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# The Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on p-adic numbers field 

Cui Minggen<br>Zhang Yanying


#### Abstract

In this paper, two important geometric concepts- grapical center and width, are introduced in $p$-adic numbers field. Based on the concept of width, we give the Heisenberg uncertainty relation on harmonic analysis in $p$-adic numbers field, that is the relationship between the width of a complex-valued function and the width of its Fourier transform on $p$-adic numbers field.


## 1 Introduction

In reference [1], wavelet transform is introduced to the field of $p$-adic numbers. In references [2] and [5], some theory of wavelet analysis and affine frame on harmonic analysis are introduced to the field of $p$-adic numbers respectively on the basis of a mapping $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (field of $p$-adic numbers)

In this paper, based on the same mapping $\mathbf{P}$ we will give the Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on $p$-adic numbers field as

$$
\triangle_{f} \triangle_{\widehat{f}} \geq \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}}
$$

where $\Delta_{f}, \Delta_{\widehat{f}}$ are the widths of function $f$ and its transform $\widehat{f}$ respectively.
The field of the $p$-adic numbers is defined as the completion of field $\mathbf{Q}$ of rationals with respect to the $p$-adic metric induced by the $p$-adic norm $|\cdot|_{p}$ (see [6]). A $p$-adic numbers $x_{p} \neq 0$ is uniquely represented in the canonical form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{p}=p^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} p^{k},\left|x_{p}\right|_{p}=p^{r}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \in Z$ and $x_{k} \in Z$ such that $0 \leq x_{k} \leq p-1, x_{0} \neq 0$, For $x_{p}, y_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}$, we define $x_{p}<y_{p}$ either when $\left|x_{p}\right|_{p}<\left|y_{p}\right|_{p}$ or when $\left|x_{p}\right|_{p}=\left|y_{p}\right|_{p}$, and there exist
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an integer $j$ such that $x_{0}=y_{0}, \cdots, x_{j-1}=y_{j-1}, x_{j}<y_{j}$ from the viewpoint of (1.1). By interval $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]$, we mean the set defined by $\left\{x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \mid a_{p} \leq x_{p} \leq b_{p}\right\}$.

The mapping $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ are introduced in the references [5] and [2] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(0)=0 ; \mathbf{P}\left(p^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} p^{-k}\right)=p^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} p^{k} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that if $x_{R}=p^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k} p^{-k} \in \mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}, x_{0} \neq 0$ and $0 \leq x_{k} \leq p-1$, then it has another expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{R}=p^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{k} p^{-k}+\left(x_{n}-1\right) p^{-n}+(p-1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p^{-k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

that we won't use it in this paper. Let $\mathbf{M}_{R}$ be the set of numbers that is expressed by formula (1.3) and $\mathbf{M}_{p}=\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbb{M}_{R}\right)$. Let $B_{r}\left(a_{p}\right)=\left\{x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \|\right.$ $\left.\left|x_{p}-a_{p}\right|_{p} \leq p^{r}, r \in Z\right\}, S_{r}\left(a_{p}\right)=\left\{x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \|\left|x_{p}-a_{p}\right|_{p}=p^{r}, r \in Z\right\}$. According to (1.2), we reach a conclusion that for an interval $\left[a_{R}, b_{R}\right]$ in $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ and its corresponding interval $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{P}\left\{B_{r}\left(a_{p}\right)\right\}=\left[0, p^{r+1}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
& \mathbf{P}\left\{S_{r}\left(a_{p}\right)\right\}=\left[p^{r}, p^{r+1}\right),  \tag{1.5}\\
& \mathbf{P}\left\{\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right)\right\}=\left[a_{R}, b_{R}\right),  \tag{1.6}\\
& \left|a_{R}-b_{R}\right| \leq p\left|a_{P}-b_{p}\right|_{p}, \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{P}\left(a_{R}\right)=a_{p}, \mathbf{P}\left(b_{R}\right)=b_{p}($ see [3]). Let $f$ be a complex-valued function on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, for $x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$, let
$f\left(x_{p}\right)=f\left(\mathbf{P} \circ \mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)\right)=(f \circ \mathbf{P})\left(x_{R}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right),\left(f_{R}=f \circ \mathbf{P}\right), x_{R}=\mathbf{P}^{-1} x_{p}$.
From (1.7), we know that the inverse mapping $\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ is continuous on $\mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$

## 2 A Haar measure on $Q$ and integration

In this section, a Haar measure is constructed by using the mapping $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ into $\mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$ and the Lebesque measure on $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$. The symbol
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$\sum$ is the set of all compact subsets of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and $S$ is the $\sigma-$ ring generated by $\sum$.
Definition 2.1: Let $E \in S$, and put $\mathbf{E}_{p}=\mathbf{E} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$, and $\mathbf{E}_{R}=\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{E}_{p}\right)$. If $\mathbf{E}_{R}$ is a measurable set on $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$, then we call $\mathbf{E}$ a measurable set on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and define a set function $\mu_{p}(\mathbf{E})$ on $\mathbf{S}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(\mathbf{E})=\frac{1}{p} \mu\left(\mathbf{E}_{R}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu\left(\mathbf{E}_{R}\right)$ is the Lebesque measure on $\mathbf{E}_{R}$. This $\mu_{p}(\mathbf{E})$ is called the measure on $\mathbf{E}$.

By the Definition 2.1, some examples can be given immediately:
(1) Let $a_{p}, b_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then $\mu_{p}\left\{\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]\right\}=\left(b_{R}-a_{R}\right) / p$ (see (1.7))
(2) $\mu_{p}\left\{B_{r}(0)\right\}=p^{r}($ see (1.4))
(3) $\mu_{p}\left\{S_{r}(0)\right\}=p^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)($ see $(1.5))$
(4) Let $\left\{B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$ be disjoint discs covering $\mathbf{E}$, by the definition of measure $\mu_{p}$ and definition of Lebesque exterior measure on $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$, it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(\mathbf{E})=\inf _{r_{i} \in Z} \mu_{p}\left\{\cup_{i} B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) $\mu_{p}\left(\mathbf{M}_{p}\right)=0$.

It is obvious that $\mu_{p}$, by Definition 2.1, is countably additive. In order to prove that $\mu_{p}$ is a Haar measure, we will give the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2: If $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}\left\{B_{r}(\alpha)\right\}=\mu_{p}\left\{B_{r}(0)\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: $\quad 1^{\circ}$ Let $\alpha=p^{-r_{1}}$, for $r_{1}>r, r_{1}, r \in Z$, and put $x=p^{-r_{1}}+$ $p^{-r} \sum_{0 \leq x_{k}<\infty} x_{k} p^{k}, x_{0} \neq 0,0 \leq x_{k}<p$. Then $\mathbf{E}$ is the set of all these $p$-adic numbers when $x_{k}$ change for $k=0,1, \ldots, p-1$. We write $\mathbf{E}_{p}=\left\{x_{p} \mid x_{p} \in \mathbf{E} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}\right\}$. For $x_{p} \in \mathbf{E}_{p}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)=p^{r_{1}}+p^{r} \sum_{0 \leq K<\infty} x_{k} p^{-k} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathbf{M}_{R}=\left[p^{r_{1}}, p^{r_{1}}+p^{r+1}\right)$ is the set of all real numbers as presented in (2.4) (see(1.4)). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}\left(\alpha+B_{r}(0)\right)=\mu_{p}\left(B_{r}(\alpha)\right)=\frac{1}{p} \mu\left(\mathbf{E}_{R}\right)=p^{r}=\mu_{p}\left(B_{r}(0)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$2^{\circ}$ Let $\alpha=p^{-r_{2}}$, for $r_{2} \leq r, r_{2}, r \in Z$. then $\alpha+B_{r}(0)=B_{r}(\alpha)=B_{r}(0)$, by $\alpha \in B_{r}(0)$. So that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}\left(B_{r}(\alpha)\right)=\mu_{p}\left(B_{r}(0)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$3^{\circ}$ Let $\alpha=p^{-r_{3}} \sum_{0 \leq k<\infty} \alpha_{k} p^{k}$, and put $\alpha^{n}=p^{-r_{3}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \alpha_{k} p^{k}$, applying to the result of $1^{\circ}$ and $\overline{2}^{\circ}$ repeatedly in this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}\left(\alpha^{n}+B_{r}(0)\right)=\mu_{p}\left(B_{r}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)\right)=\mu_{p}\left(B_{r}(0)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{p}\left(B_{r}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \mu\left\{\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(B_{r p}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{r p}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)=B_{r}\left(\alpha^{n}\right) \backslash M_{p}$. By the continuity of the mapping $\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ (see(1.7)), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \mu\left\{\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(B_{r p}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{p}\left\{\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mu\left\{\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(B_{r p}(\alpha)\right)\right\}=\mu_{p}\left\{B_{r}(\alpha)\right\}\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The part $3^{\circ}$ follows from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9).
Theorem 2.3: (The translation invariance of the measure $\mu_{p}$ ) Let $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{S}$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(\alpha+\mathbf{E})=\mu_{p}(\mathbf{E}) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\left\{B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be disjoint discs covering $\mathbf{E}$, then $\left\{B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}+\alpha\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint discs covering $\alpha+E$. By the formula (2.2) in the example 4 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(\alpha+E)=\inf _{r_{i} \in Z} \mu_{p}\left\{\cup\left\{\alpha+B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\}\right. \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the lemma 2.2 to the right side of the above formula, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{r_{i} \in Z} \mu_{p}\left\{\cup_{i} B_{r_{i}}\left(\alpha+a_{i}\right)\right\} \\
= & \inf _{r_{i} \in Z} \sum_{i} \mu_{p}\left\{B_{r_{i}}\left(\alpha+a_{i}\right)\right\} \\
= & \inf _{r_{i} \in Z} \sum_{i} \mu_{p}\left\{B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\} \\
= & \inf _{r_{i} \in Z} \mu_{p}\left\{\cup_{i} B_{r_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\} \\
= & \mu_{p}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Therefore, $\mu_{p}$ is a Haar measure.
According to the above definition of Haar measure, we can define the integration over measurable sets $\mathbf{E}$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (firstly define the integration of simple functions, then regard the limit of integration of simple functions as the definition of the integration of general functions (see [4]))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} f\left(x_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{p} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the theorem 2.3, the definition of measure and (1.8), we have the following theorem
Theorem 2.4: Suppose $f\left(x_{p}\right)$ is a complex-valued function on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then $f\left(x_{p}\right)$ is integrable over the interval $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]\left(a_{p}, b_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$, if and only if the real function $f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)$ defined on $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ is integrable over the interval $\left[a_{R}, b_{R}\right]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]} f\left(x_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu\left(x_{p}\right)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{a_{R}}^{b_{R}} f\left(x_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu\left(x_{R}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)$ is defined by (1.8), and $\mathbf{P}\left(x_{R}\right)=x_{p}, \mathbf{P}\left(a_{R}\right)=a_{p}, \mathbf{P}\left(b_{R}\right)=$ $b_{p}, a_{p}, b_{p} \bar{\in} \mathbf{M}_{p}$

Corollary 2.5: If $f\left(x_{p}\right)$ is a bounded continuous function on the interval $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right] \subset \mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then $f\left(x_{p}\right)$ is integrable over $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]$, where $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]$ can be $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$.

Notice that under the condition of theorem $f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)$ is a bounded piecewise continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ by (1.4), By the theorem 2.4, $f\left(x_{p}\right)$ is integrable.

## 3 The indefinite integral and derivative of complex-valued function in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$

Definition 3.1: Let $f$ be a complex-valued function defined in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and for $\forall x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}, f$ is integrable on interval $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{p}\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{p}} g \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called on indefinite integral of $g$.
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Definition 3.2: Let $f$ be a complex-valued function defined in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, if there exist an integrable complex-valued function $g$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{p}\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{p}} g \mathrm{~d} x_{p}, \quad x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $g\left(x_{p}\right)$ is called the derivative of $f$, which we will denote as $f^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)$.
In formula (3.2), let $f=1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\left[0, x_{p}\right]\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{p}} \mathrm{~d} \mu \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (3.3) follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mu^{\prime}\left(\left[0, x_{p}\right]\right)=1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3: For complex-valued functions $f, h$ on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, if $(f)_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)$ and $(h)_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)$ are absolutely continuous, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{R}^{\prime}\left(x_{R}\right)=\left(f^{\prime}\right)_{R}\left(x_{R}\right) \\
\left(f\left(x_{p}\right) h\left(x_{p}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right) h\left(x_{p}\right)+f\left(x_{p}\right) h^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof: Let $f^{\prime}=g$ and $g\left(x_{p}\right)=g\left(\mathbf{P}\left(x_{R}\right)\right)=(g \circ \mathbf{P})\left(x_{R}\right)=g_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)$. By definition (3.2) and theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{p}\right) & =\int_{0}^{x_{p}} g\left(x_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{p} \\
& =\int_{0}^{x_{R}} g_{R}\left(x_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{R} \\
& =f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{R}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{R}\right)=g_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)=g\left(x_{p}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)=\left(f^{\prime}\right)_{R}\left(x_{R}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f\left(x_{p}\right) h\left(x_{p}\right)\right)^{\prime} & =\left(f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right) h_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(f_{R}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{R}\right) h_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)+f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)\left(h_{R}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{R}\right) \\
& =\left(f^{\prime}\right)_{R}\left(x_{R}\right) h_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)+f_{R}\left(x_{R}\right)\left(h^{\prime}\right)_{R}\left(x_{R}\right) \\
& =f^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right) h\left(x_{p}\right)+f\left(x_{p}\right) h^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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From (3.6) it follows that
Corollary 3.4: If a complex-valued function $h\left(x_{R}\right)$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$, then $f\left(x_{p}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(h \mathbf{P}^{-1}\right)\left(x_{p}\right)$ is derivable on $\mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$.

Corollary 3.5: A locally constant function is derivable on $\mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$, and its derivative is equal to 0 .

Similarly, we can prove
Theorem 3.6: If $f$ is derivable on $\left[a_{p}, b_{p}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{p}}^{b_{p}} f^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu=f\left(b_{p}\right)-f\left(a_{p}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Center and width of the graph of $f$

In this section, we will introduce the concepts of center and width of complexvalued function graph in the filed of p-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$.
Definition 4.1: Let $f$ be a complex-valued function of p -adic variable. We define the center $t_{f}$ of the graph $\left\{\left(x_{p}, f\left(x_{p}\right)\right) \mid x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right\}$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
t_{f}^{(R)} & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{Q_{p} \backslash M_{p}} \mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p} \backslash M_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}  \tag{4.1}\\
t_{f} & =\mathbf{P}\left(t_{f}^{(R)}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

if the integral (4.1) exists.
Definition 4.2: For a complex-valued function of $p$-adic variable, we define the width of $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{f}=\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|x_{p}-t_{f}\right|^{2}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the integral (4.2) exists.
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Theorem 4.3: Let $\mathbf{P}\left(t_{f}^{(R)}-a_{R}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(t_{f}^{(R)}\right)-\mathbf{P}\left(a_{R}\right), a_{R}=\mathbf{P}^{-1}(a), a \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$.
(1) If $f$ is increasing, then $t_{T_{a} f}=t_{f}-a$
(2) Suppose $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B_{r}(0)$. For $a=p^{-\beta}$, if $\beta>r$, then $t_{T_{a} f}=t_{f}-a$
(3) For $a=p^{-\beta}, \beta \in Z$, then

$$
t_{s_{a} f}=a t_{f}
$$

where $T_{a} f\left(x_{p}\right)=f\left(x_{p}+a\right), S_{a} f\left(x_{p}\right)=f\left(\frac{x_{p}}{a}\right)$.
Proof: (1) Under the condition of (1) in this theorem, using

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(x_{R}+a_{R}\right) \geq \mathbf{P}\left(x_{R}\right)+\mathbf{P}\left(a_{R}\right)
$$

we have

$$
(f \circ \mathbf{P})\left(x_{R}+a_{R}\right) \geq f(x+a)
$$

where $x_{R}=\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right), x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{T_{a f}}^{(R)} & =\int_{Q_{p} \backslash M_{p}} \mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)\left|T_{a} f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|T_{a} f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
& =\int_{Q_{p} \backslash M_{p}} \mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)|f(x+a)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
& \leq \int_{R^{+}} x_{R}\left|(f \circ \mathbf{P})\left(x_{R}+a_{R}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{R} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
& =\int_{R^{+}}\left(x_{R}-a_{R}\right)\left|(f \circ \mathbf{P})\left(x_{R}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{R} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
& =-a_{R}+\int_{R^{+}} x_{R}\left|(f \circ \mathbf{P})\left(x_{R}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{R} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
& =-a_{R}+\int_{Q_{p} \backslash M_{p}} \mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
& =-a_{R}+t_{f}^{(R)} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $\mu\left(\mathbf{M}_{p}\right)=0$, and for $x_{p}, a \in B_{r}(0) \cap\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}\right), x_{p}+a \in B_{r}(0)$. On the other hand, using inequation $\mathbf{P}^{-1}(x-a) \geq \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x)-\mathbf{P}^{-1}(a)$, we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{T_{a} f}^{(R)} \geq t_{f}^{(R)}-a_{R} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$
t_{T_{a f}}^{(R)}=t_{f}^{(R)}-a_{R}
$$
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Finally, from the condition of (1) in theorem, we have

$$
t_{T_{a f}}=\mathbf{P}\left(t_{f}^{(R)}-a_{R}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(t_{f}^{(R)}\right)-\mathbf{P}\left(a_{R}\right)=t_{f}-a
$$

Conclusion of (1) in theorem is proved. (2) and (3) can be proved similarly.

Theorem 4.4: (1) If $f(x)$ and $a, t_{f}$ satisfy the condition of theorem 3.3, then

$$
\triangle_{T_{a} f}=\triangle_{f}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{S_{a} f}=|a|_{p} \triangle_{f} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: For (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle_{T_{a} f} & =\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|x_{p}-t_{T_{a} f}\right|_{p}^{2}\left|T_{a} f\right|^{2}\left(x_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|T_{a} f\right|^{2}\left(x_{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|x_{p}-\left(t_{f}-a\right)\right|_{p}^{2}\left|f\left(x_{p}+a\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}+a\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|t_{p}-t_{f}\right|_{p}^{2}\left|f\left(t_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(t_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\triangle_{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) can be proved similarly.

After doing the preparation of section 1-4, we will give a theorem on harmonic analysis which is about the relation of the width of complex function in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and the width of its Fourier transform. This theorem is similar to the Heisenberg uncertainty relation in quantum mechanics.

## 5 Main theorem

Lemma 5.1: Let $x_{p} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then $\mu\left(\left[0, x_{p}\right]\right) \leq\left|x_{p}\right|_{p}$
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Proof: For $x_{p} \in \mathbf{P}_{p} \backslash \mathbf{M}_{p}$

$$
x_{p}=p^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} p^{k} \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}, \quad x_{0} \neq 0,0 \leq x_{k} \leq p-1
$$

and therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)=p^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} p^{-k} \leq p^{r-1}(p-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{-k}=\left|x_{p}\right|_{p} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of measure $\mu_{p}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(x_{p}\right)=\mu\left(\left[0, x_{p}\right]\right)
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mu\left(\left[0, x_{p}\right]\right) \leq\left|x_{p}\right|_{p} / p \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.2: Let $f$ be complex-valued function of p-adic variable. If $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right), f^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\left|b_{p}\right|_{p} \rightarrow \infty}\left|b_{p}\right|_{p}\left|f\left(b_{p}\right)\right|^{2}=0, f(0)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following inequality is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \leq \triangle_{f} \triangle_{\widehat{f}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{f}$ is the transform of $f$,

$$
\widehat{f}\left(\xi_{p}\right)=\int_{Q_{p}} f\left(x_{p}\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i\left\{\xi_{p} x_{p}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{p}
$$

and by means of representation (1.1), $\left\{x_{p}\right\}$ is defined as

$$
\left\{x_{p}\right\}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } r\left(x_{p}\right) \geq 0 \text { or } x_{p}=0 \\ p^{r}\left(x_{0}+x_{1} p+\cdots+x_{|r|-1} p^{|r|-1}\right) & \text { if } r\left(x_{p}\right)<0\end{cases}
$$

Inequality (5.4) is called the Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on p-adic numbers field.

## The Heisenberg uncertainty relation

Proof: By using (3.4) and theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right)\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right) f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\widehat{f}} x_{p}\right) \overline{f\left(x_{p}\right)} \chi_{p}\left(t_{\hat{f}} x_{p}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
=\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2}+\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right)\left(f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\widehat{f}} x_{p}\right)\right)^{\prime} \overline{f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\widehat{f}} x_{p}\right)} \\
+\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right) f(x) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\widehat{f}} x_{p}\right) \overline{\left[f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\hat{f}} x_{p}\right)\right]^{\prime}} \tag{5.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.7) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{b_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\left.\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right)\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2}\right|_{0} ^{b_{p}} \\
-\int_{0}^{b_{p}} \bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right) \overline{f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\overparen{f}} x_{p}\right)}\left(f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\widehat{f}} x_{p}\right)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x_{p} \\
-\int_{0}^{b_{p}} \bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right) f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\overparen{f}} x_{p}\right) \overline{\left[f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\overparen{f}} x_{p}\right)\right]^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x_{p} \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $\chi_{p}\left(t_{\hat{f}} x_{p}\right)=\exp \left(2 \pi i\left\{t_{\hat{f}} x_{p}\right\}\right)$
By taking the limit of (5.5) as $|b|_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5.2),(5.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} \\
\leq & 2\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left(\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right)\right)^{2}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|\left[f\left(x_{p}\right) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\hat{f}} x_{p}\right)\right]^{\prime}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
= & \left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left(\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right)\right)^{2}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|\left[f(\cdot) \chi_{p}\left(t_{\hat{f}} \cdot\right)\right]^{\prime \wedge}(\xi)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \\
= & 2\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left(\bar{\mu}\left(x_{p}-t_{f}\right)\right)^{2}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{Q_{p}} 4 \pi^{2}|\xi|^{2}\left|\widehat{f}\left(\xi+t_{\hat{f}}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the Hölder inequality for the integral and $f^{\prime}(\cdot)^{\wedge}(\xi)=-2 \pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi)$, $(f, f)_{L^{2}\left(Q_{p}\right)}=(\widehat{f}, \widehat{f})_{L^{2}\left(Q_{p}\right)},\left(f(\cdot) \chi_{p}(a \cdot)\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\widehat{f}(\xi+a)$ From (5.2), we have

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \leq\left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|x_{p}-t_{f}\right|_{p}^{2}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|f\left(x_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{p}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

## M.G.Cui, Y.Y.Zhang

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{Q_{p}}\left|\xi_{p}-t_{\widehat{f}}\right|_{p}^{2}\left|\widehat{f}\left(\xi_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi_{p} / \int_{Q_{p}}\left|\widehat{f}\left(\xi_{p}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi_{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
= & \triangle_{f} \triangle_{\hat{f}} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have completed our proof.
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