{K}$ be a uniformizer for $K$ and let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the unique power series with coefficients in $S$ such that $\pi_{K}=\pi_{L}^{n} \mathcal{F}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ is another uniformizer for $L$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)$ for some $\ell \geq 1$ and $r \in S$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the series with coefficients in $S$ such that $\pi_{K}=\tilde{\pi}_{L}^{n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right)$. Using Theorem 4.6 of [4] one can compute some coefficients of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in terms of $r$ and the coefficients of $\mathcal{F}$.

In Section 2 we recall some facts about symmetric polynomials from [7]. The main focus is on expressing monomial symmetric polynomials in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials. In Section 3 we define the indices of inseparability of $L / K$ and some generalizations of the Hasse-Herbrand function $\varphi_{L / K}$. In Section 4 we prove our main results. In Section 5 we give some examples which illustrate how the theorems from Section 4 are applied.

## 2. Symmetric polynomials and cycle digraphs

Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of $w$. We view $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ as a multiset of positive integers such that the sum of the elements of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is equal to $w$. The number of parts of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is called the length of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, and is denoted by $|\boldsymbol{\mu}|$. For $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\mu}| \leq n$ we let $m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be the monomial symmetric polynomial in $n$ variables associated to $\boldsymbol{\mu}$; see [11, Section 7.3] for the definition and general facts about monomial symmetric polynomials. For $1 \leq h \leq n$ let $e_{h}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ denote the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree $h$ in $n$ variables. By the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials there is a unique polynomial $\psi_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ such that $m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}=\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$. In this section we use a theorem of Kulikauskas and Remmel [9] to compute certain coefficients of $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.

The formula of Kulikauskas and Remmel can be expressed in terms of tilings of a certain type of digraph. We say that a directed graph $\Gamma$ is a cycle digraph if it is a disjoint union of finitely many directed cycles of length $\geq 1$. We denote the vertex set of $\Gamma$ by $V(\Gamma)$, and we define the sign of $\Gamma$ to
be $\operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma)=(-1)^{w-c}$, where $w=|V(\Gamma)|$ and $c$ is the number of cycles that make up $\Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a cycle digraph with $w \geq 1$ vertices and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of $w$. A $\lambda$-tiling of $\Gamma$ is a set $S$ of subgraphs of $\Gamma$ such that
(1) Each $\gamma \in S$ is a directed path of length $\geq 0$.
(2) The collection $\{V(\gamma): \gamma \in S\}$ forms a partition of the set $V(\Gamma)$.
(3) The multiset $\{|V(\gamma)|: \gamma \in S\}$ is equal to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be another partition of $w$. A $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of $\Gamma$ is an ordered pair $(S, T)$, where $S$ is a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling of $\Gamma$ and $T$ is a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling of $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be another cycle digraph with $w$ vertices and let $\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ be a $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of $\Gamma^{\prime}$. An isomorphism from ( $\Gamma, S, T$ ) to ( $\Gamma^{\prime}, S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$ ) is an isomorphism of digraphs $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ which carries $S$ onto $S^{\prime}$ and $T$ onto $T^{\prime}$. Say that the ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)$-tilings $(S, T)$ and $\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Gamma$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism from $(\Gamma, S, T)$ to ( $\Gamma, S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$ ). Say that $(S, T)$ is an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling of $\Gamma$ if $(\Gamma, S, T)$ has no nontrivial automorphisms. Let $\eta_{\lambda \mu}(\Gamma)$ denote the number of isomorphism classes of admissible ( $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}$ )-tilings of $\Gamma$.

Let $w \geq 1$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}$ be partitions of $w$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\lambda \mu}=(-1)^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} \cdot \sum_{\Gamma} \operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma) \eta_{\lambda \mu}(\Gamma), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all isomorphism classes of cycle digraphs $\Gamma$ with $w$ vertices. Since $\eta_{\mu \lambda}=\eta_{\lambda \mu}$ we have $d_{\mu \lambda}=d_{\lambda \mu}$. In Theorem 1 (ii) of [9], Kulikauskas and Remmel proved the following:

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of $w$ whose length is $\leq n$. Let $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ be the unique element of $\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ such that $m_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}=\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda \mu} \cdot X_{\lambda_{1}} X_{\lambda_{2}} \ldots X_{\lambda_{k}}
$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ of $w$ such that $1 \leq$ $\lambda_{i} \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We now recall some formulas from [7] for computing values of $\eta_{\lambda \mu}(\Gamma)$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $a, b, c, d, w$ be positive integers such that $a \neq c, b \neq d$, and let $r, s$ be nonnegative integers. Let $\Gamma$ be a directed cycle of length $w$.
(1) Suppose $w=r a=s b+d$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of $w$ consisting of $r$ copies of $a$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of $w$ consisting of s copies of $b$ and one copy of $d$. Then $\eta_{\lambda \mu}(\Gamma)=a$.
(2) Suppose $w=r a+c=s b+d$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of $w$ consisting of $r$ copies of $a$ and one copy of $c$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of $w$ consisting of $s$ copies of $b$ and one copy of $d$. Then $\eta_{\lambda \mu}(\Gamma)=w$.

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Proposition 2.5 of [7] if $s=0$, and from Proposition 2.3 of [7] if $s \geq 1$. Statement (2) follows from Proposition 2.2 of [7].

Using these formulas we can compute $d_{\lambda \mu}$ in some cases.
Proposition 2.3. Let $a, b, c, d, w$ be positive integers such that $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$. Let $r, s$ be nonnegative integers such that $w=r a+c=s b+d$ and $a>s b$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of $w$ consisting of $r$ copies of a and 1 copy of $c$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the partition of $w$ consisting of $s$ copies of $b$ and 1 copy of $d$. Then

$$
d_{\lambda \mu}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{r+s+w+1} w & \text { if } b \nmid c \text { or } s b<c, \\ (-1)^{r+s+w+1}(w-a b) & \text { if } b \mid c \text { and } s b \geq c .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a cycle digraph which has an admissible ( $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling. Suppose $\Gamma$ consists of a single cycle of length $w$. Then by Proposition 2.2 (2) we have $\eta_{\lambda \mu}(\Gamma)=w$. Suppose $\Gamma$ has more than one cycle. Since $\Gamma$ has a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling, $\Gamma$ has a cycle $\Gamma_{1}$ such that $\left|V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right| \leq s b$. Since $a>s b$ and $\Gamma$ has a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling, it follows that $\left|V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right|=c=m b$ for some $m$ such that $1 \leq m \leq s$. Hence if $\Gamma$ has more than one cycle we must have $b \mid c$ and $c \leq s b$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}$ be the partition of $c$ consisting of one copy of $c$ and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$ be the partition of $c$ consisting of $m$ copies of $b$. Then every $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling of $\Gamma$ restricts to a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}$-tiling of $\Gamma_{1}$, and every $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling of $\Gamma$ restricts to a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$-tiling of $\Gamma_{1}$. It follows from Proposition $2.2(1)$ that $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \mu_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=b$.

Let $\Gamma_{2}$ be another cycle of $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ has a $\lambda$-tiling, $\left|V\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)\right| \geq a>s b$. Hence every $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling of $\Gamma$ restricts to a tiling of $\Gamma_{2}$ which includes a path $\delta$ with $|V(\delta)|=d$. Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ has only one part equal to $d$, it follows that $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$. Therefore we have $\left|V\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)\right|=r a=(s-m) b+d$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}$ be the partition of $r a$ consisting of $r$ copies of $a$ and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ be the partition of $(s-m) b+d=r a$ consisting of $s-m$ copies of $b$ and 1 copy of $d$. Then every $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tiling of $\Gamma$ restricts to a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}$-tiling of $\Gamma_{2}$, and every $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-tiling of $\Gamma$ restricts to a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$-tiling of $\Gamma_{2}$. It follows from Proposition $2.2(1)$ that $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=a$. Hence

$$
\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu}(\Gamma)=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \mu_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cdot \eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2} \mu_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=b a .
$$

Suppose $b \nmid c$ or $c>s b$. Then it follows from the above that the only cycle digraph which has a $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling consists of a single cycle of length $w$. Hence by (2.1) we get

$$
d_{\lambda \mu}=(-1)^{(r+1)+(s+1)} \cdot(-1)^{w-1} w .
$$

Suppose $b \mid c$ and $s b \geq c$. Then $c=m b$ with $1 \leq m \leq s$. Hence there are two cycle digraphs which have a $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-tiling: a single cycle of length $w$, and the union of two cycles with lengths $c=m b$ and $r a=(s-m) b+d$.

Therefore by (2.1) we get

$$
d_{\lambda \mu}=(-1)^{(r+1)+(s+1)}\left((-1)^{w-1} w+(-1)^{w-2} a b\right)
$$

Hence the formula for $d_{\lambda \mu}$ given in the theorem holds in both cases.
We recall some results from [7] regarding the $p$-adic properties of the coefficients $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu}$. Let $w \geq 1$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of $w$. For $k \geq 1$ let $k * \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of $k w$ which is the multiset sum of $k$ copies of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, and let $k \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the partition of $k w$ obtained by multiplying the parts of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ by $k$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $t \geq j \geq 0$, let $w^{\prime} \geq 1$, and set $w=w^{\prime} p^{t}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}$ be a partition of $w^{\prime}$ and set $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=p^{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of $w$ such that there does not exist a partition $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime}$ with $\boldsymbol{\mu}=p^{j+1} * \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime}$. Then $p^{t-j}$ divides $d_{\lambda \boldsymbol{\mu}}$.
Proof. This is proved in Corollary 3.4 of [7].
Proposition 2.5. Let $w^{\prime} \geq 1, j \geq 1$, and $t \geq 0$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime}$ be partitions of $w^{\prime}$ such that the parts of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}$ are all divisible by $p^{t}$. Set $w=w^{\prime} p^{j}$, so that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=p^{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}=p^{j} * \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime}$ are partitions of $w$. Then $d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime}}$ $\left(\bmod p^{t+1}\right)$.

Proof. This is proved in Proposition 3.5 of [7].

## 3. Indices of inseparability

Let $L / K$ be a totally ramified extension of degree $n=u p^{\nu}$, with $p \nmid u$. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a uniformizer for $L$ whose minimum polynomial over $K$ is

$$
f(X)=X^{n}-c_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X+(-1)^{n} c_{n}
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\bar{v}_{p}(k)=\min \left\{v_{p}(k), \nu\right\}$. For $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ set

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{j}^{\pi_{L}} & =\min \left\{n v_{K}\left(c_{h}\right)-h: 1 \leq h \leq n, \bar{v}_{p}(h) \leq j\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{v_{L}\left(c_{h} \pi_{L}^{n-h}\right): 1 \leq h \leq n, \bar{v}_{p}(h) \leq j\right\}-n \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $i_{j}^{\pi_{L}}$ is either a nonnegative integer or $\infty$; if $\operatorname{char}(K)=p$ then $i_{j}^{\pi_{L}}$ must be finite, since $L / K$ is separable. Let $e_{L}=v_{L}(p)$ denote the absolute ramification index of $L$. We define the $j$ th index of inseparability of $L / K$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{j}=\min \left\{i_{j^{\prime}}^{\pi_{L}}+\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) e_{L}: j \leq j^{\prime} \leq \nu\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 3.12 and Theorem 7.1 of [4], $i_{j}$ does not depend on the choice of $\pi_{L}$. Furthermore, our definition of $i_{j}$ agrees with Definition 7.3 in [4]; for the characteristic- $p$ case see also [2, p. 232-233] and [3, Section 2]. Write $i_{j}=A_{j} n-b_{j}$ with $1 \leq b_{j} \leq n$.
Remark 3.1. If $i_{j}^{\pi_{L}}$ is finite we can write $i_{j}^{\pi_{L}}=a_{j} n-b_{j}$ with $a_{j} \geq 1$ (see [7, Section 4]). Thus if $i_{j}=i_{j^{\prime}}^{\pi_{L}}+\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) e_{L}$ then $A_{j}=a_{j^{\prime}}+\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) e_{K}$.

The following facts are easy consequences of the definitions:
(1) $0=i_{\nu}<i_{\nu-1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{1} \leq i_{0}<\infty$.
(2) If $\operatorname{char}(K)=p$ then $i_{j}=i_{j}^{\pi_{L}}$.
(3) Let $m=\bar{v}_{p}\left(i_{j}\right)$. If $m \leq j$ then $i_{j}=i_{m}=i_{j}^{\pi_{L}}=i_{m}^{\pi_{L}}$. If $m>j$ then $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ and $i_{j}=i_{m}^{\pi_{L}}+(m-j) e_{L}$.
Following [4, (4.4)], for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ we define functions $\tilde{\varphi}_{j}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ by $\tilde{\varphi}_{j}(x)=i_{j}+p^{j} x$. The generalized Hasse-Herbrand functions $\varphi_{j}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are then defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}(x)=\min \left\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_{0}}(x): 0 \leq j_{0} \leq j\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\varphi_{j}(x) \leq \varphi_{j^{\prime}}(x)$ for $0 \leq j^{\prime} \leq j$. By Corollary 6.11 of [4] we have $\varphi_{\nu}(x)=n \varphi_{L / K}(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$.

For a partition $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ whose parts satisfy $1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq n$ define $c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=c_{\lambda_{1}} c_{\lambda_{2}} \ldots c_{\lambda_{k}}$. The following is proved in Proposition 4.2 of [7].

Proposition 3.2. Let $w \geq 1$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ be a partition of $w$ whose parts satisfy $1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq n$. Choose $q$ to minimize $\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)$ and set $t=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)$. Then $v_{L}\left(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \geq i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+w$. If $v_{L}\left(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+w$ and $i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}<\infty$ then $\lambda_{q}=b_{t}$ and $\lambda_{i}=b_{\nu}=n$ for all $i \neq q$.

## 4. Perturbing $\pi_{L}$

In this section we prove our main theorems. We begin by applying the results of Section 2 to the totally ramified extension $L / K$. Write $[L: K]=$ $n=u p^{\nu}$ with $p \nmid u$. Let $\pi_{L}, \tilde{\pi}_{L}$ be uniformizers for $L$, with minimum polynomials over $K$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(X)=X^{n}-c_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X+(-1)^{n} c_{n} \\
& \tilde{f}(X)=X^{n}-\tilde{c}_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} X+(-1)^{n} \tilde{c}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $1 \leq h \leq n$ and set $j=\bar{v}_{p}(h)$. Define a function $\rho_{h}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
\rho_{h}(\ell)=\left\lceil\frac{\varphi_{j}(\ell)+h}{n}\right\rceil .
$$

Let $\ell \geq 1$. We say $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$ if $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\rho_{h}(\ell)}\right)$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$. Thus $\sim_{\ell}$ is an equivalence relation on the set of minimum polynomials over $K$ for uniformizers of $L$.

Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be the $K$-embeddings of $L$ into $K^{\text {sep }}$. For each partition $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of length $\leq n$ define $M_{\mu}: L \rightarrow K$ by

$$
M_{\mu}(\alpha)=m_{\mu}\left(\sigma_{1}(\alpha), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(\alpha)\right)
$$

For $1 \leq h \leq n$ define $E_{h}: L \rightarrow K$ by

$$
E_{h}(\alpha)=e_{h}\left(\sigma_{1}(\alpha), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(\alpha)\right)
$$

Then $c_{h}=E_{h}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ and $\tilde{c}_{h}=E_{h}\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right)$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\varphi(X)=r_{1} X+r_{2} X^{2}+\cdots$ be a power series with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{L}=\varphi\left(\pi_{L}\right)$. Then for $1 \leq h \leq n$ we have

$$
E_{h}\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right)=\sum_{\mu} r_{\mu_{1}} r_{\mu_{2}} \ldots r_{\mu_{h}} M_{\mu}\left(\pi_{L}\right)
$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{h}\right\}$ of length $h$.
Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.4 in [7].
Proposition 4.2. Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be a partition of $w$ whose length is $\leq n$. Then

$$
M_{\mu}\left(\pi_{L}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda \mu} c_{\lambda}
$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ of $w$ such that $1 \leq$ $\lambda_{i} \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1 by setting $X_{i}=E_{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)=c_{i}$.
Let $\ell \geq 1$. Our first main result gives congruences between the coefficients of $f(X)$ and the coefficients of $\tilde{f}(X)$ under the assumption $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}$ $\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+1}\right)$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\pi_{L}$, $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ be uniformizers for $L$ and let $f(X), \tilde{f}(X)$ be the minimum polynomials for $\pi_{L}, \tilde{\pi}_{L}$ over $K$. Suppose there are $\ell \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(L)$ such that $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right) \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+1}\right)$. Then $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$.

Proof. We first show that the theorem holds in the case where $\tilde{\pi}_{L}=\pi_{L}+$ $r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}$, with $r \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Let $1 \leq h \leq n$ and set $j=\bar{v}_{p}(h)$. For $0 \leq s \leq h$ let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{s}$ be the partition of $\ell s+h$ consisting of $h-s$ copies of 1 and $s$ copies of $\ell+1$. Then by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{c}_{h}=E_{h}\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{h} M_{\mu_{s}}\left(\pi_{L}\right) r^{s}=c_{h}+\sum_{s=1}^{h} M_{\mu_{s}}\left(\pi_{L}\right) r^{s} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove that $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\rho_{h}(\ell)}\right)$ it suffices to show that $v_{K}\left(M_{\mu_{s}}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right) \geq$ $\rho_{h}(\ell)$ for $1 \leq s \leq h$. Therefore by Proposition 4.2 it suffices to show $v_{L}\left(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu_{s}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \geq \varphi_{j}(\ell)+h$ for all $1 \leq s \leq h$ and all partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of $\ell s+h$ whose parts are at most $n$.

Let $1 \leq s \leq h$ and set $m=\min \left\{j, \bar{v}_{p}(s)\right\}$. Then $m \leq j$ and $s \geq p^{m}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ be a partition of $\ell s+h$ such that $1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Choose $q$ to minimize $\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)$ and set $t=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)$. By Proposition 3.2 we have $v_{L}\left(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \geq i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+\ell s+h$. Suppose $m<t$. Then $m<\nu$, so we have $p^{m+1} \nmid \operatorname{gcd}(h-s, s)$. Hence by Proposition 2.4 we get $v_{p}\left(d_{\lambda \mu_{s}}\right) \geq t-m$.

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L}\left(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu_{s}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) & =v_{L}\left(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu_{s}}\right)+v_{L}\left(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \\
& \geq(t-m) v_{L}(p)+i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+\ell s+h \\
& \geq i_{m}+\ell p^{m}+h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $m \geq t$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L}\left(d_{\lambda \mu_{s}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) & \geq v_{L}\left(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \\
& \geq i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+\ell s+h \\
& \geq i_{t}+\ell p^{m}+h \\
& \geq i_{m}+\ell p^{m}+h .
\end{aligned}
$$

In both cases we get

$$
v_{L}\left(d_{\lambda \mu_{s}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \geq \tilde{\varphi}_{m}(\ell)+h \geq \varphi_{j}(\ell)+h
$$

and hence $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\rho_{h}(\ell)}\right)$. Since this holds for $1 \leq h \leq n$ we get $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$.

We now prove the general case. Since $\tilde{f}$ is the minimum polynomial of $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right)$ over $K$ we may assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv$ $\pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+1}\right)$. By repeated application of the special case above we get a sequence $\pi_{L}^{(0)}=\pi_{L}, \pi_{L}^{(1)}, \pi_{L}^{(2)}, \ldots$ of uniformizers for $L$ with minimum polynomials $f^{(0)}=f, f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots$ such that for all $i \geq 0$ we have $\pi_{L}^{(i)} \equiv$ $\tilde{\pi}_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+i+1}\right)$ and $f^{(i+1)} \sim_{\ell+i} f^{(i)}$. It follows that $f^{(i+1)} \sim_{\ell} f^{(i)}$, and hence that $f^{(i)} \sim_{\ell} f$ for all $i \geq 0$. Since the sequence $\left(f^{(i)}\right)$ converges coefficientwise to $\tilde{f}$ it follows that $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$.

Recall that the Hasse-Herbrand function $\varphi_{L / K}:[-1, \infty) \rightarrow[-1, \infty)$ is defined for arbitrary finite separable extensions $L / K$ (see for instance the appendix to [1]). We say that $b \geq 0$ is a lower ramification break of $L / K$ if $\varphi_{L / K}^{\prime}(b)$ is undefined. This extends the usual definition of lower ramification breaks for Galois extensions.

Remark 4.4. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that if $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right) \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+1}\right)$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(L)$ then $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\rho_{h}(\ell)}\right)$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$. Define functions $\kappa_{h}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
\kappa_{h}(\ell)=\left\lceil\frac{\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)+h}{n}\right\rceil .
$$

Krasner [8, p. 157] showed that $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\kappa_{h}(\ell)}\right)$. Since $\kappa_{h}(\ell) \leq \rho_{h}(\ell)$ Krasner's congruences are in general weaker than the congruences that follow from Theorem 4.3. If $\ell$ is greater than or equal to the largest lower ramification break of $L / K$ then for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ we have $\varphi_{j}(\ell)=\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)$, and
hence $\kappa_{h}(\ell)=\rho_{h}(\ell)$. Therefore Theorem 4.3 does not improve on Krasner's results in these cases.

For certain values of $h$ we get a more refined version of the congruences given by Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. Let $L / K$ be a finite totally ramified extension of degree $n=u p^{\nu}$. For $0 \leq m \leq \nu$ write the $m$ th index of inseparability of $L / K$ in the form $i_{m}=A_{m} n-b_{m}$ with $1 \leq b_{m} \leq n$. Let $\pi_{L}$, $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ be uniformizers for $L$ such that there are $\ell \geq 1, r \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(L)$ with $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right) \equiv$ $\pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)$. Let $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ satisfy $\bar{v}_{p}\left(\varphi_{j}(\ell)\right)=j$, and let $h$ be the unique integer such that $1 \leq h \leq n$ and $n$ divides $\varphi_{j}(\ell)+h$. Set $k=\left(\varphi_{j}(\ell)+h\right) / n$ and $h_{0}=h / p^{j}$. Then

$$
\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}+\sum_{m \in S_{j}} g_{m} c_{n}^{k-A_{m}} c_{b_{m}} r^{p^{m}} \quad\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{k+1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{j}=\left\{m: 0 \leq m \leq j, \varphi_{j}(\ell)=\tilde{\varphi}_{m}(\ell)\right\} \\
& g_{m}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}\left(h_{0} p^{j-m}+\ell-u p^{\nu-m}\right) & \text { if } b_{m}<h \\
(-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}\left(h_{0} p^{j-m}+\ell\right) & \text { if } h \leq b_{m}<n \\
(-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}} u p^{\nu-m} & \text { if } b_{m}=n .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We first prove that the theorem holds for $\hat{\pi}_{L}=\pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1}$. Let

$$
\hat{f}(X)=X^{n}-\hat{c}_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} \hat{c}_{n-1} X+(-1)^{n} \hat{c}_{n}
$$

be the minimum polynomial for $\hat{\pi}_{L}$ over $K$. Let $1 \leq s \leq h$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be a partition of $\ell s+h$ whose parts are at most $n$. Choose $q$ to minimize $\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)$ and set $t=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)$. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{s}$ is the partition of $\ell s+h$ consisting of $h-s$ copies of 1 and $s$ copies of $\ell+1$. Since $\bar{v}_{p}(h)=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\varphi_{j}(\ell)\right)=j$ it follows from the proof of Theorem 4.3 that $v_{K}\left(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu_{s}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \geq k$. Suppose $v_{K}\left(d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu_{s}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=k$. Then the inequalities in the proof of Theorem 4.3 must be equalities. Hence there is $0 \leq m \leq j$ such that $s=p^{m}, v_{L}\left(c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)=i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+\ell p^{m}+h$, and $\varphi_{j}(\ell)=\tilde{\varphi}_{m}(\ell)$.

It follows that $m \in S_{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a partition of $w_{m}$, where

$$
w_{m}=\ell p^{m}+h=\tilde{\varphi}_{m}(\ell)-i_{m}+h=\varphi_{j}(\ell)+h-i_{m}=\left(k-A_{m}\right) n+b_{m} .
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}$ be the partition of $w_{m}$ consisting of $k-A_{m}$ copies of $n$ and 1 copy of $b_{m}$. By Proposition 3.2 we see that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has at most one element not equal to $n$. Therefore $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}$. Hence $c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}}=c_{n}^{k-A_{m}} c_{b_{m}}$ and $\bar{v}_{p}\left(b_{m}\right)=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\lambda_{q}\right)=t$. Using equation (4.1) and Proposition 4.2 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}+\sum_{m \in S_{j}} d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}} c_{n}^{k-A_{m}} c_{b_{m}} r^{p^{m}} \quad\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{k+1}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m \in S_{j}$. Since

$$
j=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\varphi_{j}(\ell)\right)=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{m}(\ell)\right)=\bar{v}_{p}\left(i_{m}+\ell p^{m}\right)
$$

and $m \leq j$ we get $m \leq \bar{v}_{p}\left(i_{m}\right)=\bar{v}_{p}\left(b_{m}\right)$. Hence $b_{m}^{\prime}=b_{m} / p^{m}$ is an integer. Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime}$ be the partition of

$$
w_{m}^{\prime}=\left(k-A_{m}\right) u p^{\nu-m}+b_{m}^{\prime}=h_{0} p^{j-m}+\ell
$$

consisting of $k-A_{m}$ copies of $u p^{\nu-m}$ and 1 copy of $b_{m}^{\prime}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}$ be the partition of $w_{m}^{\prime}$ consisting of $h_{0} p^{j-m}-1$ copies of 1 and 1 copy of $\ell+1$. Since $h \leq n$ we have $u p^{\nu-m}>h_{0} p^{j-m}-1$. Hence if $b_{m}^{\prime} \neq u p^{\nu-m}$ then we can compute $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}}$ using Proposition 2.3.

Suppose $b_{m}<h$. Then $h_{0} p^{j-m}-1 \geq b_{m}^{\prime}$, so by Proposition 2.3 we get

$$
d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}}=(-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}\left(h_{0} p^{j-m}+\ell-u p^{\nu-m}\right)
$$

Suppose $h \leq b_{m}<n$. Then $h_{0} p^{j-m}-1<b_{m}^{\prime}$, so by Proposition 2.3 we get

$$
d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p m}^{\prime}}=(-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}\left(h_{0} p^{j-m}+\ell\right) .
$$

Suppose $b_{m}=n$, so that $b_{m}^{\prime}=u p^{\nu-m}$. Since $u p^{\nu-m}>h_{0} p^{j-m}-1$, the only cycle digraph which admits a $\left(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}\right)$-tiling consists of a single cycle $\Gamma$ of length $w_{m}^{\prime}$. By Proposition $2.2(1)$ we get $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}}(\Gamma)=u p^{\nu-m}$. It then follows from (2.1) that

$$
d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}}=(-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}} u p^{\nu-m} .
$$

Hence in all three cases we have $d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}}=g_{m}$.
Since $p^{t} \mid b_{m}$ we have $p^{t-m} \mid b_{m}^{\prime}$. Therefore by Proposition 2.5 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}} \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{t-m+1}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m \leq t \leq \nu$ it follows from (3.2) and (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{m} & \leq i_{t}^{\pi_{L}}+(t-m) e_{L} \\
n A_{m}-b_{m} & \leq n v_{K}\left(c_{b_{m}}\right)-b_{m}+(t-m) e_{L} \\
A_{m} & \leq v_{K}\left(c_{b_{m}}\right)+(t-m) e_{K}  \tag{4.4}\\
k+1 & \leq k-A_{m}+v_{K}\left(c_{b_{m}}\right)+(t-m+1) e_{K}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}} c_{n}^{k-A_{m}} c_{b_{m}} & \equiv d_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{m}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{m}}^{\prime}} c_{n}^{k-A_{m}} c_{b_{m}} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{k+1}\right) \\
& \equiv g_{m} c_{n}^{k-A_{m}} c_{b_{m}} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{k+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (4.2) the theorem holds when $\tilde{\pi}_{L}=\hat{\pi}_{L}$.
We now prove the theorem in the general case. We may assume that

$$
\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{\ell+1} \quad\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)
$$

It follows that $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \hat{\pi}_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+2}\right)$, so by Theorem 4.3 we get $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv \hat{c}_{h}$ $\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\rho_{h}(\ell+1)}\right)$. Since

$$
\rho_{h}(\ell)=\left\lceil\frac{\varphi_{j}(\ell)+h}{n}\right\rceil=\frac{\varphi_{j}(\ell)+h}{n}=k
$$

and $\varphi_{j}(\ell+1)>\varphi_{j}(\ell)$ we get $\rho_{h}(\ell+1)>k$. Therefore $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv \hat{c}_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{k+1}\right)$, so the theorem holds for $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$.

Remark 4.6. Suppose $\bar{v}_{p}\left(\varphi_{j}(\ell)\right)=j^{\prime} \leq j$. Then $\varphi_{j}(\ell)=\varphi_{j^{\prime}}(\ell)$. In particular, $\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)=\varphi_{j^{\prime}}(\ell)$ with $j^{\prime}=\bar{v}_{p}\left(\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)\right)$. Hence if $1 \leq h \leq n$ and $n$ divides $\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)+h$ then Theorem 4.5 gives a congruence for $\tilde{c}_{h}$ modulo $\mathcal{P}_{K}^{k+1}$, where $k=\left(\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)+h\right) / n$. This is the congruence obtained by Krasner [8, p. 157]. If $\ell$ is greater than or equal to the largest lower ramification break of $L / K$ then $\varphi_{j}(\ell)=\varphi_{\nu}(\ell)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$. Therefore Theorem 4.5 does not extend [8] in these cases.

## 5. Some examples

In this section we give two examples related to the theorems proved in Section 4. We first apply these theorems to a 3 -adic extension of degree 9 .

Example 5.1. Let $K$ be a finite extension of the 3-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$ such that $v_{K}(3) \geq 2$. Let

$$
f(X)=X^{9}-c_{1} X^{8}+\cdots+c_{8} X-c_{9}
$$

be an Eisenstein polynomial over $K$ such that $v_{K}\left(c_{2}\right)=v_{K}\left(c_{6}\right)=2$, $v_{K}\left(c_{h}\right) \geq 2$ for $h \in\{1,3\}$, and $v_{K}\left(c_{h}\right) \geq 3$ for $h \in\{4,5,7,8\}$. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a root of $f(X)$. Then $L=K\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ is a totally ramified extension of $K$ of degree 9 , so we have $u=1, \nu=2$. It follows from our assumptions about the valuations of the coefficients of $f(X)$ that the indices of inseparability of $L / K$ are $i_{0}=16, i_{1}=12$, and $i_{2}=0$. Therefore $A_{0}=2, A_{1}=2, A_{2}=1$, and $b_{0}=2, b_{1}=6, b_{2}=9$. We get the following values for $\tilde{\varphi}_{j}(\ell)$ and $\varphi_{j}(\ell)$ :

| $\ell$ | $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(\ell)$ | $\tilde{\varphi}_{1}(\ell)$ | $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}(\ell)$ | $\varphi_{0}(\ell)$ | $\varphi_{1}(\ell)$ | $\varphi_{2}(\ell)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 |
| 1 | 17 | 15 | 9 | 17 | 15 | 9 |
| 2 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 3 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 19 | 19 | 19 |

Now let $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ be another uniformizer for $L$, with minimum polynomial

$$
\tilde{f}(X)=X^{9}-\tilde{c}_{1} X^{8}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{8} X-\tilde{c}_{9} .
$$

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{2}\right)$. Then by Theorem 4.3 we get $\tilde{f} \sim_{1} f$. Using the table above we find that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h} & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{2}\right) \\
\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h} & \text { for } h \in\{1,3,9\} \\
\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) & \text { for } h \in\{2,4,5,6,7,8\}
\end{array}
$$

This is an improvement on [8], which gives $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{2}\right)$ for $1 \leq h \leq 9$. If $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{3}\right)$ we get $\tilde{f} \sim_{2} f$, and hence $\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right)$ for $1 \leq h \leq 9$. If $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{4}\right)$ we get $\tilde{f} \sim_{3} f$, and hence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{c}_{h} \equiv c_{h} & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) \quad \text { for } 1 \leq h \leq 8, \\
\tilde{c}_{9} \equiv c_{9} & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{4}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Since the largest lower ramification break of $L / K$ is 2 , the congruences we get for $\ell \geq 2$ are the same as those in [8].

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{2}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{3}\right)$, with $r \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. By the table above we get $\bar{v}_{3}\left(\varphi_{0}(1)\right)=0, \bar{v}_{3}\left(\varphi_{1}(1)\right)=1, \bar{v}_{3}\left(\varphi_{2}(1)\right)=2$ and $S_{0}=\{0\}, S_{1}=\{1\}$, $S_{2}=\{2\}$. The corresponding values of $h$ are $1,3,9$, and we have $h_{0}=1$, $k=2$ in all three cases. By applying Theorem 4.5 with $\ell=1, j=0,1,2$ we get the following congruences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}_{1} & \equiv c_{1}+(-1)^{2+1+2}(1+1) c_{2} r & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) \\
& \equiv c_{1}-2 c_{2} r & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) \\
\tilde{c}_{3} & \equiv c_{3}+(-1)^{2+1+2}(1+1) c_{6} r^{3} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) \\
& \equiv c_{3}-2 c_{6} r^{3} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) \\
\tilde{c}_{9} & \equiv c_{9}+(-1)^{2+1+1} c_{9}^{2} r^{9} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) \\
& \equiv c_{9}+c_{9}^{2} r^{9} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Only the congruence for $\tilde{c}_{9}$ follows from [8].
Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{3}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{4}\right)$. Then $\bar{v}_{3}\left(\varphi_{2}(2)\right)=2$ and $S_{2}=$ $\{0,1,2\}$, which gives $h=9, h_{0}=1$, and $k=3$. By applying Theorem 4.5 with $\ell=2, j=2$ we get the following congruence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}_{9} \equiv & \equiv c_{9} & +(-1)^{3+2+2}(9+2-9) c_{9} c_{2} r & \\
& +(-1)^{3+2+2}(3+2-3) c_{9} c_{6} r^{3}+(-1)^{3+2+1} c_{9}^{2} c_{9} r^{9} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{4}\right) \\
& \equiv c_{9}-2 c_{2} c_{9} r-2 c_{6} c_{9} r^{3}+c_{9}^{3} r^{9} & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}+r \pi_{L}^{4}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{5}\right)$. Then $\bar{v}_{3}\left(\varphi_{0}(3)\right)=0$ and $S_{0}=\{0\}$, so we get $h=8, h_{0}=8$, and $k=3$. By applying Theorem 4.5 with $\ell=3$, $j=0$ we get the following congruence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}_{8} & \equiv c_{8}+(-1)^{3+3+2}(8+3-9) c_{9} c_{2} r & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{4}\right) \\
& \equiv c_{8}+2 c_{2} c_{9} r & & \left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{K}^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, since the largest lower ramification break of $L / K$ is 2 , the congruences we get for $\ell \geq 2$ are the same as those in [8].

One might hope to prove the following converse to Theorem 4.3: If $\pi_{L}$, $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ are uniformizers for $L$ whose minimum polynomials satisfy $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$, then there is $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(L)$ such that $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right) \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{\ell+1}\right)$. The example below shows that this is not necessarily the case:

Example 5.2. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a root of the Eisenstein polynomial $f(X)=$ $X^{4}+6 X^{2}+4 X+2$ over the 2 -adic field $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. Then $L=\mathbb{Q}_{2}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ is a totally ramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ of degree 4 , with indices of inseparability $i_{0}=5$, $i_{1}=2$, and $i_{2}=0$. We get the following values for $\tilde{\varphi}_{j}(\ell)$ and $\varphi_{j}(\ell)$ :

| $\ell$ | $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(\ell)$ | $\tilde{\varphi}_{1}(\ell)$ | $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}(\ell)$ | $\varphi_{0}(\ell)$ | $\varphi_{1}(\ell)$ | $\varphi_{2}(\ell)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

Set $\tilde{\pi}_{L}=\pi_{L}+\pi_{L}^{2}$, and let the minimum polynomial for $\tilde{\pi}_{L}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ be

$$
\tilde{f}(X)=X^{4}-\tilde{c}_{1} X^{3}+\tilde{c}_{2} X^{2}-\tilde{c}_{3} X+\tilde{c}_{4} .
$$

By Theorem 4.3 we have $\tilde{f} \sim_{1} f$, and hence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{c}_{1} \equiv 0 & (\bmod 4) \\
\tilde{c}_{2} \equiv 6 & (\bmod 4) \\
\tilde{c}_{3} \equiv-4 & (\bmod 8) \\
\tilde{c}_{4} \equiv 2 & (\bmod 4) .
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 4.5 gives a refinement of the last congruence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}_{4} & \equiv 2+(-1)^{2+1+1}(2+1-2) \cdot 2^{2-1} \cdot 6+(-1)^{2+1+1} \cdot 2^{2-1} \cdot 2 & (\bmod 8) \\
& \equiv 2 & (\bmod 8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this refinement we get $\tilde{f} \sim_{2} f$.
Using [5] (see also [6, Table 4.2]) we obtain a list of the degree-4 extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. Using the data in this list we find that $L / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ is not Galois, and the only quadratic subextension of $L / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ is $M / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$, where $M=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\sqrt{-1})$. Hence $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}(L)=\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)$. Since the lower ramification breaks of $L / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ are 1,3 , and the lower ramification break of $M / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ is 1 , the lower ramification break of $L / M$ is 3 . Hence if $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}(L)$ then $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right) \equiv \tilde{\pi}_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{4}\right)$. Since $\tilde{\pi}_{L}=\pi_{L}+\pi_{L}^{2}$ we get $\sigma\left(\tilde{\pi}_{L}\right) \not \equiv \pi_{L}\left(\bmod \mathcal{P}_{L}^{3}\right)$.
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