Annales de l'institut Fourier

JOHN C. TAYLOR

On Deny's characterization of the potential kernel for a convolution Feller semi-group

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 25, n° 3-4 (1975), p. 519-537 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF 1975 25 3-4 519 0>

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

ON DENY'S CHARACTERIZATION OF THE POTENTIAL KERNEL FOR A CONVOLUTION FELLER SEMI-GROUP (1)

by J. C. TAYLOR

Dédié à Monsieur M. Brelot à l'occasion de son 70^e anniversaire.

Introduction.

Let G be an abelian locally compact group and let \aleph be a positive Radon measure with the property that the kernel V defined by $Vf(x) = (f * \varkappa)(x) = \int f(xy^{-1})\varkappa(dx)$ satisfies the domination principle. In [1] Deny characterized those measures \varkappa for which $V = \int_0^\infty P_t dt$ where (P_t) is a convolution semigroup such that $(x, t) \to P_t(x, \Phi)$ is continuous for all $\Phi \in C_c(G)$. In particular, if V satisfies the complete maximum principle, his result characterizes the convolution Feller semi-groups.

The purpose of this article is to extend Deny's result, when V is assumed to satisfy the complete maximum principle, to the case where G is replaced by a homogeneous space E = G/K with G an arbitrary locally compact group and K a compact subgroup of G. Specifically, the following is proved (see theorem 3.10):

Theorem. — Assume that G is σ -compact. Let (P_t) be a Feller semigroup on E that commutes with the action of G

⁽¹⁾ This work was materially supported by NRC Grant No. A-3108.

on E. Assume that for any compact set $A \subseteq E$,

$$V1_A = \int_0^\infty P_t 1_A dt$$

is finite. Let x be the K-invariant measure on E defined by $\langle x, \Phi \rangle = V\Phi(0)$.

Then x satisfies the following condition:

- D) There is a base \mathscr{B} for the neighbourhood filter of 0 such that for each $B \in \mathscr{B}$ there exists $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ with
 - (1) $\sigma * \varkappa \leq \varkappa$;
 - (2) $\sigma * \varkappa \neq \varkappa$, $\sigma * \varkappa = \varkappa$ on $\int B$; and
 - (3) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma * \varkappa^n = 0$.

Conversely, if x satisfies D) and the kernel Vf = f * x satisfies the complete maximum principle then there is a unique convolution Feller semi-group (P_t) with

$$V = \int_0^\infty P_l \, dt.$$

The condition of σ -compactness is not essential but for the sake of simplicity the detailed proofs are given under this assumption. The measure-theoretic complements needed to permit arguments to carry over in the general case are outlined in the appendix.

Let X be a locally compact space. Then $\mathscr X$ denotes the σ -ring generated by the compact subsets of X and $f \in \mathscr X^+$ if $\{f > 0\} = A \in \mathscr X$ and f|A is measurable and non-negative relative to $\mathscr X|A$. The set of non-negative Radon measures is denoted by $M^+(X)$ and $C_c^+(X)$ (resp. $C_o^+(X)$) denotes the set of non-negative continuous functions with compact support (resp. vanishing at infinity).

A kernel is viewed as an operator on functions as in [2] rather than as an operator on measures as in [1].

1. The resolvent defined by a convolution kernel.

Let G be a locally compact group whose topology is σ-compact and denote by K a compact subgoup. Let E denote the locally compact quotient space G/K of right cosets and

denote by π the projection of G onto E (let $\pi(t)$ be also denoted by [t]). Let 0 = [e], e the identity of G.

Denote by κ a positive Radon measure on E and let m be the left-invariant probability measure on K. Define the measure $\tilde{\kappa}$ on G by setting

$$\langle \mathbf{\tilde{x}},f
angle = \int \left[\int f(tx^{-1}) m \; (dx) \,
ight] \mathbf{x} \; (d[t]),$$

for $f \in \mathcal{G}^+$ (note that $t \to f^{\#}(t) = \int f(tx^{-1})m(dx)$ is constant on each right coset since a compact group is unimodular).

Define the translation kernels T_t and S_t by the formulas $(T_t f)(x) = f(t^{-1}x)$ and $(S_t f)(x) = f(xt^{-1})$, $f \in G^+$. A Radon measure α on G is said to be K-right-invariant if

$$\langle \alpha, S_t f \rangle = \langle \alpha, f \rangle$$

for all $t \in K$ and $f \in \mathscr{G}^+$. The measure \tilde{x} is then the unique K-right invariant measure α on G whose image $\pi(\alpha) = \kappa$ and the map $\kappa \to \tilde{\kappa}$ identifies $M^+(E)$ with the set of K-right-invariant measures on G (note that $\langle \tilde{\kappa}, f \rangle = \langle \kappa, \overline{f} \rangle$, where $f^{\#} = \overline{f} \circ \pi$ and $(\overline{S_f}) = \overline{f}$ if $t \in K$).

If $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$ let $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$. Then $g \in \mathscr{G}^+$ is of the form $g = \tilde{f}, f \in \mathscr{E}^+$, if and only if $S_t g = g$ for all $t \in K$. Consequently, if $g \in \mathscr{G}^+$ and $\varkappa \in M^+(E)$ the function h defined by $h(x) = (g * \tilde{\varkappa})(x) = \int g(xt^{-1})\tilde{\varkappa} \ (dt)$ is of the form $h = \tilde{l}, l \in \mathscr{E}^+$. As a result, if $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$ there is a unique function $g \in \mathscr{E}^+$ with $\tilde{g} = \tilde{f} * \tilde{\varkappa}$. Define g to be $f * \varkappa$. Clearly $f \to f * \varkappa$ defines a kernel N such that $NT_t = T_t N$ for all $t \in G$ and $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$ (note that $T_t f([x]) = f([t^{-1}x])$). Such a kernel will be called a convolution kernel.

A measure μ on E is said to be K-invariant if

$$\langle \mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, T_t f \rangle$$

for all $t \in K$ and $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$. This is equivalent to requiring that $\langle \tilde{\mu}, g \rangle = \langle \tilde{\mu}, S_t g \rangle = \langle \tilde{\mu}, T_t g \rangle$ for all $t \in K$ and $g \in \mathscr{G}^+$, i.e. $\tilde{\mu}$ is K-bi-invariant.

Lemma 1.1. — Let N be a convolution kernel on E. Then there exists a unique K-invariant measure α on E such

that $Nf = f * \alpha$ for all $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$. In case $Nf = f * \varkappa$ the measure $\alpha = \pi((\tilde{\beta})^{\check{}})$, where $\beta = \pi((\tilde{\varkappa})^{\check{}})$.

Proof. — Define $\langle \beta, f \rangle = Nf(0)$. Then, if $t \in K$,

$$\langle \beta, f \rangle = Nf(0) = (T_t Nf)(0) = N(T_t f)(0) = \langle \beta, T_t f \rangle.$$

Hence, β is K-invariant.

Clearly, $N([x], f) = \int \tilde{f}(xs)\tilde{\beta}(xs)$ if $x \in G$ and $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$. Further, $\tilde{\beta}$ is K-biinvariant and so $\alpha = \pi((\tilde{\beta})^*)$ is K-invariant. Hence, $\tilde{\alpha} = (\tilde{\beta})^*$ and so

$$N([x], f) = (\tilde{f} * \tilde{\alpha})(x) = (f * \alpha)[x].$$

The uniqueness of α is clear as is the fact that $N = * \alpha$ implies $\beta = \pi((\tilde{\alpha}))$.

Let $x \in M^+(E)$ be such that the kernel V defined by Vf = f * x satisfies the complete maximum principle (note that x is not assumed to be K-invariant). Since x is Radon, V is proper and so, as remarked in [3], it is reasonable to define $u \in \mathscr{E}^+$ as excessive if $u = \sup_n Vf_n$ with $(f_n) \subseteq \mathscr{E}^+$ and (Vf_n) increasing. Also, $u \in \mathscr{E}^+$ is said to be supermedian if, for all f and $g \in \mathscr{E}^+$, $u + Vf \geqslant Vg$ on $\{g > 0\}$ implies $u + Vf \geqslant Vg$.

If α , $\beta \in M^+(G)$ and β is K-right invariant then an easy calculation shows that $\alpha * \beta$ is also K-right-invariant. Hence, if $\mu, \nu \in M^+(E)$ the Radon measure $\tilde{\mu} * \tilde{\nu}$ (when defined) equals $\tilde{\eta}$ where $\pi(\tilde{\mu} * \tilde{\nu}) = \eta \in M^+(E)$. The measure η is defined to be $\mu * \nu$.

Remark. - If N is a convolution kernel on E and

$$\mu \in M^+(E)$$

then $\mu N = \mu * \beta$ where $\beta = \pi((\tilde{\alpha})^*)$ if $Nf = f * \alpha$. In the case of a group the convolution kernels are associated with β rather than α so that the formula $\langle \mu N, f \rangle = \langle \mu, Nf \rangle$ holds.

Assume that the following condition is satisfied by x:

 (D_1) there is a compact neighbourhood B of 0 and $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ such that

(1)
$$\sigma * \varkappa \leqslant \varkappa$$
;

(2)
$$\sigma * \varkappa = \varkappa$$
 on B ; and

(3) $\sigma^n * \times$ tends to zero weakly (where σ^n is the *n*-fold convolution of σ with itself).

Proposition 1.2. — Let $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$, $x_0 \in E$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an excessive function s and a compact set $K \subset E$ with

(1)
$$s(x_0) < \varepsilon$$
; and

(2)
$$s \geqslant V\Phi$$
 on $\int_{\Gamma} K$.

In other words, $V\Phi$ vanishes at the natural boundary of E in the sence of [3].

Proof. — If $\psi \in C_c^+(G)$ then there exists $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$ with $\psi \leqslant \tilde{\Phi}$. Hence, in view of D_1) (3) it suffices to prove that, for each $n \geqslant 0$, for all $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an excessive function $\rho = \rho(n, \Phi, \varepsilon)$ and a compact set $L_n = L_n(\rho, \Phi, \varepsilon)$ with (a) $\Phi * (\sigma^n * \varkappa) + \rho \geqslant \Phi * \varkappa$ on $\int_0^\infty L_n$ and (b) $\rho(x_0) < \varepsilon$. Let P(n) denote this statement. First, let n = 1. From D_1) (2) it follows that if $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$

then $\Phi * (\sigma * \varkappa) = \Phi * \varkappa$ on D_1 (2) It follows that $\Phi \in C$

$$\tilde{A} = \pi^{-1} (\text{supp } \Phi)$$

and $\tilde{B} = \pi^{-1}(B)$. Since D is compact, P(1) is established with $\rho = 0$.

Assume P(n). Let $\sigma = \sigma' + \tau$ where σ' has compact support and $(\Phi * (\tau * \varkappa))(x_0) < \varepsilon/2$. Then,

$$\Phi * (\sigma^{n+1} * \varkappa) \geqslant (\Phi * \sigma') * (\sigma^n * \varkappa)$$

and $\Phi * \sigma' \in C_c^+(E)$. If $\omega = \wp(n, \Phi * \sigma', \varepsilon/2)$ then

$$\Phi * (\sigma^{n+1} * \varkappa) + \wp \geqslant (\Phi * \sigma') * \varkappa$$

on
$$\int L_n(\nu, \Phi * \sigma', \epsilon/2) = \int L_n$$
. Hence, if $\nu = \omega + \Phi * (\tau * \kappa)$

it follows that $\nu + \Phi * (\sigma^{n+1} * \varkappa) \ge \Phi * (\sigma * \varkappa)$ on $\int L_n$ and $\nu(x_0) < \varepsilon$.

In view of P(1) this establishes P(n+1).

Lemma 1.3. — Let V and T be proper kernels on a measurable space (E, &) such that VT = TV. If $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$, where (V_{λ}) is a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels V_{λ} , then $TV_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda}T$ for all $\lambda > 0$, providing $Tl < \infty$.

Proof. — Let $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$ be such that f, Vf, Tf and VTf are all finite. Now $V_{\lambda}f$ is the unique function h such that $(I + \lambda V)h = Vf$. Hence,

$$VTf = TVf = T(I + \lambda V)h = (I + \lambda V)Th$$

implies that $V_{\lambda}(Tf) = T(V_{\lambda}f)$. Since each $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$ is of the form $f = \sum_{n} f_n$, where each f_n satisfies the above hypotheses, the result follows.

Theorem 1.4. — Let V be the kernel defined by $Vf = f * \varkappa$, $\varkappa \in M^+(E)$. Assume that V satisfies the complete maximum principle. If \varkappa satisfies D_1) then there is a unique family (\varkappa_λ) of K-invariant measures \varkappa_λ such that the kernels

$$V_{\lambda}f = f * \varkappa_{\lambda}$$

form a sub-Markovian resolvent (V_{λ}) of kernels V_{λ} on E with $V = \lim V_{\lambda}$.

Further, if \tilde{V} is the kernel defined by $\tilde{V}g = g * \tilde{x}$ (where x also denotes the K-invariant measure for which Vf = f * x), the kernels \tilde{V}_{λ} defined by $\tilde{V}_{\lambda}g = g * \tilde{x}_{\lambda}$ form the unique sub-Markovian resolvent (\tilde{V}_{λ}) on G with $\tilde{V} = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \tilde{V}$.

Proof. — From Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 2 in [3] it follows that there is a unique sub-Markovian resolvent (V_{λ}) with $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$. From Lemma 1.3 it follows that each V_{λ} is a convolution kernel. For all $\lambda \geq 0$, let \varkappa_{λ} be the unique K-invariant measure on E such that $V_{\lambda}f = f * \varkappa_{\lambda}$, $f \in \mathscr{E}^{+}$. The resolvent equation, $0 \geq \lambda \geq \mu$,

$$\kappa_{\lambda} = \kappa_{\mu} + (\mu - \lambda)\kappa_{\lambda} * \kappa_{\mu} = \kappa_{\mu} + (\mu - \lambda)\kappa_{\mu} * \kappa_{\lambda}$$

holds when each measure η is replaced by $\tilde{\eta}$. Define

$$\tilde{\mathrm{V}}_{\lambda}g=g*\tilde{\mathtt{x}}_{\lambda},\qquad g\in\mathscr{G}^{+}.$$

Then (\tilde{V}_{λ}) is a sub-Markovian resolvent and $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$ implies $\tilde{V}_{\lambda}\tilde{f} = V_{\lambda}f)^{\tilde{r}}$. Also, $\tilde{V}g = g * \tilde{\varkappa} \geqslant \tilde{V}_{\lambda}g = g * \tilde{\varkappa}_{\lambda}$ for all $g \in \mathscr{G}^+$ and since $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$, $\tilde{V} = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \tilde{V}_{\lambda}$ (note that if $\psi \in C_c^+(G)$ there exists $\Phi \in C^+(E)$ with $\tilde{\Phi} \geqslant \psi$).

Remark. — Since x is K-invariant it can be directly verified that \tilde{V} satisfies the complete maximum principle (note that $\tilde{V}f = \tilde{V}f^{\#}$, for all $f \in \mathcal{G}^{+}$).

2. The existence of a Feller semigroup.

The measure \varkappa on E will be assumed to satisfy the following condition:

 D_2) there is a base $\mathcal B$ of compact neighbourhoods of 0 such that for each $B\in \mathcal B$ there exists $\sigma\in M^+(E)$ with

- (1) $\sigma * \varkappa \leq \varkappa$;
- (2) $\sigma * \varkappa \neq \varkappa$; and
- (3) $\sigma * \varkappa = \varkappa$ on $\int B$.

Remark. — If, in addition, one requires in D_2) that each $\sigma^n * \varkappa$ converge weakly to zero as $n \to \infty$ and that each σ is carried by $\int \overline{B}$ then there is a family associated with \varkappa in the sense of Deny [1].

Since the resolvent (V_{λ}) maps $C_0(E)$ into itself the Hille-Yosida theorem can be applied if $D = \overline{V_{\lambda}(C_0(E))} = C_0(E)$.

This fact is established by the following sequence of lemmas and propositions.

Lemma 2.1. — Assume
$$\alpha \leqslant \beta$$
. Then $\alpha = \beta$ if
$$(\Phi * \alpha)(0) = (\Phi * \beta)(0)$$

for all $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} & -(\Phi*\alpha)(0) = (\Phi*\beta)(0) \ \ \text{for all} \ \ \Phi \in C^+_c(E) \ \ \text{implies} \\ \text{that} \ \ \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{A}^{-1}) = \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{A})^{-1}) \ \ \text{for every compact set} \ \ A \subseteq E. \end{array}$

If $B \subseteq G$ is compact then $B^{-1} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ where $A = \pi(B^{-1})$ is compact. Hence, $B \subseteq \tilde{A}^{-1}$. Since $\tilde{\alpha} \leqslant \tilde{\beta}$ if follows that

 $\tilde{\alpha}(B) = \tilde{\beta}(B)$ for all compact sets $B \subseteq G$. Consequently, $\alpha = \beta$.

Lemma 2.2. — If $\sigma * \varkappa \leq \varkappa$ then $V(\Phi * \sigma) = \Phi * (\sigma * \varkappa)$ is continuous and excessive whenever $\Phi \in C^+_c(E)$.

Proof. — Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_0 \in E$ and $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$. Let O be a compact neighbourhood of e such that $t \in O$ implies $\|T_t \Phi - \Phi\| < \varepsilon$. If $\pi(t_0) = x_0$ then $\pi(Ot_0)$ is a neighbourhood U of x_0 .

Let $\psi \in C_c^+(G)$ be such that

$$\{\psi = 1\} \supseteq \bigcup_{t \in \Omega} \{T_t \tilde{\Phi} \neq \tilde{\Phi}\}.$$

Then, if $x \in U$, where $x = [tt_0]$ with $t \in O$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mid \mathrm{V}(\Phi \ast \sigma)(x) \ - \ \mathrm{V}(\Phi \ast \sigma)(x_0) \mid \ \leqslant \ \int \mid \! \tilde{\Phi}((tt_0s^{-1}) \\ & - \ \tilde{\Phi}(t_0s^{-1}) \mid (\tilde{\sigma} \ast \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \ (ds) \ \leqslant \ \varepsilon \int \! \psi(t_0s^{-1})(\tilde{\sigma} \ast \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \ (ds). \end{array}$$

Since there exists $\theta \in C_c^+(E)$ with $\tilde{\theta}(s) \geqslant \psi(t_0 s^{-1})$, for all $s \in G$, the last integral is finite.

Proposition 2.3. — Let U be a neighbourhood of 0. Then there exists $\psi \in C_c^+(E)$ such that:

- (1) $\psi = u v$, u and v both continuous excessive functions;
- (2) $0 \neq \psi(0) = ||\psi||$; and
- (3) supp $\psi \subset U$.

Proof. — There exists a compact neighbourhood D of 0 such that $\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{D} \subseteq \tilde{U}$. Further, there exist compact neighbourhoods A and B of 0 with $A = \operatorname{supp} \psi$, $\psi \in C_c^+(E)$, $B \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{D}$.

Let σ be a measure satisfying the conditions in D_2) relative to B. Then, if

$$X = supp \; (\mathtt{m} - \mathtt{\sigma} * \mathtt{m}), \qquad \Phi * \mathtt{m} - \Phi * (\mathtt{\sigma} * \mathtt{m}) \in C^+_c(E)$$

(its support lies in $\pi(\boldsymbol{\tilde{A}}\boldsymbol{\tilde{B}}))$ and attains its maximum at a point

$$x_0 \in \pi(\{\tilde{\Phi} > 0\}\tilde{X}) \subseteq \pi(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) \subseteq D.$$

Choose $s_0 \in \{\tilde{\Phi} > 0\}\tilde{X}$ with $\pi(s_0) = x_0$ and let $\theta = T_{s_{\overline{\bullet}}}\Phi$. Then $\psi = \theta * \varkappa - \theta * (\sigma * \varkappa)$ is a function that satisfies (1), (2) and (3) above.

Corollary 2.4. — The functions $V_{\lambda}\Phi$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$ separate the points of E.

Proof. — If u is lower semicontinuous and excessive then $u = \sup \{\lambda V_{\lambda} \Phi | \lambda > 0 \text{ and } \Phi \in C_c^+(E) \text{ with } \Phi \leq u \}.$ Hence, the functions $V_{\lambda}\Phi$ separate 0 from any other point $x \in E$. Since $V_{\lambda}T_{s} = T_{s}V_{\lambda}$, for all $s \in G$, the result follows.

. Remark. — As pointed out by Faraut and Harzallah, given Corollary 2.4. the theory of Ray semigroups can be applied (in the metrisable case) to give a proof of the fact that (V_{λ}) is the resolvent of a Feller semigroup. For example, Corollary 2.4 implies that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.7 in [4] are verified. Hence, (V_{λ}) is the resolvent of a semigroup (P_{t}) of kernels Pt. The set D of non-branching points is nonvoid (corollary 2.6 in [4]) and since one can show that, for all $s \in G$ and t > 0, $T_s P_t = P_t T_s$, D = E. From this it follows, since $C_0(E)$ is invariant under (P_t) , that (P_t) is a Feller semigroup.

A direct proof of this fact (which does not use metrizability or σ-compactness) continues with the following result.

Corollary 2.5. — If U is an open Baire neighbourhood of 0 then $\lim_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}(0, U) = 1$.

Proof. — Let $\psi \in C_c^+(E)$ satisfy conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Proposition 2.3. Then, since $\lim_{\lambda} \lambda V_{\lambda}(0, \psi) = \psi(0)$ the result follows as $\lambda V_{\lambda}(0, \psi) \leq \lambda V_{\lambda}(0, U)\psi(0)$.

COROLLARY 2.6. — Let u and v be two lower semicontinuous excessive functions. Then $w = u \wedge v$ is also excessive.

Proof. — If $x_0 \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $U = \{w > w(x_0) - \varepsilon\}$. Then, U is open and $\lim \lambda V_{\lambda}(x_0, U) = 1$. Hence,

$$\hat{w}(x_0) \geqslant w(x_0) - \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 2.7. — Let $A \subseteq E$ be compact. Then there is a compact neighbourhood O of A and $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lambda V_{\lambda}(x, A) < \varepsilon$$
 if $x \notin O$ and $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_0$.

Proof. — Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let U be a compact neighbourhood of 0. Let $\lambda_0 > 0$ be such that

$$1-\varepsilon < \lambda \ V_{\lambda} \ (0, \ U) = \lambda (1_{U} * \varkappa_{\lambda})(0) \qquad \text{for} \qquad \lambda \, \geqslant \, \lambda_{0}.$$

Let $O = \pi(\tilde{A}\tilde{U})$.

Denote by β any one of the measures $\lambda \kappa_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$. Then, if $x = \pi(t)$

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{A}} * \boldsymbol{\beta})(x) &= \int \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(ts^{-1})\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\;(ds) \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(ts^{-1})\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}}(s)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\;(ds) \,+\, \int \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(ts^{-1})\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}}(s)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\;(ds) \\ &\leqslant \int \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}}(s)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\;(ds) \,<\, \varepsilon, \quad \text{if} \quad t \notin \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}. \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 2.8. — Let u, v, be two continuous excessive functions on E with $u - v \in C_c^+(E)$. Then,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|\lambda V_{\lambda}(u - v) - (u - v)\| = 0.$$

Proof. — Let A = supp (u - v) and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by O a compact neighbourhood of A such that

$$\lambda V_{\lambda}(x, A) < \varepsilon$$
 if $x \notin O$ and $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_0$.

Then $|\lambda V_{\lambda}(x, u - \nu)| \leq \varepsilon ||u - \nu||$ if $x \notin O$. Since $\lambda V_{\lambda}u$ $\lambda V_{\lambda}\nu$ are lower semicontinuous, $\lambda V_{\lambda}(u - \nu)$ converges uniformly to $u - \nu$ on O. The result follows.

The above results imply that $\overline{V_{\lambda}(C_0(E))}=C_0(E)$ and hence the following result.

Theorem 2.9. — Let G be a locally compact group (that is σ -compact) and let $K \subseteq G$ be a compact subgroup. Let $V = *\varkappa$ be a convolution kernel on the homogeneous space E = G/K, $\varkappa \in M^+(E)$. Assume that V satisfies the complete maximum principle.

If x satisfies D_1 and D_2 then there is a unique Feller semigroup (P_t) on E with $V = \int_0^{+\infty} P_t dt$.

Proof. — Let u_i , v_i for i = 1, 2 be continuous excessive functions such that $\psi_i = u_i - v_i \in C_c^+(E)$. Then

$$\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 = (u_1 + v_2) \wedge (u_2 + v_1) - (v_1 + v_2)$$

is of the same form. Hence, the vector space generated by functions $\psi \in C_c^+(E)$, which are differences of continuous excessive functions, is dense in $C_0(E)$.

Corollary 2.8 implies that $D = \overline{V_{\lambda}(C_0(E))} = C_0(E)$. The result then follows from the Hille-Yosida theorem (c.f. [2]).

As an immediate corollary one has the following restricted version of a result of Deny [1].

Corollary 2.10. — Let G be a locally compact abelian group (that is σ -compact) and let $V = * \times$ be a convolution kernel on G that satisfies the complete maximum principle.

Then, V is the potential kernel of a Feller semigroup if the following condition is verified:

- D) for a base \mathcal{B} of compact neighbourhoods of the identity e of G there is, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, a measure $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ with
 - (1) $\sigma * x \leq x$ and $\sigma * x \neq x$;
 - (2) $\sigma * \varkappa = \varkappa$ on B; and
 - (3) $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\sigma^n) * \varkappa = 0$ (weakly).

Remarks. — Deny's result is more general. He not only did not require G to be σ -compact (a hypothesis that can be removed from all the above results as indicated in the appendix) but also did not assume that the kernel **x satisfied the complete maximum principle. Further, while in the commutative case it is immaterial whether one writes $\sigma * \varkappa$, or $\varkappa * \sigma$ it seems to be necessary in general to have $\sigma * \varkappa \leqslant \varkappa$ if the kernel V commutes with the left action of G on E.

3. The characterization of convolution Feller semi-groups.

Let (P_t) be a Feller semigroup on E that commutes with the action of G on E, i.e., if $s \in G$ and t > 0 then

$$T_s P_t = P_t T_s$$
.

Further, assume that if $A \subseteq E$ is compact,

$$V1_A = \int_0^\infty P_t 1_A dt$$

is finite.

Denote by \check{x} the unique K-invariant measure on E defined by $\langle \check{x}, \Phi \rangle = V\Phi(0)$. Then $Vf = f * \varkappa$ and $\mu V = \mu * \check{x}$ (note that $(\check{x})^{\sim}$ is K-biinvariant and so $((\check{x})^{\sim})^{\check{}}$, being K-right invariant, is of the form \check{x} for a unique $\varkappa \in M^+(E)$). It will be shown first that \check{x} satisfies conditions D_1 and D_2).

Note that $\mu \to \mu P_t$, $\mu \in M_c^+(E)$, defines a continuous Hunt semigroup in the terminology of Deny [1]. Hence, all the results of paragraphs 3 and 4 in [1] hold.

To begin with it is proved that 1 is an excessive function.

Lemma 3.1.
$$-\lim_{t \to 0} P_t 1 = 1$$
.

Proof. — Obviously, it suffices to show that $\lim_{t \to 0} P_t(0, 1) = 1$. Choose $\Phi \in C_c^+(E)$ with $\Phi(0) = 1$ and $\Phi \leqslant 1$. Then $1 = \lim_{t \to 0} P_t(0, \Phi) \leqslant \lim_{t \to 0} \sup P_t(0, 1) \leqslant 1$.

Corollary 3.2. — Let $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ be such that $\sigma * \check{\varkappa} \leqslant \check{\varkappa}$. Then $\langle \sigma, 1 \rangle \leqslant 1$.

Proof. — Since by Lemma 3.1 1 is excessive there exists $(f_n) \subset E$ with $(f_n * \varkappa)$ increasing to 1. Hence,

$$\langle \sigma, 1 \rangle = \lim_{n} \langle \sigma, f_n * \varkappa \rangle = \lim_{n} \langle \sigma * \check{\varkappa}, f_n \rangle$$

$$\leq \lim_{n} \langle \check{\varkappa}, f_n \rangle = \lim_{n} f_n * \varkappa(0) = 1.$$

Lemma 3.3. — Let (α_i) and $(\beta_j) \subseteq M^+(E)$ be two nets that converge weakly to α and β respectively. Assume

$$\langle \alpha_i, 1 \rangle \leqslant 1$$
 and $\langle \beta_j, 1 \rangle \leqslant 1$

for all i and j. In addition assume that each β_j is K-invariant. Then,

$$\alpha * \beta = \lim_{i} \lim_{j} \alpha_{i} * \beta_{j} = \lim_{j} \lim_{i} \alpha_{i} * \beta_{j}.$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} - \text{Let} & \Phi \in \mathrm{C}^+_c(\mathrm{E}). & \text{Then} & \langle \alpha_i * \beta_j, \; \Phi \rangle = \langle \alpha_i, \; \Phi * \check{\beta}_j \rangle \\ \text{implies} & \lim_i \alpha_i * \beta_j = \alpha * \beta_j. & \text{Further, since} & (\tilde{\alpha}_i) \check{} * \tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\Psi}, \end{array}$

on deny's characterisation of the potential kernel 531

with $\psi \in C_0(E)$, it follows from $\langle \alpha_i * \beta_j, \Phi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\beta}_j, \tilde{\psi} \rangle$ that $\lim_{j} \alpha_i * \beta_j = \alpha_i * \beta$. Applying both these arguments to $\alpha_i * \beta$ and $\alpha * \beta_j$ respectively gives the result.

COROLLARY 3.4. — If β is K-invariant and $\langle \beta, 1 \rangle \leqslant 1$ then $\lim_{i} \alpha_{i} * \beta = \alpha * \beta$. If $\langle \beta, 1 \rangle \leqslant 1$ and each α_{i} is K-invariant then $\lim_{i} \beta * \alpha_{i} = \beta * \alpha$.

Proof. — Let $\beta_i = \beta$ for all j.

Corollary 3.5. — Let μ be a weak accumulation point of $\{\sigma^{n}|n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\sigma * \check{\mathbf{x}} \leq \check{\mathbf{x}}$ and σ is K-invariant. Then $\mu * \sigma = \sigma * \mu$.

Proof. — Let $\sigma^{n_i} = \alpha_i$ be a net converging to μ . Then $\mu * \sigma = \lim_i \alpha_i * \sigma = \lim_i \sigma * \alpha_i = \sigma * \mu.$

A Radon measure ξ is said to be excessive if it is ≥ 0 and $\xi * \lambda \varkappa_{\lambda} \leq \xi$ for all $\lambda > 0$. It is said to be a potential if $\xi = \gamma * \check{\varkappa}$ for some $\gamma \in M^+(E)$.

Proposition 3.6. — Let (ξ_i) be a net of potentials

$$\xi_i = \gamma_i * \check{x}$$

each dominated by a potential $\beta * \check{x}$ with $\langle \beta, 1 \rangle < \infty$. Assume that ξ is the weak limit of (ξ_i) .

Then ξ is a potential $\gamma * \check{\varkappa}$ and $\gamma = \lim_{i} \gamma_{i}$ if $\langle \gamma_{i}, 1 \rangle \leqslant 1$ for all n.

Proof (cf. the proofs of Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 7.1 in [1]). — The measure ξ is excessive and since $\xi \leq \beta * \check{x}$ its invariant part is zero (see [1]). Let $\mu_{\lambda} = \lambda \xi * (\delta - \lambda \check{x}_{\lambda})$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \mu_{\lambda}, \, 1 \rangle \, \leqslant \, \lambda \langle \beta * \check{\varkappa} * (\delta \, - \, \lambda_{\lambda} \check{\varkappa}), \, 1 \rangle \\ \qquad \qquad = \langle \beta * \lambda \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda}, \, 1 \rangle \, \leqslant \, \langle \beta, \, 1 \rangle \, < \, \infty. \end{array}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.3, if γ is a weak accumulation point

of $\{\mu_n|n>0\}$ and equals $\lim_j \mu_{n_j}$, where $j \to \mu_{n_j}$ is a net, then $\lim_j \mu_{n_j} * \check{x}_{\lambda} = \gamma * \check{x}_{\lambda}$.

Deny's argument in [1] is now used to show $\xi = \gamma * \check{x}$ (see proof of his Theorem 6.1). Specifically, since for any $\lambda > 0 \lim_{j} \mu_{\lambda} * \check{x}_{n_{j}} = 0$ (the net $j \to n_{j}$ is unbounded) it follows that

$$\mu_{\lambda} * \check{\mathtt{x}} = \lim_{j} \mu_{\lambda} * (\check{\mathtt{x}} - \check{\mathtt{x}}_{n_{j}}) = \lim_{j} \mu_{n_{j}} * (\check{\mathtt{x}} - \check{\mathtt{x}}_{\lambda}) = \xi - \gamma * \check{\mathtt{x}}_{\lambda},$$

since $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda(\xi * \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda}) = \xi$ follows from the fact that for all $\Phi \in C_c(E)$ $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda(\Phi * \varkappa_{\lambda}) = \Phi$.

Following Deny, let $\lambda \to 0$ in this identity. Since

$$\mu_{\lambda} * \check{x} = \xi * \lambda \check{x}_{\lambda}$$

implies $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mu_{\lambda} * \check{x} = 0$ (the invariant part of ξ is zero) it follows that $\xi = \gamma * \check{x}$.

It remains to show that $\gamma = \lim_{i \to \infty} \gamma_i$. Since

$$\xi_i * \lambda \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda} = \xi_i - \gamma_i * \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda},$$

by lemma 3.3, $\lim_{i} \gamma_{i} * *_{\lambda}$ exists and equals

$$\xi - \xi * \lambda \check{x}_{\lambda} = \gamma * \check{x}_{\lambda}.$$

Let $j \to \gamma_{n_j}$ be a net converging to α . Then

$$\alpha * \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda} = \lim_{i} \gamma_{n_{i}} * \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda} = \gamma * \check{\varkappa}_{\lambda}.$$

Hence, as $\overline{V_{\lambda}(C_c(E))}=C_0(E), \ \alpha=\gamma$ and so (γ_i) converges weakly to $\gamma.$

Corollary 3.7. — If $U \subset E$ is open and $\beta \in M_b^+(E)$ there exists a measure $\beta' \in M^+(E)$ with (1) $\beta' * \check{\varkappa} \leq \beta * \check{\varkappa}$; (2) β' carried by \overline{U} and (3) $\beta' * \check{\varkappa} = \beta * \check{\varkappa}$ on U.

Proof. — The argument used by Deny to prove Lemma 7.2 in [1] applies without change once it is noted that

$$\mu * \check{\varkappa} \leq \beta * \check{\varkappa}$$
 and $\langle \beta, 1 \rangle = b$

implies $\langle \mu, 1 \rangle \leq b$ (see the proof of Corollary 3.2).

Corollary 3.8. — Assume $\sigma * \check{x} \leq \check{x}$. The excessive measure $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^n * \check{x}$ is a potential $\mu * \check{x}$ and $\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^n$.

Proof. – Let $\xi_n = \sigma^n * \check{\varkappa}$.

From these results one can quickly deduce the following key fact.

Proposition 3.9. — Let $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ be such that $\sigma * \check{\varkappa} \leqslant \check{\varkappa}$ and $\sigma * \check{\varkappa} \neq \check{\varkappa}$. Then, $\lim \sigma^n * \check{\varkappa} = 0$.

Proof (cf. the proof of Theorem 7.1 in [1]). — Let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^n * \check{\varkappa}.$$

Then $\sigma * \xi = \xi$ and $\xi = \mu * \check{\varkappa}$ where $\mu = \lim_{n} \sigma^{n}$ (see Proposition 3.6). Hence,

$$\mu * \xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu * \sigma^n * \check{\mathtt{x}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^n * \mu * \check{\mathtt{x}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^n * \xi = \xi$$

(note that the first equality holds by monotonicity).

Since $\sigma * \mathring{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathring{\mathbf{x}}$ the positive measure $\mathring{\mathbf{x}} - \xi$ is not zero. Hence, $\mu * (\mathring{\mathbf{x}} - \xi) = 0$ implies $\mu = 0$ and so $\xi = 0$.

Deny's Proposition 3.3 in [1] states that if μ , $\nu \in M^+(E)$ are such that $\mu * \check{\varkappa}$, $\nu * \check{\varkappa} \in M^+(E)$ and $\mu * \check{\varkappa} = \nu * \check{\varkappa}$ then $\mu = \nu$. Hence, Corollary 3.7 (applied to $\beta = \delta$) and Proposition 3.9 imply that $\eta = \check{\varkappa}$ satisfies the following condition:

- D) for a base \mathcal{B} of compact neighbourhoods B of 0 there is, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, a measure $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ with
 - (1) $\sigma * \eta \leq \eta$ and $\sigma * \eta \neq \eta$;
 - (2) $\sigma * \eta = \eta$ on B;
 - (3) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\sigma^n) * \eta = 0$ (weakly).

One can now state and prove the following characterization of Feller semigroups on E whose potential kernel is proper and which commute with the action of G on E.

Theorem 3.10. — Let G be a locally compact group (that is σ -compact) and let E be the homogeneous space G/K

of right cosets of K, a compact subgroup of G. Denote by x a positive K-invariant Radon measure on E.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) there is a family $(\alpha_t)t > 0$ of K-invariant Radon measures α_t on E such that $\kappa = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t dt$ and $(*\alpha_t)_{t>0}$ is a Feller semigroup;
- (2) the kernel *x satisfies the complete maximum principle and x satisfies D);
- (2 $\check{}$) the kernel * $\check{}$ satisfies the complete maximum principle and $\check{}$ satisfies D).

Further, if D') denotes the condition obtained from D) by reversing all the convolutions then (1) implies:

- (3) the kernel ** satisfies the complete maximum principle and ** satisfies D'); and
 - (3) the analogue of (2) with D) replaced by D').

Proof. — Theorem 2.9 states that $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$.

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$. As noted above the measure $\check{\mathbf{x}}$ satisfies D). Further, if $\mathbf{x}_{\lambda} = \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \alpha_{t} \ dt$, the family $(*\check{\mathbf{x}}_{\lambda})$ of convolution kernels is a sub-Markovian resolvent family. Lemma 3.11 shows that $*\check{\mathbf{x}} = \lim_{\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}} *\check{\mathbf{x}}_{\lambda}$ and so $*\check{\mathbf{x}}$ satisfies the complete maximum principle. Hence, from Theorem 2.9 and the above remark $\mathbf{x} = (\check{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathsf{v}}$ satisfies D).

The statement (1) is equivalent to the statement obtained by replacing each measure η by $\mathring{\eta}$. Hence, (1) \iff (2 $\mathring{\cdot}$).

Lemma 3.11. — Assume $(* \varkappa_{\lambda})$ is a sub-Markovian resolvent family of convolution kernels $V_{\lambda} = * \varkappa_{\lambda}$ with each \varkappa_{λ} a K-invariant measure on E and $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} V_{\lambda} = * \varkappa$. Then,

$$*\,\varkappa = \lim_{\lambda \! \downarrow \! 0} \, *\, \varkappa_{\lambda} \Longleftrightarrow \varkappa = \lim_{\lambda \! \downarrow \! 0} \varkappa_{\lambda}.$$

Proof. — Since $\langle \beta, g \rangle = \langle \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{g} \rangle$, it suffices to show that $* \varkappa = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} * \varkappa_{\lambda}$ if for all $g \in \mathscr{G}^{+}$, $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \langle \tilde{\varkappa}_{\lambda}, g \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varkappa}, g \rangle$.

One implication is obvious. Now assume that, for all $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$, $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} f * \varkappa_{\lambda} = f * \varkappa$. Let $g_1 \in \mathscr{G}^+$ be bounded and vanish

ON DENY'S CHARACTERISATION OF THE POTENTIAL KERNEL 5

outside a compact set. Then there exists $\Phi \in C^+(E)$ with $(\tilde{\Phi})^{\check{}} \geqslant \tilde{g}_1$. Since $\Phi * \varkappa_{\lambda}(0) = \langle \tilde{\varkappa}_{\lambda}, (\tilde{\Phi})^{\check{}} \rangle$ and $\tilde{\varkappa}_{\lambda} \leqslant \tilde{\varkappa}$, for all $\lambda > 0$ if follows that $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \langle \tilde{\varkappa}_{\lambda}, g_1 \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varkappa}, g_1 \rangle$. Since $\tilde{\varkappa}$ is a Radon measure this implies that $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \langle \tilde{\varkappa}_{\lambda}, g \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varkappa}, g \rangle$ for all $g \in \mathscr{G}^+$.

Lemma 3.12. — Let $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ and set

$$\langle \mathsf{v},f \rangle = \int \langle \mathsf{\sigma},\, \mathsf{T}_s f \rangle m \, (ds).$$

Then $v \in M^+(E)$ is a K-invariant measure. Further, if

$$\alpha \in M^+(E)$$

and $\alpha * \sigma \in M^+(E)$ so too is $\alpha * \nu$ and $\alpha * \nu = \alpha * \sigma$. If, in addition, α is K-invariant then $\nu * \alpha = \sigma * \alpha$ when $\sigma * \alpha \in M^+(E)$.

Proof. — Clearly ν is K-invariant. Let $f \in \mathscr{E}^+$. Then $\langle \nu, f \rangle = \langle \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{f} \rangle = \iint \tilde{f}(s^{-1}z)\tilde{\sigma}\ (dz)m\ (ds)$. Hence,

$$\langle \alpha * \nu, f \rangle = \langle \tilde{\alpha} * \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{f} \rangle$$

$$= \int \left[\int \tilde{f}(xy) \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \; (dy) \right] \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \; (dx) = \int \left[\int \int \tilde{f}(xs^{-1}z) \tilde{\mathbf{o}} \; (dz) m \; (ds) \right] \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \; (dx)$$

(because the function $y \to \tilde{f}(xy) = \tilde{g}(y), g \in \mathscr{E}^+$)

$$= \iint \left[\int \tilde{f}(xs^{-1}z)\tilde{\alpha} (dx) \right] \tilde{\sigma} (dz) m (ds)$$

$$= \iint \left[\int \tilde{f}(xz)\tilde{\alpha} (dx) \right] \tilde{\sigma} (dz) m (ds)$$

(because $s \in K$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is K-right invariant)

$$=\langle \tilde{\alpha} * \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{f} \rangle = \langle \alpha * \sigma, f \rangle.$$

The calculation that proves $v * \alpha = \sigma * \alpha$ when α is K-invariant is entirely similar.

Corollary 3.13. — Let $\varkappa * \sigma \leqslant \varkappa$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varkappa * \sigma^n = 0$ where \varkappa , $\sigma \in M^+(E)$ and \varkappa is K-invariant. Then the K-invariant measure ν of Lemma 3.12 is such that $\varkappa * \nu \leqslant \varkappa$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varkappa * \nu^n = 0$. Further, if $\varkappa * \sigma = \varkappa$ on A then $\varkappa * \nu = \varkappa$ on A.

The corresponding results hold if the convolutions are done in the reverse order.

Proof. — For the first statement if suffices to note that $\varkappa * \sigma^n = (\varkappa * \sigma^{n-1}) * \sigma = (\varkappa * \sigma^{n-1}) * \nu$

and so $x * \sigma^n = x * v^n$. For the second one note that if

$$v^{n-1} * x = \sigma^{n-1} * x = \alpha$$

then α is K-invariant and so $v^n * \varkappa = \sigma * \alpha = \sigma^n * \varkappa$.

The proof of the theorem is now completed by the above lemmas and corollary.

Remarks. — The conditions (3) and (3°) do not appear to imply condition (1). By considering the situation on the space F of left cosets one could show (3) \Longrightarrow (1) providing that the kernel ** on F satisfies the complete maximum principle. However one only knows that ** has this property.

To prove the last statement it suffices to show that \varkappa satisfies D') whenever \varkappa satisfies D).

First of all if \mathscr{B} is a neighbourhood base for 0 satisfying D) the measures σ can, by corollary 3.13 below, be assumed to be K-invariant. Now $(\sigma * \varkappa)^{\check{}} = \check{\varkappa} * \check{\sigma}$ and so since the sets of the form $\pi((\tilde{\mathbf{A}})^{\check{}})$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathscr{B}$, also from a base for the neighbourhoods of 0 it follows that $\check{\varkappa}$ satisfies D').

Appendix.

In the non σ -compact case the complications arise because theorem 2 of [4] no longer applies and has to be replaced by theorem 3 of [5]. In the terminology of [5] if $V = * \times$ then every Baire set is σ -bounded. This condition replaces the hypothesis that V is a proper kernel in the σ -compact case.

In proposition 1.2 « excessive » should be replaced by « supermedian » as defined in [5]. Now, as V is sub-Markovian, 1 is supermedian and so, in view of theorem 3 in [5], theorem 1.4 holds. Note that in lemma 1.3 « proper » should be replaced by « every Baire set is σ-bounded ».

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. Deny, Noyaux de Convolution de Hunt et Noyaux Associés à une Famille Fondamentale, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 12 (1962), 643-667.
- [2] P. A. MEYER, Probability and Potentials, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham, Mass., 1966.
- [3] J.-C. Taylon, On the existence of sub-Markovian resolvents, *Invent-Math.*, 17 (1972), 85-93.
- [4] J.-C. TAYLOR, Ray Processes on Locally Compact Spaces, Math. Annalen. 208 (1974), 233-248.
- [5] J.-C. TAYLOR, On the existence of resolvents, Séminaire de probabilité VII, Université de Strasbourg (1971-1972), Springer, Lecture Notes, 321, 291-300, Berlin, 1973.

Manuscrit reçu le 16 octobre 1974.

J.-C. TAYLOR,
Department of Mathematics
McGill University
P.O. Box 6070. Station A
Montreal, Canada H3C 3G1.