TOURNAL de Théorie des Nombres de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Kevin KEATING

Perturbing Eisenstein polynomials over local fields Tome 30, nº 2 (2018), p. 681-694.

<http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2018__30_2_681_0>

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2018, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (http://jtnb.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://jtnb.cedram. org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

Perturbing Eisenstein polynomials over local fields

par KEVIN KEATING

RÉSUMÉ. Soit K un corps local de caractéristique résiduelle p et soit L/Kune extension séparable finie totalement ramifiée. Soit π_L une uniformisante de L, de polynôme minimal f(X) sur K. Supposons que $\tilde{\pi}_L$ est une autre uniformisante de L telle que $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r\pi_L^{\ell+1} \pmod{\pi_L^{\ell+2}}$ pour certains $\ell \geq 1$ et $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Soit $\tilde{f}(X)$ le polynôme minimal de $\tilde{\pi}_L$ sur K. Dans cet article nous donnons des congruences pour les coefficients de $\tilde{f}(X)$ en termes de ℓ , r, et les coefficients de f(X). Ces congruences améliorent le travail de Krasner [8].

ABSTRACT. Let K be a local field whose residue field has characteristic p and let L/K be a finite separable totally ramified extension. Let π_L be a uniformizer for L and let f(X) be the minimum polynomial for π_L over K. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_L$ is another uniformizer for L such that $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r\pi_L^{\ell+1}$ (mod $\pi_L^{\ell+2}$) for some $\ell \geq 1$ and $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\tilde{f}(X)$ be the minimum polynomial for $\tilde{f}(X)$ in terms of ℓ , r, and the coefficients of f(X). These congruences improve work of Krasner [8].

1. Introduction

Let K be a field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation v_K . Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K and let \mathcal{P}_K be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . Assume that the residue field $\overline{K} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathcal{P}_K$ of K is a perfect field of characteristic p. Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K and let L/K be a finite totally ramified subextension of K^{sep}/K . Let π_L be a uniformizer for L and let

$$f(X) = X^{n} - c_{1}X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}c_{n-1}X + (-1)^{n}c_{n}$$

be the minimum polynomial of π_L over K. Let $\ell \geq 1$, let $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and let $\tilde{\pi}_L$ be another uniformizer for L such that $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r\pi_L^{\ell+1} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+2}}$. Let

$$\tilde{f}(X) = X^n - \tilde{c}_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} X + (-1)^n \tilde{c}_n$$

be the minimum polynomial of $\tilde{\pi}_L$ over K. In this paper we use the techniques developed in [7] to obtain congruences for the coefficients of $\tilde{f}(X)$ in terms of ℓ , r, and the coefficients of f(X).

Manuscrit reçu le 8 janvier 2017, révisé le 6 mars 2017, accepté le 16 juin 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11S15, 11S05.

Mots-clefs. local fields, Eisenstein polynomials, symmetric polynomials, indices of inseparability, digraphs.

The Hasse-Herbrand function $\varphi_{L/K} : [-1, \infty) \to [-1, \infty)$ of L/K is defined in Chapter IV of [10] for finite Galois extensions, and in the appendix to [1] for finite separable extensions. Krasner [8, p. 157] showed that for $1 \leq h \leq n$ we have $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\kappa_h(\ell)}}$, where $\kappa_h(\ell) = \lceil \varphi_{L/K}(\ell) + \frac{h}{n} \rceil$. In Theorem 4.3 we prove that $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\rho_h(\ell)}}$ for certain integers $\rho_h(\ell)$ such that $\rho_h(\ell) \geq \kappa_h(\ell)$. Let h be the unique integer such that $1 \leq h \leq n$ and n divides $n\varphi_{L/K}(\ell) + h$. Krasner [8, p. 157] gave a formula for the congruence class modulo $\mathcal{P}_K^{\kappa_h(\ell)+1}$ of $\tilde{c}_h - c_h$. In Theorem 4.5 we give similar formulas for up to $v_p(n) + 1$ values of h.

Heiermann [4] gave formulas which are analogous to the results presented here. Let $S \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be the set of Teichmüller representatives for \overline{K} . Let π_K be a uniformizer for K and let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the unique power series with coefficients in S such that $\pi_K = \pi_L^n \mathcal{F}(\pi_L)$. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_L$ is another uniformizer for L such that $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r \pi_L^{\ell+1} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+2}}$ for some $\ell \geq 1$ and $r \in S$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the series with coefficients in S such that $\pi_K = \tilde{\pi}_L^n \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\pi}_L)$. Using Theorem 4.6 of [4] one can compute some coefficients of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in terms of rand the coefficients of \mathcal{F} .

In Section 2 we recall some facts about symmetric polynomials from [7]. The main focus is on expressing monomial symmetric polynomials in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials. In Section 3 we define the indices of inseparability of L/K and some generalizations of the Hasse–Herbrand function $\varphi_{L/K}$. In Section 4 we prove our main results. In Section 5 we give some examples which illustrate how the theorems from Section 4 are applied.

2. Symmetric polynomials and cycle digraphs

Let $n \geq 1$, let $w \geq 1$, and let μ be a partition of w. We view μ as a multiset of positive integers such that the sum of the elements of μ is equal to w. The number of parts of μ is called the length of μ , and is denoted by $|\mu|$. For μ such that $|\mu| \leq n$ we let $m_{\mu}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be the monomial symmetric polynomial in n variables associated to μ ; see [11, Section 7.3] for the definition and general facts about monomial symmetric polynomials. For $1 \leq h \leq n$ let $e_h(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ denote the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree h in n variables. By the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials there is a unique polynomial $\psi_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $m_{\mu} = \psi_{\mu}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. In this section we use a theorem of Kulikauskas and Remmel [9] to compute certain coefficients of ψ_{μ} .

The formula of Kulikauskas and Remmel can be expressed in terms of tilings of a certain type of digraph. We say that a directed graph Γ is a cycle digraph if it is a disjoint union of finitely many directed cycles of length ≥ 1 . We denote the vertex set of Γ by $V(\Gamma)$, and we define the sign of Γ to

be $\operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma) = (-1)^{w-c}$, where $w = |V(\Gamma)|$ and c is the number of cycles that make up Γ .

Let Γ be a cycle digraph with $w \ge 1$ vertices and let λ be a partition of w. A λ -tiling of Γ is a set S of subgraphs of Γ such that

- (1) Each $\gamma \in S$ is a directed path of length ≥ 0 .
- (2) The collection $\{V(\gamma) : \gamma \in S\}$ forms a partition of the set $V(\Gamma)$.
- (3) The multiset $\{|V(\gamma)| : \gamma \in S\}$ is equal to λ .

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be another partition of w. A $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ -tiling of Γ is an ordered pair (S,T), where S is a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ -tiling of Γ and T is a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ -tiling of Γ . Let Γ' be another cycle digraph with w vertices and let (S',T') be a $(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu})$ -tiling of Γ' . An isomorphism from (Γ, S, T) to (Γ', S', T') is an isomorphism of digraphs $\theta: \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ which carries S onto S' and T onto T'. Say that the $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ -tilings (S,T) and (S',T') of Γ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism from (Γ, S, T) to (Γ, S', T') . Say that (S,T) is an admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ -tiling of Γ if (Γ, S, T) has no nontrivial automorphisms. Let $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma)$ denote the number of isomorphism classes of admissible $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ -tilings of Γ .

Let $w \geq 1$ and let λ, μ be partitions of w. Set

(2.1)
$$d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| + |\boldsymbol{\mu}|} \cdot \sum_{\Gamma} \operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma) \eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma),$$

where the sum is over all isomorphism classes of cycle digraphs Γ with w vertices. Since $\eta_{\mu\lambda} = \eta_{\lambda\mu}$ we have $d_{\mu\lambda} = d_{\lambda\mu}$. In Theorem 1(ii) of [9], Kulikauskas and Remmel proved the following:

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \ge 1$, let $w \ge 1$, and let μ be a partition of w whose length is $\le n$. Let ψ_{μ} be the unique element of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $m_{\mu} = \psi_{\mu}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. Then

$$\psi_{\mu}(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda\mu} \cdot X_{\lambda_1} X_{\lambda_2} \ldots X_{\lambda_k},$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ of w such that $1 \leq \lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We now recall some formulas from [7] for computing values of $\eta_{\lambda\mu}(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let a, b, c, d, w be positive integers such that $a \neq c, b \neq d$, and let r, s be nonnegative integers. Let Γ be a directed cycle of length w.

- Suppose w = ra = sb + d. Let λ be the partition of w consisting of r copies of a, and let μ be the partition of w consisting of s copies of b and one copy of d. Then η_{λμ}(Γ) = a.
- (2) Suppose w = ra + c = sb + d. Let λ be the partition of w consisting of r copies of a and one copy of c, and let μ be the partition of w consisting of s copies of b and one copy of d. Then $\eta_{\lambda\mu}(\Gamma) = w$.

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Proposition 2.5 of [7] if s = 0, and from Proposition 2.3 of [7] if $s \ge 1$. Statement (2) follows from Proposition 2.2 of [7].

Using these formulas we can compute $d_{\lambda\mu}$ in some cases.

Proposition 2.3. Let a, b, c, d, w be positive integers such that $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$. Let r, s be nonnegative integers such that w = ra + c = sb + d and a > sb. Let λ be the partition of w consisting of r copies of a and 1 copy of c, and let μ be the partition of w consisting of s copies of b and 1 copy of d. Then

$$d_{\lambda \mu} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{r+s+w+1}w & \text{if } b \nmid c \text{ or } sb < c, \\ (-1)^{r+s+w+1}(w-ab) & \text{if } b \mid c \text{ and } sb \ge c. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let Γ be a cycle digraph which has an admissible (λ, μ) -tiling. Suppose Γ consists of a single cycle of length w. Then by Proposition 2.2(2) we have $\eta_{\lambda\mu}(\Gamma) = w$. Suppose Γ has more than one cycle. Since Γ has a μ -tiling, Γ has a cycle Γ_1 such that $|V(\Gamma_1)| \leq sb$. Since a > sb and Γ has a λ -tiling, it follows that $|V(\Gamma_1)| = c = mb$ for some m such that $1 \leq m \leq s$. Hence if Γ has more than one cycle we must have $b \mid c$ and $c \leq sb$. Let λ_1 be the partition of c consisting of one copy of c and let μ_1 be the partition of c consisting of Γ restricts to a λ_1 -tiling of Γ_1 , and every μ -tiling of Γ restricts to a μ_1 -tiling of Γ_1 . It follows from Proposition 2.2(1) that $\eta_{\lambda_1\mu_1}(\Gamma_1) = b$.

Let Γ_2 be another cycle of Γ . Since Γ has a λ -tiling, $|V(\Gamma_2)| \ge a > sb$. Hence every μ -tiling of Γ restricts to a tiling of Γ_2 which includes a path δ with $|V(\delta)| = d$. Since μ has only one part equal to d, it follows that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. Therefore we have $|V(\Gamma_2)| = ra = (s - m)b + d$. Let λ_2 be the partition of ra consisting of r copies of a and let μ_2 be the partition of (s-m)b+d = ra consisting of s-m copies of b and 1 copy of d. Then every λ -tiling of Γ restricts to a λ_2 -tiling of Γ_2 , and every μ -tiling of Γ restricts to a μ_2 -tiling of Γ_2 . It follows from Proposition 2.2(1) that $\eta_{\lambda_2\mu_2}(\Gamma_2) = a$. Hence

$$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\Gamma) = \eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1\boldsymbol{\mu}_1}(\Gamma_1) \cdot \eta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\boldsymbol{\mu}_2}(\Gamma_2) = ba.$$

Suppose $b \nmid c$ or c > sb. Then it follows from the above that the only cycle digraph which has a (λ, μ) -tiling consists of a single cycle of length w. Hence by (2.1) we get

$$d_{\lambda\mu} = (-1)^{(r+1)+(s+1)} \cdot (-1)^{w-1} w.$$

Suppose $b \mid c$ and $sb \geq c$. Then c = mb with $1 \leq m \leq s$. Hence there are two cycle digraphs which have a (λ, μ) -tiling: a single cycle of length w, and the union of two cycles with lengths c = mb and ra = (s - m)b + d.

Therefore by (2.1) we get

$$d_{\lambda\mu} = (-1)^{(r+1)+(s+1)}((-1)^{w-1}w + (-1)^{w-2}ab).$$

Hence the formula for $d_{\lambda\mu}$ given in the theorem holds in both cases.

We recall some results from [7] regarding the *p*-adic properties of the coefficients $d_{\lambda\mu}$. Let $w \ge 1$ and let λ be a partition of w. For $k \ge 1$ let $k * \lambda$ be the partition of kw which is the multiset sum of k copies of λ , and let $k \cdot \lambda$ be the partition of kw obtained by multiplying the parts of λ by k.

Proposition 2.4. Let $t \ge j \ge 0$, let $w' \ge 1$, and set $w = w'p^t$. Let λ' be a partition of w' and set $\lambda = p^t \cdot \lambda'$. Let μ be a partition of w such that there does not exist a partition μ' with $\mu = p^{j+1} * \mu'$. Then p^{t-j} divides $d_{\lambda\mu}$.

Proof. This is proved in Corollary 3.4 of [7].

Proposition 2.5. Let $w' \geq 1$, $j \geq 1$, and $t \geq 0$. Let λ' , μ' be partitions of w' such that the parts of λ' are all divisible by p^t . Set $w = w'p^j$, so that $\lambda = p^j \cdot \lambda'$ and $\mu = p^j * \mu'$ are partitions of w. Then $d_{\lambda\mu} \equiv d_{\lambda'\mu'}$ (mod p^{t+1}).

Proof. This is proved in Proposition 3.5 of [7].

3. Indices of inseparability

Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^{\nu}$, with $p \nmid u$. Let π_L be a uniformizer for L whose minimum polynomial over K is

$$f(X) = X^{n} - c_{1}X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}c_{n-1}X + (-1)^{n}c_{n-1}X$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\overline{v}_p(k) = \min\{v_p(k), \nu\}$. For $0 \le j \le \nu$ set

(3.1)
$$i_{j}^{\pi_{L}} = \min\{nv_{K}(c_{h}) - h : 1 \le h \le n, \ \overline{v}_{p}(h) \le j\} \\ = \min\{v_{L}(c_{h}\pi_{L}^{n-h}) : 1 \le h \le n, \ \overline{v}_{p}(h) \le j\} - n.$$

Then $i_j^{\pi_L}$ is either a nonnegative integer or ∞ ; if $\operatorname{char}(K) = p$ then $i_j^{\pi_L}$ must be finite, since L/K is separable. Let $e_L = v_L(p)$ denote the absolute ramification index of L. We define the *j*th index of inseparability of L/K to be

(3.2)
$$i_j = \min\{i_{j'}^{\pi_L} + (j'-j)e_L : j \le j' \le \nu\}.$$

By Proposition 3.12 and Theorem 7.1 of [4], i_j does not depend on the choice of π_L . Furthermore, our definition of i_j agrees with Definition 7.3 in [4]; for the characteristic-*p* case see also [2, p. 232–233] and [3, Section 2]. Write $i_j = A_j n - b_j$ with $1 \le b_j \le n$.

Remark 3.1. If $i_j^{\pi_L}$ is finite we can write $i_j^{\pi_L} = a_j n - b_j$ with $a_j \ge 1$ (see [7, Section 4]). Thus if $i_j = i_{j'}^{\pi_L} + (j'-j)e_L$ then $A_j = a_{j'} + (j'-j)e_K$.

The following facts are easy consequences of the definitions:

- (1) $0 = i_{\nu} < i_{\nu-1} \le \ldots \le i_1 \le i_0 < \infty.$
- (2) If char(K) = p then $i_j = i_j^{\pi_L}$.
- (3) Let $m = \overline{v}_p(i_j)$. If $m \leq j$ then $i_j = i_m = i_j^{\pi_L} = i_m^{\pi_L}$. If m > j then $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ and $i_j = i_m^{\pi_L} + (m j)e_L$.

Following [4, (4.4)], for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ we define functions $\tilde{\varphi}_j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\tilde{\varphi}_j(x) = i_j + p^j x$. The generalized Hasse-Herbrand functions $\varphi_j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are then defined by

(3.3)
$$\varphi_j(x) = \min\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_0}(x) : 0 \le j_0 \le j\}.$$

It follows that $\varphi_j(x) \leq \varphi_{j'}(x)$ for $0 \leq j' \leq j$. By Corollary 6.11 of [4] we have $\varphi_{\nu}(x) = n\varphi_{L/K}(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$.

For a partition $\lambda = {\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k}$ whose parts satisfy $1 \le \lambda_i \le n$ define $c_{\lambda} = c_{\lambda_1} c_{\lambda_2} \ldots c_{\lambda_k}$. The following is proved in Proposition 4.2 of [7].

Proposition 3.2. Let $w \ge 1$ and let $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ be a partition of w whose parts satisfy $1 \le \lambda_i \le n$. Choose q to minimize $\overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$ and set $t = \overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$. Then $v_L(c_{\lambda}) \ge i_t^{\pi_L} + w$. If $v_L(c_{\lambda}) = i_t^{\pi_L} + w$ and $i_t^{\pi_L} < \infty$ then $\lambda_q = b_t$ and $\lambda_i = b_{\nu} = n$ for all $i \ne q$.

4. Perturbing π_L

In this section we prove our main theorems. We begin by applying the results of Section 2 to the totally ramified extension L/K. Write $[L:K] = n = up^{\nu}$ with $p \nmid u$. Let π_L , $\tilde{\pi}_L$ be uniformizers for L, with minimum polynomials over K given by

$$f(X) = X^n - c_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} X + (-1)^n c_n$$
$$\tilde{f}(X) = X^n - \tilde{c}_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} X + (-1)^n \tilde{c}_n.$$

Let $1 \leq h \leq n$ and set $j = \overline{v}_p(h)$. Define a function $\rho_h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\rho_h(\ell) = \left\lceil \frac{\varphi_j(\ell) + h}{n} \right\rceil.$$

Let $\ell \geq 1$. We say $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$ if $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\rho_h(\ell)}}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$. Thus \sim_{ℓ} is an equivalence relation on the set of minimum polynomials over K for uniformizers of L.

Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be the *K*-embeddings of *L* into K^{sep} . For each partition μ of length $\leq n$ define $M_{\mu} : L \to K$ by

$$M_{\mu}(\alpha) = m_{\mu}(\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha)).$$

For $1 \leq h \leq n$ define $E_h : L \to K$ by

$$E_h(\alpha) = e_h(\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha)).$$

Then $c_h = E_h(\pi_L)$ and $\tilde{c}_h = E_h(\tilde{\pi}_L)$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\varphi(X) = r_1 X + r_2 X^2 + \cdots$ be a power series with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_K such that $\tilde{\pi}_L = \varphi(\pi_L)$. Then for $1 \le h \le n$ we have

$$E_h(\tilde{\pi}_L) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} r_{\mu_1} r_{\mu_2} \dots r_{\mu_h} M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L),$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_h\}$ of length h.

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.4 in [7].

Proposition 4.2. Let $n \ge 1$, let $w \ge 1$, and let μ be a partition of w whose length is $\le n$. Then

$$M_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\pi_L) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} d_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ of w such that $1 \leq \lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1 by setting $X_i = E_i(\pi_L) = c_i$.

Let $\ell \geq 1$. Our first main result gives congruences between the coefficients of f(X) and the coefficients of $\tilde{f}(X)$ under the assumption $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+1}}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let π_L , $\tilde{\pi}_L$ be uniformizers for L and let f(X), $\tilde{f}(X)$ be the minimum polynomials for π_L , $\tilde{\pi}_L$ over K. Suppose there are $\ell \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_K(L)$ such that $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L) \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+1}}$. Then $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$.

Proof. We first show that the theorem holds in the case where $\tilde{\pi}_L = \pi_L + r\pi_L^{\ell+1}$, with $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $1 \leq h \leq n$ and set $j = \overline{v}_p(h)$. For $0 \leq s \leq h$ let μ_s be the partition of $\ell s + h$ consisting of h - s copies of 1 and s copies of $\ell + 1$. Then by Proposition 4.1 we have

(4.1)
$$\tilde{c}_h = E_h(\tilde{\pi}_L) = \sum_{s=0}^h M_{\mu_s}(\pi_L) r^s = c_h + \sum_{s=1}^h M_{\mu_s}(\pi_L) r^s.$$

To prove that $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\rho_h(\ell)}}$ it suffices to show that $v_K(M_{\mu_s}(\pi_L)) \geq \rho_h(\ell)$ for $1 \leq s \leq h$. Therefore by Proposition 4.2 it suffices to show $v_L(d_{\lambda\mu_s}c_{\lambda}) \geq \varphi_j(\ell) + h$ for all $1 \leq s \leq h$ and all partitions λ of $\ell s + h$ whose parts are at most n.

Let $1 \leq s \leq h$ and set $m = \min\{j, \overline{v}_p(s)\}$. Then $m \leq j$ and $s \geq p^m$. Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ be a partition of $\ell s + h$ such that $1 \leq \lambda_i \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Choose q to minimize $\overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$ and set $t = \overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$. By Proposition 3.2 we have $v_L(c_{\lambda}) \geq i_t^{\pi_L} + \ell s + h$. Suppose m < t. Then $m < \nu$, so we have $p^{m+1} \nmid \gcd(h - s, s)$. Hence by Proposition 2.4 we get $v_p(d_{\lambda\mu_s}) \geq t - m$.

Thus

$$v_L(d_{\lambda\mu_s}c_{\lambda}) = v_L(d_{\lambda\mu_s}) + v_L(c_{\lambda})$$

$$\geq (t-m)v_L(p) + i_t^{\pi_L} + \ell s + h$$

$$\geq i_m + \ell p^m + h.$$

Suppose $m \ge t$. Then

$$v_L(d_{\lambda\mu_s}c_{\lambda}) \ge v_L(c_{\lambda})$$

$$\ge i_t^{\pi_L} + \ell s + h$$

$$\ge i_t + \ell p^m + h$$

$$\ge i_m + \ell p^m + h.$$

In both cases we get

$$v_L(d_{\lambda\mu_s}c_{\lambda}) \ge \tilde{\varphi}_m(\ell) + h \ge \varphi_j(\ell) + h,$$

and hence $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\rho_h(\ell)}}$. Since this holds for $1 \leq h \leq n$ we get $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$.

We now prove the general case. Since \tilde{f} is the minimum polynomial of $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L)$ over K we may assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+1}}$. By repeated application of the special case above we get a sequence $\pi_L^{(0)} = \pi_L, \pi_L^{(1)}, \pi_L^{(2)}, \ldots$ of uniformizers for L with minimum polynomials $f^{(0)} = f, f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots$ such that for all $i \geq 0$ we have $\pi_L^{(i)} \equiv \tilde{\pi}_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+i+1}}$ and $f^{(i+1)} \sim_{\ell+i} f^{(i)}$. It follows that $f^{(i+1)} \sim_{\ell} f^{(i)}$, and hence that $f^{(i)} \sim_{\ell} f$ for all $i \geq 0$. Since the sequence $(f^{(i)})$ converges coefficientwise to \tilde{f} it follows that $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$.

Recall that the Hasse–Herbrand function $\varphi_{L/K} : [-1, \infty) \to [-1, \infty)$ is defined for arbitrary finite separable extensions L/K (see for instance the appendix to [1]). We say that $b \ge 0$ is a lower ramification break of L/K if $\varphi'_{L/K}(b)$ is undefined. This extends the usual definition of lower ramification breaks for Galois extensions.

Remark 4.4. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that if $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L) \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+1}}$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_K(L)$ then $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\rho_h(\ell)}}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n$. Define functions $\kappa_h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\kappa_h(\ell) = \left\lceil \frac{\varphi_\nu(\ell) + h}{n} \right\rceil.$$

Krasner [8, p. 157] showed that $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\kappa_h(\ell)}}$. Since $\kappa_h(\ell) \leq \rho_h(\ell)$ Krasner's congruences are in general weaker than the congruences that follow from Theorem 4.3. If ℓ is greater than or equal to the largest lower ramification break of L/K then for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$ we have $\varphi_j(\ell) = \varphi_\nu(\ell)$, and

hence $\kappa_h(\ell) = \rho_h(\ell)$. Therefore Theorem 4.3 does not improve on Krasner's results in these cases.

For certain values of h we get a more refined version of the congruences given by Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. Let L/K be a finite totally ramified extension of degree $n = up^{\nu}$. For $0 \le m \le \nu$ write the mth index of inseparability of L/K in the form $i_m = A_m n - b_m$ with $1 \le b_m \le n$. Let π_L , $\tilde{\pi}_L$ be uniformizers for L such that there are $\ell \ge 1$, $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_K(L)$ with $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L) \equiv \pi_L + r\pi_L^{\ell+1} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+2}}$. Let $0 \le j \le \nu$ satisfy $\overline{v}_p(\varphi_j(\ell)) = j$, and let h be the unique integer such that $1 \le h \le n$ and n divides $\varphi_j(\ell) + h$. Set $k = (\varphi_j(\ell) + h)/n$ and $h_0 = h/p^j$. Then

$$\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h + \sum_{m \in S_j} g_m c_n^{k-A_m} c_{b_m} r^{p^m} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{k+1}},$$

where

$$S_{j} = \{m : 0 \le m \le j, \varphi_{j}(\ell) = \tilde{\varphi}_{m}(\ell)\}$$
$$g_{m} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}(h_{0}p^{j-m} + \ell - up^{\nu-m}) & \text{if } b_{m} < h \\ (-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}(h_{0}p^{j-m} + \ell) & \text{if } h \le b_{m} < n \\ (-1)^{k+\ell+A_{m}}up^{\nu-m} & \text{if } b_{m} = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first prove that the theorem holds for $\hat{\pi}_L = \pi_L + r \pi_L^{\ell+1}$. Let

$$\hat{f}(X) = X^n - \hat{c}_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \hat{c}_{n-1} X + (-1)^n \hat{c}_n$$

be the minimum polynomial for $\hat{\pi}_L$ over K. Let $1 \leq s \leq h$ and let λ be a partition of $\ell s + h$ whose parts are at most n. Choose q to minimize $\overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$ and set $t = \overline{v}_p(\lambda_q)$. Recall that μ_s is the partition of $\ell s + h$ consisting of h - scopies of 1 and s copies of $\ell + 1$. Since $\overline{v}_p(h) = \overline{v}_p(\varphi_j(\ell)) = j$ it follows from the proof of Theorem 4.3 that $v_K(d_{\lambda\mu_s}c_{\lambda}) \geq k$. Suppose $v_K(d_{\lambda\mu_s}c_{\lambda}) = k$. Then the inequalities in the proof of Theorem 4.3 must be equalities. Hence there is $0 \leq m \leq j$ such that $s = p^m$, $v_L(c_{\lambda}) = i_t^{\pi_L} + \ell p^m + h$, and $\varphi_j(\ell) = \tilde{\varphi}_m(\ell)$.

It follows that $m \in S_j$ and λ is a partition of w_m , where

$$w_m = \ell p^m + h = \tilde{\varphi}_m(\ell) - i_m + h = \varphi_j(\ell) + h - i_m = (k - A_m)n + b_m$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_m$ be the partition of w_m consisting of $k - A_m$ copies of n and 1 copy of b_m . By Proposition 3.2 we see that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has at most one element not equal to n. Therefore $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}_m$. Hence $c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = c_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_m} = c_n^{k-A_m} c_{b_m}$ and $\overline{v}_p(b_m) = \overline{v}_p(\lambda_q) = t$. Using equation (4.1) and Proposition 4.2 we get

(4.2)
$$\hat{c}_h \equiv c_h + \sum_{m \in S_j} d_{\kappa_m \mu_{p^m}} c_n^{k-A_m} c_{b_m} r^{p^m} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{k+1}}.$$

Let $m \in S_j$. Since

$$j = \overline{v}_p(\varphi_j(\ell)) = \overline{v}_p(\tilde{\varphi}_m(\ell)) = \overline{v}_p(i_m + \ell p^m)$$

and $m \leq j$ we get $m \leq \overline{v}_p(i_m) = \overline{v}_p(b_m)$. Hence $b'_m = b_m/p^m$ is an integer. Let κ'_m be the partition of

$$w'_{m} = (k - A_{m})up^{\nu - m} + b'_{m} = h_{0}p^{j - m} + \ell$$

consisting of $k - A_m$ copies of $up^{\nu-m}$ and 1 copy of b'_m . Let μ'_{p^m} be the partition of w'_m consisting of $h_0 p^{j-m} - 1$ copies of 1 and 1 copy of $\ell + 1$. Since $h \leq n$ we have $up^{\nu-m} > h_0 p^{j-m} - 1$. Hence if $b'_m \neq up^{\nu-m}$ then we can compute $d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{p^m}}$ using Proposition 2.3.

Suppose $b_m < h$. Then $h_0 p^{j-m} - 1 \ge b'_m$, so by Proposition 2.3 we get

$$d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{p^m}} = (-1)^{k+\ell+A_m} (h_0 p^{j-m} + \ell - u p^{\nu-m})$$

Suppose $h \leq b_m < n$. Then $h_0 p^{j-m} - 1 < b'_m$, so by Proposition 2.3 we get $d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{n^m}} = (-1)^{k+\ell+A_m} (h_0 p^{j-m} + \ell).$

Suppose $b_m = n$, so that $b'_m = up^{\nu-m}$. Since $up^{\nu-m} > h_0 p^{j-m} - 1$, the only cycle digraph which admits a (κ'_m, μ'_{p^m}) -tiling consists of a single cycle Γ of length w'_m . By Proposition 2.2(1) we get $\eta_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{p^m}}(\Gamma) = up^{\nu-m}$. It then follows from (2.1) that

$$d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{p^m}} = (-1)^{k+\ell+A_m} u p^{\nu-m}.$$

Hence in all three cases we have $d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{m}} = g_m$.

Since $p^t \mid b_m$ we have $p^{t-m} \mid b'_m$. Therefore by Proposition 2.5 we get

(4.3)
$$d_{\kappa_m \mu_{p^m}} \equiv d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{p^m}} \pmod{p^{t-m+1}}$$

Since $m \le t \le \nu$ it follows from (3.2) and (3.1) that

(4.4)

$$i_{m} \leq i_{t}^{\pi_{L}} + (t - m)e_{L}$$

$$nA_{m} - b_{m} \leq nv_{K}(c_{b_{m}}) - b_{m} + (t - m)e_{L}$$

$$A_{m} \leq v_{K}(c_{b_{m}}) + (t - m)e_{K}$$

$$k + 1 \leq k - A_{m} + v_{K}(c_{b_{m}}) + (t - m + 1)e_{K}.$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$d_{\kappa_m \mu_{p^m}} c_n^{k-A_m} c_{b_m} \equiv d_{\kappa'_m \mu'_{p^m}} c_n^{k-A_m} c_{b_m} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{k+1}}$$
$$\equiv g_m c_n^{k-A_m} c_{b_m} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{k+1}}.$$

Hence by (4.2) the theorem holds when $\tilde{\pi}_L = \hat{\pi}_L$.

We now prove the theorem in the general case. We may assume that

$$\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r \pi_L^{\ell+1} \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+2}}.$$

It follows that $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \hat{\pi}_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+2}}$, so by Theorem 4.3 we get $\tilde{c}_h \equiv \hat{c}_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{\rho_h(\ell+1)}}$. Since

$$\rho_h(\ell) = \left\lceil \frac{\varphi_j(\ell) + h}{n} \right\rceil = \frac{\varphi_j(\ell) + h}{n} = k$$

and $\varphi_j(\ell+1) > \varphi_j(\ell)$ we get $\rho_h(\ell+1) > k$. Therefore $\tilde{c}_h \equiv \hat{c}_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^{k+1}}$, so the theorem holds for $\tilde{\pi}_L$.

Remark 4.6. Suppose $\overline{v}_p(\varphi_j(\ell)) = j' \leq j$. Then $\varphi_j(\ell) = \varphi_{j'}(\ell)$. In particular, $\varphi_\nu(\ell) = \varphi_{j'}(\ell)$ with $j' = \overline{v}_p(\varphi_\nu(\ell))$. Hence if $1 \leq h \leq n$ and n divides $\varphi_\nu(\ell) + h$ then Theorem 4.5 gives a congruence for \tilde{c}_h modulo \mathcal{P}_K^{k+1} , where $k = (\varphi_\nu(\ell) + h)/n$. This is the congruence obtained by Krasner [8, p. 157]. If ℓ is greater than or equal to the largest lower ramification break of L/K then $\varphi_j(\ell) = \varphi_\nu(\ell)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$. Therefore Theorem 4.5 does not extend [8] in these cases.

5. Some examples

In this section we give two examples related to the theorems proved in Section 4. We first apply these theorems to a 3-adic extension of degree 9.

Example 5.1. Let K be a finite extension of the 3-adic field \mathbb{Q}_3 such that $v_K(3) \geq 2$. Let

$$f(X) = X^9 - c_1 X^8 + \dots + c_8 X - c_9$$

be an Eisenstein polynomial over K such that $v_K(c_2) = v_K(c_6) = 2$, $v_K(c_h) \ge 2$ for $h \in \{1,3\}$, and $v_K(c_h) \ge 3$ for $h \in \{4,5,7,8\}$. Let π_L be a root of f(X). Then $L = K(\pi_L)$ is a totally ramified extension of K of degree 9, so we have u = 1, $\nu = 2$. It follows from our assumptions about the valuations of the coefficients of f(X) that the indices of inseparability of L/K are $i_0 = 16$, $i_1 = 12$, and $i_2 = 0$. Therefore $A_0 = 2$, $A_1 = 2$, $A_2 = 1$, and $b_0 = 2$, $b_1 = 6$, $b_2 = 9$. We get the following values for $\tilde{\varphi}_j(\ell)$ and $\varphi_j(\ell)$:

ℓ	$ ilde{arphi}_0(\ell)$	$ ilde{arphi}_1(\ell)$	$ ilde{arphi}_2(\ell)$	$\varphi_0(\ell)$	$\varphi_1(\ell)$	$\varphi_2(\ell)$
0	16	12	0	16	12	0
1	17	15	9	17	15	9
2	18	18	18	18	18	18
3	19	21	27	19	19	19

Now let $\tilde{\pi}_L$ be another uniformizer for L, with minimum polynomial

$$\tilde{f}(X) = X^9 - \tilde{c}_1 X^8 + \dots + \tilde{c}_8 X - \tilde{c}_9.$$

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^2}$. Then by Theorem 4.3 we get $\tilde{f} \sim_1 f$. Using the table above we find that

$$\widetilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_K^2) \quad \text{for } h \in \{1, 3, 9\}, \\
\widetilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_K^3) \quad \text{for } h \in \{2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$$

This is an improvement on [8], which gives $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^2}$ for $1 \leq h \leq 9$. If $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^3}$ we get $\tilde{f} \sim_2 f$, and hence $\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^3}$ for $1 \leq h \leq 9$. If $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^4}$ we get $\tilde{f} \sim_3 f$, and hence

$$\tilde{c}_h \equiv c_h \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_K^3) \quad \text{for } 1 \le h \le 8,$$

 $\tilde{c}_9 \equiv c_9 \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_K^4).$

Since the largest lower ramification break of L/K is 2, the congruences we get for $\ell \geq 2$ are the same as those in [8].

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r \pi_L^2 \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^3}$, with $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$. By the table above we get $\overline{v}_3(\varphi_0(1)) = 0$, $\overline{v}_3(\varphi_1(1)) = 1$, $\overline{v}_3(\varphi_2(1)) = 2$ and $S_0 = \{0\}$, $S_1 = \{1\}$, $S_2 = \{2\}$. The corresponding values of h are 1, 3, 9, and we have $h_0 = 1$, k = 2 in all three cases. By applying Theorem 4.5 with $\ell = 1, j = 0, 1, 2$ we get the following congruences:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_{1} &\equiv c_{1} + (-1)^{2+1+2} (1+1) c_{2} r \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}) \\ &\equiv c_{1} - 2 c_{2} r \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}) \\ \tilde{c}_{3} &\equiv c_{3} + (-1)^{2+1+2} (1+1) c_{6} r^{3} \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}) \\ &\equiv c_{3} - 2 c_{6} r^{3} \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}) \\ &\equiv c_{9} + (-1)^{2+1+1} c_{9}^{2} r^{9} \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}) \\ &\equiv c_{9} + c_{9}^{2} r^{9} \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_{K}^{3}). \end{split}$$

Only the congruence for \tilde{c}_9 follows from [8].

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r\pi_L^3 \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^4}$. Then $\overline{v}_3(\varphi_2(2)) = 2$ and $S_2 = \{0, 1, 2\}$, which gives h = 9, $h_0 = 1$, and k = 3. By applying Theorem 4.5 with $\ell = 2$, j = 2 we get the following congruence:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_9 &\equiv c_9 + (-1)^{3+2+2} (9+2-9) c_9 c_2 r \\ &+ (-1)^{3+2+2} (3+2-3) c_9 c_6 r^3 + (-1)^{3+2+1} c_9^2 c_9 r^9 \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_K^4) \\ &\equiv c_9 - 2 c_2 c_9 r - 2 c_6 c_9 r^3 + c_9^3 r^9 \qquad (\text{mod } \mathcal{P}_K^4). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\tilde{\pi}_L \equiv \pi_L + r \pi_L^4 \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^5}$. Then $\overline{v}_3(\varphi_0(3)) = 0$ and $S_0 = \{0\}$, so we get h = 8, $h_0 = 8$, and k = 3. By applying Theorem 4.5 with $\ell = 3$, j = 0 we get the following congruence:

$$\tilde{c}_8 \equiv c_8 + (-1)^{3+3+2}(8+3-9)c_9c_2r \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^4} \equiv c_8 + 2c_2c_9r \pmod{\mathcal{P}_K^4}.$$

Again, since the largest lower ramification break of L/K is 2, the congruences we get for $\ell \geq 2$ are the same as those in [8].

One might hope to prove the following converse to Theorem 4.3: If π_L , $\tilde{\pi}_L$ are uniformizers for L whose minimum polynomials satisfy $\tilde{f} \sim_{\ell} f$, then there is $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_K(L)$ such that $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L) \equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^{\ell+1}}$. The example below shows that this is not necessarily the case:

Example 5.2. Let π_L be a root of the Eisenstein polynomial $f(X) = X^4 + 6X^2 + 4X + 2$ over the 2-adic field \mathbb{Q}_2 . Then $L = \mathbb{Q}_2(\pi_L)$ is a totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_2 of degree 4, with indices of inseparability $i_0 = 5$, $i_1 = 2$, and $i_2 = 0$. We get the following values for $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\ell)$ and $\varphi_i(\ell)$:

l	$ ilde{\varphi}_0(\ell)$	$\tilde{\varphi}_1(\ell)$	$\tilde{\varphi}_2(\ell)$	$\varphi_0(\ell)$	$\varphi_1(\ell)$	$\varphi_2(\ell)$
0	5	2	0	5	2	0
1	6	4	4	6	4	4
2	7	6	8	7	6	6
3	8	8	12	8	8	8

Set $\tilde{\pi}_L = \pi_L + \pi_L^2$, and let the minimum polynomial for $\tilde{\pi}_L$ over \mathbb{Q}_2 be

$$\tilde{f}(X) = X^4 - \tilde{c}_1 X^3 + \tilde{c}_2 X^2 - \tilde{c}_3 X + \tilde{c}_4.$$

By Theorem 4.3 we have $\tilde{f} \sim_1 f$, and hence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_1 &\equiv 0 \qquad \pmod{4} \\ \tilde{c}_2 &\equiv 6 \qquad \pmod{4} \\ \tilde{c}_3 &\equiv -4 \qquad \pmod{8} \\ \tilde{c}_4 &\equiv 2 \qquad \pmod{4}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.5 gives a refinement of the last congruence:

$$\tilde{c}_4 \equiv 2 + (-1)^{2+1+1}(2+1-2) \cdot 2^{2-1} \cdot 6 + (-1)^{2+1+1} \cdot 2^{2-1} \cdot 2 \pmod{8}$$
$$\equiv 2 \pmod{8}.$$

Using this refinement we get $\tilde{f} \sim_2 f$.

Using [5] (see also [6, Table 4.2]) we obtain a list of the degree-4 extensions of \mathbb{Q}_2 . Using the data in this list we find that L/\mathbb{Q}_2 is not Galois, and the only quadratic subextension of L/\mathbb{Q}_2 is M/\mathbb{Q}_2 , where $M = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$. Hence $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(L) = \operatorname{Gal}(L/M)$. Since the lower ramification breaks of L/\mathbb{Q}_2 are 1, 3, and the lower ramification break of M/\mathbb{Q}_2 is 1, the lower ramification break of L/M is 3. Hence if $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(L)$ then $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L) \equiv \tilde{\pi}_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^4}$. Since $\tilde{\pi}_L = \pi_L + \pi_L^2$ we get $\sigma(\tilde{\pi}_L) \not\equiv \pi_L \pmod{\mathcal{P}_L^3}$.

References

- P. DELIGNE, "Les corps locaux de caractéristique p, limites de corps locaux de caractéristique 0", in *Représentations des groups réductifs sur un corps local*, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, 1984, p. 119-157.
- [2] M. FRIED, "Arithmetical properties of function fields II, The generalized Schur problem", Acta Arith. 25 (1974), p. 225-258.
- [3] M. FRIED & A. MÉZARD, "Configuration spaces for wildly ramified covers", in Arithmetic fundamental groups and noncommutative algebra (Berkeley, 1999), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 70, American Mathematical Society, 2002, p. 353-376.
- [4] V. HEIERMANN, "De nouveaux invariants numériques pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de corps locaux", J. Number Theory 59 (1996), no. 1, p. 159-202.
- [5] J. W. JONES & D. P. ROBERTS, "Database of Local Fields", https://math.la.asu.edu/jj/ localfields/, Retrieved 31 December 2016.
- [6] , "A database of local fields", J. Symb. Comput. 41 (2006), no. 1, p. 80-97.
- [7] K. KEATING, "Extensions of local fields and elementary symmetric polynomials", J. Théor. Nombres Bordx 30 (2018), no. 2, p. 431-448.
- [8] M. KRASNER, "Sur la primitivité des corps P-adiques", Mathematica 13 (1937), p. 72-191.
- [9] A. KULIKAUSKAS & J. REMMEL, "Lyndon words and transition matrices between elementary, homogeneous and monomial symmetric functions", *Electron. J. Comb.* 13 (2006), no. 1, Art. ID. R18, 30 p.
- [10] J.-P. SERRE, Corps Locaux, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago, vol. 8, Hermann, 1962, 243 pages.
- [11] R. P. STANLEY, Enumerative combinatorics, Volume 2, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, 1999, xii+581 pages.

Kevin KEATING Department of Mathematics University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611, USA *E-mail:* keating@ufl.edu *URL:* https://people.clas.ufl.edu/keating/