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# EXISTENCE OF BUBBLING SOLUTIONS WITHOUT MASS CONCENTRATION 

by Youngae LEE, Chang-Shou LIN \& Wen YANG

Abstract. - The seminal work by Brezis and Merle has been pioneering in studying the bubbling phenomena of the mean field equation with singular sources. When the vortex points are not collapsing, the mean field equation possesses the property of the so-called "bubbling implies mass concentration". Recently, Lin and Tarantello pointed out that the "bubbling implies mass concentration" phenomena might not hold in general if the collapse of singularities occurs. In this paper, we shall construct the first concrete example of non-concentrated bubbling solution of the mean field equation with collapsing singularities.

RÉSUMÉ. - Le travail sį̈ $1 / 2$ minal de Brezis et Merle a $\ddot{i}_{c}^{1 / 2}$ tïi ${ }_{i}^{1 / 2}$ pionnier dans
 sources singuliï $\iota^{1 / 2}$ res. Lorsque les points de vortex ne s'affaissent pas, l'ï $¿^{1 / 2}$ quation du champ moyen possï̈ $i^{1 / 2}$ de la propriï $i^{1 / 2}$ tï $i^{1 / 2}$ de ce que l'on appelle «le bouillonnement implique une concentration de masse ». Rïi ${ }^{1 / 2}$ cemment, Lin et Tarantello ont remarquï ${ }^{1 / 2}$ que les phï ${ }^{1 / 2}$ nomï̈ ${ }^{1 / 2}$ nes de «bouillonnement implique une concentration de masse» pourraient ne pas s'appliquer en gï¿ ${ }^{1 / 2}$ nï̈ $_{¿}{ }^{1 / 2}$ ral s'il y a effondrement des singularitïi ${ }^{1 / 2}$ ss se produit. Dans cet article, nous construisons le premier exemple concret de solution bulleuse non concentrï̈ ${ }^{1 / 22}$ e de l’ï ${ }_{¿}^{11 / 2}$ quation du champ moyen avec des singularitï̈ $1 / 2$ s d'effondrement.

## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemann surface with volume 1 , and $\rho>0$ be a real number. We consider the following mean field type equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+\rho\left(\frac{h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{u}}{\int_{M} h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{u} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}-1\right)=4 \pi \sum_{q_{i} \in S} \alpha_{i}\left(\delta_{q_{i}}-1\right) \text { on } M \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator, $S \subseteq M$ is a finite set of distinct points $q_{i}, \alpha_{q_{i}}>-1$, and $\delta_{q_{i}}$ is the Dirac measure at $q_{i} \in S$.

The point $q_{i} \in S$ is called vortex point or singular source. Throughout this paper, we always assume $h_{*}>0$ and $h_{*} \in C^{2, \sigma}(M)$.

The equation (1.1) arises in various areas of mathematics and physics. In conformal geometry, the equation (1.1) is related to the Nirenberg problem of finding prescribed Gaussian curvature if $S=\emptyset$, and the existence of a positive constant curvature metric with conic singularities if $S \neq \emptyset$ (see [9, 40] and the references therein). Moreover, if the parameter $\rho=4 \pi \sum \alpha_{i}$ and $M$ is a flat surface (for example, a flat torus), the equation (1.1) is an integrable system, which is related to the classical Lame equation and the Painleve VI equation (see [7, 14] for the details). The equation (1.1) is also related to the self-dual equation of the relativistic Chern-Simons-Higgs model. For the recent developments related to (1.1), we refer to the readers to $[2,3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,15,28,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,40,41,42]$ and references therein.

The seminal paper [6] by Brezis and Merle had initiated to study the blow up behavior of solutions of (1.1). Among others, they showed the following "bubbling implies mass concentration" result:

ThEOREM $1.1([6])$. - Suppose $S=\emptyset$ and $u_{k}$ is a sequence of blow up solutions to (1.1) with $\rho_{k}$. Then there is a non-empty finite set $\mathcal{B}$ (namely, blow up set) such that

$$
\rho_{k} \frac{h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{u_{k}}}{\int_{M} h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{u_{k}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}} \rightarrow \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}} \beta_{p} \delta_{p} \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { where } \quad \beta_{p} \geqslant 4 \pi .
$$

It was conjectured in [6] that if $S=\emptyset$, the local mass $\beta_{p}$ at each blow up point $p \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $\beta_{p} \in 8 \pi \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of natural numbers. This conjecture has been successfully proved by Li and Shafrir in [27], and Li in [26] showed that the local mass $\beta_{p}$ equals $8 \pi$ exactly. For the equation (1.1), $\rho \frac{h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{u}}{\int_{M} h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{u} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}$ is called the mass distribution of the solution $u$. Thus Theorem 1.1 just says that if $u_{k}$ blows up as $k \rightarrow+\infty$, then the mass is concentrated. Later, Bartolucci and Tarantello in [4] have extended Theorem 1.1 to include the case $S \neq \emptyset$. They also proved that if a blow up point coincides with some singular point $q_{i} \in S$, then $\beta_{q_{i}}=8 \pi\left(1+\alpha_{q_{i}}\right)$ (see also [2]).

Recently, Lin and Tarantello in [29] found a new phenomena such that if some of the vortices in (1.1) are collapsing, then a sequence of blow up solutions might not concentrate its mass. Indeed, they considered the
following equation:
(1.2) $\Delta \bar{u}_{t}+\rho\left(\frac{h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{\overline{\mathrm{u}}_{t}}}{\int_{M} h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{\bar{u}_{t}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}-1\right)$

$$
=4 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}\left(\delta_{q_{i}(t)}-1\right)+4 \pi \sum_{i=3}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left(\delta_{q_{i}}-1\right) \text { in } M
$$

where $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} q_{i}(t)=\mathfrak{q} \notin\left\{q_{3}, \cdots, q_{N}\right\}, i=1,2$, and $q_{1}(t) \neq q_{2}(t)$. Then the following theorem was stated in [29]:

Theorem 1.2 ([29]). - Assume $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho \in(8 \pi, 16 \pi)$. Suppose that $\bar{u}_{t}$ is a sequence of blow up solutions of (1.2) as $t \rightarrow 0$. Then $\bar{u}_{t} \rightarrow \bar{w}$ uniformly locally in $C^{2}(M \backslash\{\mathfrak{q}\})$, where $\bar{w}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \bar{w}+(\rho-8 \pi) & \left(\frac{h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{\bar{w}}}{\int_{M} h_{*} \mathrm{e}^{\bar{w}}}-1\right) \\
& =4 \pi\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-2\right)\left(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}-1\right)+4 \pi \sum_{i=3}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left(\delta_{q_{i}}-1\right) \text { in } M .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 was sketched in [29]. For the complete proof, see [22]. In Theorem 1.2, there is no restriction on $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, because $\rho \in(8 \pi, 16 \pi)$. If $\rho>16 \pi$, then we have to put some conditions on $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ in order to extend Theorem 1.2. Indeed, in [22], we generalize Theorem B and obtain a sharp estimate of $\bar{u}_{t}$ under some nondegenerate conditions. To state the result in [22], we let $G(x, p)$ be the Green's function of $-\Delta$ on $M$ satisfying

$$
-\Delta G(x, p)=\delta_{p}-1, \int_{M} G=0
$$

Throughout this paper, we fix a point $\mathfrak{q} \in M$, and without loss of generality, we may choose a suitable coordinate centered at $\mathfrak{q}$ and
$\mathfrak{q}=0, \quad q_{1}(t)=t \vec{e}, \quad q_{2}(t)=-t \vec{e}, \quad$ where $\vec{e} \quad$ is a fixed unit vector in $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.
To simplify our argument, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the following equation, which is equivalent to (1.2):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u_{t}+\rho\left(\frac{h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}-1\right)=0  \tag{1.4}\\
\int_{M} u_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0, \quad u_{t} \in C^{\infty}(M)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{t}^{(2)}(x)=4 \pi G(x, t \vec{e})+4 \pi G(x,-t \vec{e}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
h(x)=h_{*}(x) \exp \left(-4 \pi \sum_{i=3}^{N} \alpha_{i} G\left(x, q_{i}\right)\right) \geqslant & 0  \tag{1.6}\\
& h \in C^{2, \sigma}(M), \quad h(0)>0
\end{align*}
$$

We note $h(x)>0$ except at a finite set $S_{0}=\left\{q_{3}, \cdots, q_{N}\right\}$, where $0 \notin S_{0}$. Now we can state the following result:

Theorem 1.3 ([22]). - Assume $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}, i \geqslant 3$, and $\rho \notin 8 \pi \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $u_{t}$ is a sequence of blow up solutions of (1.4) as $t \rightarrow 0$. Then $u_{t} \rightarrow$ $w+8 \pi G(x, 0)$ uniformly locally in $C^{2}(M \backslash\{0\})$, where $w$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w+(\rho-8 \pi)\left(\frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w}}-1\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad M, \quad w \in C^{2}(M) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if the linearized equation of (1.7) at $w$ is non-degenerate, then for any $\tau \in(0,1)$, there is a constant $c_{\tau}>0$, independent of $t>0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{t}(x)-w(x)-8 \pi G\left(x, t p_{t}\right)\right\|_{C^{1}\left(M \backslash B_{2 t R_{0}}(0)\right)} \leqslant c_{\tau} \tau^{\tau} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t p_{t}$ is the maximum point of $u_{t}-w$ in $M$, and $R_{0}>2$ is a fixed constant.

In Theorem 1.3, the non-degenerate condition of $w$ is defined as follows:
Definition 1.4. - A solution $w$ of (1.7) is non-degenerate if 0 is the unique solution of the following linearized problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \phi+(\rho-8 \pi) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}\left(\phi-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \phi \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}\right)=0 \text { in } M,  \tag{1.9}\\
\int_{M} \phi \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the transversality theorem, we can always choose $h$ such that any solution of (1.7) is non-degenerate, i.e., the linearized equation (1.9) admits only the trivial solution. We refer the readers to [23, Theorem 4.1] for the details of the proof.

We remark the estimate (1.8) which holds outside of a very tiny ball is rare in literature. Indeed, the non-degenerate assumption plays an important role in the proof of (1.8). In Section 2, we shall review some estimates related to Theorem 1.3.

In this article, inspired by Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we are interested in constructing a family of non-concentrated blow up solutions with the collapse of singular sources. Our construction relies heavily on the non-degenerate assumption for (1.7). Under the non-degenerate assumption for (1.7) and the estimation established in Theorem 1.3, we could construct an accurate approximation solution and then succeed in obtaining the first concrete example of non-concentrated bubbling solution of (1.4) with collapsing singularities.

Theorem 1.5. - Let $h$ satisfies (1.6) with $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \geqslant 3$, and $\rho \notin 8 \pi \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $w$ is a non-degenerate solution of (1.7). Then there is a small number $\mathrm{t}_{0}>0$ such that if $t \in\left(0, \mathrm{t}_{0}\right)$, then there is a solution $u_{t}$ of (1.4) such that $u_{t}(x)$ blows up at $x=0$, and $u_{t}(x)$ converges to $w(x)+8 \pi G(x, 0)$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(M \backslash\{0\})$.

The construction of the bubbling solution without mass concentration is completely different from the previous ones [11, 19, 20, 21, 31]. Indeed, the equation (1.4) can not be reduced to a singular perturbation problem:

$$
\Delta u+\varepsilon h \mathrm{e}^{u}=0, \quad 0<\varepsilon \ll 1,
$$

which was treated by $[19,20,21]$ and [31], because the total mass of (1.4) remains bounded, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g} \neq+\infty .
$$

Our construction is inspired by the ideas in [11]. However, it is more complicate than the one treated in [11] due to non-concentration of the mass distribution of $u_{t}$.

We remark that a related phenomena was also studied by D'Aprile, Pistoia, and Ruiz in [18], where the authors proved the existence of solution for $2 \times 2$ Toda system such that both components blow up at the same point, and only one component has mass concentration, but the other one does not. However, their construction requires certain symmetry condition. We believe that our method in this paper might be able to construct such kind of solutions without symmetry condition. We will discuss later in a forthcoming project.

To prove Theorem 1.5, at first, we find a suitable approximate solution by using the estimations in Section 2. After that, we have to prove the invertibility of the linearized operator $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}$, which is the one of most important parts in this paper (see Section 4 for the definition of $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}$ ). The most crucial step is to find the orthogonality condition for the linearized operator $\mathbb{L}_{t, q}$ (see $E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ and $F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ in Definition 4.2). To do it,
we note that the blow up phenomena in Theorem $C$ does require a double scaling (see [22] or Section 2 below). In a very tiny ball $B_{t R_{0}}\left(t p_{t}\right)$, the second time re-scaled one from the original solution of (1.4) becomes a perturbation of an entire solution $v$ of Liouville equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v+\mathrm{e}^{v}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that solutions to (1.10) have been completely classified by Prajapat and Tarantello in [39] such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)=v_{\mu, a}(z)=\ln \frac{8 \mathrm{e}^{\mu}}{\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{\mu}|z+a|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ can be arbitrary. So the equation (1.10) has invariance under dilations and translations. The linearized operator $L$ for $v_{0,0}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \phi:=\Delta \phi+\frac{8}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \phi \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [1, Proposition 1], it has been known that there are three kernels $Y_{0}, Y_{1}$, $Y_{2}$ for the linearized operator $L$, where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{0}(z):=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1+|z|^{2}}=-1+\frac{2}{1+|z|^{2}}=\left.\frac{\partial v_{\mu, a}}{\partial \mu}\right|_{(\mu, a)=(0,0)}  \tag{1.13}\\
Y_{1}(z):=\frac{z_{1}}{1+|z|^{2}}=-\left.\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial v_{\mu, a}}{\partial a_{1}}\right|_{(\mu, a)=(0,0)} \\
Y_{2}(z):=\frac{z_{2}}{1+|z|^{2}}=-\left.\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial v_{\mu, a}}{\partial a_{2}}\right|_{(\mu, a)=(0,0)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, after a long computation, we found that due to the nonconcentration of mass, the orthogonality with $Y_{0}(z)$ should be not included in the finite-dimensional reduction like [19, 21]. However, it causes a lot of difficulties in proving the invertibility of the linearized equation. To overcome those difficulties, some of the idea comes from our previous work on $S U(3)$ Chern-Simons system [25] (see also [17, 16] for the recent developments in $S U(3)$ Chern-Simons system). We consider this part as one of the main technical novelties in our paper.

Before ending the introduction, we would like to make some comments on the phenomena of the collapsing singularities. It arises naturally from
the study of the following Toda system:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u_{1}+K_{11} \rho_{1} & \left(\frac{h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{1}}}{\int_{M} h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{1}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}-1\right)  \tag{1.14}\\
& +K_{12} \rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} \mathrm{e}^{u_{2}}}{\int_{M} h_{2} \mathrm{e}^{u_{2}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}-1\right)=0 \\
\Delta u_{2}+K_{21} \rho_{1} & \left(\frac{h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{1}}}{\int_{M} h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{1}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}-1\right) \\
& +K_{22} \rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} \mathrm{e}^{u_{2}}}{\int_{M}^{h_{2} \mathrm{e}^{u_{2}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}}-1\right)=0
\end{align*} \quad \text { in } M,\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22}\end{array}\right)$ is the Cartan matrix of rank two of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}, \rho_{i}>0, h_{i}(x)=h_{i}^{*}(x) \mathrm{e}^{-4 \pi \sum_{p \in S_{i}} \alpha_{p, i} G(x, p)}, h_{i}^{*}>0$ in $M, \alpha_{p, i} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S_{i}$ is a finite set of distinct points in $M, i=1,2$.

In order to compute the topological degree for the Toda system (1.14), we should calculate the degree jump due to blow up solutions of Toda system. For example, let $\left(u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}\right)$ be a sequence of solutions of (1.14) with $\left(\rho_{1 k}, \rho_{2 k}\right) \rightarrow\left(4 \pi, \rho_{2}\right)$ satisfying $\rho_{2} \notin 4 \pi \mathbb{N}$ and $\max _{M}\left(u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$. In [24, Theorem 1.7], it was proved that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1 k} \frac{h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{1 k}}}{\int_{M}^{h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{1 k}}} \rightarrow 4 \pi \delta_{Q}, Q \in M \backslash S_{1}, \text { and }} \\
u_{2 k} \rightarrow w+4 \pi K_{21} G(x, Q) \text { in } C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2, \alpha}(M \backslash\{Q\}),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(w, Q)$ is a solution of the so-called shadow system of the Toda system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta w+2 \rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} \mathrm{e}^{w+4 \pi K_{21} G(x, Q)}}{\int_{M} h_{2} \mathrm{e}^{w+4 \pi K_{21} G(x, Q)}}-1\right)=0  \tag{1.15}\\
\left.\nabla\left(\log h_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{K_{12}}{2} w}\right)\right|_{x=Q}=0, \text { and } Q \notin S_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In [23, Theorem 1.4], it was shown that the calculation of the degree contributed by blow up solutions $\left(u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}\right)$ of (1.14) can be reduced to computing the topological degree of (1.15). To find the a priori bound for solutions of (1.15), it is inevitable to encounter with the difficult situation due to the phenomena of collapsing singularities. Indeed, there might be a sequence of solutions $\left(w_{k}, Q_{k}\right)$ of (1.15) such that $Q_{k} \notin S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and $Q_{k} \rightarrow Q_{0} \in S_{2}$. For the details, we refer to the readers to [23, 24].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we put some estimations in order to give a motivation for the construction of approximate solution. In Section 3, we construct an approximate solution. In Section 4, we introduce some function spaces and the linearized operator. In Section 5,
we prove the invertibility of linearized operator for the equation (1.4). In Section 6, we finally prove Theorem 1.5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some estimations from [22] in order to illustrate the idea of constructing a suitable approximate solution.

Let $u_{t}$ be a sequence of blow up solutions of (1.4), where $w(x)+8 \pi G(x, 0)$ is its limit in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(M \backslash\{0\})$ and $w$ satisfies (1.7).

Define the local mass $\sigma_{0}$ of $u_{t}$ at 0 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{B_{r}(0)} \rho h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand the blow up phenomena of $u_{t}$ near 0 , we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}(y)=u_{t}(t y)-\ln \left(\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}\right)+6 \ln t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v_{t}(y)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{y} v_{t}+\rho h(t y)|y-\vec{e}|^{2}|y+\vec{e}|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}(t y)} \mathrm{e}^{v_{t}(y)}=\rho t^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{(2)}(x)=4 \pi R(x, t \vec{e})+4 \pi R(x,-t \vec{e}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $R(x, \zeta)=G(x, \zeta)+\frac{1}{2 \pi}|x-\zeta|$ is the regular part of the Green function.
Let

$$
m_{0}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{R}(0)} \rho h(t y)|y-\vec{e}|^{2}|y+\vec{e}|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}(t y)} \mathrm{e}^{v_{t}(y)} \mathrm{d} y
$$

In [24], the following Pohozaev type identity was derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{0}-m_{0}\right)\left(\sigma_{0}+m_{0}\right)=24 \pi\left(\sigma_{0}-m_{0}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with Theorem C, we get $\sigma_{0}=m_{0}=8 \pi$. Therefore, the scaled function $v_{t}$ defined in (2.2) blows up only at the origin 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{t}(x)=u_{t}(x)-w(x)-\rho_{t} G\left(x, t p_{t}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{t}=\frac{\int_{B_{t R_{0}\left(t p_{t}\right)}} \rho h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}$. Then our estimation on $\tilde{\phi}_{t}$ is stated in the proposition below:

Proposition 2.1 ([22]). - Assume $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \geqslant 3$, and $\rho \notin 8 \pi \mathbb{N}$. Let $u_{t}$ be a sequence of blow up solutions of (1.4), where $w(x)+8 \pi G(x, 0)$ is its limit in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(M \backslash\{0\})$. Suppose that $w$ is a non-degenerate solution of (1.7). Then for any $0<\tau<1$, there is a constant $c_{\tau}>0$, independent of $t>0$, satisfying

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\phi}_{t}(x)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(M \backslash B_{2 t R_{0}}\left(t p_{t}\right)\right)} \leqslant c_{\tau} t^{2 \tau}, \quad\left\|\nabla_{x} \widetilde{\phi}_{t}(x)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(M \backslash B_{2 t R_{0}}\left(t p_{t}\right)\right)} \leqslant c_{\tau} t^{\tau}
$$

We have already known that the scaled function $v_{t}$ blows up only at 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. To give a more description for the behavior of $v_{t}$ near 0 , we fix a constant $\mathbf{r}_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{t}:=\max _{y \in B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)} \bar{v}_{t}(y)=\bar{v}_{t}\left(p_{t}\right), \text { where } \bar{v}_{t}(y)=v_{t}(y)-w(t y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Pohozaev identity as in [10, Estimate B], we can derive the following estimation for the maximum point $p_{t}$ of $\bar{v}_{t}$ :

Proposition 2.2 ([22]). - Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then there is a constant $c>0$, independent of $t>0$, satisfying

$$
\left|p_{t}\right| \leqslant c t
$$

From Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we get the blow up solution $u_{t}$ can be approximated by $w+\rho_{t} G\left(x, t p_{t}\right)$ well outside a tiny ball which is centered at 0 and its maximum point $p_{t}$ is sufficiently close to 0 . Then the left issue is to understand the blow up rate $\lambda_{t}$. In order to get a estimation for $\lambda_{t}$, we have to find out the difference between $u_{t}$ and the standard bubble in the tiny ball $B_{2 R_{0} t}\left(t p_{t}\right)$. Following the arguments in [10], we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{t}(y)=\ln \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t}}}{\left(1+C_{t} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t}}\left|y-q_{t}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t}:=\frac{\rho h\left(t p_{t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{w\left(t p_{t}\right)}\left|p_{t}-\vec{e}\right|^{2}\left|p_{t}+\vec{e}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}\left(t p_{t}\right)}}{8} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{t}$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\nabla_{y} I_{t}(y)\right|_{y=p_{t}}=-\left.t \rho_{t} \nabla_{x} R\left(t p_{t}, x\right)\right|_{x=t p_{t}},\left|q_{t}-p_{t}\right| \ll 1 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{t}-q_{t}\right|=O\left(t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t}}\right), \text { and }\left|I_{t}\left(p_{t}\right)-\lambda_{t}\right|=O\left(t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t}}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the error term $\eta_{t}(y)$ in $B_{2 R_{0}}\left(p_{t}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{t}(y)=\bar{v}_{t}(y)-I_{t}(y)-\rho_{t}\left(R\left(t y, t p_{t}\right)-R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& \text { for } y \in B_{2 R_{0}}\left(p_{t}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2.11) and (2.10) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{t}\left(p_{t}\right)=\bar{v}_{t}\left(p_{t}\right)-I_{t}\left(p_{t}\right)=O\left(t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t}}\right) \text { and } \nabla \eta_{t}\left(p_{t}\right)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By performing a scaling $y=R_{t}^{-1} z+p_{t}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\eta}_{t}(z)=\eta_{t}\left(R_{t}^{-1} z+p_{t}\right) \text { for }|z| \leqslant 2 R_{t} R_{0}, \quad R_{t}=C_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\lambda_{t}}{2}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\widetilde{\eta}_{t}(z)$ is scaled twice from the original coordinate in a neighborhood of $0 \in M$. The reason for us to do this double scaling is that $\bar{v}_{t}(y)$ also blows up at 0 . Applying the arguments in [10], we get the following result:

Proposition 2.3 ([22]). - Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}$, independent of $t>0$ and $z \in B_{2 R_{t} R_{0}}(0)$ such that

$$
\left|\widetilde{\eta}_{t}(z)\right| \leqslant C_{\varepsilon}\left(t| | \widetilde{\phi}_{t} \|_{*}+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)(1+|z|)^{\varepsilon} \text { for }|z| \leqslant 2 R_{t} R_{0}
$$

where $\left\|\widetilde{\phi}_{t}\right\|_{*}=\left\|\widetilde{\phi}_{t}\right\|_{C^{1}\left(M \backslash B_{2 t R_{0}}\left(t p_{t}\right)\right)}$.
Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{h(\zeta) \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}(\zeta)-G_{t}^{(2)}(\zeta)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta=8 C_{t} \mathrm{e}^{I_{t}(y)}\left(1+\mathcal{H}_{t}\left(y, \eta_{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta=t y$,
$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{H}_{t}(y, s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{h(t y) \mathrm{e}^{w(t y)}|y-\vec{e}|^{2}|y+\vec{e}|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}(t y)}}{h\left(t p_{t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{w\left(t p_{t}\right)}\left|p_{t}-\vec{e}\right|^{2}\left|p_{t}+\vec{e}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}\left(t p_{t}\right)}} \mathrm{e}^{s+\rho_{t}\left(R\left(t y, t p_{t}\right)-R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)\right)}-1 \\
& =\frac{\rho h(t y) \mathrm{e}^{w(t y)}|y-\vec{e}|^{2}|y+\vec{e}|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}(t y)}}{8 C_{t}} \mathrm{e}^{s+\rho_{t}\left(R\left(t y, t p_{t}\right)-R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)\right)}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{t}\left(y, \eta_{t}\right)=\left.\mathcal{H}_{t}(y, s)\right|_{s=\eta_{t}(y)} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

After a straightforward computation, we can see that $\mathcal{H}_{t}\left(y, \eta_{t}\right)$ admits the following expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{t}\left(y, \eta_{t}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{t}(y, 0)+\mathcal{H}_{t}(y, 0) \eta_{t}+O(1)\left(\left|\eta_{t}\right|\right) \text { for } y \in B_{2 R_{0}}\left(p_{t}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (2.15) and Proposition 2.3, we have the following result:
Proposition 2.4 ([22]). - Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then there is a constant $c>0$ which is independent of $t$ such that

$$
\left|\rho_{t}-8 \pi\right| \leqslant c\left(t\left|\left\|\widetilde{\phi}_{t}\right\|_{*}+t^{2}\right| \ln t \mid\right)
$$

From (2.2), (2.6) and (2.12), we see that for $y \in \partial B_{2 R_{0}}\left(p_{t}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{t}(y)= & \widetilde{\phi}_{t}(t y)+\left(\rho_{t}-8 \pi\right) G\left(t y, t p_{t}\right)+4 \ln \left|y-q_{t}\right|-4 \ln \left|y-p_{t}\right| \\
& +\lambda_{t}+2 \ln C_{t}+2 \ln t+8 \pi R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)-\ln \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g} \\
& -\ln \frac{\left(C_{t} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t}}\left|y-q_{t}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+C_{t} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t}}\left|y-q_{t}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\left(8 \pi-\rho_{t}\right)\left(R\left(t y, t p_{t}\right)-R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with Proposition 2.1-2.4, we have the following result:
Proposition 2.5 ([22]). - Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then for any $0<\tau<1$, there is a constant $c_{\tau}>0$ which is independent of $t$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda_{t}+2 \ln t+2 \ln C_{t}+8 \pi R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-8 \pi} \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w}\right)\right| \leqslant c_{\tau} t^{2 \tau}
$$

Remark 2.6. - Proposition 2.1-Proposition 2.5 provide us almost all the information for the construction of the blow up solutions $u_{t}$ of (1.4). Precisely, by Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.4, we need to make the approximate solution $U_{t, q}$ admit the following behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t, q}(x)=w(x)+8 \pi G\left(x, t p_{t}\right)+o(1) \text { in } M \backslash B_{2 t R_{0}}\left(t p_{t}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

While from Proposition 2.3 and (2.11), the approximate solution $U_{t, q}$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{t, q}(x)= & \ln \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t}}}{t^{6}\left(1+\frac{C_{t} \mathrm{e}_{t}}{t^{2}}\left|x-t p_{t}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+w(x)+\ln \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}  \tag{2.20}\\
& +8 \pi\left(R\left(x, t p_{t}\right)-R\left(t p_{t}, t p_{t}\right)\right)+o(1) \quad \text { in } B_{2 t R_{0}}\left(t p_{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Next, the blow up rate $\lambda_{t}$ given in Proposition 2.5 could help us to well combine (2.19) and (2.20). Finally, following the arguments in [31], we make some small modification on such function. Then the modified function becomes our approximate solution and it is in $C^{1}(M)$. See Section 3 for the exact form of the approximate solution for (1.4).

Remark 2.7. - In [22], the estimations in this section were proved even for a general cases including $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=1$. Notice that the general cases have a slightly different order for $t$, due to the setting of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$.

## 3. Approximate solutions

Let $w$ be a non-degenerate solution of (1.7). Note that (1.7) is invariant by adding a constant to the solutions. Therefore, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} w \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout Section 3-Section 6, we use $O(1)$ to mean uniform boundedness, independent of $t>0$ and $q$, and fix some constants $\mathbf{r}_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $R_{0}>2$.

For any $q \in B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)$, and $t>0$, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{t, q}(y)  \tag{3.2}\\
& :=h(t y)|y-\vec{e}|^{2}|y+\vec{e}|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-R_{t}^{(2)}(t y)+8 \pi R(t y, t q)-8 \pi R(t q, t q)+w(t y)-w(t q)}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.3) $\quad \lambda_{t, q}:=-2 \ln t-2 \ln \left(\frac{\rho H_{t, q}(q)}{8}\right)$

$$
-8 \pi R(t q, t q)-w(t q)+\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-8 \pi} \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w}\right)
$$

To simplify our notation, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t, q}:=\sqrt{\frac{8}{\rho H_{t, q}(q)}}, \quad \Lambda_{t, q}:=C_{t, q}^{-1} t^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}, \quad \Gamma_{t, q}:=C_{t, q}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} R_{0} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.3), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{t, q}=O\left(t^{-2}\right) \text { and } \Gamma_{t, q}=O\left(t^{-1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $H_{t, q}$ and $\lambda_{t, q}$ are motivated by [11]. Clearly, $H_{t, q}$ is related to $\mathcal{H}_{t}(y, 0)$ (see (2.16)) and $\lambda_{t, q}$ is related to the height of the bubbling solutions $\bar{v}_{t}$ (see (2.7) and Proposition 2.5). Using Remark 2.6 with the modification of [31], we set

$$
u_{t, q}^{*}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\ln \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t, q}}}{t^{6}\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}}+8 \pi R(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right)-8 \pi R(t q, t q)  \tag{3.6}\\
\quad+w(x)-w(t q)+\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-8 \pi} \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}\right) \text { on } B_{t R_{0}}(t q), \\
\ln \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda} t, q}{t^{6}\left(1+\Gamma_{t, q}^{2}\right)^{2}}+8 \pi\left(G(x, t q)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \left|t R_{0}\right|\right)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right) \\
\quad-8 \pi R(t q, t q)+w(x)-w(t q) \\
\quad+\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-8 \pi} \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}\right) \text { on } M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\theta_{t, q}$ is chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{t, q}:=\frac{1}{1+\Gamma_{t, q}^{2}}=O\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u_{t, q}^{*} \in C^{1}(M)$. Now we define an approximate solution $U_{t, q}$ for (1.4) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t, q}(x):=u_{t, q}^{*}(x)-\int_{M} u_{t, q}^{*} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first sight, the expression of (3.6) seems complicated, but the following result will simplify the expression in (3.6) for $x \notin B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$.

Lemma 3.1.
(1) $\int_{M} u_{t, q}^{*} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=O\left(t^{2}|\ln t|\right)$.
(2) $U_{t, q}(x)=w(x)+8 \pi G(x, t q)+O\left(t^{2}|\ln t|\right)$ on $M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}  \tag{3}\\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda} t, q}{t^{2}} H_{t, q}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\left(1+O\left(t^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)} \\
\left(\frac{\rho-8 \pi}{\rho}\right) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}} \\
\quad \text { on } B_{t R_{0}}(t q), \\
\quad O(1)\left(1_{B_{\mathrm{r}_{0}}(0)}(x)\left(\frac{t|q|}{|x-t q|}+\frac{t^{2}}{|x-t q|^{2}}\right)+t^{2}|\ln t|+t|q|\right) \\
\text { on } M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q),
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}$ is a constant satisfying $\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}=O(t|q|)+O\left(t^{2}|\ln t|\right)$, $1_{B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)}(x)=1$ if $x \in B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)$, and $1_{B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)}(x)=0$ if $x \notin B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)$.

Proof.
Step 1. - Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}_{t, q}=-4\left(\ln \left|t R_{0}\right|\right) \theta_{t, q}+2 \ln \left(\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}^{2}}{1+\Gamma_{t, q}^{2}}\right)=O\left(t^{2}|\ln t|\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $u_{t, q}^{*}$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t, q}^{*}(x) & =w(x)+8 \pi G(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right)+2 \ln \left(\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}^{2}}{1+\Gamma_{t, q}^{2}}\right)-4\left(\ln \left|t R_{0}\right|\right) \theta_{t, q} \\
(3.10) & =w(x)+8 \pi G(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right)+\mathfrak{B}_{t, q} \quad \text { in } M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (3.3). Similarly, we also see that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t, q}^{*}(x) & =w(x)+8 \pi G(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& +2 \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}}{1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}}\right)-4 \ln |x-t q| \theta_{t, q} \text { in } B_{t R_{0}}(t q) .
\end{align*}
$$

Together with $\int_{M} w \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=\int_{M} G(x, t q) \mathrm{d} v_{g}=0$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} u_{t, q}^{*} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}+\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}\right) u_{t, q}^{*} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \\
& =\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} w(y) \\
& \quad+8 \pi G(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right)+\mathfrak{B}_{t, q} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}+\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} u_{t, q}^{*} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \\
& =\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \mathfrak{B}_{t, q} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}+\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} u_{t, q}^{*}-w(y) \\
& \quad-8 \pi G(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right) \mathrm{d} v_{g} . \\
& =\mathfrak{B}_{t, q}+\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}\left(2 \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}}{1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}}\right)-4 \theta_{t, q} \ln |x-t q|-\mathfrak{B}_{t, q}\right) \mathrm{d} v_{g} \\
& =O\left(t^{2}|\ln t|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Lemma 3.1(1). Moreover, combined with (3.9)-(3.10) and (3.12), we get Lemma 3.1 (2).

Step 2. - From (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t, q}^{*}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\mathfrak{B}_{t, q}} \int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{w+8 \pi G(x, t q)\left(1-\theta_{t, q}\right)-4 \pi G(x,-t \vec{e})-4 \pi G(x, t \vec{e})} \mathrm{d} v_{g} \\
& =\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{w}\left(1+O(1)\left(1_{B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)}\left(\frac{t|q|}{|x-t q|}+\frac{t^{2}}{|x-t q|^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+t^{2}|\ln t|+t|q|\right)\right) \mathrm{d} v_{g} \\
& =\int_{M} h(x) \mathrm{e}^{w(x)} \mathrm{d} v_{g}+O(1)\left(t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\rho-8 \pi) \int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h(x) \mathrm{e}^{u_{t, q}^{*}-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)} \mathrm{d} v_{g}  \tag{3.14}\\
& =\rho \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 H_{t, q}\left(C_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} z+q\right) \mathrm{e}^{-8 \pi R\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q, t q\right) \theta t, q}}{\rho H_{t, q}(q)\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}} \frac{8\left(1+\frac{\nabla H_{t, q}(q)}{H_{t, q}(q)} \cdot\left(C_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} z\right)+O(1)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}}|z|^{2}+t^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =8 \pi \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}+O\left(t^{2}|\ln t|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.13)-(3.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} h(x) \mathrm{e}^{u_{t, q}^{*}-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)} \mathrm{d} v_{g}=\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-8 \pi} \int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}\right)\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}=O(1)\left(t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)$ is a constant.
Note that

$$
\frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}=\frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{u_{t, q}^{*}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{u_{t, q}^{*}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}
$$

Together with the definition of $u_{t, q}^{*}$, (3.10), and (3.15), we get the left conclusion of Lemma 3.1 and it finishes the proof.

## 4. Linearized operator

### 4.1. Function spaces

Let $\chi_{t, q}$ be the cut-off function satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leqslant \chi_{t, q} \leqslant 1, \quad\left|\nabla_{x} \chi_{t, q}(x)\right|=O\left(t^{-1}\right), \quad\left|\nabla_{x}^{2} \chi_{t, q}(x)\right|=O\left(t^{-2}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
\chi_{t, q}(x)=\chi_{t, q}(|x-t q|)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { on } & B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q), \\
0 & \text { on } & M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q),
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

We denote $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(z):=(1+|z|)^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the function $Y_{i}(z), i=0,1,2$, defined in (1.13). We set for $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) & =\chi_{t, q}(x) Y_{i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
Z_{t, q, i}(x) & :=-\Delta_{x} \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x)+\frac{8 \Lambda_{t, q}^{2} \chi_{t, q}(x) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In this section, we often use $x$ as the original coordinate in a neighborhood of $0 \in M$ and $z=\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)$ as the double scaled coordinate. For convenience, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}(z)=f\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the notion above, we have

$$
\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)=\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { on } B_{\Gamma_{t, q} / 2}(0),  \tag{4.7}\\
0 & \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0),
\end{array} \quad 0 \leqslant \overline{\chi_{t, q}} \leqslant 1,\right.
$$

and $\left|\nabla_{z} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right|=O(t),\left|\nabla_{z}^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right|=O\left(t^{2}\right)$.
Concerning for $Z_{t, q, i}(x)$, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.1.
(1) $\int_{M} Z_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g}=0$ for $i=1,2$.
(2) For $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M} Z_{t, q, i}(x) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g} \\
&=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{i}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) $\int_{M} Z_{t, q, i}(x) \hat{Y}_{t, q, j}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z) \mathrm{d} z=0$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof.
(1) From the definition of $Z_{t, q, i}(x)$ and $\hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x)$, we have for $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{Z_{t, q, i}} & (z)=Z_{t, q, i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
= & -\left.\Delta_{x} \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x)\right|_{x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q}+\left.\frac{8 \Lambda_{t, q}^{2} \chi_{t, q}(x) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right|_{x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q} \\
= & -\left.\Delta_{x}\left(\chi_{t, q}(x) Y_{i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right)\right)\right|_{x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q} \\
& +\left.\frac{8 \Lambda_{t, q}^{2} \chi_{t, q}^{2}(x) Y_{i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right|_{x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q} \\
= & \Lambda_{t, q}^{2}\left(-\Delta_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)+\frac{8 \bar{\chi} t, q^{2}(z) Y_{i}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

After direct computation, we have for $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 2$,
(4.9) $\frac{\partial Y_{i}(z)}{\partial z_{i}}=\frac{1+z_{j}^{2}-z_{i}^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial Y_{i}(z)}{\partial z_{j}}=\frac{-2 z_{i} z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}$,

$$
\Delta Y_{i}(z)=-\frac{8 z_{i}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}
$$

and
$\Delta_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)-\frac{8{\overline{\chi_{t, q}}}^{2}(z) Y_{i}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}$
$=\left(\Delta_{z} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)\right) \frac{z_{i}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}+2 \frac{d \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)}{d|z|}\left(\frac{z_{i}}{|z|} \frac{\left(1+z_{j}^{2}-z_{i}^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 z_{i} z_{j}^{2}}{|z|\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$

$$
-\frac{8 \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)\left(1+\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)\right) z_{i}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}
$$

Combined with (4.8), we get Lemma 4.1(1).
(2) For the functions $Z_{t, q, i}(x)$ and $\hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x)$, we have for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M} Z_{t, q, i}(x) \hat{Y}_{t, q, j}(x) & \mathrm{d} v_{g}  \tag{4.11}\\
& =\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} Z_{t, q, i}(x) \chi_{t, q}(x) Y_{j}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z) \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

Note $\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)$. Using (4.8) and the integration by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z  \tag{4.12}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \Lambda_{t, q}^{2}\left(-\Delta_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)+\frac{8{\overline{\chi_{t, q}}}^{2}(z) Y_{i}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =\Lambda_{t, q}^{2} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{i}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

Then Lemma 4.1 (2) follows from (4.11) and (4.12).
(3) We can obtain Lemma 4.1 (3) by (4.11), (4.8), and (4.10).

Following [8], we will introduce some function spaces with different norms for the different regions $M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)$ and $B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$.

Definition 4.2. - For any $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and $p \in(1,2]$,
(1) A function $\phi(x)$ on $M$ is said to be in $X_{\alpha, t, p}$ if $\int_{M} \phi \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}:=\left\|\Delta_{z} \bar{\phi}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}+\|\bar{\phi}(z) \rho(z)\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \\
+\|\Delta \phi\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)}+\|\phi\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)}<+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta_{z} \bar{\phi}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\phi}(z)}{\partial z_{i}^{2}}$.
(2) A function $g(x)$ on $M$ is said to be in $Y_{\alpha, t, p}$ if $\int_{M} g \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}:=\left\|t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}} \bar{g}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \\
&+\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) $E_{\alpha, t, q, p}:=\left\{\phi \in X_{\alpha, t, p} \mid \int_{M} \phi(x) Z_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g}=0, i=1,2\right\}$.
(4) $F_{\alpha, t, q, p}:=\left\{g \in Y_{\alpha, t, p} \mid \int_{M} g(x) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g}=0, i=1,2\right\}$.

Note we can get $X_{\alpha, t, p}, Y_{\alpha, t, p} \subseteq L^{1}(M)$ from Hï¿ ${ }^{1} / 2 l$ lder inequality, even though $B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)$ is not uniformly bounded. Furthermore, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.3. - $\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=O(1)$.

Proof. - By (4.8), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=\left\|t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \quad+\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)} \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\left\{-\Delta_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)+\frac{8 \overline{\chi_{t, q}}{ }^{2}(z) Y_{i}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}\right. \\
& \quad+\left\|-\Delta_{x}\left(\chi_{t, q}(x) Y_{i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right)\right)\right\|_{\left.L^{p}\left(B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right) \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)} \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\frac{\Lambda_{t, q}^{2} Y_{i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\|_{\left.L^{p}\left(B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right) \backslash B_{\frac{B_{R_{0}}}{2}}(t q)\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\left|\nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|=O(t),\left|\nabla^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|=O\left(t^{2}\right)$ and $p \in(1,2]$, we can obtain the Lemma 4.3 from (4.13) by direct computation.

### 4.2. Projection and Linearized operator

We define the projection $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}: Y_{\alpha, t, p} \rightarrow F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ by

$$
\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} g\right)(x):=g(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} Z_{t, q, i}(x),
$$

where $c_{i}$ is chosen so that $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} g \in F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$.
We shall prove that the projection $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}$ is a well-defined, and bounded map.

Lemma 4.4.
(1) $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}$ is well-defined, that is, for any $g \in Y_{\alpha, t, p}$, there exists a unique constant $c_{g, i}, i=1,2$ such that $g-\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{g, i} Z_{t, q, i} \in F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$.
(2) $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}$ is bounded, that is, there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that if $0<t<t_{0}$, then

$$
\left\|\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} g\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant c\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}
$$

for some constant $c>0$, which is independent of $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ and $g \in Y_{\alpha, t, p}$

Proof.
(1) For any $g \in Y_{\alpha, t, p}$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{g, i}=\frac{\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \bar{g}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z}{\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8(\overline{\chi t, q}(z))^{3}\left(Y_{i}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} z},  \tag{4.14}\\
& i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, we get that for $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M}\left(g(x)-\sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{g, k} Z_{t, q, k}(x)\right) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g} \\
& =\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} g(x) \chi_{t, q}(x) Y_{i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad-\int_{M}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{g, k} Z_{t, q, k}(x)\right) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \bar{g}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& \quad-c_{g, i} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}}\left(\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{i}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(g(x)-\sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{g, k} Z_{t, q, k}(x)\right) \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g}=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\int_{M} Z_{t, q, i} \hat{Y}_{t, q, i} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{M} Z_{t, q, i} \hat{Y}_{t, q, j} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0, i \neq j
$$

As a consequence, we can uniquely get $c_{g, i}$ such that (4.15) holds. This proves Lemma 4.4(1).
(2) Using (4.14) and $\mathrm{Hï}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{1} / 2$ lder inequality, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|c_{g, i}\right| & =\frac{\left|\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \bar{g}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|}{\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\left.\chi_{t, q}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{i}(z)\right)^{2}}\right.}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} z}  \tag{4.16}\\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\left\|Y_{i}(z)(1+|z|)^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}\right) \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.16) and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\left\|\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} g\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{g, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant O(1)\left(\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
$$

which implies Lemma $4.4(2)$. Thus we finish the proof.
Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{L}_{t, q} \phi:= & \Delta \phi(x)  \tag{4.17}\\
& +\rho \frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\left(\phi(x)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi \mathrm{d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we state the main result in this section.
Theorem 4.5. - There exist $r_{0}, t_{0}>0$ such that if $0<t<t_{0}$ and $q \in B_{r_{0}}(0)$, then the map $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}: E_{\alpha, t, q, p} \rightarrow F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ is isomorphism. Moreover, for any $(\phi, g) \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p} \times F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q} \phi=g$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\|\phi\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant C|\ln t|\|g\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of $(t, q, \phi, g) \in\left(0, t_{0}\right) \times B_{r_{0}}(0) \times$ $E_{\alpha, t, q, p} \times F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$.

We will give the proof in Section 5. It is remarkable that for the linearized operator $\mathbb{L}_{t, q}$, the orthogonality conditions shall be considered with only the approximate kernels due to translations, i.e. $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$. Heuristically, since the dilations part $Y_{0}$ does not vanish at infinity, the non-concentration phenomena of mass disturbs the element caused by dilations to be a good approximate kernel. It causes the main difficulty to prove Theorem 4.5. This is completely different from the previous works related to concentration phenomena of mass (for example, see [11, 31]).

We note that the norm of $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right)^{-1}$ is $O(|\ln t|)$, which was appeared in [8] by Chan, Fu, Lin for the study of Chern-Simons-Higgs equation (see also [31, 38]).

## 5. Invertibility of Linearized operator

First of all, we want to show the inequality (4.18). We shall prove it by contradiction. Suppose that as $t \rightarrow 0$, there is a sequence $q_{t},\left(\phi_{t}, g_{t}\right) \in$ $E_{\alpha, t, q_{t}, p} \times F_{\alpha, t, q_{t}, p}$ satisfying $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q_{t}} \mathbb{L}_{t, q_{t}} \phi_{t}=g_{t}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}=1, \quad\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify our notation, we write $q_{t}$ by $q$.
Since $\phi_{t}$ satisfies $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q} \phi_{t}=g_{t}$, we could find constants $c_{t, q, i}, i=1,2$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \phi_{t}+\rho \frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\left(\phi_{t}(x)-\right. & \left.\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& =g_{t}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{t, q, i} Z_{t, q, i}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{M}\left[\Delta \phi_{t}+\rho \frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\left(\phi_{t}(x)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right)\right] \hat{Y}_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g}  \tag{5.3}\\
=\int_{M}\left(g_{t}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{t, q, i} Z_{t, q, i}(x)\right) \hat{Y}_{t, q, j}(x) \mathrm{d} v_{g} \quad \text { for } j=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

Because the proof is long and complicated, we would like to first explain the ideas behind all computations. It is not difficult to see that $\phi_{t} \rightarrow \phi_{0}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}(M \backslash\{0\})$ for some $\phi_{0}$. Then we need to show $\phi_{0} \equiv 0$ to derive a contradiction to (5.1). To achieve our goal, we need to complete the following three steps,
(i) The (RHS) of (5.2) tends to zero,
(ii) $\frac{h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \rightarrow\left(\frac{\rho-8 \pi}{\rho}\right) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{0}(M \backslash\{0\})$,
(iii) $\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \rightarrow \frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}$.

Once we get (i)-(iii), we can show that equation (5.2) converges to

$$
\Delta \phi_{0}+(\rho-8 \pi) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}\left(\phi_{0}-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}\right)=0 .
$$

Then from the non-degenerate condition on $w$, we can get $\phi_{0} \equiv 0$. Among the steps (i)-(iii), (ii) was already proved (see Lemma 3.1(3)) and (i) is not to difficult. However, the proof of (iii) is very difficult and complicate. To verify it, we have to prove some identities resulting from the bubbling behavior at 0 .

For the equation (5.2) in the tiny ball $B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$, we apply the doubly scaling as in (4.6) and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{t}(z)=\bar{\phi}_{t}(z)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.4) and (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \leqslant 2\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leqslant 2 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{z} \psi_{t}(z)=\Delta_{z} \phi_{t}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right)=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2}\left(\left.\Delta_{x} \phi_{t}(x)\right|_{x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 (3), we see that $\psi_{t}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{z} \psi_{t}(z)+\frac{8 \psi_{t}(z) \frac{H_{t, q}\left(C_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} z+q\right)}{H_{t, q}(q)}\left(1+O(1)\left(t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{5.7}\\
=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2}\left(\overline{g_{t}}(z)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{t, q, i} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z)\right) \text { on } B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)
\end{gather*}
$$

In the following lemma, we give an estimation on $c_{t, q, i}, i=1,2$
Lemma 5.1. - $\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=O(t)$ for $i=1,2$.
Proof. - Multiplying $\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z)$ on both sides of (5.7) and using Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{t, q, j} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{j}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left\{\Delta \psi_{t}+\frac{8 \psi_{t}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{O(1)\left(\left|\psi_{t}(z)\right|\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \overline{g_{t}}(z)\right\} \frac{\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)} \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \overline{g_{t}}(z) \frac{\overline{\chi t, q}(z) z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)} \mathrm{d} z=0$ from $g_{t} \in F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$. After integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{t, q, j} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left|\nabla_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z)\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{j}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0) \backslash B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}^{2}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}+2 \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \quad+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \Delta\left(\frac{z_{j}}{1+|z|^{2}}\right)+\frac{8 \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}\right\} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \quad+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}} \frac{O(1)\left(\left|\psi_{t}(z)\right|\left|z_{j}\right|\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\mathcal{K}_{1, t}+\mathcal{K}_{2, t}+\mathcal{K}_{3, t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the right hand side of the above equation, using (5.5) and (4.1), we get $\mathcal{K}_{1, t}=O(t)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{3, t}=O(t)$. In the end, we can show the term $\mathcal{K}_{2, t}=0$ from the equation $\Delta\left(\frac{z_{j}}{1+|z|^{2}}\right)+\frac{8 z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}=0$. Together with Lemma 4.3, we prove Lemma 5.1.

Next, we give a description on the asymptotic behavior of $\psi_{t}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 5.2. - There is a constant $d_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\psi_{t}(z) \rightarrow d_{0} Y_{0}(z) \text { in } C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

Proof. - From (5.5), we have $\left\|\psi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \leqslant O(1)$. We also note that

$$
\left\|\Delta_{z} \psi_{t}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}=\left\|\Delta_{z} \bar{\phi}_{t}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \leqslant 1
$$

Using Sobolev embedding theorem, we can find a function $\psi_{0}$ such that $\psi_{t} \rightarrow \psi_{0}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leqslant O(1)$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.1 and $\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$, we can conclude that the limit of equation (5.7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{0}+\frac{8}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \psi_{0}=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leqslant O(1)$, we can apply [1, Proposition 1] to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} d_{i} Y_{i}(z) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{i}, i=0,1,2$, are some constants. By (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z) & =Z_{t, q, i}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right) \\
& =\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}\left(-\Delta_{z}\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)+\frac{8{\overline{\chi_{t, q}}}^{2}(z) Y_{i}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.1(1), we can derive $\phi_{t}-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ from $\phi_{t} \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$. Together with (4.8), we have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
0 & =\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}\left(\phi_{t}(x)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right) Z_{t, q, i}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left(-\Delta\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right)+\frac{8 \overline{\chi_{t, q}}}{}{ }^{2}(z) Y_{i}(z)\right. \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0) \backslash B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}^{2}}\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{~d} z \psi_{t}(z)\left(-\frac{\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right) z_{i}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}-2 \nabla \overline{\chi t, q} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{z_{i}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} z\right]
$$

By (5.5) and (4.1), we have $\mathfrak{K}_{1, t, q}=o(1)$. As a consequence, we can get $\mathfrak{K}_{2, t, q}=o(1)$ from the above equation. On the other hand, since $\psi_{t} \rightarrow \psi_{0}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we get that

$$
0=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{K}_{2, t, q}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{16 \psi_{0}(z) Y_{i}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

which implies $d_{i}=0, i=1,2$. Therefore, we get the conclusion.
By $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{Y_{0}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} z=0$, (5.5), and Lemma 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{\psi_{t}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{d_{0} Y_{0}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will improve the estimation (5.10) in the following result. The proof makes use of the test function $\eta_{1}(z)=-Y_{0}(z)-1$.

Lemma 5.3.
(1) $\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{\psi_{t}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$.
(2) $\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(-\Delta \psi_{t}(z)\right) \mathrm{d} z=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. - Let $\eta_{1}(z)=-Y_{0}(z)-1=\frac{-2}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}$. Then $\eta_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \eta_{1}(z)+\frac{8 \eta_{1}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}=-\frac{8}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying $\eta_{1}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)$ on both sides of (5.7) and using the integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} & {\left[\psi _ { t } ( z ) \left\{\left(\Delta \eta_{1}(z)\right) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)+2 \nabla \eta_{1}(z) \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)+\eta_{1}(z)\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)\right.\right.}  \tag{5.12}\\
+ & \left.\left(\frac{8+O(1)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \eta_{1}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right\} \\
& \left.-\Lambda_{t, q}^{-2}\left(\overline{g_{t}}(z)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{t, q, i} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z)\right) \eta_{1}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right] \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

Using Hï $\ddot{i}^{1 / 2}$ lder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2}\left(\left|\overline{g_{t}}(z)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i} \overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z)\right|\right)\left|\eta_{1}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} z  \tag{5.13}\\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.11), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{\left(\Delta \eta_{1}(z)\right) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right. & \left.+\frac{8 \eta_{1}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\} \mathrm{d} z  \tag{5.14}\\
& =-\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \psi_{t}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5), (4.1) and $\eta_{1}(z)=O\left(1 /\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{2 \nabla \eta_{1}(z) \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)+\eta_{1}(z)\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} z  \tag{5.15}\\
= & \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}}{2}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{2 \nabla \eta_{1}(z) \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)+\eta_{1}(z)(\Delta \overline{\chi t, q}(z))\right\} \mathrm{d} z \\
= & O\left(t^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{\left|\psi_{t}(z)\right|\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \eta_{1}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \mathrm{d} z=O(t) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.12)-(5.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \psi_{t}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z  \tag{5.17}\\
& \quad=O(1)\left(\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Together with $\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$ and Lemma 5.1, we prove the Lemma 5.3(1).

By (5.7), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(-\Delta \psi_{t}(z)\right) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \psi_{t}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z  \tag{5.18}\\
& \quad+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{O(1)\left(\left|\psi_{t}(z)\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& +O(1)\left(\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.17) and $\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$, we get the Lemma 5.3(2).
By Lemma 5.3, we get the following result.
Lemma 5.4.

$$
\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}=\frac{\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}+o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)
$$

Proof. - In order to estimate $\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}$, we divide $M$ into $M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$ and $B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$. Using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\left(\frac{\rho-8 \pi}{\rho}\right) \frac{\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h(x) \mathrm{e}^{w(x)} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}
$$

$$
+\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} O\left(\left|\phi_{t}(x)\right|\right)\left(1_{B_{\mathrm{r}_{0}}(0)}(x)\left(\frac{t|q||x-t q|+t^{2}}{|x-t q|^{2}}\right)+t^{2}|\ln t|+t|q|\right) \mathrm{d} v_{g}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}  \tag{5.20}\\
& \quad=\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \frac{C_{t, q}^{2} \Lambda_{t, q}^{2} H_{t, q}\left(t^{-1} x\right)\left(1+O\left(t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right) \phi_{t}(x)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

From the changing of variable $x \rightarrow \Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q$, we get from (5.20) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}  \tag{5.21}\\
& \quad=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \overline{\phi_{t}}(z) \frac{H_{t, q}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} t^{-1} z+q\right)}{H_{t, q}(q)}\left(1+O\left(t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\rho\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& \quad=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \overline{\phi_{t}}(z)\left(1+O\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\rho\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& \quad=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \overline{\phi_{t}}(z)}{\rho\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z+O\left(t\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 5.3 and the definition of $\psi_{t}$, we have
(5.22) $\quad o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 \psi_{t}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}} \frac{8\left(\overline{\phi_{t}}(z)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}} \frac{8 \overline{\phi_{t}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\left(8 \pi+O\left(t^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (5.19)-(5.22), we finally get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \\
& =\left(\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}+\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}\right) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}} \mathrm{~d} v_{g} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{8 \pi}{\rho}\right) \frac{\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h(x) \mathrm{e}^{w(x)} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}} \\
& \quad+\frac{8 \pi}{\rho} \frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}}+o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Lemma 5.4.
For any function $g$ satisfying $g(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we recall the following inequality, which will be useful for our later arguments.

Lemma 5.5 ([8]). - There is a constant $c>0$, independent of $x \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{2}(0)$ and $g$, such that
$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\ln |x-z|-\ln |x|) g(z) \mathrm{d} z\right| \leqslant c|x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\ln |x|+1)\left\|g(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}$.
By $\mathrm{Hï}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ lder inequality and Lemma 5.1, we get the following estimation for $\phi_{t}$ satisfying (5.2).

Lemma 5.6.

$$
\int_{M}\left|\Delta \phi_{t}\right| \mathrm{d} v_{g} \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}\right) \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right)+o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)
$$

Proof. - By Hї̈ $1^{1 / 2}$ lder inequality and the change of variables $x=\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+$ $t q \in B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|\Delta \phi_{t}\right| \mathrm{d} v_{g}  \tag{5.23}\\
\leqslant & O(1)\left(\left\|\Delta \phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right)}+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left|\Delta_{z} \overline{\phi_{t}}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} z\right) \\
\leqslant & O(1)\left(\left\|\Delta \phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right)}+\left\|\Delta_{z} \overline{\phi_{t}}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}\right) \\
\leqslant & O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\phi}_{t}(z) \rho(z)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}+\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)} \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $\|\rho\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}=O(1)$. Combined with equation (5.2) and (5.7), we get from (5.5) and Lemma 3.1 (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta \bar{\phi}_{t}(z)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}+\left\|\Delta \phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\left(\frac{\psi_{t}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}\right.
$$

$$
+\left\|\frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\left(\phi_{t}(x)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)}
$$

$$
\left.+\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
$$

$$
\leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
$$

By (5.24)-(5.25), we get

$$
\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)
$$

Together with $\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$ and Lemma 5.1, we get Lemma 5.6.

By the Green representation formula and Lemma 5.5, we compare the differences for the value of $\phi_{t}$ in different regions.

Lemma 5.7.
(1) If $|x-t q| \leqslant\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{t}(x)-\phi_{t}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|\ln \frac{\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|}{|x-t q|} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|+\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln | x^{\prime}-t q| |\right) \\
& +O\left(\lambda_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\alpha \lambda_{t, q}}{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For $x \in B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q) \backslash B_{t^{2} R_{0}}(t q)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{t}(x)-\phi_{t}(t q)\right| \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln \right| z\left|\Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|+\left|\ln \left(\Lambda_{t, q}|x-t q|\right) \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+O(1)\left(t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln t|+\left(\frac{|x-t q|}{t^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \ln \left(\frac{|x-t q|}{t^{2}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.
Step 1. - By the Green representation formula, we have for any $x, x^{\prime} \in$ $B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}(x)-\phi_{t}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{M}\left(G\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)-G(x, \zeta)\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5.6, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash B_{2 \mathrm{r}_{0}}(0)}(G(x, \zeta)-\left.G\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{5.27}\\
&+\int_{B_{2 \mathrm{r}_{0}}(0)}\left(R(x, \zeta)-R\left(x^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}\right) \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. - Suppose that $|x-t q| \leqslant\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)$. By Hï̈ $1 / 21$ lder inequality, we see that for some $\theta \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{B_{2 \mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|x^{\prime}-\zeta\right|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta\right|  \tag{5.28}\\
& \leqslant \int_{B_{2 \mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{2\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|}(t q)} \frac{\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|}{} \quad \frac{\zeta\left|x^{\prime}-\zeta\right|+(1-\theta)|x-\zeta|}{}\left|\Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta)\right| \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \quad+\int_{B_{2\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|}(t q) \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)}\left(|\ln | x^{\prime}-\zeta| |+|\ln | x-\zeta| |\right)\left|\Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta)\right| \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln | x^{\prime}-t q| |\left\|\Delta \phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{2 \mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right)}\right) \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln | x^{\prime}-t q| |\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 2\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|$. By the change of variables, we also see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|x^{\prime}-\zeta\right|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{5.29}\\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|x^{\prime}-t q-\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z\right|}{\left|x-t q-\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z\right|}\right) \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}\left(x^{\prime}-t q\right)-z\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)-z\right|}\right) \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{t, q}=\ln \left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}\left(x^{\prime}-t q\right)\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right|}\right) \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t} \mathrm{~d} z=\ln \left(\frac{\left|x^{\prime}-t q\right|}{|x-t q|}\right) \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

By adding and substituting the same constant $\mathfrak{Z}_{t, q}$ in the last line of (5.29), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|x^{\prime}-\zeta\right|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{5.30}\\
&= \mathfrak{Z}_{t, q}+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}
\end{align*} \quad\left\{\ln \left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}\left(x^{\prime}-t q\right)-z\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}\left(x^{\prime}-t q\right)\right|}\right)\right\}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{t, q} R_{0} t \leqslant\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right| \leqslant\left|\Lambda_{t, q}\left(x^{\prime}-t q\right)\right|
$$

by applying Lemma 5.5 , we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|x^{\prime}-\zeta\right|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta=\mathfrak{Z}_{t, q}+O\left(\lambda_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\alpha \lambda_{t, q}}{4}}\right) . \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.26)-(5.31), we obtain Lemma 5.7 (1).

Step 3. - Suppose that $x \in B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q) \backslash B_{t^{2} R_{0}}(t q)$. By Hї̈ $1 / 2$ lder inequality, we see that for some $\theta \in(0,1)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{B_{2 \mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{|t q-\zeta|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta\right|  \tag{5.32}\\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{B_{2 \mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \frac{|t q-x|}{\theta|t q-\zeta|+(1-\theta)|x-\zeta|}\left|\Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta)\right| \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \quad \leqslant O(1)\left(|x-t q| t^{\frac{p-2}{p}}|\ln t|\left\|\Delta \phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{2 \mathbf{r}_{0}}(0) \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant O(1)\left(t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln t|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the change of variables, we also see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{|t q-\zeta|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{5.33}\\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln \left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z\right|}{\left|x-t q-\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z\right|}\right) \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln \left(\frac{|z|}{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)-z\right|}\right) \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\Upsilon_{t, q}=\left(\ln \left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right| \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t} \mathrm{~d} z\right)
$$

By adding and substituting the same constant $\Upsilon_{t, q}$ in the last line of (5.33), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{|t q-\zeta|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{5.34}\\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left\{\ln |z|-\ln \left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)-z\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right|}\right)\right\} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z-\Upsilon_{t, q}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $\left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right| \geqslant \Lambda_{t, q} R_{0} t^{2} \geqslant c_{0}>0$ for some constant $c_{0}>0$ independent of $t>0$. By applying Lemma 5.5, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} \ln \left(\frac{|t q-\zeta|}{|x-\zeta|}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{5.35}\\
= & \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln |z| \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z-\ln \left|\Lambda_{t, q}(x-t q)\right| \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& \quad+O(1)\left(\frac{|x-t q|}{t^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left|\ln \frac{|x-t q|}{t^{2}}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.26)-(5.27) and (5.32)-(5.35), we obtain Lemma 5.7(2).
Lemma 5.8.
(1) $\phi_{t} \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}(M \backslash\{0\})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
(2) $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)}=o(1)$.
(3) $\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}{ }^{2}} \phi_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}=o(1)$.

Proof.
(1) Since $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\Delta \phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right)} \leqslant 1$, by the Sobolev imbedding theorem, $\phi_{t} \rightarrow \phi_{0}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}(M \backslash\{0\})$. In addition, we get $\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leqslant 1$ and $\int_{M} \phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0$ from $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leqslant 1$ and $\int_{M} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0$. By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.1, and $\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)\right)}=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$, the equation (5.2) implies
$\Delta \phi_{0}+(\rho-8 \pi) \frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{w(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}\left(\phi_{0}-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}\right)=0$ in $M \backslash\{0\}$.
Since $\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leqslant 1, \phi_{0}$ is smooth near 0 , then we can extend the equation (5.36) to $M$. By the non-degeneracy assumption for (5.36) and $\int_{M} \phi_{0} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}=0$, we get $\phi_{0} \equiv 0$ in $M$ and it proves (1).
(2) We prove Lemma 5.8(2) by contradiction. Suppose for $t>0$ small,

$$
\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(M \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)\right)}=\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)\right| \geqslant c_{0}>0,
$$

where $c_{0}>0$ is a constant independent of $t>0$. By Lemma 5.8(1), we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left|x_{t}\right|=0$. Using Lemma 5.3 (2) and Lemma 5.7, we get for any $d \in\left(0, \mathbf{r}_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)-\phi_{t}(t q+d \vec{e})\right| \leqslant c c^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln d|+o(1), \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is a constant, independent of $t>0$ and $d \in\left(0, \mathbf{r}_{0}\right)$, We choose $d>0$ such that $c d^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln d| \leqslant \frac{c_{0}}{4}$ and fix such $d$. Combined with Lemma $5.8(1)$, we get $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{c_{0}}{2}$, which contradicts to $\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)\right| \geqslant c_{0}>0$. So Lemma 5.8 (2) holds.
(3) By Lemma 5.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}=\frac{\int_{M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}+o(1) \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Lemma 5.8(3) follows from Lemma 5.8(2).

In order to get the rid of the factor $d_{0}$ from dilations (see Lemma 5.2), we need to introduce the function

$$
\eta_{2}(z)=\frac{4}{3} \ln \left(1+|z|^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1+|z|^{2}}\right)+\frac{8}{3\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \eta_{2}(z)+\frac{8 \eta_{2}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{16\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}=\frac{16 Y_{0}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\eta_{2}$ was firstly introduced by Esposito, Grossi, and Pistoia in [19], and then also used in $[20,21,31]$ later.

## Lemma 5.9.

(1) $\psi_{t}(z) \rightarrow 0$ and $\overline{\phi_{t}}(z) \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
(2) For any fixed constant $R>0,\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{t^{2} R}(t q)\right)}=o(1)$.
(3) $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}=o(1)$.

Proof.
(1) Multiplying $\eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)$ on (5.7) and using the integration by parts, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{\Delta\left(\eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)\right.  \tag{5.40}\\
& \left.+\frac{8\left(1+O\left(t|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right\} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \quad=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \overline{g_{t}}(z) \eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

By Hï¿ $1 / 2 l d e r$ inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2}\left|\overline{g_{t}}(z) \eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} z \leqslant O(1)\left(\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right) \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the equation (5.39), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left\{\left(\Delta \eta_{2}(z)\right) \overline{\chi t, q}(z)+\frac{8}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right\} \mathrm{d} z  \tag{5.42}\\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{16 \psi_{t}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5), we have $\left\|\psi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \leqslant O(1)$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{\left|\psi_{t}(z)\right|\left(t|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \eta_{2}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \mathrm{d} z=O(t) . \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.40)-(5.43) and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right) \subseteq B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}}{2}}(0)$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{16 \psi_{t}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} z  \tag{5.44}\\
&=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}}{2}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2}(z) \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right. \\
&\left.+\eta_{2}(z)\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} z+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{t, q}=\psi_{t}\left(\Lambda_{t, q} t R_{0} \vec{e}\right) \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi t, q}+\eta_{2} \Delta \bar{\chi} \overline{t, q}\right) \mathrm{d} z
$$

By adding and substituting the same constant $\mathfrak{L}_{t, q}$ in the second line of (5.44), we see that
$\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t}(z)\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2}(z) \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)+\eta_{2}(z)(\Delta \bar{\chi} \overline{\chi, q}(z))\right) \mathrm{d} z$

$$
\begin{align*}
&= \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(\psi_{t}(z)-\psi_{t}\left(\Lambda_{t, q} t R_{0} \vec{e}\right)\right)\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}+\eta_{2}\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} z+\mathfrak{L}_{t, q}  \tag{5.45}\\
&= \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(\phi_{t}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right)-\phi_{t}\left(t R_{0} \vec{e}+t q\right)\right) 2 \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
&+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(\phi_{t}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right)-\phi_{t}\left(t R_{0} \vec{e}+t q\right)\right) \eta_{2}(z) \Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
&+\left(\phi_{t}\left(t R_{0} \vec{e}+t q\right)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}}(2)}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}+\eta_{2}\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} z .
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $\overline{\chi_{t, q}}$ and integration by parts, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right. & \left.+\eta_{2}\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}}{2}}(0)}\left(2 \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}+\eta_{2}\left(\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}}{2}}}(0)} \nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}=O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $\left|\nabla \eta_{2}(z)\right| \leqslant O(1)\left(\frac{1}{1+|z|}\right)$ and $\left|\nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|=O(t)$. We also note that $\left|\eta_{2}(z)\right| \leqslant O(1)(\ln (1+|z|))$. Combined with $\left|\nabla^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|=$ $O\left(t^{2}\right)$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0) \backslash B_{\frac{\Gamma_{t, q}}{2}}(0)}\left|\eta_{2}(z) \Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|+2\left|\nabla \eta_{2} \cdot \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right| \mathrm{d} z=O(|\ln t|) . \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 5.3, we have $\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$. Together with Lemma $5.7(1)$, we get for $x \in B_{t R_{0}}(t q) \backslash B_{\frac{t R_{0}}{2}}(t q)$,
$\left|\phi_{t}(x)-\phi_{t}\left(t q+t R_{0} \vec{e}\right)\right|$
$\leqslant O(1)\left(\left|\left(\ln \frac{\left|t R_{0} \vec{e}\right|}{|x-t q|}\right) \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z)\right|+t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln t|+O\left(\lambda_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\alpha \lambda_{t, q}}{4}}\right)\right)$
$=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$.
From (5.44)-(5.47) and Lemma 5.8, we obtain as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{16 \psi_{t}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} z=o(1)
$$

By (5.5), we have $\left\|\psi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)} \leqslant O(1)$. Since $\psi_{t}(z) \rightarrow d_{0} Y_{0}(z)$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ from Lemma 5.2 , we can see that

$$
0=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{\psi_{t}(z) \overline{\chi t, q}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} z=d_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{Y_{0}(z)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

which implies $d_{0}=0$ and $\psi_{t}(z) \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Using Lemma 5.8, we also get that $\overline{\phi_{t}}(z)=\psi_{t}(z)+\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ Thus, Lemma 5.9 (1) holds.
(2) We can easily get Lemma $5.9(2)$ from $\overline{\phi_{t}}(z)=\phi_{t}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{0, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
(3) We shall prove $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}=0$ by contradiction. Suppose that

$$
\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}=\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)\right| \geqslant c_{0} \text { for small } t>0
$$

where $c_{0}>0$ is a constant independent of $t>0$. Then by Lemma 5.8(2) and Lemma 5.9 (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{t}-t q\right| \leqslant \frac{t R_{0}}{2}, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|x_{t}-t q\right|}{t^{2}}=+\infty \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5.7 (2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)-\phi_{t}(t q)\right|  \tag{5.49}\\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\left|\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \ln \right| z\left|\Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|+|\ln t|\left|\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|\right) \\
& \quad+O(1)\left(t^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}|\ln t|+\left(\frac{\left|x_{t}-t q\right|}{t^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \ln \frac{\left|x_{t}-t q\right|}{t^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 5.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mathrm{d} z\right|=o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right) \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (5.7) and Hï̈ $1 / 2$ lder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}|\ln | z| | \Delta \psi_{t}(z) \mid \\
& \leqslant O(1)\left(\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{O\left(\ln \left|z \| \psi_{t}(z)\right|\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+O(1)\left(\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}|\ln | z| | t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}}\left(\left|\overline{g_{t}}(z)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left|\overline{Z_{t, q, i}}(z)\right|\right)\right) \\
& =O\left(\left\|\frac{\psi_{t}(z)}{(1+|z|)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}+\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|c_{t, q, i}\right|\left\|Z_{t, q, i}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can apply Lemma 5.9(1), Lemma 5.1, and $\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=$ $o\left(|\ln t|^{-1}\right)$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}|\ln | z| |\left|\Delta \psi_{t}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} z=o(1) \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using (5.48)-(5.51) and Lemma $5.9(2)$, we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)\right|=0$, which contracts to $\left|\phi_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)\right| \geqslant c_{0}$. Thus, we finish the whole proof.
Proof of Theorem 4.5. - By Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.9, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}\right)=0
$$

which contradicts to the assumption (5.1). Therefore, we get the inequality (4.18) and it implies that $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}$ is one-to-one from $E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ to $F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.5, we follow the arguments in [31] to show that $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}$ is onto from $E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ to $F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$. As in [31], we define
$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}$ such that

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}(\phi):=\Delta \phi-\frac{8 \Lambda_{t, q}^{2} \chi_{t, q}(x)}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}} \phi
$$

Then $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}$ is an isomorphism from $E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ to $F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$, and thus $\operatorname{ind}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}\right)=0$. Moreover, we see that

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q} \phi=\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q} \phi+\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}\left(\mathbb{L}_{t, q}-\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}\right) \phi
$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}\left(\mathbb{L}_{t, q}-\widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}\right)$ is a compact operator, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right)\right)-\operatorname{codim}\left(\operatorname{ran}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{ind}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ind}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}_{t, q}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.18), we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{codim}\left(\operatorname{ran}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right)\right)=0$. As a consequence, we get $\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}$ is onto from $E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ to $F_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ and it proves Theorem 4.5.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we are going to construct a solution of (1.4) with the form

$$
u_{t}=u_{t, q}^{*}-\int_{M} u_{t, q}^{*} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}+\phi_{t, q}=U_{t, q}+\phi_{t, q} .
$$

Then we need to find $\phi_{t, q}$ solving the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)=g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{t, q}(\phi):=-\Delta u_{t, q}^{*} & +\rho-\frac{\rho h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}+\phi}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}+\phi} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} \\
& +\frac{\rho h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\left(\phi-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi \mathrm{d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.5 with the following steps.
Step 1. - Let $0<\alpha<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4(p-1)}{p}\right\}$. We claim that there exists $t_{1} \in$ $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ such that if $0<t<t_{1}$ and $q \in B_{t|\ln t|}(0)$, then there is $\phi_{t, q} \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{t, q}\left(g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)\right),  \tag{6.2}\\
\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant t^{\frac{2}{p}}|\ln t|^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using Theorem 4.5, we can write (6.2) as

$$
\phi_{t, q}=B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right), \text { where } B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right):=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} \mathbb{L}_{t, q}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{t, q} g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)\right)
$$

From Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant C|\ln t|\left\|g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some generic constant $C$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}:=\left\{\left.\phi \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p}\left|\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\|\phi\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}} \leqslant t^{\frac{2}{p}}\right| \ln t\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the existence of the solution for (6.2), we will show that $B_{t, q}$ is a contraction map from $S_{t}$ to $S_{t}$ provided $t>0$ is sufficiently small and $q \in B_{t|\ln t|}(0)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have for $x \in M \backslash B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$,
(6.5) $\quad g_{t, q}(\phi)(x)$
$=8 \pi \theta_{t, q}+(\rho-8 \pi) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}$
$-\rho \frac{h(x) \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}(x)-G_{t}^{(2)}(x)}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}}+O(1)\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}\right)$
$=O(1)\left(1_{B_{\mathbf{r}_{0}(0)}}(x)\left(\frac{t|q|}{|x-t q|}+\frac{t^{2}}{|x-t q|^{2}}\right)+t^{2}|\ln t|+t|q|+\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}\right)$.
Using Lemma 3.1 again, we see that for $x \in B_{t R_{0}}(t q)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{t, q}(\phi)(x)=8 \pi \theta_{t, q}+(\rho-8 \pi) \frac{h \mathrm{e}^{w}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{w} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}+\frac{8 \Lambda_{t, q}^{2}}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
&-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{t, q} t^{-2} \rho H_{t, q}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\left(1+O\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}+t^{2}\right)\right)}}{\left(1+\Lambda_{t, q}^{2}|x-t q|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies for $z \in B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}} g_{t, q}(\phi)\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z+t q\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\frac{\rho H_{t, q}(q)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\rho H_{t, q}\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} t^{-1} z+q\right)\left(1+O(1)\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}+t^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)}+O\left(t^{4}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{\rho H_{t, q}(q)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)}\right)-\frac{\rho \nabla H_{t, q}(q) \cdot\left(\Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} t^{-1} z\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)}
$$

$$
+\frac{O\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}}|z|^{2}+\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}+t^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}+O\left(t^{4}\right)
$$

here we used the Taylor expansion of $H_{t, q}\left(C_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} z+q\right)$.

Recall $\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}=O(1)\left(t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)$. We also note that $\nabla H_{t, q}(q)=O(1)(t+$ $|q|)$. Then $|q| \leqslant t|\ln t|$ and (6.5)-(6.6) yield

$$
\left\|g_{t, q}(\phi)\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}}=O(1)\left(t^{\frac{2}{p}}+\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}\right) .
$$

Combined with (6.3), we see that $B_{t, q}: S_{t} \longrightarrow S_{t}$ provided $t$ is sufficiently small. Following a similar argument, we also get that if $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in S_{t}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{1}\right)-g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{Y_{\alpha, t, p}} \\
& \quad=O(1)\left(\left(\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right)\left\|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with (6.3), we see that if $t>0$ is small, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{1}\right)-B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{1}\right)-B_{t, q}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right\|_{X_{\alpha, t, p}}\right) \text { for any } \phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in S_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have $B_{t, q}$ is a contraction map from $S_{t}$ to $S_{t}$ for small $t>0$. As a consequence, we get the existence of $\phi_{t, q} \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p}$ satisfying (6.2).

Step 2. - In Step 1, we have proved that for some $t_{1} \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ such that if $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$ and $q \in B_{t|\ln t|}(0)$, then there exist

$$
\left(\phi_{t, q}, c_{t, q, 1}, c_{t, q, 2}\right) \in E_{\alpha, t, q, p} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

satisfying (5.2) and (5.3) with $g_{t}(x)$ is replaced by $g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)$. For convenience, we write $g_{t, q}\left(\phi_{t, q}\right)$ by $g_{t, q}$. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.5, it is enough to find $q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying $c_{t, q, i} \equiv 0$ for $i=1,2$. We denote

$$
\psi_{t, q}(z)=\bar{\phi}_{t, q}(z)-\frac{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \phi_{t, q} \mathrm{~d} v_{g}}{\int_{M} h \mathrm{e}^{U_{t, q}-G_{t}^{(2)}} \mathrm{d} v_{g}} .
$$

Then $\psi_{t, q}$ satisfies (5.7) with $\overline{g_{t}}(z)$ replaced by $\overline{g_{t, q}}(z)$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we apply Lemma 4.1 and the integration by parts to get
(6.7) $\quad c_{t, q, j} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left|\nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{j}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z$ $=\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t, q}(z)\left\{\Delta \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}+2 \nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} z$
$+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \psi_{t, q}(z)\left\{\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \Delta\left(\frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}\right)+\frac{8 \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}\right\} \mathrm{d} z$
$+\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{O(1)\left(\left|\psi_{t, q}(z) Y_{j}(z)\right|\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}}|z|+t|q|+t^{2}|\ln t|\right)\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z$
$-\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \overline{\overline{g_{t, q}}}(z) \overline{\chi t, q}(z) \frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)} \mathrm{d} z$
$=\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 1}+\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 2}+\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 3}+\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 4}$.
Next, we shall compute the right hand side of (6.7) term by term.
(i) By $\left\|\psi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)\right)}=O(1)\left(\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 3}=O(1)\left(t\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right) .
$$

(ii) Using $\left|\nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|=O(t)$ and $\left|\nabla^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}\right|=O\left(t^{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 1}=O(1)\left(t\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}\right) .
$$

(iii) We can easily get $\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 2}=0$ from $\Delta\left(\frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}\right)+\frac{8 z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}=0$.
(iv) By using (6.6) and $\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)=\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{N}_{t, q, 4}= & -\int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-2} \overline{g_{t, q}}(z) \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) \frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)} \mathrm{d} z \\
= & -C_{t, q}^{2} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}}\left\{\frac{\rho H_{t, q}(q)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)}\right)-\frac{\rho \nabla H_{t, q}(q) \cdot\left(t^{-1} \Lambda_{t, q}^{-1} z\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{O\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{t, q}}|z|^{2}+\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}+t^{2}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}+O\left(t^{4}\right)\right\} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|) \frac{z_{j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)} \mathrm{d} z \\
= & C_{t, q}^{2} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}} \frac{\rho \nabla_{j} H_{t, q}(q) C_{t, q} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} z_{j}^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(|z|)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& +O\left(\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}+t^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $p \in(1,2)$. From (6.7), (i)-(iv), and $\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leqslant t^{\frac{2}{p}}|\ln t|^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{t, q, j} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)}\left|\nabla \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z) Y_{j}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{8\left(\overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)\right)^{3}\left(Y_{j}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
&= \frac{\nabla_{j} H_{t, q}(q)}{H_{t, q}(q)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}}(0)} \frac{8 C_{t, q} z_{j}^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)} \mathrm{d} z \\
&+O(1)\left(t\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\phi_{t, q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}^{2}+t^{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\nabla_{j} H_{t, q}(q)}{H_{t, q}(q)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q}}{2}} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q}(0)}} \frac{8 C_{t, q} z_{j}^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{t, q}\right)} \mathrm{d} z+\mathfrak{O}_{t, q, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathfrak{O}_{t, q, j}=O\left(t^{2}\right)$. We note that $\nabla H_{t, q}(0)=O(t)$ and $\nabla^{2} H_{t, q}(0)$ is invertible for small $t>0$ and $q \in B_{t|\ln t|}(0)$. Therefore, for small $t>0$, there is $q_{t}=O(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\nabla_{j} H_{t, q_{t}}\left(q_{t}\right)}{H_{t, q_{t}}\left(q_{t}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{t, q_{t}}}{2}} \int_{B_{\Gamma_{t, q_{t}}(0)}} \frac{8 C_{t, q_{t}} z_{j}^{2} \overline{\chi_{t, q_{t}}}(z)}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1+\mathfrak{A}_{\left.t, q_{t}\right)}\right)} \mathrm{d} z \\
+\mathfrak{O}_{t, q_{t}, j}=0 \text { for } j=1,2
\end{array}
$$

and thus $c_{t, q_{t}, j}=0$ for $j=1,2$. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.
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