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IN SPECTRAL SYNTHESIS ON $R/2\pi Z$

by John J. BENEDETTO

Introduction.

The starting point for this paper is Malliavin's construction of real-valued absolutely convergent Fourier series $\varphi$ on $T = R/2\pi Z$ having a non-synthesizable zero set, $Z\varphi$ (§ 0.1 will contain relevant definitions and background in spectral synthesis). The construction of such a $\varphi$ by Richards [7] has led us to consider families of functions parameterized by $s = \sigma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \sigma > 1,$ and having the form

\begin{equation}
F(s, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{ik_n x}/n^s
\end{equation}

that is, for fixed $s, \sigma > 1,$ the corresponding $\varphi = \varphi_s$ is $\varphi_s(x) = \text{Re} F(s, x).$ The Dirichlet series (1) are discussed in § 1 and the results concerning the corresponding non-synthesizable $\varphi$ are proved in § 2. Because of these results we pose the « abscissa of spectral synthesis » problem at the end of § 2.

Since the above construction of non-synthesizable $\varphi_s$ involves no arithmetic properties of the $k_n,$ it seemed reasonable to investigate properties of $\varphi_s$ when the corresponding $F$ was generated from an idele character. We've only considered $J_\mathbb{Q}$ (see § 0.2), and have shown that those $\varphi_s$ generated by « slow growing » idele characters have synthesizable zero sets (§ 3). We could have expressed the arithmetic pro-
properties for ideles over \( \mathbb{Q} \) in a less idelic way, but our procedure produces analogous results in a much more general algebraic number theoretic setting; and we hope that \( \mathcal{J}_\mathbb{Q} \) will serve as a prototype technique to generate some examples in synthesis.

The next problem we pose is that of « analytic continuation ». We move left across \( \sigma = 1 \) and construct pseudo-measures \( T_\sigma, \) \( 0 < \sigma \leq 1, \) associated with certain \( F(s, x) \) generated from idele characters. The method to construct \( T_\sigma \) involves counting solutions to diophantine equations, being careful on the one hand in estimating upper bounds to ensure that \( T_\sigma \) is a pseudo-measure, and on the other hand providing specific lower bounds (when this is possible) to guarantee that \( T_\sigma \) is not a measure. The spectral synthesis properties of such pseudo-measures are the subject of forthcoming work, but generally the following types of results evolve:

a) \( T_\sigma \) generated by « fast growing » idele characters are synthesizable;

b) \( T_\sigma \) generated by « slow growing » idele characters are non-synthesizable;

c) \( \varphi_\sigma \) generated by « fast growing » idele characters are non-synthesizable.

(The terminology « fast growing », etc. is clarified in \( \S \) 3.)

Note that with our original non-synthesizable \( \varphi_\sigma \), the pseudo-measures \( T_\sigma \) we obtain in \( \sigma \in \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right) \) are not only synthesizable but \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \) functions since \( n \mapsto k_n \) is injective for this case.
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0. Preliminaries.

0.1. Preliminaries from spectral synthesis.

$A(T)$ is the Banach space of absolutely convergent Fourier series

$$\varphi(x) = \Sigma a_n e^{inx}$$

where $\|\varphi\| = \Sigma |a_n|$. The dual of $A(T)$ is $A'(T)$ the space of pseudo-measures. $A'(T)$ is the subspace of distributions with bounded Fourier coefficients and the canonical dual norm $\| \cdot \|_{A'}$ on $A'(T)$ is

$$\|T\|_{A'} = \sup |\hat{T}(n)|.$$

The Radon measures $M(T)$ are canonically contained in $A'(T)$. If $E \subseteq T$ is closed, $A'(E) = \{ T \in A'(T) : \text{supp } T \subseteq E \}$. $\varphi \in A(T)$ (resp., $T \in A'(T)$) is synthesizable if for all $S \in A'(Z\varphi)$ (resp., for all $\psi \in A(T)$ with $\text{supp } T \subseteq Z\psi$) $\langle S, \varphi \rangle = 0$ (resp., $\langle T, \psi \rangle = 0$). $E$ is a synthesis (S) set if for all $\varphi \in A(T)$ with $\varphi = 0$ on $E$ and for all $T \in A'(E)$, $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = 0$.

We say that a real-valued $\varphi \in A(T)$ satisfies condition (M_r) if

$$\forall k < r + 1 \ \exists C_k > 0 \ \text{such that} \ \forall u \in \mathbb{R} \ \|e^{iux}\|_{A'} \leq C_k |u|^{-k}.$$ 

Clearly (M_r) implies (M_i) if $r > t$. The following is Malliavin's operational calculus technique and we refer to [2, § B.1] for details, remarks and generalizations.

Proposition 0.1. — If real-valued $\varphi \in A(T)$ satisfies (M_r) for some $r > 2$ then $Z\varphi$ is not an S set.

Remark. — In the proof of the above result we use (M_r) to construct $T \in A'(T)$ such that

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle \neq 0, \quad T\varphi^2 = 0.$$ 

Then by an argument which uses Wiener's result on the reciprocal of $\psi \in A(T)$ we show [1, Theorem 3.15 d] that
S0 = 0 implies θ = 0 on supp S; thus φ = 0 on supp T
and so Zφ is non-S by (0.1).

We record some routine properties of the ∥ · ∥_{A'} norm.

\[(0.2) \forall φ ∈ A(T) ⊆ A'(T), \quad ∥φ∥_{A'} ≤ ∥φ∥_A\]

\[(0.3) \forall φ ∈ A(T), \quad |φ| ≤ 1, \quad \text{either} \quad ∥φ∥_{A'} < 1\]

or

\[φ(x) = a \exp ikx, \quad k ∈ \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad |a| = 1.\]

\[(0.4) \text{The map } A(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{T} \longmapsto ∥T∥_{A'} \]

is continuous.

\[(0.5) \forall φ ∈ A(T), \forall k ∈ \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad ∥φ∥_{A'} = ∥φ^k∥_{A'} \]

where φ^k(x) = φ(kx).

0.2. Preliminaries from number theory.

References for the material in this section are [4, Chapters 2 and 15; 5; 8].

For each prime number p = 2, 3, 5, ... let \(Q_p^∞\) be the non-zero elements of the p-adic completion of \(Q\). Thus

\[Q_p^∞ = \left\{ \sum_{j=-n}^{∞} a_j p^j : 0 ≤ a_j < p, a_j ∈ \mathbb{Z}, \text{some } a_j ≠ 0, \text{ } n ≥ 0 \right\}.\]

With the p-adic valuation \(| \cdot |_p\), \(Q_p^∞\) is a locally compact group under multiplication and is totally disconnected. The compact and open subgroup of units \(U_p\) for \(Q_p^∞\) is

\[U_p = \left\{ \sum_{0}^{∞} a_j p^j ∈ Q_p^∞ : a_0 ≠ 0 \right\}.\]

Let \(Q_0^∞ = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\). Define \(J_Q\) to be the set of all sequences \(α = \{α_p : p = 0, 2, 3, 5, \ldots\}\) where \(α_p ∈ Q_p^∞\) and with the property that, for all but a finite number of \(p = 2, 3, 5, \ldots\), \(α_p ∈ U_p\). Next, consider sets

\[B = \prod_p B_p ∈ J_Q\]

where \(B_p ⊆ Q_p^∞\) is open for all \(p = 0, 2, \ldots\) and \(B_p = U_p\) for all but a finite member of \(p = 2, 3, \ldots\). Such sets \(B\) form a basis for a topology on \(J_Q\). As such, with component-
wise multiplication, \( J_\mathbb{Q} \) is a locally compact abelian group, the *idele group* corresponding to \( \mathbb{Q} \).

It is standard to prove that \( c \in J_\mathbb{Q} \), the dual group of \( J_\mathbb{Q} \), if for each \( p = 0, 2, \ldots \) there is \( c_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times \) such that for all \( \alpha = \{ \alpha_p \} \in J_\mathbb{Q} \)

\[
c(\alpha) = \prod_p c_p(\alpha_p)
\]

and \( c_p(U_p) = 1 \) for all but a finite number of \( p \). When \( c_p(U_p) = \{1\} \) we say that \( c_p \) is *unramified*.

For each \( p = 2, 3, \ldots \) define \( P_p \) to be \( \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \) and \( p_p = P_p \cap \mathbb{Z} \). Clearly

\[
P_p = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j p^j \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \right\}
\]

and so \( p_p \) is the multiplicative ideal in \( \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\} \) each of whose elements is divisible by \( p \). A neighborhood basis of \( 1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \) in \( U_p \) is \( \{1 + P_p\} \) where \( 1 + P^0_p = U_p \). It is standard to check that if \( c_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times \) then there is a smallest integer \( n_p \geq 0 \) for which

\[
c_p(1 + P^p_{p^p}) = \{1\}.
\]

Now, if \( c \in J_\mathbb{Q} \) with corresponding « projections » \( c_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times \) then there are only finitely many primes \( p = 2, 3, \ldots \) such that the corresponding \( n_p > 0 \); this follows since \( c_p(U_p) = 1 \) for all but finitely many \( p \). As such, given \( c \) we form a multiplicative ideal \( f_c \) in \( \mathbb{Z} \), called the *conductor of \( c \)*, defined by

\[
f_c = \prod_{n_p > 0} P^p_{p^p}.
\]

Recall that the fractional ideals in \( \mathbb{Q} \) are singly generated. Then, for example, if \( p_1, \ldots, p_r \) are the primes for which \( n_{p_j} > 0 \), \( f_c \) is generated by \( p_1^{n_{p_1}} \ldots p_r^{n_{p_r}} = h \). We let \( G_c \) be the set of multiplicative fractional ideals \( A \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \) generated by \( n = q_1^{m_1} \ldots q_a^{m_a} \), \( m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \), such that each \( q_j \) is prime and for all \( j, k, q_j \neq p_k \). For \( A \in G_c \) generated by \( n \) as
above, we define \( \alpha = \{ \alpha_p \} \in \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{Q}^\times \) by

\[
\alpha_p = \begin{cases} 1, & p \neq q_j \\ \left( q_j^n \right), & p = q_j.
\end{cases}
\]

Then the Hecke character associated with \( c \) is a multiplicative homomorphism

\[
\chi_c : G_c \to T
\]

given by

\[
\chi_c(A) = c_{q_j}(q_j^n) \ldots c_{q_d}(q_d^n).
\]

The mapping \( c \to \chi_c \) is injective.

Observe that we can imbed \( \mathbb{Q}^\times \) into \( \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{Q} \) by the map

\( q_1 \to (q, q, \ldots). c \in \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{Q} \) is an idele class character if \( c(\mathbb{Q}^\times) = 1 \). Idele class characters are needed in algebraic number theory to obtain functional equations.

Preserving the above notation between \( n \) and \( A \) we define the Hecke \( L \) series associated with \( \chi_c \) to be

\[
L(s, c) = \sum_{(n, a) = 1} \frac{\chi_c(A)}{n^s}
\]

(for the definition of \( L \) we consider only \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), recalling that \( n \) could be rational in the definition of \( G_c \)). Now, \( f_c \) is trivial (i.e., \( n_p = 0 \) for all \( p \)) if and only if for each \( p = 2, 3, \ldots \)

\[
c_p(\alpha_p) = |\alpha_p|_p^{t_p}
\]

where \( t_p \) is determined mod \( 2\pi / \log p \). Thus for each \( c \in \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{Q} \) with trivial conductor there is a unique set of integers \( \{ k_p : p = 2, \ldots \} \) such that

\[
L(s, c) = \sum_{\Pi} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp i \sum_q m_q k_q
\]

where \( n = \Pi q^{m_q} \), the prime decomposition of \( n \). Conversely given \( \{ k_p : p = 2, \ldots \} \in \mathbb{Z} \) there is a unique \( c \in \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{Q} \) defined by

\[
c(n) = \Pi \exp im_q k_q
\]

where \( \Pi q^{m_q} \) is the prime decomposition of \( n \).
Finally, if we are given \( \{ k_p : p = 2, \ldots \} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \) and extend additively by
\[
k_n = k_p + k_q \quad \text{if} \quad n = pq
\]
then (0.6) becomes
\[
(0.7) \quad L(s, c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \exp ik_n.
\]

1. Pseudo-measure norms and Dirichlet series.

The real part of \( F \) defined in (1), considered as a function of \( x \) for fixed \( s, \sigma > 1 \), is
\[
\varphi_s(x) = F_r(s, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} [\cos k_n x \cos (\tau \log n) + \sin k_n x \sin (\tau \log n)].
\]
Because of condition (My.) in § 0.1 we shall consider
\[
\exp i u \varphi_s(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \frac{i u}{n^s} [\cos k_n x \cos (\tau \log n) + \sin k_n x \sin (\tau \log n)].
\]
As such we define the auxiliary functions
\[
(1.1) \quad \psi_{u, m, z}(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{m} \exp \frac{i u}{n^z} [\cos k_n x \cos (\tau \log n) + \sin k_n x \sin (\tau \log n)]
\]
and
\[
(1.2) \quad \theta_{u, m, z}(x) = \exp \frac{i u}{(m + 1)^z} [\cos x \cos (\tau \log (m + 1)) + \sin x \sin (\tau \log (m + 1))].
\]
Observe that
\[
\psi_{u, m+1, z} = \psi_{u, m, z} \theta_{u, m+1, z}.
\]
Using (0.4) and (0.5) Richards [7, Lemma 1; 2, Theorem B.6] has made the following key observation concerning the growth of \( \| F \|_{A^r} \).

**Proposition 1.1.** — Given \( \{ \psi_u, \theta_u : u \in \mathbb{R} \} \subseteq A(T) \setminus \{0\} \) and assume \( u \mapsto \psi_u, u \mapsto \theta_u \) are continuous functions. Then
\[
\forall R > 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k > 0,
\]
such that
\[ \forall u \mid u \mid \leq R \]
\[ \| \psi_u^{\theta_k} \|_{A^*} < (1 + \varepsilon) \| \psi_u \|_{A^*} \| \theta_u \|_{A^*}. \]

Fix \( \{\varepsilon_k\} \) so that \( \varepsilon_k > 0 \) and \( \Pi(1 + \varepsilon_k) \leq 2 \). Also for each \( c > (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \) let \( B_c \subseteq C \) be the closed rectangle \([1 + 1/c, c] \times [-c, c]\). Define an increasing function
\( c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \ c(m) = R, \) such that
\[ \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} B_c = \{ s \in C : \sigma > 1 \}. \]

Using Proposition 1.1 and a uniformity argument we obtain:

**Proposition 1.2.** — Given \( \{\varepsilon_k\} \) and \( c \) as above. There is a sequence of integers \( k_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots, \) increasing to infinity, such that
\[ \forall s \in C, \quad \sigma > 1, \quad \exists K_\sigma > 0 \]
such that
\[ \forall m \geq K_\sigma \quad \forall |u| \leq c(m) \]
\[ \| \psi_{u, m+1, s} \|_{A^*} < (1 + \varepsilon_m) \| \psi_{u, m, s} \|_{A^*} \| \theta_{u, m, s} \|_{A^*}. \] (1.3)

Naturally, it may happen that for some \( s, \sigma > 1, \) and some \( m, \) (1.3) is not true for all \( |u| \leq c(m) \).

**Proposition 1.3.** — Given \( \{\varepsilon_k\} \) and \( c \) as above. Then
\[ \forall s \in C, \quad \sigma > 1, \quad \exists K_\sigma > 0 \]
such that
\[ \forall m \geq K_\sigma \quad \forall |u| \leq c(m) \quad \forall n \geq m \]
\[ \| e^{-iu} \|_{A^*} \leq 2 \prod_{j=m}^{n} \| \theta_{u, j, s} \|_{A^*}. \] (1.4)

**Proof.** — Take \( K_\sigma \) as in Proposition 1.2 and fix \( m \geq K_\sigma; \) thus we have (1.3) for all \( |u| \leq c(m) \).

Arguing iteratively, and using (0.3) and the definition of \( \varepsilon_k, \)
\[ \| \psi_{u, k, s} \|_{A^*} \leq 2 \prod_{j=m}^{k} \| \theta_{u, j, s} \|_{A^*}. \] (1.5)

for all \( k \geq m \) and all \( |u| \leq c(m) \).

(1.4) follows by taking \( \lim \)’s in (1.5) and invoking (0.3) again.

q.e.d.
Proposition 1.4. — Given \( \{ \varepsilon_k \} \) and \( c \) as above and form the corresponding \( F \) of (1). Take any closed interval \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \). Then

\[ \exists \rho \in (0, 1) \]

such that

\[ \forall s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma > 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\} \quad \forall u/(m+1)^{\sigma} \in \pm I, \]

(1.6)

\[ \| \theta_{u,m,z}^n \|_{A'} \leq \rho. \]

Proof. — From (0.3) and (0.5) there is \( \rho_{u,m,z} \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}, \quad \| \theta_{u,m,z}^n \|_{A'} \leq \rho_{u,m,z}. \]

For \( n \) fixed, the function \( \alpha \mapsto \theta_{u,m,z}^n \) from \( I \rightarrow A(T) \) is continuous, where \( \alpha = u/(m+1)^{\sigma} \).

(1.6) follows since continuous functions achieve their maxima on compact sets.

q.e.d.

2. Examples of non-S sets.

In light of Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 we shall see that the key to Theorem 2.1 is to choose \( c \) so that for all \( n \)

(2.1)

\[ \gamma^{-1/\sigma}c(n)^{1/\sigma} - c(n + 1)^{1/\sigma} \geq f(c(n + 1)) \]

\[ \gamma^{-1/\sigma}c(n)^{1/\sigma} - (n + 2)^{1/\sigma} \geq f(c(n + 1)) \]

for some \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \) and some « relatively quickly increasing » \( f \).

Theorem 2.1. — Let \( c(n) = e^n \) and form the corresponding \( F \) of (1). Then for all \( s \in \mathbb{C}, \sigma > 1, \) there is \( M_\sigma > 0 \) and \( \delta_\sigma > 0 \) such that

(2.2)

\[ \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \| e^{iu\varepsilon_s} \|_{A'} \leq M_\sigma e^{-\delta_\sigma |u|^{1/\sigma}}. \]

In particular \( (M_r) \) is satisfied for all \( r > 2 \) and \( \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_s \) is non-S.

Proof. — Take \( K_\varepsilon \) as in Proposition 1.2 for a fixed \( s \). Let \( I = [\gamma, 1] \) where \( 0 < \gamma < 1/e \).

Choose the corresponding \( 0 < \rho < 1 \) from Proposition 1.4. Without loss of generality we do the calculation for \( u \geq 0 \).
Take $u \geq e^n$ where $n_\sigma \geq K_\sigma$ and $c^{(n+1)/\sigma} \geq n_\sigma + 2$; and let $n \geq n_\sigma$ have the property that
\[ c(n + 1) \geq u \geq c(n). \]

From Proposition 1.3
\[ \| e^{iu_{\sigma n}} \|_{\Lambda^*} \leq 2 \prod_{n+1}^{m} \| 0^{k_{ij+1}}_{u_{ij}} \|_{\Lambda^*} \]
for all $|u| \leq c(n + 1)$ and $m \geq n + 1$.

For our fixed $u$ and $\sigma$ we now want to count which $j$'s have the property that
\[ u/(1 + j)^\sigma \in I. \]

If $c(n + 1)^{1/\sigma} \leq 1 + j$ then $u/(1 + j)^\sigma \leq 1$ and if
\[ \eta^{1/\sigma}(1 + j) \leq c(n)^{1/\sigma} \quad \text{then} \quad \eta \leq u/(1 + j)^\sigma. \]

Because of (2.3) and these inequalities the number of $j$'s for which (2.4) holds is estimated by
\[ \eta^{-1/\sigma}c(n)^{1/\sigma} - 1 - \max(n + 1, c(n + 1)^{1/\sigma} - 1) \]
(note the resemblance to (2.1)).

Since $c(n) = e^n$, (2.5) is
\[ e^{n/\sigma}(\eta^{-1/\sigma} - e^{1/\sigma}) = re^{n/\sigma} \geq re^{-1/\sigma}u^{1/\sigma}. \]

Combining (2.3), (2.6), and Proposition 1.4 we have (2.2) since $p = e^{-p}$, $p > 0$.

q.e.d.

Remark. — Let us see how much generality we have in choosing $c$ so that Theorem 2.1 is valid. $c(k)$ must grow faster than $k$ so that (2.5) is positive. If $c(k)$ grows like a polynomial the technique of Theorem 2.1 will not work for all $\sigma$ and this leads to the abscissa of convergence problem below. If $c(k)$ grows too fast (e.g., $c(k) = \exp k \log k$) the procedure again fails since (2.5) again becomes negative.

Example 2.1. — For the choice of $\{k_\sigma\}$ in Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 it is desirable to choose the smallest possible $k_{m+1} > 0$ given $k_1, \ldots, k_m > 0$. Thus, given $\varepsilon_1$, $c(1)$, and
For the remainder of the paper assume for convenience that $c \in \mathfrak{I}_0$ has trivial conductor, and consider the Hecke L-series characterized by $\{k_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, where $p = 2, 3, 5, \ldots$. Given $L(s, c)$ we associate the Fourier series $F(s, x)$ (of (1)) for fixed $s, \sigma > 1$; and $ZF \subseteq T$ is $\{x : F(s, x) = 0\}$, where $s$ is fixed.

Remarks. — 1. If $c$ is an idele class character then the corresponding Hecke character $\chi_c$ is precisely a classical Dirichlet character (for Dirichlet L-series); consequently the corresponding Fourier series $F(s, x)$ is a trigonometric polynomial for each $s, \sigma > 1$. In particular $Z\varphi_s$ is finite and thus $S$.

2. The terminology «fast growing» etc., from the introduction indicates that $\{k_p\}$ tends to infinity at certain rates.
PROPOSITION 3.1. — Given \( c \in \hat{\mathbb{J}_Q} \) with trivial conductor and corresponding \( \{k_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \).

a) If \( k_p = O(p^\alpha) \), \( p \to \infty \), for some \( \alpha > 0 \) then
\[
\forall \sigma > 1 + \alpha, \quad F(s, x) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}).
\]
b) If \( k_p = O(\log p) \), \( p \to \infty \), then
\[
\forall \sigma > 1, \quad F(s, x) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}).
\]
c) For each of the above cases, \( ZF \) is \( S \).

Proof. — \( c \) is clear from the Beurling-Pollard result.
a) Differentiating \( F \) with respect to \( x \)
\[
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{ik_n e^{ik_n x}}{n^\sigma} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|k_n|}{n^{\sigma}}
\]
we need only check that \( |k_n|/n^\alpha \) is bounded for \( \alpha > 0 \). If
\[
|k_n| \leq K_1 \Sigma p^\alpha \leq K_2 \Sigma p^{a_r} \leq K_3 n^\alpha.
\]
b) Arguing in the same way we need only check that for \( \beta > 0 \), \( |k_n|/n^{\beta} \) is bounded.
If \( n = \Pi p^r \)
\[
|k_n| \leq K \Sigma r \log p = K \log n
\]
and \( \log n/n^\beta \) is bounded.

q.e.d.

In order to generalize the synthesis result in Proposition 3.1 we say that \( \{k_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ \) or the corresponding \( c \in \hat{\mathbb{J}_Q} \) is \( r \)-bounded, \( r \geq 0 \), if
\[
\forall \beta > r, \quad \exists M_\beta \quad \text{such that} \quad \forall n, \quad k_n/n^\beta \leq M_\beta.
\]
Thus, for example, \( k_p = O(p^\alpha) \), \( p \to \infty \), \( \alpha > 0 \), is 0-bounded.

PROPOSITION 3.2. — Given \( c \in \hat{\mathbb{J}_Q} \) with trivial conductor and \( \{k_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ \). If \( c \) is \( r \)-bounded then for each \( \sigma > 1 + r \), \( F(s, x) \) is a function of bounded variation and \( ZF \) is \( S \).

Proof. — The fact that \( ZF \) is \( S \) if \( F \) has bounded variation is standard [6, p. 62]. The bounded variation follows
classically once we observe that
\[ \int_T |F(s, x + h) - F(s, x)| \, dx = O(h), \quad |h| \to 0. \]
By direct computation the integral is bounded by \( K|h|\Sigma|k_n|/n^\sigma; \) and so setting \( \sigma = 1 + r + \gamma \) we let \( \beta = r + \gamma/2 \) and apply the \( r \)-boundedness.

q.e.d.

In order to generate non-S \( Z_\infty \) in § 1 and § 2 the sequence \( \{k_n\} \) was chosen to have a certain lacunarity. We now observe that no matter how fast \( \lim \) tends to infinity the sequence \( \{k_n\} \), generated by the corresponding \( c \in \hat{J}_0 \), has no lacunarity properties.

Example 3.1. — Given \( c \in \hat{J}_0 \) with corresponding \( \{k_p\} \). Let \( k_p > 0 \), \( k_p \) increasing to infinity. If \( \{k_m\} \) were lacunary then \( k_{m+1}/k_m \geq \delta > 1 \) for all \( m \). Suppose this is the case. Then for all \( m \)
\[
\frac{k_{2m}}{k_m} = \frac{k_{2m}}{k_{2m-1}} \frac{k_{2m-1}}{k_{2m-2}} \ldots \frac{k_{m+1}}{k_m} > \delta^{2m}.
\]
On the other hand
\[
\frac{k_{2m}}{k_m} = 1 + \frac{k_2}{k_m}
\]
so that since \( k_p \to \infty \), \( \{k_m\} \) cannot be lacunary.

4. Idelic pseudo-measures.

Given \( c \in \hat{J}_0 \) with trivial conductor, and corresponding \( \{k_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \) and \( F \). Our problem is to find conditions in order that
\[
T_s \sim \sum_n \frac{1}{n^\sigma} e^{ik_n x}, \quad 0 < \sigma < 1
\]
represent an element of \( A'(T) \) for a fixed \( s \), \( 0 < \sigma < 1 \); by this we mean that we wish to find conditions on \( \{k_p\} \) for which
\[
(4.1) \quad b_s(n) = \sum_{m \in H(n)} \frac{1}{m^x}, \quad H(n) = \{m : k_m = n\},
\]
is a bounded sequence. When the sequence \( \{b_\sigma(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \)

is bounded we say that \( \sigma \) determines the pseudo-measure \( T_\sigma \).

Clearly Dirichlet characters do not yield pseudo-measures in this way. As a generalization of this fact, we have.

**Proposition 4.1.** — Given \( \sigma \in \mathcal{J}_0 \) with corresponding \( \{k_p\} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). If \( \{k_p\} \) is bounded \( \sigma \) does not determine a pseudo-measure for any \( s, 0 < \sigma \leq 1 \); further, there is \( n \geq 1 \) such that

\[
\sum_{m \in H(n)} \frac{1}{m}
\]

diverges.

**Proof.** — Assuming \( 1 \leq k_p \leq B \) we find \( n \) such that

\[
\sum_{k_m = n} \frac{1}{m}
\]

diverges. Let \( C = 1/(B + 1) \).

a) We first observe that

\[
\exists n \in [1, B] \quad \text{such that} \quad \forall k \geq 1,
\]

\[
(N_j/(j - k)) \geq C
\]

for infinitely many \( j \geq k \), where

\[
N_j = \text{card} \{k_p = n : p_k < p \leq p_j\}
\]

and \( p_j \) is the \( j \)-th prime.

b) Choose \( n \) from a. Then

\[
\sum_{m \in \mathcal{H}(n)} \frac{1}{p_m} \geq \sum_{m = j - N_j + 1}^{j} \frac{1}{p_m} \sim \log p_j/p_{j-N_j+1}
\]

by the integral test. Consequently from the prime number theorem

\[
\sum_{m \in \mathcal{H}(n)} \frac{1}{p_m} \geq \log \frac{j \log j}{(j - N_j + 1) \log (j - N_j + 1)} \geq \log \frac{j}{j - N_j + 1}
\]

We apply (4.2) which yields \( j - N_j + 1 \leq j(1 - C) + 1 + Ca \)
and so the right hand side of (4.3) is greater than or equal to
\[ \log \left( \frac{1}{[(1 - C) + \frac{1}{j} + C \frac{a}{j}]} \right). \]
Now choose \( K, \alpha > 0 \) such that \( \log \left( \frac{1}{[(1 - C) + K]} \right) > \alpha \); and then take \( j \) large enough so that
\[ (1 - C) + \frac{1}{j} + C \frac{a}{j} < 1 - C + K. \]
Letting \( d = a_i \) we define \( a_{i+1} = j + 1. \)
Thus starting with \( a_1 = 1 \) we form \( \{a_i\} \) and
\[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{H}(n)} \frac{1}{m} \geq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{H}(n)} \frac{1}{p_m} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{i+1}}{p_i} > \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i}, \]
q.e.d.

PROPOSITION 4.2. — Given \( c \in \mathbb{J}_Q \) with corresponding \( \{k_p\} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) increasing to infinity. Then
\[ \forall n, \quad \text{card } \mathbb{H}(n) < \infty. \]

Proof. — Given \( n = \Pi p^r \); to show \( \text{card } \mathbb{H}(k_n) < \infty \).
Choose a prime \( q = p_k \) such that \( k_q > k_n \), by hypothesis.
For each \( j < k \) choose \( r_j \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( r_j k_{p_j} > k_q \),
and define
\[ m_0 = \Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} p_j^{r_j}. \]
Then
\[ \forall m \geq m_0, \quad k_m \geq k_q > k_n \]
and so \( \mathbb{H}(k_n) \) is finite.
q.e.d.

We now give a procedure to find \( c \in \mathbb{J}_Q \) which determine \( T_\in \mathbb{A}'(T)\setminus\mathbb{M}(T) \).

LEMMA. — Let \( T \sim \sum_{1}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx} \in \mathbb{A}'(T) \). If
\[ \sum_{1}^{\infty} c_n/n \text{ diverges} \]
then \( T \in \mathbb{A}'(T)\setminus\mathbb{M}(T) \).
Proof. — If $T \in M(T)$ then
\[ \forall t(x) = \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_n e^{inx}, \quad \left| \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_n c_n \right| \leq \|T\|_1 \|t\|_{\infty}. \]

Take $a_n \geq 0$ for $n > 0$, \{a_n\} decreasing to 0, and set $a_n = -a_{-n}$ for $n < 0$.

Recall, $\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \sin nx \right| \leq A(\pi + 1)$ if $na_n \leq A$.

For such $a_n$,
\[ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n c_n \right| = \left| \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_n c_n \right| \leq \|T\|_1 2A(\pi + 1) \]

and so $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n c_n$ converges.

q.e.d.

For technical convenience we now let $s = 1$.

**Proposition 4.3.** — Given $c \in J\mathcal{Q}$ with corresponding \{k_p\} $\subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$. If
\begin{equation}
(4.4) \quad k_p = O(\log^2 p), \quad p \to \infty
\end{equation}

then $T_1 \in \Lambda'(T)$; and $T_1 \notin M(T)$ if
\[ \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{T_1(n)}{n} \]
diverges.

Proof. — The fact that $T_1 \notin M(T)$ follows from the Lemma.
Assume \{k_p\} increases to infinity and $k_p > 0$.

Let $\Pi(r)$ be the set of all integers
\[ n = \prod_{j=1}^{r} p_j^{r_j}, \quad r_j \geq 0. \]

We first observe that if
\[ a = \sum_{1}^{r} 1/p_j, \quad b = \sum_{m \in \Pi(\ell)} 1/m, \]
then
\begin{equation}
(4.5) \quad e^a - 1 < b < e^{2a} - 1.
\end{equation}
This follows since
\[ 1 + b = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{p_j^2} + \cdots \right) \]
and
\[ e^{1/p} < 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \cdots = 1 + \frac{1}{p - 1} \leq 1 + \frac{2}{p} < e^{2/p}. \]
Set
\[ H_{n, r} = \sum_{m \in H(n) \cap \Pi(r)} \frac{1}{m}. \]
We use (4.4), (4.5), and Mertens' estimate
\[ \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + C + O(1/\log x), \quad x \to \infty, \]
to calculate
\[ (4.6) \quad H_{n, r} \leq \sum_{m \in \Pi(r)} 1/m \leq \exp 2 \sum_{1}^{r} 1/p_j \]
\[ \leq C (\log p_r)^2 \leq \frac{K}{2} k_{pr} < Kk_{pr} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_{r+1} - 1} \right), \quad K \geq 1, \]
for all \( n \).

Note that
\[ \Pi(r + 1) = \Pi(r) \cup p_{r+1} \Pi(r) \cup p_{r+1}^2 \Pi(r) \cup \cdots, \]
a disjoint union. Thus,
\[ H(n) \cap \Pi(r + 1) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} (H(n) \cap p_{r+1}^j \Pi(r)) \]
is a disjoint union.

If \( m \in H(n) \cap \Pi(r + 1) \) then \( k_m = n \) and \( m = up_{r+1}^j, u \in \Pi(S_r) \). Thus
\[ k_u = n - jk, \quad k = k_{pr+1}. \]
Consequently,
\[ \sum_{m \in H(n) \cap p_{r+1} \Pi(r)} 1/m = \sum_{u \in H(n-jk)} 1/(up_{r+1}^j) = \frac{1}{p_{r+1}} H_{n-jk, r}, \]
and so
\[ (4.7) \quad H_{n, r+1} = H_{n, r} + \frac{1}{p_{r+1}} H_{n-k, r} + \frac{1}{p_{r+1}^2} H_{n-2k, r} + \cdots. \]
From (4.5) there is $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\forall n, \quad H_{n,1} \leq M.$$ 

Since $b_1(n) = \sup_r H_{n,r}$ we'll prove that if $n$ is fixed then

$$H_{n,r} \leq MKk_{p_1} \text{ for each } r.$$ 

Using (4.6) and (4.7),

$$H_{n,2} \leq H_{n,1} + M \left( \frac{1}{p_2 - 1} \right)$$

$$\leq MKk_{p_1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2 - 1} \right) + MKk_{p_1} \left( \frac{1}{p_2 - 1} \right) = MKk_{p_1}.$$ 

We argue similarly for any $H_{n,r}$.

**Example 4.1.** — Condition (4.4) determines many pseudo-measures. We note that $k_p = \lfloor \log p \rfloor$ also determines a pseudo-measure by appropriate technical refinements. In order to give specific examples of $T \in A'(T) \setminus M(T)$ determined by $c \in J_T$ we now use $k_p = \lfloor \log p \rfloor, k_2 = 1$ (for technical convenience only). If $n$ is given and $k \leq n$ then from the prime number theorem ($p_j \sim j \log j, j \to \infty$) the number of primes in $[e^k, e^{k+1}]$ is estimated by $e^k(k(e - 1) - 1)/k(k + 1) \equiv N_k$. Thus there are approximately $N_k$ primes $p$ for which $k_p = k$. Therefore we write

$$n = 1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 \quad m = 2$$

$$= k_3 + 1 + \cdots + 1 \quad = 3 \cdot 2^{n-k_3}$$

$$= k_{p(n)} \quad \vdots \quad = p(n)$$

where $p(n)$ is the largest prime $p$ for which $k_p = n$. Consequently the sum $\sum \frac{1}{m}$ for those $m$ listed in (4.8) is bounded below approximately by

$$\frac{1}{e^{k+e=n+1}} \sum_{a \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{e}} \frac{(k - 1)(e - 1) - 1}{(k - 1)k}.$$
We rewrite (4.9) as
\[ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^a} \frac{(n-a)(e-1) - 1}{(n-a)(n+1-a)} \]
and estimate it by
\[ (4.10-2) \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2^2(n-2)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \]
(we can also estimate the integral
\[ e^{-n} \int_1^n \frac{e^x}{x} \, dx. \]
For the next steps we form (4.8-3), ..., (4.8-p), ... where if \( m \) is listed in (4.8-p) and \( k_m = n \) then
\[ m = q2^a3^b \cdots p^p \]
where \( q \) is a prime or 1 and if \( a_2 + \cdots + a_{p-1} > 0 \) then \( a_p > 0 \). We form the corresponding sum (4.9-p) by again counting the number of primes \( q \) in the allowable (that is, \( a_p > 0 \) and \( n = k_q + a_2k_2 + \cdots + a_pk_p \)) intervals \([e^k, e^{k+1}]\). Consequently, we form a sequence of finite sums (4.10-p) whose total sum over \( p \) is a lower bound \( b(n) \) of \( \hat{T}_1(n) \) and check that \( \sum b(n)/n \) diverges.

**Example 4.2.** — If \( E \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \) is lacunary (e.g., Example 3.1) then \( E \) is Sidon [6]. Sidon sets are a special case of \( \Lambda(t) \) sets for all \( t \in (0, \infty) \). \( \nu(t) \) sets \( E \), for \( t \in (1, \infty) \), are characterized by the property that
\[ \{ \mu \in M(T) : \forall n \notin E, \, \hat{\mu}(n) = 0 \} \subseteq L^1(T). \]
Given \( c \in \hat{J}_0 \) with corresponding \( k_p \) (let \( \{k_p\} \) increase to infinity with \( k_2 \geq 1 \)). \( r_t(n, \{k_p\}) \) is the number of representations of \( n \) as a sum of \( t \) elements, possibly repeated, from \( \{k_p\} \). When \( \{k_p\} \) is not \( \Lambda(2t) \) for any \( t \) then
\[ \forall t, \quad \sup_{n} r_t(n, \{k_p\}) = \infty. \]
Consequently, such \( c \in \hat{J}_0 \) which further satisfy (4.4) are a natural source in which to find \( T \in A'(T) \setminus M(T) \).
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