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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish Lipschitz conditions for the norm of holomorphic mappings between the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $X$, a complex normed space. This extends the work of Djordjević and Pavlović.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Denote by $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the $n$-dimensional complex Hilbert space with the inner product and the norm given by $\langle z, w\rangle:=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \overline{w_{j}}$ and $\|z\|:=\sqrt{\langle z, z\rangle}$, where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, respectively. Write $\mathbb{B}^{n}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}\right.$ : $\|z\|<1\}$ for the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ so that $\mathbb{B}^{1}=: \mathbb{D}$ denotes the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. If $V$ and $W$ are two normed spaces and $U \subset V$ is open, then the Fréchet derivative of a holomorphic mapping $f: U \rightarrow W$ is defined to be the unique linear map $A=f^{\prime}(z): V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$
f(z+h)=f(z)+f^{\prime}(z) \cdot h+o\left(\|h\|^{2}\right)
$$

for $h$ near the origin of $V$. The norm of such a map is defined by $\|A\|=\sup _{\|z\|=1}\|A z\|$.
In 1975, Globevnik [6] introduced the notion of uniform c-convexity and proved that $L^{1}$-space possesses this property. Namely, a complex normed space $X$ is said to be uniformly c-convex if there exists a positive increasing function $\Omega(\delta)(\delta>0)$ with $\Omega\left(0^{+}\right)=0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $\delta>0$ there holds the implication

$$
\max _{\substack{|\lambda| \leq 1 \\\|x\|=1}}\|x+\lambda y\| \leq 1+\delta \Longrightarrow\|y\| \leq \Omega(\delta) .
$$

The smallest of the functions $\Omega$ is denoted by $\Omega_{X}$, i.e.,

$$
\Omega_{X}(\delta):=\sup \left\{\|y\|: \max _{\substack{\lambda \mid \leq 1 \\\|x\|=1}}\|x+\lambda y\| \leq 1+\delta\right\} .
$$

As mentioned in [3], it can be easily seen that

$$
\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta)=\delta \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{H}(\delta)=\sqrt{\delta(2+\delta)}
$$

where $H$ is a Hilbert space of dimension at least two.
As in [4], we call a function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a majorant if $\omega$ is continuous, increasing, $\omega(0)=0$, and $t^{-1} \omega(t)$ is nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$. If, in addition, there is a constant $C(\omega)>0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\delta \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C(\omega) \cdot \omega(\delta)
$$

whenever $0<\delta<1$, then we say that $\omega$ is a regular majorant.
Then the space $\operatorname{Lip}(\omega, G, X)$, where $G$ is bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, is defined to be the set of those functions $g: G \rightarrow X$ for which

$$
\|g(z)-g(w)\| \leq c \cdot \omega(\|z-w\|)
$$

where $c$ is a constant. If $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then we write $\operatorname{Lip}(\omega, G, X)=\Lambda_{\alpha}(G, X)$. If $X$ is uniformly $c$-convex, then $\Omega_{X}$ is a majorant (cf. [2]). A majorant $\omega$ is said to be a Dini majorant if $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty$. For a Dini majorant, we define the majorant $\widetilde{\omega}$ by

$$
\widetilde{\omega}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\omega(x)}{x} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(t x)}{x} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

A majorant $\omega$ is said to be fast [5] if

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \omega(\delta), \quad 0<\delta<\delta_{0}
$$

for some $\delta_{0}>0$. (Of course, if $\omega$ is fast, then it is a Dini majorant).
Dyakonov [4] gave some characterizations of the holomorphic functions of class $\Lambda_{\omega}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$ in terms of their moduli.

Theorem A (cf. [4]). Let $\omega$ be a regular majorant. A function $f$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ is in $\Lambda_{\omega}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if so is its modulus $|f|$.

The main ingredient in Dyakonov's proof is a very complicated. However, Pavlovic [8] gave a simple proof of Theorem A. The proof uses only the basic lemmas of [4] and the Schwarz lemma, and is therefore considerably shorter than that of [4]. However, Theorem A does not extend to $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ - valued functions $(k \geq 2)$. So we have to consider functions with additional properties (see Theorems 5 and 6).

In [3], Djordjević and Pavlović extended to vector-valued functions of a theorem of Dyakonov [4] on Lipschitz conditions for the modulus of holomorphic functions. Therefore, it is natural for us to extend this result for holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{B}^{n}$. Very recently, Kalaj [7] established a Schwarz-Pick type inequality for holomorphic mappings between unit balls $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{B}^{m}$ in the corresponding complex spaces.

Theorem B (cf. [7, Theorem 2.1]). If $f$ is a holomorphic mapping of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ into $\mathbb{B}^{m} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$, then for $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ we have

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{1-\|f(z)\|^{2}}}{1-\|z\|^{2}} & \text { for } m \geq 2 \\ \frac{1-\|f(z)\|^{2}}{1-\|z\|^{2}} & \text { for } m=1\end{cases}
$$

In [1], Dai and Pan proved the following theorem which establishes a Schwarz-Pick type estimates for gradient of the modulus of holomorphic mappings.

Theorem C (cf. [1, Theorem 1]). Let $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{m}$ be a holomorphic mapping. Then

$$
|\nabla\|f\|(z)| \leq \frac{1-\|f(z)\|^{2}}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n} .
$$

For a holomorphic mapping $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla\|f\|(z)|=\frac{1}{\|f(z)\|}\left\|\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}, f(z)\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{n}}, f(z)\right\rangle\right)\right\| \quad \text { if } f(z) \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The main results

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be uniformly c-convex and $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\|f(z)\|-\|f(w)\|| \leq c\|z-w\|^{\alpha} \quad \text { for } z, w \in \mathbb{B}^{n}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$ are constants. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq 2 K \frac{\Omega_{X}\left(c K^{-1}(1-\|z\|)^{\alpha}\right)}{1-\|z\|} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\|f(0)\|+c$. Especially, if $\|f(0)\|=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq 2(1+c) \frac{\Omega_{X}\left(c(1-\|z\|)^{\alpha}\right)}{1-\|z\|} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $X$ be uniformly $c$-convex such that $\Omega_{X}$ is a Dini majorant and $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic function such that the function $\|f(z)\|$ belongs to $\Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Then $f \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\bar{\omega}_{\alpha}, \mathbb{B}^{n}, X\right)$, where $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha}(t)=\widetilde{\Omega}_{X}\left(t^{\alpha}\right)$.

In particular, the function $f$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ that has a continuous extension to the closed disk.

Corollary 3. If $\Omega_{X}$ is fast and $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic function such that the function $\|f(z)\|$ belongs to $\Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Then $f \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\omega_{\alpha}, \mathbb{B}^{n}, X\right)$, where $\omega_{\alpha}(t)=\Omega_{X}\left(t^{\alpha}\right)$.

Taking $n=1$ and $X=\mathbb{C}$, we get the following result of Dyakonov [4].
Corollary 4. If $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function such that $|f|$ belongs to $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Then $f$ belongs to $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$.
Theorem 5. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a holomorphic function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|\|f(z)\| \leq K\left\|\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{1}}, f(z)\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_{n}}, f(z)\right\rangle\right)\right\| \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a constant independent of $z$. Then $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ if and only if $\|f\| \in \Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Theorem 6. If $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}, m \geq 2$, is holomorphic and if $\|f\| \in \Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then we have $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha / 2}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$.

The case $n=1$ of Theorems 5 and 6 gives results of Pavlović [9].

## 3. Proofs of the Theorems

Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of the following lemma.
Lemma 7. If $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\|f(z)\|-\|f(w)\|| \leq c(1-\|z\|)^{\alpha} \quad \text { whenever }\|w-z\| \leq 1-\|z\|, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there holds (3).

Proof. Fix $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ with $f(z) \neq 0$, and fix $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\|\beta\|=1$. Let $L \in X^{*},\|L\|=1$, where $X^{*}$ is the dual of $X$. Consider the scalar valued function

$$
\phi(z)=L \circ f(z)
$$

and introduce the following set for the given $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$,

$$
D_{z}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\|w-z\|<1-\|z\|\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{z}:=\sup \left\{\|f(w)\|: w \in D_{z}\right\}
$$

If $z=0$ and $M_{0}=1$, then the Schwarz-Pick lemma (see Theorem B) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1-|\phi(0)|^{2} \leq 2(1-|\phi(0)|) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is our inequality in this special case. The general case follows by applying the special case to the function $\Phi$ defined by

$$
\Phi(\zeta)=\frac{\phi(z+(1-\|z\|) \beta \zeta)}{M_{z}} \quad \text { for } \zeta \in \mathbb{B}^{n}
$$

As

$$
\Phi(0)=\frac{L(f(z))}{M_{z}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi^{\prime}(0)=\frac{(1-\|z\|)}{M_{z}} L\left(f^{\prime}(z) \beta\right)
$$

we deduce from (7) that

$$
(1-\|z\|)\left|L\left(f^{\prime}(z) \beta / 2\right)\right|+|L(f(z))| \leq M_{z}
$$

Hence, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, we obtain

$$
\left|\lambda(1-\|z\|) L\left(f^{\prime}(z) \beta / 2\right)+L(f(z))\right| \leq M_{z}
$$

Since this holds for every $L$ of norm 1, by taking the supremum over all $L$ with $\|L\|=1$ and by applying the Hahn-Banach theorem, we get

$$
\left\|\lambda \frac{(1-\|z\|) f^{\prime}(z) \beta}{2}+f(z)\right\| \leq M_{z}, \quad \text { i.e., }\left\|\frac{f(z)}{\|f(z)\|}+\lambda \frac{(1-\|z\|) f^{\prime}(z) \beta}{2\|f(z)\|}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{z}}{\|f(z)\|}
$$

Now denoting

$$
x=\frac{f(z)}{\|f(z)\|}, \quad y=\frac{(1-\|z\|) f^{\prime}(z) \beta}{2\|f(z)\|} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta=\frac{M_{z}-\|f(z)\|}{\|f(z)\|}
$$

we see from the definition of $\Omega_{X}$ that

$$
(1-\|z\|)\left\|f^{\prime}(z) \beta\right\| \leq 2\|f(z)\| \Omega_{X}\left(\frac{M_{z}-\|f(z)\|}{\|f(z)\|}\right)
$$

Hence, the last inequality holds for every $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\|\beta\|=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\|z\|)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq 2\|f(z)\| \Omega_{X}\left(\frac{M_{z}-\|f(z)\|}{\|f(z)\|}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by (6) and (8), we obtain that

$$
(1-\|z\|)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq 2\|f(z)\| \Omega_{X}\left(\frac{c(1-\|z\|)^{\alpha}}{\|f(z)\|}\right)
$$

Now (3) follows from the fact that $\Omega_{X}(t) / t$ is a decreasing function and the inequality $\|f(z)\| \leq K$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 8. If a $C^{1}$-function $u: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\|\nabla u(z)\| \leq \frac{\omega(1-\|z\|)}{1-\|z\|} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n},
$$

where $\omega$ is a Dini majorant, then

$$
|u(a)-u(b)| \leq 3 \widetilde{\omega}(\|a-b\|) \quad \text { for } a, b \in \mathbb{B}^{n}
$$

Proof. We begin the proof with the following observation: $\omega \leq \widetilde{\omega}$. In fact, we let $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$. Since $\frac{\omega(t)}{t}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\frac{\omega\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{0}} \leq \frac{\omega\left(t_{0} x\right)}{t_{0} x} \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1]
$$

Integrating on both sides of the last inequality from 0 to 1 , we obtain by definition of $\widetilde{\omega}$ that $\omega\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \widetilde{\omega}\left(t_{0}\right)$.

Let $\|a\| \leq\|b\| \leq 1$. By Lagrange's mean-value theorem,

$$
|u(a)-u(b)| \leq\|\nabla u(c)\|\|a-b\|,
$$

where $c=(1-\lambda) a+\lambda b$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Since $\|c\| \leq\|b\|$ and $\omega(t) / t$ decreases, we see that

$$
\frac{\omega(1-\|c\|)}{1-\|c\|} \leq \frac{\omega(1-\|b\|)}{1-\|b\|}
$$

and hence,

$$
|u(a)-u(b)| \leq \omega(\|a-b\|) \leq \widetilde{\omega}(\|a-b\|)
$$

under the condition $\|a-b\| \leq 1-\|b\|$.
If $1-\|b\| \leq\|a-b\| \leq 1-\|a\|$, then

$$
|u(a)-u(b)| \leq\left|u(a)-u\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|u\left(b^{\prime}\right)-u(b)\right|
$$

where $b^{\prime}=\frac{(1-\delta) b}{\|b\|}$ and $\delta=\|a-b\|$. Using the Lagrange's mean-value theorem as above we get

$$
\left|u(a)-u\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\omega\left(1-\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|\right)}{1-\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|}\left\|a-b^{\prime}\right\|=\frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta}\left\|a-b^{\prime}\right\| \leq \omega(\delta) \leq \widetilde{\omega}(\delta)
$$

In the case of $\left|u\left(b^{\prime}\right)-u(b)\right|$, we have

$$
\left|u\left(b^{\prime}\right)-u(b)\right| \leq \int_{\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|}^{\|b\|} \frac{\omega(1-t)}{1-t} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \int_{1-\delta}^{1} \frac{\omega(1-t)}{1-t} \mathrm{~d} t=\widetilde{\omega}(\delta)
$$

Finally, if $\delta>1-\|a\|$, we use the inequality

$$
|u(a)-u(b)| \leq\left|u(a)-u\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|u\left(a^{\prime}\right)-u\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|u\left(b^{\prime}\right)-u(b)\right|
$$

where $a^{\prime}=\frac{(1-\delta) a}{\|a\|}$, and then proceed in a similar way as above, using the inequality $\left\|a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}\right\| \leq$ $\|a-b\|$.

Lemma 9 can easily be proved by applying the previous lemma to the functions $\operatorname{Re}(L \circ f(z))$ and $\operatorname{Im}(L \circ f(z))$, where $L \in X^{*}$ and $\|L\|=1$.
Lemma 9. If $f$ is an $X$-valued holomorphic function in $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \frac{\omega(1-\|z\|)}{1-\|z\|} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}
$$

where $\omega$ is a Dini majorant, then $f \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\widetilde{\omega}, \mathbb{B}^{n}, X\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f$ satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. Then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z) / 2 K\right\| \leq \frac{\omega(1-\|z\|)}{1-\|z\|}
$$

by Theorem 1, where $\omega(t)=\Omega_{X}\left(c K^{-1} t^{\alpha}\right)$. But a simple calculation shows that $\widetilde{\omega}(t)=$ $\alpha^{-1} \widetilde{\Omega}_{X}\left(c K^{-1} t^{\alpha}\right)$ and so we can appeal to Lemma 9 to conclude the proof.

Proof of Theorem 5. The "only if" part is trivial. Assume that $\|f(z)\| \in \Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and we proceed as in Theorem 1. Fix $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ with $f(z) \neq 0$, and consider the following sets for a given $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$,

$$
D_{z}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\|w-z\|<1-\|z\|\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{z}:=\sup \left\{\|f(w)\|: w \in D_{z}\right\}
$$

If $z=0$ and $M_{0}=1$, Theorem $C$ gives

$$
|\nabla\|f\|(0)| \leq 1-\|f(0)\|^{2} \leq 2(1-\|f(0)\|)
$$

Therefore, from (5) and the formula (1), we have that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(0)\right\| \leq 2 K(1-\|f(0)\|)
$$

which is our inequality in this special case. The general case follows by applying the special case to the function $F$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\zeta)=\frac{f(z+\zeta(1-\|z\|))}{M_{z}} \quad \text { for } \zeta \in \mathbb{B}^{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 K}(1-\|z\|)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|+\|f(z)\| \leq M_{z} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}^{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|f\| \in \Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, we have

$$
\|f(w)\|-\|f(z)\| \leq c\|w-z\|^{\alpha} \leq c(1-\|z\|)^{\alpha}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ and $w \in D_{z}$. Taking the supremum over all $w \in D_{z}$ and then using the inequality (10), we get

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq C \frac{\omega(1-\|z\|)}{1-\|z\|}
$$

where $C$ is a constant and $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}$. The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 9.
Proof of Theorem 6. Let $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ and proceed the steps as in the above proof. If $z=0$ and $M_{0}=1$, then the higher dimensional version of Schwarz-Pick lemma (Theorem C) gives

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(0)\right\| \leq \sqrt{1-\|f(0)\|^{2}} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1-\|f(0)\|}
$$

which is our inequality in this special case. The general case follows by applying the special case to the function $F$ defined by (9). Indeed, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\|z\|)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq c \sqrt{M_{z}-\|f(z)\|} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c$. Since $\|f\| \in \Lambda_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, we have

$$
\|f(w)\|-\|f(z)\| \leq c\|w-z\|^{\alpha} \leq c(1-\|z\|)^{\alpha}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$ and $w \in D_{z}$. Taking the supremum over $w \in D_{z}$ and then using the inequality (11), we get

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq C \frac{\omega(1-\|z\|)}{1-\|z\|}
$$

where $C$ is a constant and $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha / 2}$. Now the result follows from Lemma 9.
Remark 10. The index $\alpha / 2$ in Theorem 6 is optimal as demonstrated by the following example (see [9]). Consider the function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ by $f(z)=\left(1,(1-z)^{\alpha / 2}\right), 0<\alpha \leq 1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\|f(z)\|-\|f(w)\|| & =\left|\sqrt{\|1-z\|^{\alpha}+1}-\sqrt{\|1-w\|^{\alpha}+1}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\|1-w\|^{\alpha}-\|1-z\|^{\alpha}\right| \leq\|z-w\|^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

while $\|f(1)-f(r)\|=(1-r)^{\alpha / 2}, 0<r<1$. This shows that the index $\alpha / 2$ is optimal.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

As mentioned in [3], the inequality (4) is in a sense optimal for the case $n=1$. To see this, let $\omega(t)>0$ be an arbitrary increasing function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\omega\left(0^{+}\right)=0$. We say that a Banach space $X$ has the property $\mathscr{L}(\omega, \alpha)$, if the following holds: For every $c \in(0,1)$ and every analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ with $\|f(0)\|=1$, the inequality (2) implies that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(\lambda)\right\| \leq \frac{\omega\left(c(1-|\lambda|)^{\alpha}\right)}{1-|\lambda|} \quad \text { for } \lambda \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

It is well-known that, if the Banach space $X$ has the property $\mathscr{L}(\omega, \alpha)$ (see [3, Proposition 10]), then $X$ is uniformly $c$-convex and $\Omega_{X}(\delta) \leq B \omega(\delta)$ for $0<\delta<1$, where $B$ is a constant. This result is to emphasize the fact that $\|f(0)\|=1$ provides condition for uniformly $c$-convexity of the Banach space $X$.
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