

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

P. K. JAIN

D. R. JAIN

On the lower order (R) of an entire Dirichlet series

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 24, n° 1 (1974), p. 123-129

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1974__24_1_123_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1974__24_1_123_0)

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE LOWER ORDER (R) OF AN ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES

by P.K. JAIN ⁽¹⁾ and D.R. JAIN

1. Introduction.

For an entire Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}, \quad (s = \sigma + it, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ with } n) \quad (1.1)$$

the lower order (R) λ is defined as :

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \lambda, \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty), \quad (1.2)$$

where $M(\sigma) = \sup \{|f(\sigma + it)| : -\infty < t < \infty\}$.

Improving upon a result of Rahman [6], Juneja and Singh [4] have, very recently, proved the following theorem :

THEOREM A. — *Let $f(s)$ be an entire Dirichlet series given by (1.1) of lower order (R) λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty$). Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_{n-1}}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} \leq \lambda. \quad (1.3)$$

Further, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

and

⁽¹⁾ The research work of this author has been supported partially by the University Grants Commission (India).

$$\varphi_n \equiv \frac{\log |a_{n-1}/a_n|}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \quad (1.5)$$

forms a non-decreasing function of n for $n > n_0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_{n-1}}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} = \lambda. \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper, we obtain the estimations for the lower order $(R)\lambda$ in terms of the sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$ which hold for every entire Dirichlet series, and one of our estimations includes (1.6) and the result of Rahman [6] as the special cases. In fact, we prove :

THEOREM. — *Let $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$ be an entire Dirichlet series given by (1.1) of lower order $(R)\lambda$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty$) such that (1.4) is satisfied. Then*

$$\lambda = \max_{\{\lambda_{n_p}\}} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_p-1}}{\log |a_{n_p}|^{-1}} \quad (1.7)$$

$$\lambda = \max_{\{\lambda_{n_p}\}} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{(\lambda_{n_p} - \lambda_{n_p-1}) \log \lambda_{n_p-1}}{\log |a_{n_p-1}/a_{n_p}|} \quad (1.8)$$

2. Preliminary Discussions.

Let $\mu(\sigma)$ denote the maximum term of $f(s)$ for $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma$ and $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} = \max\{\lambda_n : \mu(\sigma) = |a_n| e^{\sigma\lambda_n}\}$. Let $\{\rho_n\}$ be the sequence of jump points of $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ (points of discontinuity of $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$), every jump point is listed with multiplicity equal to size of the jump, such that $\rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \rho_3 \leq \dots \rho_n \leq \dots$. Since $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We denote by $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}$ the range of $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$, so that $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} = \lambda_{n_k}$ for $\sigma = \rho_{n_k}$. Then

$$\text{i) } 0 < \rho_{n_k} < \rho_{n_k+1} = \rho_{n_k+2} = \dots = \rho_{n_k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\text{ii) } \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} = \lambda_{n_k}, \quad \text{when } \rho_{n_k} \leq \sigma < \rho_{n_k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

These arguments are analogue to those for entire power series, given and used by Gray and Shah in their works [1,2,3].

Since $|a_{n_{k-1}}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_{k-1}}}$ and $|a_{n_k}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_k}}$ are the two consecutive maximum terms, we have

$$\rho_{n_k} = \log |a_{n_{k-1}}/a_{n_k}|/(\lambda_{n_k} - \lambda_{n_{k-1}}) . \quad (2.1)$$

Also, we need the following :

LEMMA

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log \lambda_{n_k}}{\rho_{n_{k+1}}} = \lambda .$$

Proof. — Since ([5], Theorem B)

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}}{\sigma} = \lambda, \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty) ,$$

there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}$, $x_i \rightarrow \infty$ with i such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \lambda_{\nu(x_i)}}{x_i} = \lambda .$$

It is always possible to find a subsequence $\{\rho_{n_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{\rho_{n_k}\}$ which satisfies the inequalities :

$$\rho_{n_{k_i}} \leq x_i < \rho_{n_{k_i+1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

In either of the cases, for $i \geq i_0 = i_0(\epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\log \lambda_{n_{k_i}}}{\rho_{n_{k_i+1}}} \leq \frac{\log \lambda_{\nu(x_i)}}{x_i} \leq \lambda + \epsilon ,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log \lambda_{n_k}}{\rho_{n_{k+1}}} \leq \lambda .$$

The reverse inequality is obvious. Hence the lemma is proved.

3. Proof of the Theorem.

Using (2.1) in the lemma, we get

$$\lambda = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{(\lambda_{n_k} - \lambda_{n_{k-1}}) \log \lambda_{n_{k-1}}}{\log |a_{n_{k-1}}/a_{n_k}|} . \quad (3.1)$$

We have proved (3.1) for a particular subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}$ which is the range of the rank function $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$. Thus the theorem will be proved completely if we establish, for any arbitrary subsequence (say) $\{\lambda_{n_p}\}$ of $\{\lambda_n\}$, the following inequalities :

$$\lambda \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}}{\log |a_{n_p}|^{-1}} \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \times \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{(\lambda_{n_p} - \lambda_{n_{p-1}}) \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}}{\log |a_{n_{p-1}}/a_{n_p}|} .$$

Proof of the first inequality in (3.2) : Let

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}}{\log |a_{n_p}|^{-1}} = \alpha .$$

Assume that $\alpha > 0$, for otherwise the result is trivially true. Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|a_{n_p}| > \frac{-\lambda_{n_p}}{\lambda_{n_{p-1}}^{\alpha - \epsilon}} , \quad (p \geq N) .$$

Let $e^{\sigma_p} = 2 \lambda_{n_{p-1}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha - \epsilon}}$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. So if

$\sigma_p \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{p+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(\sigma) &\geq \log |a_{n_p}| + \sigma_p \lambda_{n_p} \\ &\geq \log |a_{n_p}| + \sigma_p \lambda_{n_p} \\ &\geq \lambda_{n_p} \log 2 \\ &= e^{(\alpha - \epsilon)\sigma_{p+1}} \log 2 / 2^{\alpha - \epsilon} , \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\log \log M(\sigma) \geq (\alpha - \epsilon) \sigma_{p+1} + \log \log 2 - (\alpha - \epsilon) \log 2 .$$

which gives

$$\lambda = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\sigma} \geq \alpha .$$

Proof of the Second Inequality in (3.2) : Let

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{(\lambda_{n_p} - \lambda_{n_{p-1}}) \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}}{\log |a_{n_{p-1}}/a_{n_p}|} = \beta .$$

Again, without any loss of generality, assume $\beta > 0$, so that

$$|a_{n_{p-1}}/a_{n_p}| < \frac{\lambda_{n_p} - \lambda_{n_{p-1}}}{\lambda_{n_{p-1}}^{\beta - \epsilon}} ,$$

for $p \geq p_0 = p_0(\epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{a_{n_{p_0}}}{a_{n_p}} \right| &= \left| \frac{a_{n_{p_0}}}{a_{n_{p_0+1}}} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{a_{n_{p_0+1}}}{a_{n_{p_0+2}}} \right| \cdots \left| \frac{a_{n_{p-1}}}{a_{n_p}} \right| \\ &< \prod_{m=p_0+1}^p \frac{\lambda_{n_m} - \lambda_{n_{m-1}}}{\lambda_{n_{m-1}}^{\beta - \epsilon}} \\ \Rightarrow \log |a_{n_p}|^{-1} &< O(1) + \frac{1}{\beta - \epsilon} \sum_{m=p_0+1}^p (\lambda_{n_m} - \lambda_{n_{m-1}}) \log \lambda_{n_{m-1}} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\log |a_{n_p}|^{-1}}{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}} < o(1) + \frac{1}{\beta - \epsilon} - \frac{1}{\beta - \epsilon} \frac{\lambda_{n_{p_0}} \log \lambda_{n_{p_0}}}{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\beta - \epsilon)^{-1} \sum_{m=p_0+1}^{p-1} \lambda_{n_m} (\log \lambda_{n_m} - \log \lambda_{n_{m-1}})}{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}} \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |a_{n_p}|^{-1}}{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_{p-1}}} \leq \frac{1}{\beta} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{\lambda_{n_p} \log \lambda_{n_p-1}}{\log |a_{n_p}|^{-1}} \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{(\lambda_{n_p} - \lambda_{n_p-1}) \log \lambda_{n_p-1}}{\log |a_{n_p-1}/a_{n_p}|},$$

Remark. — If, in addition to the hypothesis of our theorem, (1.5) is satisfied, then our result (1.7) reduces to (1.6). Further, if $\log \lambda_{n+1} \sim \log \lambda_n$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the result of Rahman [6] is also obtained.

Justification. — Since (1.5) is satisfied, each term of $f(s)$ is a maximum term and so $\lambda_{n_k} = \lambda_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, the result (3.1) reduces to

$$\lambda = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \log \lambda_{k-1}}{\log |a_{k-1}/a_k|}. \quad (3.3)$$

Further, as the result (3.2) is true for every subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_p}\}$ of $\{\lambda_n\}$, it is also true for the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, since $\{\lambda_n\}$ may be regarded as a subsequence of $\{\lambda_n\}$. Hence

$$\lambda \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_{n-1}}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) \log \lambda_{n-1}}{\log |a_{n-1}/a_n|} \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, the result (1.6) follows from (3.3) and (3.4).

Furthermore, if $\log \lambda_{n+1} \sim \log \lambda_n$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (1.6) implies that

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_{n-1}}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf. \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}}$$

which is a result of Rahman [6].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Alfred GRAY and S.M. SHAH, Asymptotic values of Holomorphic Functions of irregular growth, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5, 71 (1965), 747-749.

- [2] Alfred GRAY and S.M. SHAH, Holomorphic Functions with Gap Power Series, *Math. Zeit.*, 86 (1965), 375-394.
- [3] Alfred GRAY and S.M. SHAH, Holomorphic Functions with Gap Power Series, (II), *Math. Anal. and Appl.* 2, 13, (1966).
- [4] O.P. JUNEJA and Prem SINGH, On the Lower order of an entire function defined by Dirichlet series, *Math. Ann.* 184 (1969), 25-29,
- [5] P.K. KAMTHAN, On entire functions represented by Dirichlet series (IV), *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 16, 2 (1966), 209-223.
- [6] Q.I. RAHMAN, On the lower order of entire functions defined by Dirichlet series. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), 7 (1956), 96-99.

Manuscrit reçu le 25 janvier 1973
accepté par M. Brelot .

<p>D.R. JAIN, Department of Mathematics, A.R.S.D. College, Delhi University, NEW-DELHI-23, India</p>	<p>P.K. JAIN , Department of Mathematics, Hans Raj College, Delhi University, DELHI-7, India.</p>
--	---