



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Mathématique*

K. Senthil Kumar

**Linear dependence of quasi-periods over the rationals**

Volume 359, issue 4 (2021), p. 409-414

Published online: 27 May 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.171>



This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)  
e-ISSN : 1778-3569



# Linear dependence of quasi-periods over the rationals

K. Senthil Kumar<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, P.O.Jatni, Khurda,  
Odisha-752 050, India  
E-mail: [senthil@niser.ac.in](mailto:senthil@niser.ac.in)

**Abstract.** In this note we shall show that a lattice  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent quasi-periods if and only if  $\omega_2/\omega_1$  is equivalent to a zero of the Eisenstein series  $E_2$  under the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the upper half plane of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 11J72, 11J89.

*Manuscript received 23rd March 2020, revised 7th August 2020, accepted 17th December 2020.*

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ , the upper half plane of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\sigma(z; \omega_1, \omega_2)$  and  $\zeta(z; \omega_1, \omega_2)$  respectively be the Weierstrass sigma and zeta functions associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  be the invariants of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The numbers  $\eta_1(\mathcal{L}) = \eta(\omega_1) = 2\zeta(\omega_1/2; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ ,  $\eta_2(\mathcal{L}) = \eta(\omega_2) = 2\zeta(\omega_2/2; \omega_1, \omega_2)$  are called *the quasi-periods* associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ . When  $\mathcal{L}$  is clear from the context, we simply write  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  instead of  $\eta_1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\eta_2(\mathcal{L})$  respectively. One of the long standing open problem in transcendental number theory is to find the dimension of the vector space  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  generated by

$$1, \omega_1, \omega_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \pi \tag{1}$$

over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Starting from the work of Siegel [10], Schneider [9], Baker [1], Coates [3, 4] and finally by Masser [8], it is now known that for a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  with algebraic invariants  $g_2, g_3$ , the vector space  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  has dimension 4 in the CM case and 6 in the non-CM case. This is because in the CM case, there are two linear relations among the numbers in (1). The first one is

$$\tau\omega_1 - \omega_2 = 0$$

where  $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and the other one is given by

$$C\eta_1 - \tau\eta_2 - \kappa\omega_2 = 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $C$  is the constant term of the minimal polynomial of  $\tau$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}(\tau, g_2, g_3)$  (see [8, Lemma 3.1] or [2, Theorem 8] for more details). Masser also proved that the number  $\kappa$  in (2)

vanishes if and only if  $\tau$  is congruent to  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  or  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$  under  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ; and in that case,  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ .

Apart from lattices with algebraic invariants, there are two more cases for which we know the dimension of  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$ . For example, if  $\omega_1 = 1$  and  $\omega_2 = i$  then by Siegel [10] at least one of the  $g_2, g_3$  is not algebraic. And by (2), the quotient  $\eta_2/\eta_1 = -i$  in this case. (Note that we used (2) to find the ratio  $\eta_2/\eta_1$ ; because, as we shall see later that,  $\eta_2/\eta_1$  depends only on  $\omega_2/\omega_1$  and not on  $g_2, g_3$ ; this ratio can also be obtained from (4) and (9) below by choosing an appropriate  $\gamma$ ). Hence by the Legendre’s relation [7, p. 241] the vector space  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  has dimension two. Similarly, if  $\omega_1 = 1$  and  $\omega_2 = \rho$  then in this case also at least one of the  $g_2, g_3$  is not algebraic and by (2) we have  $\eta_2/\eta_1 = \rho^{-1}$ . Hence in this case also the vector space  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  has dimension two. Except for these cases the author is not aware of any other lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  for which the dimension of  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  is known. In [6] Heins shown that a pair of complex numbers  $(z_1, z_2)$  occur as quasi-periods of some lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $|z_1| + |z_2| > 0$ . Thus there are lattices with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent quasi-periods, and therefore, for such lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  the vector space  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  has dimension at most five. Unfortunately, Heins method does not allow us to determine the lattices with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent quasi-periods. The purpose of this note is to classify all such lattices. For  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , the *generalised Eisenstein series of weight 2* is defined by

$$G_2(\tau) = \sum_c \sum_d (c\tau + d)^{-2} \tag{3}$$

where the sum is over all integers  $c$  and  $d$  with  $|c| + |d| > 0$ ; while the *normalised Eisenstein series of weight 2* is defined by

$$E_2(\tau) = 3G_2(\tau)/\pi^2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n)q^n \tag{4}$$

where  $\sigma_1(n)$  is the sum of all positive divisors of  $n$ , and  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ . Our main result is the following.

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent if and only if  $\tau$  is congruent to a zero of  $E_2(z)$  under  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \in \mathbb{H}$  is equivalent to a zero of  $E_2(z)$  under  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $V_{\mathcal{L}}$  has dimension at most 4 in the CM case and at most five in the non-CM case.*

We shall prove the Main Theorem in the next section. The proof relies on the formula expressing the quasi-periods in-terms of  $G_2$  (see Lemma 3) and the transformation formula of  $E_2$  given by

$$E_2(\gamma.\tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 E_2(\tau) + \frac{6c}{\pi i} (c\tau + d) \tag{5}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

### 2. Quasi-periods and Laurent’s expansions

Let  $\sigma(z; \tau) = \sigma(z; 1, \tau)$  and  $\zeta(z; \tau) = \zeta(z; 1, \tau)$  respectively be the Weierstrass sigma and zeta functions associated to the lattice  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ . These two functions are connected by the relation  $\zeta(z; \tau) = \frac{\sigma'(z; \tau)}{\sigma(z; \tau)}$ .

For  $\omega \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau} \setminus \{0\}$ , we write

$$\frac{1}{z - \omega} = -\frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{z^2}{\omega^3} - \frac{z^3}{\omega^4} - \dots$$

for  $z$  near the origin. Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} = -\frac{z^2}{\omega^3} - \frac{z^3}{\omega^4} - \dots.$$

Now summing over all non-zero periods of  $\mathcal{L}_\tau$  and adding the term  $1/z$ , we obtain

$$\zeta(z; \tau) = \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} G_{2k} z^{2k-1} \tag{6}$$

where  $G_{2k} = G_{2k}(\tau) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_\tau \setminus \{0\}} \omega^{-2k}$  for  $k \geq 2$  (the coefficients of even powers of  $z$  in (6) are zero, since  $\zeta(z; \tau)$  is an odd function).

The next lemma gives a connection between quasi-periods and the values of generalized Eisenstein series  $G_2$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\eta_1$  be the quasi-period associated to the period 1 of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}_\tau = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\eta_1 = G_2(\tau)$ .*

**Proof.** We follow the strategy as given in [7, Chapter 18]. Accordingly, we express the Laurent's expansion of  $\zeta(z; \tau)$  near the origin into two different ways and then comparing the corresponding coefficients we obtain the required representation for  $\eta_1$ . The first one is given by (6). For obtaining the second representation, let  $q_z = e^{2\pi iz}$ . Consider the function

$$\phi_1(z) = (2\pi i)^{-1} (q_z - 1) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q_{z+n\tau})(1 - q_{n\tau-z})}{(1 - q_{n\tau})^2}. \tag{7}$$

Since  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have  $|q_{n\tau}| < 1/2^n$  for large values of  $n$ , and hence, for such values

$$\left| \frac{q_{n\tau}}{(1 - q_{n\tau})^2} \right| < \frac{1}{(2^n - 1)^2}.$$

It follows that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(1 - q_{z+n\tau})(1 - q_{n\tau-z})}{(1 - q_{n\tau})^2} - 1 \right) \tag{8}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, the function  $\phi_1$  is entire. Moreover, it satisfying the following transformation formulas (see [7, p. 247] for more details):

$$\phi_1(z + 1) = \phi_1(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1(z + \tau) = -\frac{1}{q_z} \phi_1(z).$$

On the other hand, the entire function

$$\phi_2(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta_1 z^2} q_z^{1/2} \sigma(z; \tau)$$

also satisfies

$$\phi_2(z + 1) = \phi_2(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2(z + \tau) = -\frac{1}{q_z} \phi_2(z).$$

Therefore, the quotient  $\phi_1(z)/\phi_2(z)$  is elliptic. The product in (7) shows that both  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  have a simple zero at each point of  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$  and no other zeros. Hence  $\phi_1(z)/\phi_2(z)$  must be constant. Taking limit  $z \rightarrow 0$  we see that the constant is 1, and therefore  $\phi_1(z) = \phi_2(z)$ . We thus have

$$\sigma(z; \tau) = (2\pi i)^{-1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\eta_1 z^2} (q_z^{1/2} - q_z^{-1/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q_{z+n\tau})(1 - q_{n\tau-z})}{(1 - q_{n\tau})^2}.$$

Since the series in (8) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , taking logarithmic derivative term by term on the right side of the above equation we obtain

$$\zeta(z; \tau) = \eta_1 z + \pi i \left( \frac{q_z + 1}{q_z - 1} \right) + 2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q_{n\tau-z}}{1 - q_{n\tau-z}} - \frac{q_{z+n\tau}}{1 - q_{z+n\tau}} \right).$$

If we restrict the values of  $z$  such that  $|q_\tau| < |q_z| < |q_\tau^{-1}|$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q_{n\tau-z}}{1-q_{n\tau-z}} - \frac{q_{n\tau+z}}{1-q_{n\tau+z}} \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (q_{n\tau-z}^m - q_{n\tau+z}^m) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (q_z^{-m} - q_z^m) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n\tau}^m \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q_{m\tau}}{1-q_{m\tau}} \right) (q_z^{-m} - q_z^m). \end{aligned}$$

Near the origin, we have

$$i \left( \frac{q_z + 1}{q_z - 1} \right) = \cot \pi z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k} B_{2k}(\pi z)^{2k-1}}{(2k)!},$$

and

$$q_z^{-m} - q_z^m = -2i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2\pi m z)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$$

where  $B_r$  is the  $r^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli's number. Thus we have,

$$\zeta(z; \tau) = \eta_1 z + \pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k} B_{2k}(\pi z)^{2k-1}}{(2k)!} - 4\pi \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left( \frac{q_{m\tau}}{1-q_{m\tau}} \right) \frac{(2\pi m z)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}.$$

Now comparing the coefficients of  $z$  on the above equation with that of (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \frac{\pi^2 2^2 B_2}{2} - 8\pi^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{mq_{m\tau}}{1-q_{m\tau}} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left( 1 - 24 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{mq_{m\tau}}{1-q_{m\tau}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left( 1 - 24 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} mq_\tau^{\ell m} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left( 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n) q^n \right) = G_2(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

by (4). This completes the proof of the Lemma 2. □

There is a slight change in the notations used in the above lemma from that of [7, Chapter 18]. In [7], lattices in  $\mathbb{C}$  are written in the form  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  with the assumption  $\omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ . This implies that the quasi-period associated to the period 1 of the lattice  $\omega_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2)$  is denoted by  $\eta_2$  in [7, Chapter 18]. Whereas, in our notation lattices in  $\mathbb{C}$  are written in the form  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  with the assumption  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ . This implies that the quasi-period associated to the period 1 of the lattice  $\omega_1^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2)$  is denoted by  $\eta_1$ .

The following lemma is the homogeneous version of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \in \mathbb{H}$ . We have*

$$\eta_1 = \frac{G_2(\tau)}{\omega_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2 = \frac{\tau G_2(\tau) - 2\pi i}{\omega_1}. \tag{9}$$

**Proof.** By the Legendre's relation

$$\omega_2 \eta_1(\mathcal{L}) - \omega_1 \eta_2(\mathcal{L}) = 2\pi i,$$

hence it is sufficient to show that  $\eta_1(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{G_2(\tau)}{\omega_1}$ . Since  $\eta_1(\mathcal{L})$  is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ , it is enough to prove this lemma when  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ . We are thus reduced to show that for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have  $\eta_1(\mathcal{L}) = G_2(\tau)$ ; but, this is a consequence of Lemma 2. This completes the proof. □

### 3. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \in \mathbb{H}$ . By Lemma 3, the quotient  $\eta_2(\mathcal{L})/\eta_1(\mathcal{L})$  is a function of  $\tau$  and we denote it by  $F(\tau)$  (this function was first introduced and studied by Heins [5]). Hence by (4) and (9) we have

$$F(\tau) = \frac{\tau E_2(\tau) + 6/\pi i}{E_2(\tau)}. \tag{10}$$

It follows from this identity that  $\eta_1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\eta_2(\mathcal{L})$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent if and only if  $F(\tau)$  is a rational number (it is convenient here to assume  $\infty$  is a rational). Hence we are reduced to show that  $F(\tau)$  is a rational number if and only if there exists a zero  $\tau'$  of  $E_2(z)$  and a matrix  $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\tau = \gamma.\tau'$ .

If  $F(\tau) = \infty$ , then we have  $E_2(\tau) = 0$ . If  $F(\tau) = 0$ , then we have  $\tau E_2(\tau) + 6/\pi i = 0$ ; and hence  $E_2(\frac{-1}{\tau}) = \tau^2 E_2(\tau) + 6\tau/\pi i = 0$ . Suppose that  $F(\tau)$  is a rational number which is neither 0 nor  $\infty$ , say  $q/p$ , with  $(p, q) = 1$ . Then, by (10) we have

$$(-p\tau + q) E_2(\tau) = \frac{6p}{\pi i}. \tag{11}$$

Choose  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $pr - qs = -1$ . Then the matrix

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} s & -r \\ -p & q \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We set  $\tau' = \gamma.\tau$ . Then by (5),

$$E_2(\tau') = (-p\tau + q) \left( (-p\tau + q) E_2(\tau) - \frac{6p}{\pi i} \right),$$

which is equal to zero by (11).

Conversely, let  $\tau'$  be a zero of  $E_2(z)$ , and let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  be an element of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We shall show that  $F(\gamma.\tau')$  is a rational number. If  $c = 0$ , then  $\gamma = T^b$  where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $E_2(\gamma.\tau') = 0$ , and hence  $F(\gamma.\tau') = \infty$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix}$ , and hence  $\gamma.\tau' = \frac{-1}{\tau'+d}$ . It follows from (5) that  $F(\gamma.\tau') = 0$ . Now let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  be an element of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $ac \neq 0$ . Then, by (5) we have

$$0 = E_2(\tau') = E_2(\gamma^{-1}(\gamma.\tau')) = (-c(\gamma.\tau') + a)^2 E_2(\gamma.\tau') - \frac{6c}{\pi i} (-c(\gamma.\tau') + a).$$

Since  $\tau'$  is not a rational number we must have

$$(\gamma.\tau' - a/c) E_2(\gamma.\tau') + \frac{6}{\pi i} = 0.$$

Again by (5), we have  $E_2(\gamma.\tau') \neq 0$ , from this we conclude that  $F(\gamma.\tau') = a/c$  is a rational number, and this completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

### 4. Concluding remarks

It is expected that the zeros of  $E_2$  are transcendental; but so far none of them is known to be transcendental. One may ask whether transcendence of  $\omega_2/\omega_1$  is a necessary condition for  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  to have  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent quasi-periods? The answer is no. For example, the quasi-periods associated to  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent. It is interesting to classify all lattices with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly dependent quasi-periods.

#### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the referee for the careful reading and helpful suggestions on the earlier version of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] A. Baker, "On the quasi-periods of the Weierstrass  $\zeta$ -function", *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gött., II. Math.-Phys. Kl.* **1969** (1970), p. 145-157.
- [2] W. D. Brownawell, K. K. Kubota, "The algebraic independence of Weierstrass functions and some related numbers", *Acta Arith.* **33** (1977), p. 111-149.
- [3] J. Coates, "Linear forms in the periods of the exponential and elliptic functions", *Invent. Math.* **12** (1971), p. 290-299.
- [4] ———, "The transcendence of linear forms in  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, 2\pi i$ ", *Am. J. Math.* **93** (1971), p. 385-397.
- [5] M. H. Heins, "On the pseudo-periods of the Weierstrass zeta-functions", *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **3** (1966), p. 266-268.
- [6] ———, "On the pseudo-periods of the Weierstrass zeta functions. II.", *Nagoya Math. J.* **30** (1967), p. 113-119.
- [7] S. Lang, *Elliptic functions*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 112, Springer, 1987.
- [8] D. Masser, *Elliptic functions and transcendence*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 437, Springer, 1975.
- [9] T. Schneider, *Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 81, Springer, 1957.
- [10] C. L. Siegel, "Über die Perioden elliptischer Funktionen", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **167** (1932), p. 62-69.