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# EIGENSPACES OF THE IDEAL CLASS GROUP 

by Cornelius GREITHER \& Radan KUČERA (*)

Abstract. - The aim of this paper is to prove an analog of Gras' conjecture for an abelian field $F$ and an odd prime $p$ dividing the degree $[F: \mathbb{Q}]$ assuming that the $p$-part of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ group is cyclic.

RÉsumé. - Cet article se propose de démontrer une version analogue de la conjecture de Gras pour un corps abélien $F$ et un nombre premier $p>2$ qui divise le degré $[F: \mathbb{Q}]$. On fait l'hypothèse que la $p$-partie du groupe $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$ est cyclique.

## Introduction

Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime number. Let $L \neq \mathbb{Q}$ be a real abelian field such that the exponent of $H=\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is a divisor of $p-1$. We fix a cyclic field $K$ of absolute degree $p^{u}$, $u$ being a positive integer, and we assume that there is not both tame and wild ramification in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, i.e., either $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is only tamely ramified or $K$ is the field of degree $p^{u}$ and conductor $p^{u+1}$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the subfield of $K$ satisfying $\left[K: K^{\prime}\right]=p$. Let $C_{F}$ and $C_{F^{\prime}}$ be the $p$-parts of the ideal class groups of $F=K L$ and of $F^{\prime}=K^{\prime} L$, respectively. By $E_{R}$ and $\mathrm{Cyc}_{R}$ we shall denote the group of units and the Sinnott group of circular units of an abelian field $R$, respectively. Let $\chi$ be a nontrivial Dirichlet character of $L$ and

$$
e_{\chi}=\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\tau \in H} \chi(\tau) \tau^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[H]
$$

be the idempotent corresponding to $\chi$. In this paper we shall prove:

[^0]Theorem 8.1. - We have
$\left|e_{\chi} C_{F}\right|=\left|e_{\chi} C_{F^{\prime}}\right| \cdot\left|\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|\left(\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$.
Finally, for $j=0,1, \ldots, u$, let $F^{(j)}$ be the subfield of $F$ determined by $\left[F: F^{(j)}\right]=p^{j}$, so $F^{(0)}=F, F^{(1)}=F^{\prime}, \ldots, F^{(u)}=L$. As corollaries of the previous theorem we shall obtain the following statements on annihilators:

Corollary 9.4. - Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]}\left(\left(E_{L} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{u-1} \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]}\left(\left(E_{F^{(j)}} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F^{(j)}} E_{F^{(j+1)}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \\
\subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]}\left(e_{\chi} C_{F}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Corollary 9.6. - Assume that $u=1$, so $F^{\prime}=L$. Then

$$
(1-\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]}\left(C_{F}\right)
$$

where $\sigma$ is a generator of $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$ and $G=\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$.
This paper is another attempt to make the Euler system machinery work in a "non-semi-simple" situation. We are concerned with $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]$-modules where the order of $\Gamma$ is a $p$-power. Our results do not entail the class group of $F$ and the quotient of units modulo circular units in $F$ directly, instead they use relative versions: take the object for $F$ modulo the image of the corresponding object attached to the subfield $F^{\prime}$ such that $\left[F: F^{\prime}\right]=p$. (The relative group $E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}$ is already visible in Theorem 8.1; the relative class group $C_{F} / \operatorname{im}\left(C_{F^{\prime}}\right)$ is implicit in that theorem and will come up explicitly in the proofs. We should remark here that the term "relative class group" refers to a slightly different structure in the literature: the kernel of the norm map instead of the cokernel of the extension map.) But there is another complication. The relative group $E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}$ does not lead to bounds on the relative class group, but only on its quotient modulo the subgroup generated by the classes of ambiguous ideals in $F / F^{\prime}$. That is, we bound a module which is smaller than it should be. To make the numbers come out right in the end, we therefore need sharper bounds, in other words: we need to enlarge the group of circular units. More concretely we extract certain roots from circular units, and show that these roots still can be fed into the Euler-Kolyvagin machinery.

We hope that the preceding remarks at least partly explain the technical complexities of this paper. One technical prerequisite, Theorem 3.1, which concerns the image of linear forms on the Sinnott module $U$, is proven in a separate paper [5] since we like to think that it is of independent interest.

Our Theorem 8.1 is closely related to one of the principal results of L. V. Kuzmin in [8], which was reproved in a more direct way by J.-R. Belliard and T. Nguyen Quang Do in [1]. If we fix a prime $p$ (which is supposed to be odd in [1]), any real abelian field $F$ can be written as the compositum $F=K L$, where the degree of $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is a power of $p$ and the degree of $L / \mathbb{Q}$ is relatively prime to $p$. Taking any $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-valued $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-irreducible character $\chi$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$, the mentioned result describes the fudge factor $c_{\chi}$ in the following formula

$$
\left|e_{\chi} C_{F}\right|=c_{\chi} \cdot\left|\left(\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|
$$

by means of the $\chi$-part $\left(e_{\chi} R: e_{\chi} U\right)$ of the index of Sinnott's module $U$. Using results of Sinnott published in [11] one can show that $c_{\chi}=1$ if $\chi$ is nontrivial, $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic and $p$ is odd: in this case, [11, Theorem 5.3] states that $p \nmid(R: U)$, so the product on the right hand side of formula (5.23) for $e=1$ on [11, page 219] equals 1 . But to prove that $c_{\chi}=1$ we need to show that each factor of this product equals 1. This follows from the fact that each factor is a positive integer since [11, Lemma 5.1] holds true for $\mathbb{Q}_{p}[G]$ even though it is formulated for $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ only. The authors of [1] probably had exactly this reasoning in mind in their remark a)(i) on page 921.

There also seems to be a connection to a recent paper [2] of Kâzim Büyükboduk. Howewer, the exact relation of the right hand side of Theorem (B) in loc.cit. to our circular unit index is not at all clear.

## 1. Euler system machinery

We shall slightly modify Karl Rubin's exposition of Euler systems given in [10] by lowering the degree of the auxiliary fields ${ }^{(1)}$.

Let $F$ be a real abelian number field, $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Let $M$ be a fixed (large) odd integer (later on it will be a power of a prime). Let $\mathcal{S}_{M}$ be the set of all positive square-free integers divisible only by primes $\ell$ splitting completely in $F$ and satisfying $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod M)$. For any prime $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ let $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ be the unique subfield of the $\ell$ th cyclotomic field of absolute degree $M, G_{\ell}=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\ell}$ a fixed generator of $G_{\ell}$. Confusion with the more usual

[^1]meaning of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ is unlikely, since we never use completions of fields in this paper. Let us denote
$$
\mathrm{N}_{\ell}=\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sigma_{\ell}^{j}, \quad \mathrm{D}_{\ell}=\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} j \sigma_{\ell}^{j} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[G_{\ell}\right],
$$
so $\left(\sigma_{\ell}-1\right) \mathrm{D}_{\ell}=M-\mathrm{N}_{\ell}$. For any $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ let $\mathbb{Q}_{r}$ denote the compositum of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ for all primes $\ell \mid r$, so for example $\mathbb{Q}_{1}=\mathbb{Q}$, and let $F_{r}$ be the compositum of $F$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{r}$. We have
$$
G_{r}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / F\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid r \\ \ell \text { is a prime }}} G_{\ell},
$$
and so we can identify $G_{r}$ with the latter product. Using this identification, $\sigma_{\ell}$ is an automorphism of any $F_{r}$ with trivial restriction to any subfield of $F_{r}$ where $\ell$ is unramified. Let
$$
\mathrm{D}_{r}=\prod_{\substack{\ell \mid r \\ \ell \text { is a prime }}} \mathrm{D}_{\ell}, \quad \mathrm{N}_{r}=\prod_{\substack{\ell \mid r \\ \ell \text { is a prime }}} \mathrm{N}_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[G_{r}\right] .
$$

For any prime $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ and any $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ such that $\ell \nmid r$ let $\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}$ be the Frobenius automorphism of $\ell$ in $F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}$; we have $\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell} \in G_{r}$.

Let $m$ be the conductor of $F$, and for any positive integer $n$ let $\zeta_{n}$ be a fixed primitive $n$th root of unity. For any $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ we define

$$
\xi_{r}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r}\right) / F_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{m} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid r \\ \ell \text { is a prime }}} \zeta_{\ell}\right) .
$$

The following four lemmas describe properties of the $\xi_{r}$ which are in close analogy with ES1-ES4 and Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 in [10].

Lemma 1.1. - For any $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ and any prime $\ell \mid r$ we have
(1) $\xi_{r} \in F_{r}^{\times}$.
(2) $\xi_{r}$ is a circular number of $F_{r}$; it is a circular unit if and only if $m r$ is not a prime power (which is always the case if $r>1$ ).
(3) $\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{N}_{\ell}}=\xi_{r / l}^{\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}-1}$.
(4) $\xi_{r} \equiv \xi_{r / l}^{(\ell-1) / M}$ modulo each prime ideal of $F_{r}$ dividing $\ell$.

Proof. - The first two properties are well-known. The third one follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{N}_{\ell}} & =\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r}\right) / F_{r / \ell}}\left(1-\zeta_{m} \cdot \prod_{\substack{t \mid r \\
t \text { is a prime }}} \zeta_{t}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r / \ell}\right) / F_{r / \ell}}\left(1-\zeta_{m} \cdot \prod_{\substack{t \mid r, t \neq \ell \\
t \text { is a prime }}} \zeta_{t}\right)^{\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}-1}=\xi_{r / l}^{\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the fourth property, consider the following diagram


As $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r / \ell}\right) \cap F_{r}=F_{r / \ell}$, the restriction

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r}\right) / F_{r}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r / \ell}\right) / F_{r / \ell}\right)
$$

is surjective. Moreover $\zeta_{\ell} \equiv 1$ modulo each prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r}\right)$ dividing $\ell$ and so

$$
\xi_{r} \equiv \mathrm{~N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m r}\right) / F_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{m} \cdot \prod_{\substack{t \mid r, t \neq \ell \\ t \text { is a prime }}} \zeta_{t}\right)=\xi_{r / l}^{(\ell-1) / M}
$$

modulo each prime ideal of $F_{r}$ dividing $\ell$.
Lemma 1.2. - For any $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ the image of $\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}}$ in the quotient module $F_{r}^{\times} /\left(F_{r}^{\times}\right)^{M}$ is fixed by all elements of $G_{r}$, i.e.,

$$
\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}} \in\left(F_{r}^{\times} /\left(F_{r}^{\times}\right)^{M}\right)^{G_{r}}
$$

Proof. - Following the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [10], use induction on the number of primes dividing $r$; the statement is clear if $r=1$. Suppose that a prime $\ell \mid r$ and denote $s=\frac{r}{\ell}$. Then the third part of Lemma 1.1 implies

$$
\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}\left(\sigma_{\ell}-1\right)}=\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}\left(M-\mathrm{N}_{\ell}\right)}=\left(\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}}\right)^{M} \cdot \xi_{s}^{\left(1-\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}\right) \mathrm{D}_{s}} .
$$

Since $\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell} \in G_{s}$, the induction hypothesis gives $\xi_{s}^{\left(1-\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}\right) \mathrm{D}_{s}} \in\left(F_{s}^{\times}\right)^{M}$ and so $\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}\left(\sigma_{\ell}-1\right)} \in\left(F_{r}^{\times}\right)^{M}$. The lemma follows as these $\sigma_{\ell}$ generate $G_{r}$.

Lemma 1.3. - For any $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ there is $\kappa_{r} \in F^{\times}$, uniquely defined modulo $\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}$, such that $\kappa_{r}^{-1} \cdot \xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}} \in\left(F_{r}^{\times}\right)^{M}$.

Proof. - This can be proved in the same way as Lemma 2.2 in [10].
Let $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ denote the ring of integers of $F$, and write $\mathcal{I}=\oplus_{\lambda} \mathbb{Z} \lambda$ for the group of fractional ideals of $F$, written additively. For every rational prime $p$ write $\mathcal{I}_{p}=\oplus_{\lambda \mid p} \mathbb{Z} \lambda$, so $\mathcal{I}=\oplus_{p} \mathcal{I}_{p}$. For any $y \in F^{\times}$let $(y) \in \mathcal{I}$ be the principal ideal generated by $y$ and $(y)_{p} \in \mathcal{I}_{p},[y] \in \mathcal{I} / M \mathcal{I},[y]_{p} \in \mathcal{I}_{p} / M \mathcal{I}_{p}$ the projections of $(y)$. The projections $[y]$ and $[y]_{p}$ are well defined also for any $y \in F^{\times} /\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}$. Denote $G=\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$.

For any prime $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$, each prime ideal $\lambda$ of $F$ above $\ell$ ramifies totally in $F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right) / F$ and so there is a unique prime ideal $\lambda^{\prime}$ of $F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ above $\lambda$ and we can identify $\mathcal{O}_{F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)} / \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F} / \lambda$. This identification leads to a canonical isomorphism of $G$-modules

$$
\left(\mathcal{O}_{F} / \ell \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)^{\times} \cong \oplus_{\lambda \mid \ell}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)} / \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\times}
$$

( $G$ acts transitively on the summands on the right hand side). Let $\overline{\sigma_{\ell}}$ be a fixed generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right) / F\right)$ whose restriction to $F_{\ell}$ is $\sigma_{\ell}$ (it is easy to see that such a generator always exists). Since $\overline{\sigma_{\ell}}$ fixes each prime ideal of $F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ above $\ell$, it is easy to see that $x^{1-\overline{\sigma_{\ell}}}$ is a unit modulo each of them for any $x \in F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)^{\times}$.

Lemma 1.4. - For any prime $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ there is a unique surjective homomorphism of $G$-modules $\varphi_{\ell}:\left(\mathcal{O}_{F} / \ell \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\ell} / M \mathcal{I}_{\ell}$ such that the following diagram commutes


Proof. - This is exactly Lemma 2.3 in [10].
The previous lemma gives the value $\varphi_{\ell}(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F},(\alpha)_{\ell}=0$. This definition can be uniquely extended to a surjective homomorphism of $G$-modules $\varphi_{\ell}:\left\{\alpha \in F^{\times} ;[\alpha]_{\ell}=0\right\} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\ell} / M \mathcal{I}_{\ell}$ satisfying $\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{\ell}$.

Proposition 1.5. - Let $r \in \mathcal{S}_{M}$ and $\ell$ be any rational prime.
(1) If $\ell \nmid r$, and either $r>1$ or $m$ is not a power of $\ell$, then $\left[\kappa_{r}\right]_{\ell}=0$.
(2) If $\ell \mid r$ then

$$
\frac{\ell-1}{M} \cdot\left[\kappa_{r}\right]_{\ell}=\frac{\ell-1}{M} \cdot \varphi_{\ell}\left(\kappa_{r / \ell}\right)
$$

Proof. - Due to the definition of $\kappa_{r}$ in Lemma 1.3 there is $\beta_{r} \in F_{r}^{\times}$ satisfying $\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}}=\kappa_{r} \cdot \beta_{r}^{M}$. The second part of Lemma 1.1 states that $\xi_{r}$ is a unit unless $m r$ is a prime power, which can be the case only if $m$ is a power of a prime $q$ and $r=1$. But then $\xi_{r}$ is a unit outside of prime ideals above $q$. In any case $\xi_{r}$ is a unit at prime ideals above $\ell$. If $\ell \nmid r$ then the prime ideals above $\ell$ are unramified in $F_{r} / F$, and so the valuation of $\kappa_{r}$ at any prime ideal of $F$ above $\ell$ is divisible by $M$.

Suppose that $\ell \mid r$ and put $s=\frac{r}{\ell}$. Since $\left[\kappa_{s}\right]_{\ell}=0$ and $\kappa_{s}$ is well defined modulo $\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}$, we can assume $\left(\kappa_{s}\right)_{\ell}=0$. There is $\beta_{s} \in F_{s}^{\times}$satisfying $\xi_{s}^{\mathrm{D}}=\kappa_{s} \cdot \beta_{s}^{M}$, hence $\beta_{s}$ is a unit at each prime ideal of $F_{s}$ above $\ell$. Any prime ideal $\lambda$ of $F_{s}$ above $\ell$ ramifies totally in $F_{r} / F_{s}$, and so there is a unique prime ideal $\lambda^{\prime}$ of $F_{r}$ above $\lambda$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F_{r}} / \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F_{s}} / \lambda$ are canonically isomorphic. It is easy to see that $\sigma_{\ell}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{F_{r}} / \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}$ acts as $\ell$ th power on $\mathcal{O}_{F_{s}} / \lambda$. Let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be the prime ideals of $F$ and $F_{\ell}$ below $\lambda^{\prime}$, respectively. The ramification index of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ above $\Lambda$ is $M$, so the valuation $\nu_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)=M \cdot \nu_{\Lambda}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)$. There is $\gamma \in F_{\ell}^{\times}$such that $\nu_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\gamma)=\nu_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{r}\right) / M$ for each prime ideal $\Lambda$ of $F_{\ell}$ above $\ell$. Then $\nu_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{-M} \kappa_{r}\right)=0$, which gives $\nu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{M} \beta_{r}^{M}\right)=\nu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(\gamma^{M} \kappa_{r}^{-1}\right)=0$, and so $\nu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(\gamma \beta_{r}\right)=0$. Therefore $\gamma \beta_{r} \in F_{r}^{\times}$ is a unit at each prime ideal of $F_{r}$ above $\ell$ and $\gamma^{-M} \kappa_{r} \in F_{\ell}^{\times}$is a unit at each prime ideal of $F_{\ell}$ above $\ell$. The same can be said about $\gamma^{1-\sigma_{\ell}}$ and $\gamma^{\mathrm{N}_{\ell}-M}$ as $\sigma_{\ell}$ fixes these primes. Therefore $\left[\gamma^{\mathrm{N}_{\ell}}\right]_{\ell}=\left[\kappa_{r}\right]_{\ell}$. Both $\sigma_{\ell}$ and $\operatorname{Fr}_{\ell}$ act trivially on $F$, so $\kappa_{r}^{\sigma_{\ell}-1}=\kappa_{s}^{\mathrm{Fr}-1}=1$. Hence the third part of Lemma 1.1 implies $\beta_{r}^{M\left(\sigma_{\ell}-1\right)}=\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}\left(\sigma_{\ell}-1\right)}=\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}\left(M-\mathrm{N}_{\ell}\right)}=\xi_{r}^{M \mathrm{D}_{s}} \cdot \xi_{s}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}\left(1-\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}\right)}=\xi_{r}^{M \mathrm{D}_{s}} \cdot \beta_{s}^{M\left(1-\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}\right)}$.

Since $F_{r}$ is real and $M$ is odd, 1 is the only $M$ th root of unity in $F_{r}$, therefore

$$
\beta_{r}^{\sigma_{\ell}-1}=\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}} \cdot \beta_{s}^{1-\mathrm{Fr} \ell} .
$$

As $\sigma_{\ell}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{F_{r}} / \lambda^{\prime}$, modulo $\lambda^{\prime}$ we have $\left(\gamma \beta_{r}\right)^{\sigma_{\ell}-1} \equiv 1$ and so

$$
\gamma^{1-\sigma_{\ell}} \equiv \beta_{r}^{\sigma_{\ell}-1}=\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}} \cdot \beta_{s}^{1-\mathrm{Fr}_{\ell}} \equiv \xi_{s}^{\mathrm{D}_{s}(\ell-1) / M} \cdot \beta_{s}^{1-\ell}=\kappa_{s}^{(\ell-1) / M}
$$

using the fourth part of Lemma 1.1. Finally, Lemma 1.4 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\ell-1}{M} \cdot \varphi_{\ell}\left(\kappa_{s}\right) & =\varphi_{\ell}\left(\kappa_{s}^{(\ell-1) / M}\right)=\varphi_{\ell}\left(\gamma^{1-\sigma_{\ell}}\right)=\varphi_{\ell}\left(\gamma^{1-\overline{\sigma_{\ell}}}\right)=\left[\mathrm{N}_{F\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right) / F}(\gamma)\right]_{\ell} \\
& =\left[\gamma^{\mathrm{N}_{\ell}(\ell-1) / M}\right]_{\ell}=\frac{\ell-1}{M} \cdot\left[\gamma^{\mathrm{N}_{\ell}}\right]_{\ell}=\frac{\ell-1}{M} \cdot\left[\kappa_{r}\right]_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. An application of the Čebotarev Theorem

Let us fix an odd prime number $p$ and suppose that $M$ is a large power of $p$. Let $C$ be the $p$-part of the ideal class group of $F$, written additively. Let $\bar{F}$ denote the genus field of $F$ in the narrow sense. Recall that $G=\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$.

Assumption 1. - Assume that $p \nmid\left[\left(\bar{F} \cap F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)\right): F\right]$.

Let us mention that Assumption 1 is satisfied for example if $p$ ramifies in $F / \mathbb{Q}$ at most tamely or if $p \nmid\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right): F\right]$.

We shall prove the following modification of [10, Theorem 3.1]:

Theorem 2.1. - Suppose we are given a fixed $\mathfrak{c} \in C$, a finite $G$ submodule $W$ of $F^{\times} /\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}$, and a homomorphism of $G$-modules $\psi: W \rightarrow$ $(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})[G]$. Then there are infinitely many prime ideals $\lambda$ of $F$ such that
(1) $\lambda \in \mathfrak{c}$.
(2) $\ell \equiv 1+M\left(\bmod M^{2}\right)$ and $\ell$ splits completely in $F / \mathbb{Q}$, where $\ell$ is the rational prime below $\lambda$.
(3) $[w]_{\ell}=0$ for all $w \in W$, and there is a unit $u \in(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$such that $\varphi_{\ell}(w)=u \psi(w) \lambda$ for all $w \in W$.

Proof. - Let $H$ be the maximal unramified abelian $p$-extension of $F$, so that $C$ is identified with $\operatorname{Gal}(H / F)$ by class field theory. Denote $F^{\prime}=F\left(\zeta_{M}\right)$ and $F^{\prime \prime}=F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}, W^{1 / M}\right)$. Assumption 1 gives $H \cap F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)=F$. We want to show that the commutator subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)\right)$. Let $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\right)$ be the complex conjugation; then for any $\rho \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)\right)$ we have $\rho \tau \rho^{-1} \tau=\rho^{2}$. But any element of the $p$-group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)\right)$ is a square, so the commutator subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\right)$ contains $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)\right)$. On the other hand $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\right) / \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right) / F\right)$ is abelian. Therefore the largest subfield of $F^{\prime \prime}$ that is abelian over $F$ is $F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)$. Similarly, $F^{\prime}$ is the largest subfield of $F^{\prime}\left(W^{1 / M}\right)$ that is abelian over $F$. Hence $F^{\prime}\left(W^{1 / M}\right) \cap F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)=F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime} \cap H=F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right) \cap H=F$.

We have the following diagram:


To continue let us follow Steps III and IV in [10, proof of Theorem 3.1]: the element $\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime}\left(W^{1 / M}\right) / F^{\prime}\right)$ obtained in Step III is compatible with $\gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right) / F\right)$ which sends $\zeta_{M^{2}}$ to $\zeta_{M^{2}}^{M+1}$. Hence there is $\delta \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(H F^{\prime \prime} / F\right)$ such that $\delta$ restricts to $\gamma$ on $F^{\prime}\left(W^{1 / M}\right)$, to $\gamma^{\prime}$ on $F\left(\zeta_{M^{2}}\right)$, and to $\mathfrak{c}$ on $H$. The rest of the proof goes on the same lines as in [10], the chosen $\gamma^{\prime}$ guarantees furthermore $\ell \equiv 1+M\left(\bmod M^{2}\right)$.

## 3. The Sinnott module $U$

This section is devoted to a statement concerning a version of Sinnott's module $U$ defined in [11], which is going to be used later in the present paper. We describe the statement now; for the proof we refer to [5]. We have to warn the reader that $U$ is denoted $U^{\prime}$ in [5].

Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{v}$ be finite abelian groups written multiplicatively, $v \geqslant 1$, and let

$$
G=T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{v}
$$

be their direct product. For any $N \subseteq I=\{1, \ldots, v\}$ let $T_{N}=\prod_{i \in N} T_{i} \subseteq G$, so $T_{I}=G$ and $T_{\emptyset}=\{1\}$ by definition. For any $i \in I$ we fix any $\lambda_{i} \in T_{I-\{i\}}$, denote $t_{i}=\left|T_{i}\right|$, and define

$$
I_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[G /\left\langle\lambda_{i}, T_{i}\right\rangle\right]\right)
$$

the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ generated by $1-\lambda_{i}$ and $1-g$ for all $g \in T_{i}$. For any $H \subseteq G$ let $s(H)=\sum_{h \in H} h \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ and for any $N \subseteq I$ let

$$
\rho_{N}=s\left(T_{N}\right) \cdot \prod_{i \in I-N}\left(1-t_{i}^{-1} \lambda_{i}^{-1} s\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[G]
$$

Let $U$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-submodule of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ generated by all $\rho_{N}, N \subseteq I$. Then we have the following

Theorem 3.1. - Every $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(U, \mathbb{Z}[G])$ satisfies $\psi\left(\rho_{\emptyset}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{v} I_{i}$.
Proof. - See [5, Theorem 1.1 (i)].

## 4. The choice of a specific field $F$

Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime number. Let $L$ be a real abelian field of conductor $f$ such that the order of any $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is a divisor of $p-1$. Hence each Dirichlet character of $L$ can be viewed as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-valued. Let $K$ be a cyclic field of absolute degree $p^{u}, u$ being a positive integer, and let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ be all prime numbers that ramify in $K / \mathbb{Q}$. It is clear that $s \geqslant 1$.

Assumption 2. - Assume that either $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is not wildly ramified (i.e., the ramified primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ are different from $p$ ) or that $K$ is the degree $p^{u}$ subfield of the $p^{u+1}$ th cyclotomic field. ${ }^{(2)}$

Thus the conductor of $K$ is either the product $p_{1} \ldots p_{s}$ or $p^{u+1}$. Let $F=K L$ be the compositum of $K$ and $L$. Again we denote $G=\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$. The conductor $m$ of $F$ equals the least common multiple of the conductors of $L$ and $K$. It is easy to see that our $F$ satisfies Assumption 1. Let $\sigma$ be a fixed generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the subfield of $K$ satisfying $\left[K: K^{\prime}\right]=p$ and let $F^{\prime}=K^{\prime} L$. Let us fix a character $\chi$ of $H=\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$, which we view as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-valued, and let

$$
e_{\chi}=\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\tau \in H} \chi(\tau) \tau^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[H]
$$

be the corresponding idempotent. Our choice of the character $\chi$ gives three more fields: let $L_{\chi} \subseteq L$ be the field corresponding to $\chi$, i.e., $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{\chi}\right)=$ ker $\chi$, and let $F_{\chi}=K L_{\chi}$ and $F_{\chi}^{\prime}=K^{\prime} L_{\chi}$ be its compositum with $K$

[^2]and $K^{\prime}$.


For any abelian field $R$ let $C_{R}$ be the $p$-part of the ideal class group of $R$ and let $C_{R, \chi}=e_{\chi} C_{R}$ be the corresponding eigenspace.

Lemma 4.1. - We have the following pairs of isomorphic G-modules:

$$
C_{F, \chi} \cong C_{F_{\chi}, \chi}, \quad C_{F^{\prime}, \chi} \cong C_{F_{\chi}^{\prime}, \chi} .
$$

Proof. - This can be easily proved as $p$ does not divide the degree $[F$ : $\left.F_{\chi}\right]=\left[F^{\prime}: F_{\chi}^{\prime}\right]$.

For any abelian field $R$ let $E_{R}$ and $\mathrm{Cyc}_{R}$ be the group of units and the Sinnott group of circular units, respectively. Let $M$ be a power of the fixed prime $p$.

Lemma 4.2. - The following couples of $G$-modules are isomorphic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}} & \cong\left(E_{F_{\chi}} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F_{\chi}} E_{F_{\chi}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}, \\
\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}} & \cong\left(E_{F_{\chi}} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F_{\chi}} E_{F_{\chi}^{\prime}} E_{F_{\chi}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}, \\
\left(E_{F^{\prime}} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}} E_{F^{\prime}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}} & \cong\left(E_{F_{\chi}^{\prime}} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F_{\chi}^{\prime}} E_{F_{\chi}^{\prime}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. - This is standard, just use the well-known fact that $\mathrm{Cyc}_{F_{\chi}} \subseteq$ $\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{F / F_{\chi}}\left(\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Cyc}_{F_{\chi}}$.

For any abelian field $R$ let $h_{R, p}$ be the $p$-part of the class number $h_{R}$ of $R$, i.e., $h_{R, p}=\left|C_{R}\right|$.

Lemma 4.3. - The p-parts of the indices of the groups of circular units are given by the following formulae

$$
\left[E_{F}: \mathrm{Cyc}_{F}\right]_{p}=h_{F, p} \cdot c_{K}, \quad\left[E_{K}: \mathrm{Cyc}_{K}\right]_{p}=h_{K, p} \cdot c_{K}
$$

where $c_{K}=\left[K_{1}: \mathbb{Q}\right] \cdot p^{-u}$ with $K_{1}$ being the maximal subfield of $K$ such that at most one prime ramifies in $K_{1} / \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. - This follows from Sinnott's results: [11, Theorem 5.3] says for both of these fields that the Sinnott index $(R: U)$ is not divisible by $p$ as the $p$-part of the Galois group is cyclic. The lemma follows from [11, Theorem 4.1].

## 5. A simplification

For the field $F$ chosen in Section 4 we want to prove Theorem 8.1, a relation between the cardinalities of the $G$-modules $C_{F, \chi}, C_{F^{\prime}, \chi},\left(E_{F} /\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$, and $\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$. Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 show that these cardinalities stay unchanged if we take $L_{\chi}$ instead of $L$. Therefore, fixing the character $\chi$, which can be trivial, and without any loss of generality we can make the following simplifying

Assumption 3. - Let us assume that $L=L_{\chi}$ and that the primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ are indexed in such a way that $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{g}$ split completely in $L / \mathbb{Q}$ while $p_{g+1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ do not ${ }^{(3)}$ (here $0 \leqslant g \leqslant s$ ). Moreover, assume that the conductor $m$ of $F$ is not a power of a prime (so $s>1$ or $\chi$ is nontrivial).

Recall that $\xi_{1}=\kappa_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / F}\left(1-\zeta_{m}\right)$. Let $\rho=\sigma^{p^{u-1}}$ and $N^{\prime}=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \rho^{j}$.

Lemma 5.1. - Let $M$ be a large power of $p$ ( $h_{F, p} \mid M$ suffices). Consider the tensor products $\overline{E_{F}}=E_{F} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}=E_{F^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \overline{\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}}=\mathrm{Cyc}_{F} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}}}=\mathrm{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
(1) The image of $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}}$ in $\left(\overline{E_{F}} / \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-module by the image $\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}}$ of $\xi_{1}$.
(3) $\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F^{\prime}} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}} E_{F^{\prime}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$.

Proof. - The Sinnott group $\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}$ of circular units of $F$ is the intersection of the group $\mathcal{D}_{F}$ defined just below and of the group $E_{F}$ of all units of $F$. (Remark: $\mathcal{D}_{F}$ is somewhat smaller than Sinnott's group $D$ of circular

[^3]numbers attached to $F$, in particular it does not contain $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$whereas $D$ does. But after intersection with $E_{F}$ we get the same group $\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}$.) One can get a list of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module generators of $\mathcal{D}_{F}$ as follows: for each subfield $R \subseteq F$ of conductor $n>1$ take the norm $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / R}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right)$ and take all roots of unity of $F$, too. But in our situation each of these norms is killed by $e_{\chi}$ up to the cases when $L \subseteq R$. Moreover $\pm 1$ (there are no other roots of unity) as well as the above mentioned norms for $R$ 's with $L \subseteq R \subsetneq F$ belong to $\mathcal{D}_{F^{\prime}}$. The norm term for $R=F$ equals $\xi_{1}$. For any $\tau \in H$ we have $\tau e_{\chi}=\chi(\tau) e_{\chi}$ and the first statement of the lemma follows. Let $\delta=1$ if $\chi$ is trivial and $\delta=0$ otherwise. The existence of a Minkowski unit of $F$ implies that ${\overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}}^{e_{\chi}}$ and $\left(\overline{E_{F}} / \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ are $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-free modules of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-ranks $p^{u-1}-\delta$ and $(p-1) p^{u-1}$, respectively. Let $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{p^{u-1}-\delta}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$-basis of $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}}_{F^{\prime}}{ }^{e}$. Since $\xi_{1}^{N^{\prime}} \in \mathrm{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}}$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{p^{u-1}-\delta}\right\} \cup\left\{\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi} \sigma^{j-1}} ; j=1, \ldots,(p-1) p^{u-1}\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

forms a system of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-generators of $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}}_{F}{ }^{e}{ }^{\chi}$. Comparing the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-ranks gives that this is in fact a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-basis of $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}}_{F}{ }^{{ }_{\chi}}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p^{u}-\delta} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$-basis of ${\overline{E_{F}}}^{e}$ such that $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p^{u-1}-\delta}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-basis of ${\overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}}^{e}$. Then

$$
\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p^{u-1}-\delta}\right\} \cup\left\{\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi} \sigma^{j-1}} ; j=1, \ldots,(p-1) p^{u-1}\right\}
$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$-basis of $\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}} \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e}$. The transition matrix from (5.2) to (5.1) is block triangular and the indices in question are given by its determinant and by the determinants of its two blocks on the diagonal. This implies the second statement of the lemma, and the third statement follows easily.

For brevity, let us write $C$ and $C_{\chi}$ instead of $C_{F}$ and $C_{F, \chi}$, respectively.
It is easy to see that $C_{\chi}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module whose number of elements is a power of $p$ and where $H$ acts via $\chi$, i.e., for any $\tau \in H$ and any $\mathfrak{c} \in C_{\chi}$ we have $\tau \mathfrak{c}=\chi(\tau) \mathfrak{c}$.

On one hand, each ideal $I$ of $F^{\prime}$ generates an ideal $\mathcal{O}_{F} I$ of $F$, and this mapping gives the natural map $\iota: C_{F^{\prime}} \rightarrow C$. Let $\iota_{\chi}: C_{F^{\prime}, \chi} \rightarrow C_{\chi}$ be its restriction to $\chi$-components. We shall study the capitulation kernel $\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}$.

On the other hand, the norm of ideals gives the map $\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}: C_{\chi} \rightarrow C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}$. Since $F / F^{\prime}$ is totally ramified at all primes above $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ and $s \geqslant 1$, it is well-known (e.g. see [13, Theorem 10.1]) that $\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}$ is surjective. It is also well-known that $\iota_{\chi} \circ \mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}: C_{\chi} \rightarrow C_{\chi}$ acts as $N^{\prime}$, so $\iota_{\chi}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right)=N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$. Therefore we have

$$
\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right|=\left|N^{\prime} C_{\chi}\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}\right| .
$$

For each $i=1, \ldots, s$ let $n_{i}$ be the index of the decomposition group of $p_{i}$ in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, so $p_{i}$ is divisible by exactly $n_{i}$ prime ideals of $K$. Let us fix one of them and call it $P_{i}$. Let $\wp_{i}$ be a fixed prime ideal of $L$ above $p_{i}$. As the degrees $[K: \mathbb{Q}]$ and $[L: \mathbb{Q}]$ are relatively prime, this means that $\wp_{i}$ is divisible by exactly $n_{i}$ prime ideals of $F$; let $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ be the one of them which is divisible by $P_{i}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{i}, \sigma \mathfrak{p}_{i}, \ldots, \sigma^{n_{i}-1} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is the complete list of prime ideals of $F$ above $\wp_{i}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{\prime}$ be the prime ideal of $F^{\prime}$ below $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{\prime}$ ramifies in $F / F^{\prime}$, so $\mathcal{O}_{F} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{\prime}=p \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ as ideals of $F$ (recall that we write the group of fractional ideals additively).


Let $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ be the image of $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ in $C$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}$ its image in $C / N^{\prime} C$. Since $p \mathcal{P}_{i}=$ $N^{\prime} \mathcal{P}_{i} \in N^{\prime} C$, we have $p \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}=0$. Let $\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}\right\rangle$ be the subgroup of $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ generated by $e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}$. For any $\tau \in H$ we have $\tau e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}=\chi(\tau) e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}} \in\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}\right\rangle$, moreover $\sigma^{n_{i}} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}=\overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}=\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}, \sigma e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}} \ldots, \sigma^{n_{i}-1} e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}\right\rangle \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $G$-submodule of $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ generated by $e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}$. Hence the order of $\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}$ divides $p^{n_{i}}$. If $i>g$ then the decomposition group of $p_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is nontrivial; consider $\tau \neq 1$ in this decomposition group. We have $\tau \wp_{i}=\wp_{i}$ and so $\tau \mathfrak{p}_{i}=\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and $\tau \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{P}_{i}$, which gives

$$
(\chi(\tau)-1) e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}=\tau e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}-e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}=0 .
$$

Assumption 3 implies that $\chi$ is injective on $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$, hence $\chi(\tau) \not \equiv 1$ $\left(\bmod p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{i}}=0$. Thus

$$
\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{s}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}=\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{g}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}
$$

is a $p$-elementary $G$-submodule of $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ whose order divides $p^{n}$, where $n=\sum_{i=1}^{g} n_{i}$ (see also Proposition 9.1 which says more about this order).

We shall work in the quotient of $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ by this submodule, so we define (5.4)

$$
\bar{C}_{\chi}=\left(C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}\right) /\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{s}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}=\left(C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}\right) /\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{g}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}
$$

It is clear that its order $\left|\bar{C}_{\chi}\right|=p^{k}$ for a suitable non-negative integer $k$.
Lemma 5.2. - There are $\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_{k} \in \bar{C}_{\chi}$ such that for each $i=1, \ldots, k$ the subgroup $\left\langle\overline{\mathfrak{c}_{i}}\right\rangle$ of $\bar{C}_{\chi} /\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_{i-1}\right\rangle$ generated by the image $\overline{\mathfrak{c}_{i}}$ of $\mathfrak{c}_{i}$ is a $G$-submodule of $\bar{C}_{\chi} /\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_{i-1}\right\rangle$ of order $p$.

Proof. - As $\bar{C}_{\chi}$ is killed by $N^{\prime}$, it is a module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$, which is a discrete valuation ring. Hence $\bar{C}_{\chi}$, being a finite module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$, is a direct sum of submodules isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right] / \bar{\pi}^{a} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$, $a$ being a positive integer and $\bar{\pi}=1-\zeta_{p^{u}}$. Moreover $H$ acts via $\chi$, so only the action of $\sigma$ is important. This representation as a direct sum directly implies the existence of a composition sequence with quotients all of order $p$, and we are done.

## 6. Extracting roots

Retaining $F$ and $\chi$ from the previous section, let us fix a large power $M$ of $p$ and assume

Assumption 4. - Let $r$ be a square-free positive integer such that each prime $\ell \mid r$ splits completely in $F / \mathbb{Q}$, satisfies $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod M)$, and each prime $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ is an $M$-th power modulo $\ell$.

Recall that $F_{r}$ means the compositum of $F$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{r}$ and that $F \cap \mathbb{Q}_{r}=\mathbb{Q}$. So there is an extension of $\sigma$ to $F_{r}$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{Q}_{r}$ is identity. By abuse of notation we denote this extension also by $\sigma$; still $\sigma^{p^{u}}=1$. Let $\pi=1-\sigma, N=\sum_{j=0}^{p^{u}-1} \sigma^{j}$ and $\Delta=\sum_{j=1}^{p^{u}-1} j \sigma^{j}$, so

$$
\pi \cdot N=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi \cdot \Delta=N-p^{u} .
$$

Recall that $\rho=\sigma^{p^{u-1}}$ and $N^{\prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \rho^{j}$. Let $\pi_{0}=1-\rho$, then we have

$$
\pi_{0} \cdot N^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{0} \cdot \Delta^{\prime}=N^{\prime}-p
$$

for $\Delta^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j \rho^{j}$. Moreover, recall that $\bar{\pi}=1-\zeta_{p^{u}}$.
Lemma 6.1. - There is a unique ring homomorphism $\eta: \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ such that $\eta(\sigma)=\zeta_{p^{u}}$. This $\eta$ is surjective, its kernel is $N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$, and $\eta$ restricts to the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-modules

$$
\pi_{0} \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \cong \bar{\pi}^{p^{u-1}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]
$$

For any nonzero $\delta \in \pi_{0} \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ there is $y \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, an integer $x \geqslant 0$, and $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ such that

$$
\delta=\pi_{0} \pi^{x}(y+\pi z)
$$

moreover $x, y$ and $\pi_{0} z$ are uniquely determined. If $\delta=\pi_{0} p^{t}$ for a positive integer $t$ then $x=t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$, where $\varphi$ is the Euler totient function.

Proof. - Most of the lemma is easy to see. To prove the described decomposition of $\delta$ use the fact that there is a filtration of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ by powers of the ideal $\bar{\pi} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ and that $p^{t} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]=\bar{\pi}^{t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$.

We shall need the following generalization of [4, Proposition 3.2]. In applications the polynomial $f(X)$ will be a monic divisor of $X^{p^{u}}-1$.

Proposition 6.2. - Let $f(X)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X], f(X) \notin\{0, \pm 1\}$, and let $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] /(f(X))$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finitely generated $R$-module without $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion. Then
(1) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{M}, R)=0$.
(2) Let $y$ be a nonzerodivisor in $R$, and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $x \in y \mathcal{M}$ if and only if for all $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathcal{M}, R)$ we have $\varphi(x) \in y R$.

Proof. - Multiplication by a positive integer $t$ on $\mathcal{M}$ gives the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \stackrel{\cdot t}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} / t \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{M}, R) \xrightarrow{\cdot t} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{M}, R) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{2}(\mathcal{M} / t \mathcal{M}, R)
$$

is also exact. The ring $R$ is 1-dimensional and Gorenstein, since it was defined as $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ modulo $f(X)$, which is not a unit or zero. Hence the injective dimension of $R$ is one (see [6, page 164, Exercise 17]) and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{2}(\mathcal{M} / t \mathcal{M}, R)$ $=0$. But $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{M}, R)$ is finitely generated over $R$, hence finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}$, and multiplication by any positive integer is surjective. This implies the first part of the proposition.

In the second part, "only if" is obvious. For "if" let us argue indirectly: let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}=\mathcal{M} / y \mathcal{M}$, write $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ for the canonical map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, and assume that $\bar{x} \neq 0$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a module over $\bar{R}=R / y R$, and $J=\operatorname{Ann}_{\bar{R}} \bar{x} \subsetneq \bar{R}$. There is a maximal ideal $I$ of $\bar{R}$ containing $J$. Since $\bar{R}$ is commutative and Artinian, every simple module occurs as an ideal of $\bar{R}$. Fix a monomorphism $\bar{R} / I \rightarrow \bar{R}$. Composing with the obvious maps $R \bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{R} / J \rightarrow \bar{R} / I$, we obtain a map $\phi_{0}: R \bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ with $\phi_{0}(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Since the ring $\bar{R}$ is Gorenstein and zero-dimensional, it is self-injective, and so $\phi_{0}$ is the restriction of some
$\phi_{1}: \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \bar{R}$. Let $\phi_{2}$ be the composite of the canonical map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\phi_{1}$. The canonical exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow y R \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{R} \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives the exact sequence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathcal{M}, R) \xrightarrow{\pi 0} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathcal{M}, \bar{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{M}, y R)
$$

Since $y$ is a nonzerodivisor in $R$, we have $R \cong y R$ and the first part of the proposition gives $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(\mathcal{M}, y R)=0$. Therefore there is $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathcal{M}, R)$ such that $\pi \circ \phi=\phi_{2}$. Then $\phi(x) \notin y R$ and we are done.

The aim of the following section is to find an upper bound for $\frac{\left|C_{\chi}\right|}{\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right|}$, which turns out to be the exact value later on. The following theorem plays a decisive role in this effort. Recall that $n_{j}$ is the index of the decomposition group of $p_{j}$ in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, that $H=\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$, and define $\bar{K}, \bar{L}$, and $\bar{F}$ to be the genus field (in the narrow sense) of $K, L$, and $F$, respectively. So we have $\bar{F}=\overline{K L}$.

Theorem 6.3. - Assuming $g \geqslant 1$, let $n=\sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j}$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$ belong to the augmentation ideal, i.e., $e \cdot \sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)} \tau=0$. Then there is $\mu_{1} \in F_{r}^{\times}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}^{\prod_{j=1}^{g}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)}=\xi_{r}^{[\bar{L}: L] e} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{1}^{1-\sigma^{n^{\prime}}} \in \mathrm{Cyc}_{\bar{K} L_{r}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g} n_{j}$. Moreover, there is $\mu \in F_{r}^{\times}$such that

$$
\mu^{\pi_{0} \pi^{n}}=\xi_{r}^{[\bar{L}: L] e \pi_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu^{\pi_{0}} \in \mathrm{Cyc}_{\bar{K} L_{r}}
$$

Proof. - For each $j \leqslant g$ Assumptions 3 and 4 imply the Frobenius automorphism of $p_{j}$ to be trivial on $L_{r}$, so $\xi_{r}^{N}=\mathrm{N}_{F_{r} / L_{r}}\left(\xi_{r}\right)=1$. If $s=1$ then $g=1$ and $p_{1}$ is totally ramified in $K / \mathbb{Q}$, so $n=n_{1}=1$. Hilbert's Theorem 90 gives $v \in F_{r}^{\times}$such that $v^{\pi}=\xi_{r}$ and the theorem follows with $\mu=\mu_{1}=v^{[\bar{L}: L] e}$.

In the rest of the proof we shall assume $s>1$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of rational primes ramifying in $F_{r}$ and $\widetilde{G}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{F}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ be the Galois group of the genus field $\bar{F}_{r}$ (in the narrow sense) of $F_{r}$. For each $q \in \mathcal{R}$ let $T_{q}$ be the inertia group of $q$ in $\widetilde{G}$ and $t_{q}=\left|T_{q}\right|$ be the ramification index of $q$ in both $F_{r}$ and $\bar{F}_{r}$. Then $\widetilde{G}$ is the direct product of $T_{q}$ for $q$ running over $\mathcal{R}$. For any $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ let $T_{J}=\prod_{q \in J} T_{q}$. We now consider the module $U$ from Section 3 for these $T_{q}$, so $v=|\mathcal{R}|$, where for each $q \in \mathcal{R}$ we define the corresponding $\lambda_{q}$ of Section 3 to be the Frobenius automorphism of $q$ in
$T_{\mathcal{R}-\{q\}}$. Hence $U$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{G}]$-module generated by

$$
\rho_{J}=s\left(T_{J}\right) \cdot \prod_{i \in \mathcal{R}-J}\left(1-t_{i}^{-1} \lambda_{i}^{-1} s\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[\widetilde{G}]
$$

for all $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Let $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ be the conductor of both $F_{r}$ and $\bar{F}_{r}$. For each $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ let $n_{J}$ be the $J$-part of $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, i.e., the greatest divisor of $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ divisible only by primes in $J$, and let $\zeta_{J}=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{n_{J}}\right)$ be the corresponding primitive $n_{J}$-th root of unity. Let us fix any $\tilde{e} \in \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{G}]$ such that $\operatorname{res}_{\bar{F}_{r} / F_{r}} \tilde{e}=e$. We shall construct a map $\vartheta: U \rightarrow \operatorname{Cyc}_{\bar{F}_{r}}$ putting $\vartheta\left(\rho_{\mathcal{R}}\right)=1$ and

$$
\vartheta\left(\rho_{J}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}-J}\right) / \bar{F}_{r} \cap \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}-J)}\right.}\left(1-\zeta_{\mathcal{R}-J}\right)^{\tilde{e}}
$$

for each $J \subsetneq \mathcal{R}$. Using [5, Cor. 1.6(i)] we see that $\vartheta$ is well-defined: it is enough to check that the images satisfy the relations

$$
s\left(T_{i}\right) \cdot \rho_{N}=\left(1-\lambda_{i}^{-1}\right) \cdot \rho_{N \cup\{i\}} \quad \text { for each } N \subsetneq I, i \in I-N .
$$

But this follows from the norm relations for circular units; note that $\tilde{e}$ is here to take care of the norms to $\mathbb{Q}$. Theorem 3.1 then gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(\vartheta\left(\rho_{\emptyset}\right)\right) \in \prod_{q \in \mathcal{R}} I_{q} \quad \text { for each } \Psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{G}]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{\bar{F}_{r}}, \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{G}]\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{q}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\widetilde{G} /\left\langle\lambda_{q}, T_{q}\right\rangle\right]\right)$.
Consider any $\Psi_{1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]\right)$ and use (6.2) for $\Psi=\operatorname{cor}_{\bar{F}_{r} / L \bar{K}_{r}} \circ \Psi_{1} \circ \mathrm{~N}_{\bar{F}_{r} / L \bar{K}_{r}}$ to obtain

$$
\operatorname{cor}_{\bar{F}_{r} / L \bar{K}_{r}} \Psi_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right) / L \bar{K}_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right)^{\tilde{e}}\right) \in \prod_{q \in \mathcal{R}} I_{q}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right) / L \bar{K}_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right)^{[\bar{L}: L] \tilde{e}}\right) \in \prod_{q \in \mathcal{R}} \operatorname{res}_{\bar{F}_{r} / L \bar{K}_{r}} I_{q} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\operatorname{res}_{\bar{F}_{r} / L \bar{K}_{r}} \operatorname{cor}_{\bar{F}_{r} / L \bar{K}_{r}} x=[\bar{L}: L] x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$.
For brevity, let $e^{\prime}=[\bar{L}: L] e$. Now we shall use the "lowering the top field" argument to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right) / F_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right)^{e^{\prime}}\right) \in \prod_{q \in \mathcal{R}} \operatorname{res}_{\bar{F}_{r} / F_{r}} I_{q} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\Psi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]\right)$. Let us fix any such $\Psi_{2}$ and consider the corresponding $\Psi_{2}^{1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, where $\Psi_{2}^{1}(u)$ means the coefficient of $1 \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ in $\Psi_{2}(u)$. There is no $\mathbb{Z}$ torsion in $\mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} /\left(\mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}\right)$. Indeed, if $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}}$ satisfies $\varepsilon^{n} \in F_{r}$ for a positive integer $n$ then $\left(\varepsilon^{1-\tau}\right)^{n}=1$ for each $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / F_{r}\right)$; but
$L \bar{K}_{r}$ is a real field, so this means $\left(\varepsilon^{1-\tau}\right)^{2}=1$ and already $\varepsilon^{2} \in F_{r}$. Then $\varepsilon \in F_{r}$, otherwise the degree $\left[L \bar{K}_{r}: F_{r}\right]=[\bar{K}: K]$, which is a power of $p$, would be even. Therefore there is $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $\psi(\varepsilon)=\Psi_{2}^{1}(\varepsilon)$ for each $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}$. Define

$$
\Psi_{1}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)} \psi\left(\varepsilon^{\tau}\right) \tau^{-1}
$$

for each $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}}$. Then $\Psi_{1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]\right)$ and so it satisfies (6.3). But for any $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{res}_{L \bar{K}_{r} / F_{r}} \Psi_{1}(\varepsilon) & =\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L \bar{K}_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)} \psi\left(\varepsilon^{\tau}\right) \operatorname{res}_{L \bar{K}_{r} / F_{r}} \tau^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)} \psi\left(\mathrm{N}_{L \bar{K}_{r} / F_{r}}(\varepsilon)^{\tau}\right) \tau^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)} \Psi_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{L \bar{K}_{r} / F_{r}}(\varepsilon)^{\tau}\right) \tau^{-1}=\Psi_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{L \bar{K}_{r} / F_{r}}(\varepsilon)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equality for $\varepsilon=\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right) / L \bar{K}_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right)^{[\bar{L}: L] e}$ gives that (6.4) follows from (6.3).

For each $j=1, \ldots, g$ the prime $p_{j}$ splits completely in $L_{r}$ due to Assumptions 3 and 4, hence $\operatorname{res}_{\bar{F}_{r} / F_{r}} I_{p_{j}}=\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right) \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$. Note that $\xi_{r}$ is a conjugate of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right) / F_{r}}\left(1-\zeta_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$, hence (6.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime}}\right) \in\left(\prod_{j=1}^{g}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)\right) \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right] \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\Psi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]\right)$.
Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / L_{r}\right)=\langle\sigma\rangle$; then $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is the direct product of $\Gamma$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / K\right)$ and so $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]=\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / K\right)\right]$. Let us consider any $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]\right)$ and for each $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}$ define

$$
\Psi_{2}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / K\right)} \psi\left(\varepsilon^{\tau}\right) \tau^{-1}
$$

Then $\Psi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]\right)$ and so it satisfies (6.5). This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime}}\right) \in\left(\prod_{j=1}^{g}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)\right) \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]\right)$.

After all these algebraic preliminaries we now proceed to the extraction of roots. Recall that $n^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g} n_{j}$. Without any loss of generality we can suppose that $n_{1}=n^{\prime}$. Then $n_{1} \mid p^{u}$ and $n_{1}<p^{u}$. Let $f(X)=$ $1+X^{n_{1}}+X^{2 n_{1}}+\cdots+X^{p^{u}-n_{1}}$. Then

$$
R=\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] /(f(\sigma)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] /(f(X))
$$

and $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\varepsilon \in \operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r} ; \varepsilon^{f(\sigma)}=1\right\}$ is an $R$-module without $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion. It is easy to see that $f(\sigma)$ acts as the norm from $F_{r}$ to its subfield having degree $n_{1}$ over $L_{r}$. Since $p_{1}$ splits completely in this subfield and ramifies in $F_{r}$, we have $\xi_{r} \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, $\left(\operatorname{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}\right) /( \pm \mathcal{M})$ has no $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion, too. Indeed, if $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}$ satisfies $\pm \varepsilon^{a} \in \mathcal{M}$ for a positive integer $a$ then $\varepsilon^{a f(\sigma)}= \pm 1$ and so $\varepsilon^{f(\sigma)}= \pm 1$ since $F_{r}$ is real, which means $\pm \varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}$ because $(-1)^{f(\sigma)}=-1$.

Let us fix any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathcal{M}, R)$. Since the linear map

$$
\psi: R \rightarrow\left(1-\sigma^{n_{1}}\right) \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]
$$

determined by $\psi(1+(f(\sigma)))=1-\sigma^{n_{1}}$ is an isomorphism of $R$-modules, $\psi \circ \varphi$ can be understood as an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$, so we can extend it to $\tilde{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}( \pm \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ just setting $\tilde{\varphi}(-1)=0$. The first part of Proposition $6.2\left(\right.$ for $\left.f(X)=X^{p^{u}}-1\right)$ gives $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}^{1}\left(\left(\mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}\right) /( \pm \mathcal{M}), \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]\right)=$ 0 . Hence $\tilde{\varphi}$ can be enlarged to $\bar{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}\left(\mathrm{Cyc}_{L \bar{K}_{r}} \cap F_{r}, \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]\right)$ and (6.6) implies that

$$
\bar{\varphi}\left(\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime}}\right) \in\left(\prod_{j=1}^{g}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)\right) \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]
$$

This means that $\varphi\left(\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime}}\right) \in y R$, where $y=\prod_{j=2}^{g}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)$. We can apply the second part of Proposition 6.2 to get $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\mu_{0}^{y}=\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime}}$. Since $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\mu_{0}^{f(\sigma)}=1$ and Hilbert's Theorem 90 gives $\mu_{1} \in F_{r}$ such that $\mu_{1}^{1-\sigma^{n_{1}}}=\mu_{0}$. Thus $\mu_{1}^{\left(1-\sigma^{n_{1}}\right) y}=\mu_{0}^{y}=\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime}}$ and (6.1) follows since $n^{\prime}=n_{1}$. The isomorphism $\eta: \pi_{0} \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{p^{u-1}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ (see Lemma 6.1) allows to see easily that $\pi_{0}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)$ is associated to $\pi_{0} \pi^{n_{j}}$. As $n=\sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j}$, we therefore obtain $v \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ such that $v \pi_{0} \pi^{n}=\pi_{0} \prod_{j=1}^{g}\left(1-\sigma^{n_{j}}\right)$. Let $\mu=\mu_{1}^{v}$, then $\mu^{\pi_{0} \pi^{n}}=\mu_{1}^{v \pi_{0} \pi^{n}}=\xi_{r}^{e^{\prime} \pi_{0}}$. But $n_{1} \mid p^{u-1}$ and so

$$
\pi_{0}=1-\sigma^{p^{u-1}}=\left(1-\sigma^{n_{1}}\right)\left(1+\sigma^{n_{1}}+\cdots+\sigma^{p^{u-1}-n_{1}}\right)
$$

hence $\mu^{\pi_{0}}=\mu_{1}^{v \pi_{0}}=\mu_{0}^{v\left(1+\sigma^{n_{1}}+\cdots+\sigma^{p^{u-1}-n_{1}}\right)} \in \mathcal{M}$. Theorem 6.3 is proved.

Lemma 6.4. - Let $t$ be a non-negative integer and $b \in F^{\times}$. If there is $u \in F_{r}^{\times}$such that $b=u^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t}}$ then there is $v \in F^{\times}$satisfying $b=v^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t}}$.

Proof. - We prove this by induction on $t$ : if $t=0$ then $b=u^{\pi_{0}}$, so $b^{N^{\prime}}=1$. Hilbert's Theorem 90 implies the existence of $v \in F^{\times}$satisfying $b=v^{\pi_{0}}$. Assume that $t \geqslant 1$ and that the lemma has been proved for $t-1$. Again $b^{N}=u^{N \cdot \pi_{0} \pi^{t}}=1$ and Hilbert's Theorem 90 gives $w \in F^{\times}$satisfying $b=w^{\pi}$. Let $d=u^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t-1}} \cdot w^{-1}$. Then $d \in F_{r}^{\times}$and

$$
d^{\pi}=u^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t}} \cdot w^{-\pi}=b \cdot b^{-1}=1
$$

and so

$$
d^{p^{u}}=d^{N}=u^{N \cdot \pi_{0} \pi^{t-1}} \cdot w^{-N}=w^{-N} \in F^{\times} .
$$

But $d \in F_{r}, \zeta_{p} \notin F_{r}$, and $F_{r} / F$ is a Galois extension, hence $d \in F$. Then $b_{1}=u^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t-1}}=d w \in F^{\times}$and the induction hypothesis gives $v \in F^{\times}$ satisfying $b_{1}=v^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t-1}}$. Therefore $b=u^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t}}=b_{1}^{\pi}=v^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t}}$.

## 7. The inductive procedure

Let us fix a large power $M$ of $p$ (later on we shall see that the assumption $p^{n} \mid M$ and $p^{3} \cdot h_{F, p}^{2} \mid M$ suffices). Using Theorem 2.1 for the field $F$ satisfying Assumption 3 for a nontrivial character $\chi$, we shall successively construct prime ideals $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ of $F$ and each prime ideal $\lambda_{i}$ will give a prime number $\ell_{i}$ divisible by $\lambda_{i}$ such that
(7.1) the ideal class containing $\lambda_{i}$ maps to $\mathfrak{c}_{i} \in \bar{C}_{\chi}$ (see Lemma 5.2),
(7.2) $\quad \ell_{i}$ splits completely in $F / \mathbb{Q}$,
(7.3) $\quad \ell_{i} \equiv 1+M \quad\left(\bmod M^{2}\right)$,
(7.4) $\quad p_{j}$ is an $M$-th power modulo $\ell_{i}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, s$.

For any $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ let $r_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i} \ell_{j}$. Let us fix such an $i$ and suppose that prime ideals $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i}$ have been already obtained, which is satisfied for $i=0$ at the beginning, of course. As we know $r_{i}$, we can use $\xi_{r_{i}}$ defined just prior to Lemma 1.1 and $\kappa_{r_{i}}$ defined in Lemma 1.3. If $i<k$ we shall obtain $\lambda_{i+1}$ during this step of the inductive procedure.

Let us choose and fix $e_{\chi}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\chi}^{\prime} \equiv e_{\chi} \quad\left(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}_{p}[H]\right) \quad \text { and } \quad e_{\chi}^{\prime} \cdot \sum_{\tau \in H} \tau=0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is always possible as $\chi$ is nontrivial. Let $t_{i}$ be the largest non-negative integer such that there is $\alpha_{i} \in F^{\times}$satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}} \cdot \kappa_{r_{i}}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must show that $t_{i}$ is well-defined by this. It is clear that $\alpha_{i}=\kappa_{r_{i}}^{-e_{X}^{\prime}}$ satisfies this condition for $t_{i}=0$. But we need to know that this condition cannot be satisfied by all integers $t_{i}$ if $M$ is chosen large enough. (If $M$ were too small, for example if $M=1$, then for any positive integer $t_{i}$ one could take $\alpha_{i}=1$.) We prove it now for $i=0$; later on we shall see that $t_{i}$ is well-defined also for the other $0<i \leqslant k$.

Lemma 7.1. - If $M$ is a large power of $p\left(M>h_{F, p}\right.$ suffices) then the integer $t_{0}$ is well defined by (7.6) and $t_{0}<v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$, where $p^{v}=p \cdot h_{F, p}$.

Proof. - Similarly as in Lemma 5.1 we shall work in the tensor products with $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to be able to apply the idempotent $e_{\chi}$ directly to units. Part 1 of Lemma 5.1 says that the image of $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}}$ in $\left(\overline{E_{F}} / \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-module by the image $\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}}$ of $\xi_{1}=\kappa_{1}$. Lemma 4.3 implies that this image of $\overline{\mathrm{Cyc}_{F}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-submodule of $\left(\overline{E_{F}} / \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ of finite index and that this index divides $h_{F, p}$. Therefore for any integer $t \geqslant v-1$, the cardinality of $\left(E_{F} /\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle_{\sigma} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{t}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ is independent of $t$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{F} /\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle_{\sigma} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v-1}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|\left(E_{F} /\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle_{\sigma} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| . \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We already mentioned in the proof of Lemma 5.1 that the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-rank of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\prime}}$-free module $\left(\overline{E_{F}} / \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ equals $\varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v-1}} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=p^{\varphi\left(p^{u}\right)} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that (7.6) is satisfied for $t_{0} \geqslant v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$, i.e., there is $\alpha \in F^{\times}$such that

$$
\alpha^{\pi_{0} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}
$$

Lemma 6.1 gives the existence of $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ such that

$$
\pi_{0} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}=p^{v} \pi_{0} z
$$

As $p^{v} \mid M$, we have $\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{p^{v}}$. Then $\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in E_{F}^{p^{v}}$ and so

$$
\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}-p\right)}=\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \Delta^{\prime}} \in E_{F}^{p^{v}} .
$$

We have $\xi_{1}^{N^{\prime}} \in E_{F^{\prime}}$ which gives $\xi_{1}^{e_{x}^{e} p} \in E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}$. This means that the image of $\xi_{1}^{e^{\prime}}$ is of order 1 or $p$ in $\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ and

$$
\left|\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|\left(E_{F} /\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle_{\sigma} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \cdot d_{v}
$$

for a suitable integer $d_{v} \mid p^{p^{u-1}}$, since $\xi_{1}^{e^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in E_{F}^{p^{v}}$. Similarly the image of $\xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}}$ is of order 1 or $p$ in $\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v-1}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ and

$$
\left|\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v-1}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|\left(E_{F} /\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle_{\sigma} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v-1}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \cdot d_{v-1}
$$

for a suitable integer $d_{v-1} \mid p^{p^{u-1}}$. Then (7.7) gives

$$
d_{v-1}^{-1} \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v-1}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=d_{v}^{-1} \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|
$$

which contradicts (7.8). The lemma is proved.
Remark 7.2. - Recall that we are using an inductive procedure for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$. Thus now we can assume that $t_{0}<v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right), \ldots, t_{i}<v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$ are all well defined, when we show that also $t_{i+1}<v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$ is well defined (see Lemma 7.5). Recall that $n=\sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j}$.

Lemma 7.3. - If $p^{n} \mid M$ then we have $t_{i} \geqslant n$.
Proof. - If $n=0$ then there is nothing to prove, so assume $n \geqslant 1$. To simplify our notation write $r=r_{i}$. The definition of $\kappa_{r}$ in Lemma 1.3 gives $\beta_{r} \in F_{r}^{\times}$such that $\kappa_{r}=\xi_{r}^{\mathrm{D}_{r}} \cdot \beta_{r}^{-M}$. Lemma 6.1 gives the existence of $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ such that

$$
\pi_{0} M=\pi_{0} \pi^{n \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)} \cdot M p^{-n} \cdot z
$$

Let $e=\sum_{\tau \in H}(1-\tau)$, Theorem 6.3 gives $\mu \in F_{r}^{\times}$satisfying $\xi_{r}^{[\bar{L}: L] e \pi_{0}}=$ $\mu^{\pi_{0} \pi^{n}}$, so
$\kappa_{r}^{[\bar{L}: L] e \pi_{0}}=\xi_{r}^{[\bar{L}: L] e \pi_{0} \mathrm{D}_{r}} \cdot \beta_{r}^{-M[\bar{L}: L] e \pi_{0}}=\left(\mu^{\mathrm{D}_{r}} \cdot \beta_{r}^{\left.-M p^{-n}[\bar{L}: L] e z \pi^{n\left(\varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-1\right)}\right)}\right)^{\pi_{0} \pi^{n}}$.
Since $\kappa_{r} \in F^{\times}$, Lemma 6.4 gives $v \in F^{\times}$satisfying $v^{\pi_{0} \pi^{n}}=\kappa_{r}^{[\bar{L}: L] e \pi_{0}}$. We have

$$
[\bar{L}: L] e=[\bar{L}: \mathbb{Q}] \cdot\left(1-e_{\chi_{0}}\right)
$$

where $\chi_{0}$ means the trivial character on $H$. Since $p$ does not divide $[\bar{L}: \mathbb{Q}]$, there is an integer $c$ such that $c \cdot[\bar{L}: \mathbb{Q}] \equiv 1(\bmod M)$. Then

$$
e_{\chi}^{\prime} \equiv e_{\chi}=\left(1-e_{\chi_{0}}\right) e_{\chi} \equiv c[\bar{L}: L] e e_{\chi} \equiv c[\bar{L}: L] e e_{\chi}^{\prime} \quad(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}[H])
$$

Hence

$$
\left(v^{-c e_{\chi}^{\prime}}\right)^{\pi_{0} \pi^{n}} \cdot \kappa_{r}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}=\kappa_{r}^{\pi_{0}\left(e_{\chi}^{\prime}-c[\bar{L}: L] e e_{\chi}^{\prime}\right)} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M} .
$$

This identity and the definition of $t_{i}$ by (7.6) give $t_{i} \geqslant n$.
LEmma 7.4. - Let $W_{i}$ be the $G$-submodule of $F^{\times} /\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}$, where $G=$ $\operatorname{Gal}(F / \mathbb{Q})$, generated by the images of $\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}$ and of all primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$. Then $W_{i}$ is finite and there is a homomorphism of $G$-modules $\psi_{i}: W_{i} \rightarrow$ $(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})[G]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right) & =0 \quad \text { for each } j=1, \ldots, s, \text { and } \\
\psi_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}\right) & =e_{\chi} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. - As an abelian group, $W_{i}$ is finitely generated and annihilated by $M$, so $W_{i}$ is finite. We must show that such a map $\psi_{i}$ really exists. Assuming that $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}, b \in F^{\times}$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ satisfy

$$
\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i} \beta}}=a \cdot b^{M}
$$

we need to prove that $e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta$ is divisible by $M$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. As $\left(e_{\chi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \equiv e_{\chi}^{2}=$ $e_{\chi} \equiv e_{\chi}^{\prime}\left(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]\right)$, we have $\left(e_{\chi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \equiv e_{\chi}^{\prime}(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}[G])$, and so there is $b_{1} \in F^{\times}$such that

$$
\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta}=a^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \cdot b_{1}^{M}
$$

Since $e_{\chi}^{\prime} \cdot \sum_{\tau \in H} \tau=0$, the augmentation map sends $e_{\chi}^{\prime}$ to zero, and so $a^{e^{\prime}}=1$. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ there is $\beta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ such that $\beta e_{\chi}=\beta^{\prime} e_{\chi}$. Taking $\beta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ such that $\beta^{\prime \prime} \equiv \beta^{\prime}\left(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]\right)$ we have $\beta e_{\chi}^{\prime} \equiv$ $\beta e_{\chi}=\beta^{\prime} e_{\chi} \equiv \beta^{\prime \prime} e_{\chi}^{\prime}\left(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]\right)$ and so $\beta e_{\chi}^{\prime} \equiv \beta^{\prime \prime} e_{\chi}^{\prime}(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}[G])$. Hence there is $b_{2} \in F^{\times}$such that

$$
\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i} \beta^{\prime \prime}}}=b_{2}^{M},
$$

so we have

$$
1=\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi_{i}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime} N^{\prime}}=b_{2}^{M N^{\prime}}
$$

As $\zeta_{p} \notin F$, this implies $b_{2}^{N^{\prime}}=1$ and Hilbert's Theorem 90 guarantees the existence of $c \in F^{\times}$such that $b_{2}=c^{\pi_{0}}$. We have obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}}=c^{M \pi_{0}} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we want to prove $\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime} \in M \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$. If $\pi_{0} \beta^{\prime \prime}=0$ then there is nothing to prove, so assume $\pi_{0} \beta^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.

Let us apply Lemma 6.1 to $M \pi_{0}$ and $\pi_{0} \beta^{\prime \prime}$. If $M=p^{t}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \pi_{0}=\pi_{0} \pi^{t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}\left(y_{1}+\pi z_{1}\right)  \tag{7.10}\\
& \pi_{0} \beta^{\prime \prime}=\pi_{0} \pi^{x_{2}}\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right) \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{2} \geqslant 0$ is an integer, $y_{1}, y_{2} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, and $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$. It is easy to see that $\left(y_{2}+\bar{\pi} \eta\left(z_{2}\right)\right)$ and $(M)$ are principal ideals of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ with relatively prime norms, and so there are $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ such that

$$
\left(y_{2}+\bar{\pi} \eta\left(z_{2}\right)\right) v_{1}+M v_{2}=1
$$

and $v_{1}$ is not divisible by $\bar{\pi}$, so $v_{1}=y_{3}+\bar{\pi} w$ for $y_{3} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $w \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$. Using the surjectivity of $\eta$ we obtain that for suitable $z_{3}, z_{3}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ we have

$$
\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right)\left(y_{3}+\pi z_{3}\right) \equiv 1-M z_{3}^{\prime} \quad\left(\bmod N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

Exactly in the same way we can prove the existence of $y_{4} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $z_{4}, z_{4}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{1}+\pi z_{1}\right)\left(y_{4}+\pi z_{4}\right) \equiv 1-M z_{4}^{\prime} \quad\left(\bmod N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{3}+\pi z_{3}\right)=\pi_{0} \pi^{x_{2}+t_{i}}\left(1-M z_{3}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Acting on (7.9) by $y_{3}+\pi z_{3}$ we get

$$
c^{M \pi_{0}\left(y_{3}+\pi z_{3}\right)}=\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi_{i}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{3}+\pi z_{3}\right)}=\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{x_{2}+t_{i}}\left(1-M z_{3}^{\prime}\right)},
$$

and so

$$
\alpha_{i}^{e^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{x_{2}+t_{i}}}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{e_{i}^{\prime} x^{x_{2}+t_{i}} z_{3}^{\prime}} \cdot c^{y_{3}+\pi z_{3}}\right)^{M \pi_{0}}
$$

Define

$$
c_{1}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi^{x_{2}+t_{i}} z_{3}^{\prime}} \cdot c^{y_{3}+\pi z_{3}}\right)^{y_{1}+\pi z_{1}} \in F^{\times}
$$

and (7.10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{x_{2}+t_{i}}}=c_{1}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}} \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma \in F^{\times}$satisfies $\gamma^{\pi^{2}}=1$ then $\gamma^{1-\sigma}=1$. Indeed, $\gamma^{\pi^{2}}=1$ implies that $g=\gamma^{1-\sigma} \in L^{\times}$, and so $\gamma^{\sigma}=\gamma \cdot g^{-1}$, which gives $\gamma=\gamma^{\sigma^{p^{u}}}=\gamma \cdot g^{-p^{u}}$ and $g^{p^{u}}=1$ resulting in $g=1$ as $\zeta_{p} \notin L$. Applying this fact to (7.13) we get that if $t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)>x_{2}$ then

$$
\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}=c_{1}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-x_{2}}} .
$$

The definition of $t_{i}$ by (7.6) gives

$$
c_{1}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-x_{2}}} \cdot \kappa_{r_{i}}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}
$$

hence $t_{i} \geqslant t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-x_{2}$. Notice that this inequality holds true in the other case $t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right) \leqslant x_{2}$ as well. Hence in both cases $x=t_{i}-t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)+x_{2} \geqslant 0$ and (7.11) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime} & =\pi_{0} \pi^{x_{2}+t_{i}}\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right) \\
& =\pi_{0} \pi^{x+t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (7.12) and (7.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-M z_{4}^{\prime}\right) \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime} & =\left(1-M z_{4}^{\prime}\right) \pi_{0} \pi^{x+t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(y_{1}+\pi z_{1}\right)\left(y_{4}+\pi z_{4}\right) \pi_{0} \pi^{x+t \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right) \\
& =M \pi_{0} \pi^{x}\left(y_{2}+\pi z_{2}\right)\left(y_{4}+\pi z_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}$ is divisible by $M$.

If $i<k$ then we choose an ideal class $\mathfrak{c} \in C$ which maps to $\mathfrak{c}_{i+1} \in$ $\bar{C}_{\chi}$. Theorem 2.1 for this $\mathfrak{c}$, the $G$-module $W_{i}$ and the homomorphism $\psi_{i}$ described in Lemma 7.4 gives a prime ideal $\lambda_{i+1}$ of $F$ such that the prime number $\ell_{i+1}$ divisible by $\lambda_{i+1}$ satisfies (7.1), (7.2), and (7.3). Moreover $[w]_{\ell_{i+1}}=0$ for all $w \in W_{i}$, and there is a unit $u_{i} \in(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}(w)=u_{i} \psi_{i}(w) \lambda_{i+1} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in W_{i}$.
For each $j=1, \ldots, s$ we have $p_{j} \in W_{i}$ and $\psi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)=0$. Recall that $\overline{\sigma_{\ell_{i+1}}}$ is a fixed generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F\left(\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}\right) / F\right)$. There is a positive integer $y$ satisfying $\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}^{y \overline{\ell_{i+1}}}=\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}$, then $y$ is a primitive root modulo $\ell_{i+1}$. Take $1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}^{y}$ and consider its images in the diagram of Lemma 1.4. The left hand mapping sends $1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}^{y}$ to the class of

$$
\left(1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}^{y}\right)^{1-\overline{\sigma_{\ell_{i+1}}}}=\frac{1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}^{y}}{1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}} \equiv y \quad\left(\bmod 1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}\right)
$$

and the right hand mapping sends $1-\zeta_{\ell_{i+1}}^{y}$ to $\left[\ell_{i+1}\right]_{\ell_{i+1}}$, hence

$$
\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}(y)=\left[\ell_{i+1}\right]_{\ell_{i+1}}
$$

Let us choose a positive integer $a$ such that $p_{j} \equiv y^{a}\left(\bmod \ell_{i+1}\right)$, then

$$
a\left[\ell_{i+1}\right]_{\ell_{i+1}}=a \varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}(y)=\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(y^{a}\right)=\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(p_{j}\right)=u_{i} \psi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right) \lambda_{i+1}=0
$$

and so $M \mid a$, because $\ell_{i+1}$ splits completely in $F$. We have proved that $\ell_{i+1}$ satisfies (7.4).

Lemma 7.5. - Let $M$ be a large power of $p$ ( $M>h_{F, p}^{2}$ suffices) then the integer $t_{i+1}$ is well defined by (7.6) and $t_{i+1} \leqslant t_{i}-1$.

Proof. - Let us assume that there is $\alpha \in F^{\times}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}} \cdot \kappa_{r_{i+1}}^{e_{i}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M} . \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lemma will be proved if we find a contradiction.
Since $\left(e_{\chi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \equiv e_{\chi}^{\prime}(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}[H]),(7.6)$ and (7.15) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(\kappa_{r_{i}}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}\right) & =-\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}\right), \\
{\left[\kappa_{r_{i+1}}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}\right] } & =-\left[\alpha^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}\right]=-e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}[\alpha] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The congruence (7.3) and Proposition 1.5 give

$$
\left[\kappa_{r_{i+1}}\right]=\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(\kappa_{r_{i}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left[\kappa_{r_{i+1}}\right]_{\ell_{j}},
$$

so (7.14) and Lemma 7.4 give

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}\left([\alpha]-\sum_{j=1}^{i}[\alpha]_{\ell_{j}}\right) & =-\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(\kappa_{r_{i}}^{e_{i}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}\right)=\varphi_{\ell_{i+1}}\left(\alpha_{i}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}\right) \\
& =u_{i} \psi_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}^{e^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}}\right) \lambda_{i+1}=u_{i} e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathcal{I} / M \mathcal{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $u_{i}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}$, there is an integer $v_{i}$ such that

$$
v_{i} e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}\left([\alpha]-\sum_{j=1}^{i}[\alpha]_{\ell_{j}}\right)=e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}} \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathcal{I} / M \mathcal{I}
$$

Denoting again $p^{v}=p \cdot h_{F, p}$, our assumption gives that $M$ is divisible by $p^{2 v-1}$. Hence there is $J \in \mathcal{I}$ such that we have the following identity in $\mathcal{I}$

$$
p^{2 v-1} J=e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{i}}\left(v_{i}\left((\alpha)-\sum_{j=1}^{i}(\alpha)_{\ell_{j}}\right)-\lambda_{i+1}\right)
$$

Since multiplying by $N^{\prime}$ kills the right hand side, we have $N^{\prime} J=0$, which means that there is $J^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $J=\pi_{0} J^{\prime}$. Lemma 6.1 gives that

$$
p^{2 v-1} J=p^{2 v-1} \pi_{0} J^{\prime}=h_{F, p} \pi_{0} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)} J^{\prime \prime}
$$

for a suitable $J^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{I}$ and Remark 7.2 says that $t_{i}<v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$. Having any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, it is easy to see that $\pi^{2} I=0$ implies $\pi I=0$. As $\pi \mid \pi_{0}$, we have $\pi_{0} I=0$ for

$$
I=e_{\chi}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}-v_{i} e_{\chi}^{\prime}\left((\alpha)-\sum_{j=1}^{i}(\alpha)_{\ell_{j}}\right)+h_{F, p} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-t_{i}} J^{\prime \prime}
$$

The property $\pi_{0} I=0$ means that $I$ can be written as a sum of an ideal supported on ramified prime ideals in $F / F^{\prime}$ and of the extension of a suitable ideal of $F^{\prime}$. But such an extension belongs to $N^{\prime} C$ and its image in $C / N^{\prime} C$ is trivial. Similarly the image of any ramified prime ideal in $\bar{C}_{\chi}$ is trivial due to the construction in (5.4). The ideals $h_{F, p} J^{\prime \prime}$ and ( $\alpha$ ) have trivial image in $C$ and we have obtained the following identity in $\bar{C}_{\chi}$

$$
e_{\chi}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}+v_{i} e_{\chi}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{i}(\alpha)_{\ell_{j}}=0
$$

The construction of $\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_{i}$ accomplished in Lemma 5.2 and the property (7.1) imply that the image of $\sum_{j=1}^{i}(\alpha)_{\ell_{j}}$ belongs to $\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_{i}\right\rangle$, thus

$$
e_{\chi}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}=0
$$

in $\bar{C}_{\chi} /\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_{i}\right\rangle$, which together with (7.1) contradicts Lemma 5.2.

The following crucial inequality will be used in Proposition 7.10 to show that the size of $\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ dominates $\frac{\left|C_{\chi}\right|}{\mid C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}}$.

Corollary 7.6. - If $p^{n} \mid M$ and $M>h_{F, p}^{2}$ then we have $t_{0} \geqslant k+n$.
Proof. - This immediately follows from Lemma 7.3 using Lemma 7.5.

Lemma 7.7. - Let $M^{\prime}$ be a large power of $p\left(M^{\prime}>h_{F, p}\right.$ suffices) and suppose that $e_{\chi}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ satisfies $e_{\chi}^{\prime} \equiv e_{\chi}\left(\bmod p M^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[H]\right)$. If there is $\varepsilon \in E_{F}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in E_{F}^{p M^{\prime}} \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive integer $t$ then $p^{t}$ is a divisor of $\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$.
Proof. - We have already mentioned in the proof of Lemma 7.1 that the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-rank of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\prime}}$-free module $\left(\overline{E_{F}} / \overline{E_{F^{\prime}}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ equals $\varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}>h_{F, p} \geqslant\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to Lemma 4.3. We have a $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-module homomorphism

$$
\omega: \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \rightarrow\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}
$$

determined by $\omega(1)=\varepsilon^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \cdot E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}$. Then $\omega\left(N^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{N^{\prime} e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \cdot E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}=$ $1 \cdot E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}$ as $\varepsilon^{N^{\prime}} \in E_{F^{\prime}}$. So the kernel of $\omega$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-submodule (so an ideal) of $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ containing $N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ and its index is a power of $p$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] / N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ with $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_{p^{u}}$, this $\omega$ gives a homomorphism

$$
\omega^{\prime}: \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right] \rightarrow\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}
$$

and $\operatorname{ker} \omega^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ of $p$-power index, so $\operatorname{ker} \omega^{\prime}=\bar{\pi}^{r} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ for a suitable integer $r \geqslant 0$. Let us denote $x=\varepsilon^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \pi^{t} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \in E_{F}$, then (7.16) gives $x^{N^{\prime}-p}=x^{\pi_{0} \Delta^{\prime}} \in E_{F}^{p M^{\prime}}$. Therefore there is $y \in E_{F}$ such that $x^{N^{\prime}-p}=y^{p M^{\prime}}$ and so $x^{N^{\prime}}=z^{p}$ with $z=x \cdot y^{M^{\prime}}$. Then $z^{p} \in F^{\prime}$ which implies $z \in F^{\prime}$, so $z \in E_{F^{\prime}}$. Then $x=z \cdot y^{-M^{\prime}} \in E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(1) & =\omega(1)=\varepsilon^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \cdot E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}} \\
\omega^{\prime}\left(\bar{\pi}^{t}\right) & =\omega\left(\pi^{t}\right)=\varepsilon^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi^{t}} \cdot E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}=\xi_{1}^{-e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \cdot E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Part 1 of Lemma 5.1 we see that the rows of the following diagram are exact.


The first row and the inequality (7.17) give $r>t$. The snake lemma implies

$$
|\operatorname{ker} \delta|=\left|\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right] / \bar{\pi}^{t} \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]\right|=p^{t}
$$

and the lemma follows.
For any $a \in F^{\times}$we define the ambiguous part $\operatorname{amb}(a)$ to be the projection of the principal ideal $(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ to $\oplus_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right)$, where $\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}$ means the group of fractional ideals of $F$ supported on the prime ideals of $F$ dividing $p_{j}$, written additively.

Lemma 7.8. - If $a \in\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$then $\operatorname{amb}(a)=0$. For any positive integer $t$ and any $b, c \in F^{\times}$such that

$$
b^{\pi_{0} \pi^{(t-1) \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}}=c^{p^{t}}
$$

we have $\operatorname{amb}(b)=0$.
Proof. - Each $\mathfrak{p}_{j}$ ramifies totally in $F / F^{\prime}$, and so $\operatorname{amb}(a)=0$. If

$$
b^{\pi_{0} \pi^{(t-1) \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}}=c^{p^{t}}
$$

then $c^{N^{\prime}} p^{t}=1$, so $c^{N^{\prime}}=1$ and Hilbert's Theorem 90 gives $d \in F^{\times}$such that $c=d^{\pi_{0}}$. If $t=1$ then $\left(b d^{-p}\right)^{\pi_{0}}=1$ and so $b d^{-p} \in\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{amb}(b)=\operatorname{amb}\left(b d^{-p}\right)+p \operatorname{amb}(d)=0 .
$$

Suppose $t>1$. Lemma 6.1 gives

$$
b^{\pi_{0} \pi^{(t-1) \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}}=d^{\pi_{0} p^{t}}=d^{p \pi_{0} \pi^{(t-1) \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}(y+\pi z)}
$$

for $y \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$. We know that if $\gamma \in F^{\times}$satisfies $\gamma^{\pi^{2}}=1$ then $\gamma^{\pi}=1$ (see the reasoning below (7.13)) and $\pi \mid \pi_{0}$ implies

$$
b^{\pi_{0}}=\left(d^{\pi_{0}(y+\pi z)}\right)^{p} .
$$

The lemma follows from the proven case $t=1$.
Recall that $\iota_{\chi}: C_{F^{\prime}, \chi} \rightarrow C_{\chi}$ is given by extension of ideals and that (7.6) for $i=0$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M} \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even though (7.18) only states that we can extract the $\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}$-th root of $\xi_{1}^{-e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}$ approximately (i.e., modulo an $M$-th power in $F$ ), the following proposition says that this actually produces an exact $\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}$-th root $\beta$ of $\xi_{1}^{-e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}$ in $F$.

Proposition 7.9. - Let $M$ be a large power of $p\left(M>p^{2 v}=p^{2} \cdot h_{F, p}^{2}\right.$ suffices; recall that $v$ was defined by this equality) and $r$ be the greatest divisor of $h_{F}$ which is relatively prime to $p$, i.e., $h_{F}=r \cdot h_{F, p}$. We can choose and fix $\delta \in F^{\times}$satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}=\delta^{p^{v+1} \pi_{0} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}} \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote $\beta=\alpha_{0} \cdot \delta^{-p^{v+1}} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-t_{0}}$; then $\beta^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}}=\xi_{1}^{-e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}$, so $\beta^{\pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \in F^{\prime}$ and the ideal $\left(\beta^{\pi^{t_{0}}}\right) \in \mathcal{I}$ is the extension of a principal ideal of $F^{\prime}$. Let $a$ and $b$ be the smallest non-negative integers such that $\left(\beta^{e^{\prime} r}{ }^{r a}\right) \in \mathcal{I}$ is the extension of a principal ideal of $F^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{b} \cdot \operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$. We have
(1) $0 \leqslant b \leqslant a \leqslant t_{0}$;
(2) $p^{t_{0}-a}$ is a divisor of $\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$;
(3) $\left|\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{s}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right|=\left|\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{g}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right|$ is a divisor of $p^{n-b}$;
(4) $p^{a-b}$ divides $\left|\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}\right|$.

Proof. - We have $p^{2 v+1} \mid M$. To start with, let us mention that $\alpha_{0}$ is not determined by (7.18). Nevertheless $\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is well defined. Indeed, if both $\alpha_{0}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{0}$ satisfy (7.18) then

$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{0}}{\alpha_{0}}\right)^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M}
$$

Lemma 7.1 gives $t_{0}<v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$, hence

$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{0}}{\alpha_{0}}\right)^{\pi_{0} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{p^{v+1}}
$$

and Lemma 7.8 gives $\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\operatorname{amb}\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{0}\right)$. Since $\left(e_{\chi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \equiv e_{\chi}^{\prime}(\bmod M \mathbb{Z}[H])$, we obtain from (7.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{M} \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with (7.18), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know from (7.18) that there is $\gamma \in F^{\times}$such that

$$
\alpha_{0}^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e^{\prime} \pi_{0}}=\gamma^{p^{2 v+1}}
$$

Hence $\gamma^{p^{2 v+1} N^{\prime}}=1$, which implies $\gamma^{N^{\prime}}=1$, and by Hilbert's Theorem 90 there is $\gamma_{0} \in F^{\times}$such that $\gamma=\gamma_{0}^{\pi_{0}}$. Lemma 6.1 gives $y \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ such that

$$
p^{v} \pi_{0}=\pi_{0} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)}(y+\pi z)
$$

Therefore $\delta=\gamma_{0}^{y+\pi z}$ satisfies (7.19). Denoting $\beta=\alpha_{0} \cdot \delta^{-p^{v+1} \pi^{v \varphi\left(p^{u}\right)-t_{0}} \text { we }{ }^{\text {w }} \text {. }}$ have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta^{\pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}}\right)^{\pi_{0}}=1 \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\beta^{\pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}} \in F^{\prime}$ and the ideal $\left(\beta^{\pi^{t_{0}}}\right)=\left(\beta^{\pi^{t_{0}}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime}}\right) \in \mathcal{I}$ is the extension of a principal ideal of $F^{\prime}$. Since $a \geqslant 0$ is the smallest integer such that there is $\mu \in F^{\prime}$ satisfying $\left(\beta^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} r \pi^{a}}\right)=(\mu) \in \mathcal{I}$, clearly $a \leqslant t_{0}$ and $\varepsilon=\beta_{x}^{e^{\prime} r \pi^{a}} \mu^{-1} \in$ $E_{F}$. Recall that $p \nmid r$, so there is a positive integer $r^{\prime}$ such that $r r^{\prime} \equiv 1$ $\left(\bmod p^{v+1}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\pi^{a} \operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\pi^{a} r^{\prime} r e_{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{amb}(\beta)=r^{\prime} \operatorname{amb}\left(\beta^{\pi^{a} r e_{\chi}^{\prime}}\right)=r^{\prime} \operatorname{amb}(\varepsilon \mu)=0
$$

so $b \leqslant a$ and the first statement of the proposition follows. We have

$$
\varepsilon^{\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}-a}}=\beta^{e^{\prime} r \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}}
$$

Computing modulo $\left(F^{\times}\right)^{p^{v+1}}$ we obtain

$$
\varepsilon^{r^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}-a}} \equiv \beta^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}} \equiv \alpha_{0}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}}
$$

Therefore (7.20) implies

$$
\varepsilon^{r^{\prime} \pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}-a}} \cdot \xi_{1}^{e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}} \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{p^{v+1}}
$$

and Lemma 7.7 gives that $p^{t_{0}-a}$ divides $\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{p^{v}}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$, which is a divisor of $\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$. We have proved the second statement of the proposition.

If $i>g$ then the decomposition group of $p_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is nontrivial, so we can take $\tau \neq 1$ in this decomposition group. Then $\tau \mathfrak{p}_{i}=\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and

$$
(\chi(\tau)-1) e_{\chi} \mathfrak{p}_{i}=\tau e_{\chi} \mathfrak{p}_{i}-e_{\chi} \mathfrak{p}_{i}=0
$$

in $\oplus_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right)$. Assumption 3 implies that $\chi$ is injective on $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$, hence $\chi(\tau) \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $e_{\chi} \mathfrak{p}_{i}=0$ here, giving $e_{\chi}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{i}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{i}}\right)=0$.

If $i \leqslant g$ then

$$
\mathcal{I}_{p_{i}} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}[x] /\left(x^{n_{i}}-1\right)\right)[H]
$$

as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules ( $\sigma$ acts as $x$ on the right-hand module). Hence

$$
e_{\chi}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{i}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{i}}\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x] /\left(x^{n_{i}}-1\right)=(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x] /\left((x-1)^{n_{i}}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \in e_{\chi} \oplus_{j=1}^{g}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right) \cong \oplus_{j=1}^{g}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x] /\left(x^{n_{i}}\right)
$$

as $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x]$-modules $(x$ acts as $\pi$ on the left-hand module). Since $b \geqslant 0$ is the smallest integer such that $\pi^{b} \cdot \operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$, the cyclic $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x]$ submodule $\left\langle\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\sigma}$ generated by $\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x] /$ $\left(x^{b}\right)$, hence $\left|\left\langle\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right|=p^{b}$.

Computing in the group $\mathcal{I}$ of fractional ideals of $F$ (written additively), the identity (7.22) gives $\pi_{0} \pi^{t_{0}}(\beta)=\left(\xi_{1}^{-e_{\chi}^{\prime} \pi_{0}}\right)=0$ and so, since $\pi$ is injective on $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle] / N^{\prime}$, we have $\pi_{0}(\beta)=0$. Let us fix an ideal $J_{0} \in \oplus_{j=1}^{g} \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}$ such that the image of $J_{0}$ in $\oplus_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right)$ equals $\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\operatorname{amb}(\beta)$. Then $(\beta)=J_{0}+\widetilde{J_{1}}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ for the extension $\widetilde{J_{1}} \in \mathcal{I}$ of a suitable ideal $J_{1}$ of $F^{\prime}$.

Consider the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi: e_{\chi} \oplus_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right) \rightarrow C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi} \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

determined by $\Psi\left(e_{\chi} \mathfrak{p}_{j}\right)=e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{j}}$ (recall that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{j}}$ was defined above (5.3)). Then

$$
\operatorname{im} \Psi=\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{s}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}
$$

Since $e_{\chi}^{\prime} \widetilde{J_{1}} \in N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=e_{\chi}^{\prime} \operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \Psi
$$

So $\left\langle\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\sigma} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \Psi$ and $p^{b}$ divides $|\operatorname{ker} \Psi|$. Since $\left|e_{\chi} \oplus_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right)\right|=$ $p^{n}$, we see that $|\operatorname{im} \Psi|$ divides $p^{n-b}$ and the third statement of the proposition follows.

To prove the fourth statement we can assume $a>b$. Since $\pi^{b} \cdot \operatorname{amb}(\beta)=0$, the ideal $\left(\beta^{\pi^{b}}\right)$ is equal to the extension $\widetilde{J_{2}} \in \mathcal{I}$ of a suitable ideal $J_{2}$ of $F^{\prime}$. We know that $h_{F^{\prime}} \mid h_{F}$ (see [13, Theorem 10.1]) and so the class of $J_{2}^{r}$ belongs to $C_{F^{\prime}}$. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}$ be the class of $J_{2}^{r e_{\chi}^{\prime}}$. Then $\iota_{\chi}(\mathfrak{c})=0$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}$.

Moreover ${\widetilde{J_{2}}}^{p}={\widetilde{J_{2}}}^{N^{\prime}}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}\left(\beta^{\pi^{b}}\right)\right)$ is a principal ideal of $F^{\prime}$ generated by $\beta^{\pi^{b} N^{\prime}} \in F^{\prime}$, so $\mathfrak{c}^{p}=0$. Of course, $\mathfrak{c}^{\pi_{0}}=0$. The definition of $a$ gives $\mathfrak{c}^{\pi^{a-b}}=0$ and $\mathfrak{c}^{\pi^{a-b-1}} \neq 0$. Similarly as above, the $\mathbb{Z}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-submodule of $C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}$ generated by $\mathfrak{c}$ is a cyclic $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x] /\left(x^{p^{u-1}}\right)$-module whose annihilator is generated by $x^{a-b}$. Hence $|\langle\mathfrak{c}\rangle|=p^{a-b}$ divides $\left|\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}\right|$. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 7.10. - If $\chi$ is a nontrivial Dirichlet character of $L=L_{\chi}$ and $M$ is a large power of $p\left(p^{n} \mid M\right.$ and $M>p^{2} \cdot h_{F, p}^{2}$ suffice) then $\left|C_{\chi}\right|$ is a divisor of $\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$.

Proof. - Recall that $t_{0} \geqslant k+n$ due to Corollary 7.6 and that (5.4) gives that

$$
\left|C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}\right|=\left|\bar{C}_{\chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{g}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right|=p^{k} \cdot\left|\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{g}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right|
$$

and that $\left|\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{g}}\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right|$ divides $p^{n-b}$ due to Proposition 7.9. Therefore $\left|C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}\right|$ is a divisor of $p^{t_{0}-b}$ and so it divides

$$
\left|\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|
$$

again by Proposition 7.9. Recall that $\iota_{\chi}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right)=N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$. Hence $\left|C_{\chi}\right|$ is a divisor of
$\left|\iota_{\chi}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|$ and the proposition is proved.

## 8. Removing the simplifying assumption

Let us remove Assumption 3 in this section, so $F$ means again any field satisfying the conditions of Section 4. Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 imply that Proposition 7.10 and Part 3 of Lemma 5.1 are still valid for our field $F$. We shall need the following corollary of Gras' conjecture

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{L} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{L} E_{L}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|C_{L, \chi}\right| \quad \text { if } h_{L, p} \mid M \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which R. Greenberg proved ${ }^{(4)}$ in [3] to be a consequence of the Main Conjecture, which was proved by B. Mazur and A. Wiles in [9]. It is well-known that this can be proved by Kolyvagin's method (see [10, Theorem 4.2] for the case $L$ being the maximal real subfield of the $p$ th cyclotomic field).

Theorem 8.1. - Let $M$ be a large power of $p$ ( $h_{F, p} \mid M$ suffices). If $\chi$ is a nontrivial Dirichlet character of $L$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{\chi}\right|=\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Notice that taking any higher power of $p$ instead of $M$ does not change the statement, so we can assume that $M$ satisfies the assumption mentioned in Proposition 7.10.

Let $\chi_{0}$ be the trivial character of $L$. Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, and 4.2 give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{\chi_{0}}\right|=h_{K, p}=\frac{1}{c_{K}} \cdot\left[E_{K}: \mathrm{Cyc}_{K}\right]_{p}=\frac{1}{c_{K}} \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}}\right| \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]Lemma 4.3 implies (the products are taken over all Dirichlet characters $\chi$ of $L$ including the trivial one)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\chi}\left|C_{\chi}\right| & =|C|=h_{F, p}=\frac{1}{c_{K}} \cdot\left[E_{F}: \operatorname{Cyc}_{F}\right]_{p}=\frac{1}{c_{K}} \cdot\left|E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{c_{K}} \cdot \prod_{\chi}\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (8.3) and Part 3 of Lemma 5.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|C_{\chi}\right| & =\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|  \tag{8.4}\\
& =\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F^{\prime}} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}} E_{F^{\prime}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Now we shall prove the theorem by induction. If $u=1$ then $F^{\prime}=L$ and (8.1) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{F^{\prime}} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F^{\prime}} E_{F^{\prime}}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right| \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem for $u=1$ follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|C_{\chi}\right|=\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right| \cdot\left|\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}} E_{F}^{M}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right| \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Proposition 7.10, (8.5) and Part 3 of Lemma 5.1.
Let us assume now that $u>1$ and that the theorem holds true for $u-1$, i.e., for $F$ replaced by $F^{\prime}$. Then (8.2) for $u-1$ implies (8.5) for the current $u$. By (8.4) we have (8.6) again and the theorem follows by exactly the same reasoning as above.

## 9. Consequences

Let us assume Assumption 3 for a fixed nontrivial character $\chi$ again.
In the previous sections we have studied the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-modules appearing in the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi} \longrightarrow C_{F^{\prime}, \chi} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\chi}} C_{\chi} \longrightarrow C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the kernel ker $\iota_{\chi}$ and the cokernel $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ of $\iota_{\chi}$ are killed by $N^{\prime}$, hence these two modules are finite modules over

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle] / N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]
$$

As $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$ is a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $\bar{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$, any finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-module is isomorphic to

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]^{r} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right] / \bar{\pi}^{a_{1}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right] / \bar{\pi}^{a_{n}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]\right)
$$

for unique integers $r \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0$, and $a_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{n}>0$. Hence any two finite $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-modules have the same Fitting ideal if and only if they have the same cardinality. In the proofs of Lemmas 5.1 and 7.1 we have already mentioned that $\left(\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{X}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-free module of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-rank $\varphi\left(p^{u}\right)$ and so

$$
\left(\left(E_{F} / E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{X}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]
$$

are isomorphic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-modules. Let an integer $c$ be defined by

$$
p^{c}=\left|\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|
$$

Both the annihilator ideal and the Fitting ideal of $\left(\left(E_{F} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}$ are determined by $c$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} /\right.\right. & \left.\left.\operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \\
& =\operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}  \tag{9.2}\\
& =\bar{\pi}^{c} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and
$\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}=\operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{X}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\pi^{c} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]+N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle] . \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.1 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{c}=\left|\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}}\right|=\frac{\left|C_{\chi}\right|}{\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right|} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us summarize what we know about the kernel and cokernel of $\iota_{\chi}$ in (9.1). We shall obtain a complete description of capitulation in the extension $F / F^{\prime}$.

Proposition 9.1. - Consider $r, \beta, a$ and $b$ defined in Proposition 7.9. Then

$$
\left\langle e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{1}}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \overline{\mathcal{P}_{s}}\right\rangle_{\sigma} \cong\left(e_{\chi} \oplus_{j=1}^{g}\left(\mathcal{I}_{p_{j}} / p \mathcal{I}_{p_{j}}\right)\right) /\left\langle\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\sigma}
$$

is a submodule of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-module $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ of cardinality $p^{n-b}$ and the minimal number of generators of $C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}$ is ${ }^{(5)}$ at least $g-1$. The kernel

[^5]ker $\iota_{\chi}$ is a cyclic $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[\langle\sigma\rangle] /\left(N^{\prime}\right)$-module of cardinality
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}\right|=p^{a-b} \leqslant p^{p^{u-1}} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

generated by the class $\mathfrak{c}$ of an ideal $J$, whose extension $\tilde{J}=\left(\beta^{e^{\prime}} r \pi^{b}\right) \in \mathcal{I}$.
Proof. - Theorem 8.1 and the proof of Proposition 7.10 implies that all divisibilities of Propositions 7.9 and 7.10 are in fact equalities. The mentioned isomorphism is given by (7.23). In order to prove Proposition 7.10 we constructed a cyclic submodule of $\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}$ generated by $\mathfrak{c}$. But now we know that this submodule is of the same cardinality as $\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}$, so $\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}$ is cyclic. The inequality in (9.5) follows from $\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi} \subseteq C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}$, which is killed by $\pi_{0}$.

Theorem 9.2. - For any nontrivial character $\chi$ we have

$$
\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}\right)
$$

Proof. - Let us consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow C_{\chi}^{0} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} C_{\chi} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}} C_{F^{\prime}, \chi} \longrightarrow 0
$$

defining a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$-module $C_{\chi}^{0} \subseteq C_{\chi}$. Then (9.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{\chi}^{0}\right|=p^{c} \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C_{\chi}^{0}$ is clearly killed by $N^{\prime}$, it is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-module and

$$
\bar{\pi}^{c} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]=\operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]}\left(C_{\chi}^{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]}\left(C_{\chi}^{0}\right)
$$

and (9.3) implies

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}^{0}\right)
$$

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right)$ and $\nu \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}^{0}\right)$, then for any $\mathfrak{c} \in C_{\chi}$ we have $\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}(\psi \mathfrak{c})=\psi \mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}(\mathfrak{c})=0$ because $\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}(\mathfrak{c}) \in C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}$, hence $\psi \mathfrak{c} \in C_{\chi}^{0}$ and $\nu \psi \mathfrak{c}=0$. Therefore $\nu \psi \in \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}\right)$ and the theorem is proved.

For most values of $g$, the previous theorem may be made stronger. More precisely: Part (i) of the next result is an improvement on Theorem 9.2 (taking into account (9.2)) if $g>3$; part (ii) is an improvement if $g>2$.

Theorem 9.3.
(i) Let $c^{\prime}=c+2-g$, then $c^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ and for any nontrivial character $\chi$ we have

$$
\pi^{c^{\prime}+1} \cdot \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}\right)
$$

(ii) If $\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$ then we even have

$$
\pi^{c^{\prime}} \cdot \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}\right)
$$

Proof. - Let $\mathcal{D}=\left\langle e_{\chi} \mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, e_{\chi} \mathcal{P}_{g}\right\rangle_{\sigma} \subseteq C_{\chi}$. Let $\tilde{g}$ be the minimal number of generators of $\mathcal{D}$; Proposition 9.1 states that $\tilde{g} \geqslant g-1$ and that $\operatorname{amb}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$ implies $\tilde{g}=g$. Let $\mathcal{D}[p]$ mean the submodule of $\mathcal{D}$ killed by $p$, then $\mathcal{D}[p]$ is the kernel of $\iota_{\chi} \circ \mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \cap C_{\chi}^{0}$ is the kernel of $\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}$ on $\mathcal{D}$, so we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \cap C_{\chi}^{0} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \mathcal{D}[p] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}_{F / F^{\prime}}} \operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}
$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-modules. Since $\operatorname{ker} \iota_{\chi}$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]$-module, $C_{\chi}^{0}$ requires at least $\tilde{g}-1$ generators and

$$
p^{\tilde{g}-1} \cdot\left|\pi C_{\chi}^{0}\right| \leqslant\left|C_{\chi}^{0}\right|=p^{c}
$$

due to (9.6). Therefore

$$
\bar{\pi}^{c+1-\tilde{g}} \in \operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]}\left(\pi C_{\chi}^{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p^{u}}\right]}\left(\pi C_{\chi}^{0}\right),
$$

and so

$$
\pi^{c+2-\tilde{g}} \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}^{0}\right)
$$

and the theorem follows from the properties of $\tilde{g}$ mentioned above, by the same reasoning as at the end of the proof of Theorem 9.2.

Again, as in the beginning of Section 8 we can remove Assumption 3; Theorem 9.2 still holds true. Finally, for $j=0,1, \ldots, u$, let $F^{(j)}$ be the subfield of $F$ determined by $\left[F: F^{(j)}\right]=p^{j}$, so $F^{(0)}=F, F^{(1)}=F^{\prime}, \ldots$, $F^{(u)}=L$.

Corollary 9.4. - For any nontrivial character $\chi$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{L} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{u-1} \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F^{(j)}} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{F^{(j)}} E_{F^{(j+1)}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \\
\subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. - Thaine's theorem states (see [12])

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\left(E_{L} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(C_{L, \chi}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{L} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{L, \chi}\right)
$$

We can use induction with respect to $u$. If $u=1$ then the theorem follows from the previous inclusion and Theorem 9.2. So suppose that $u>1$ and
that this corollary has been already proved for $F^{\prime}$. This hypothesis and Theorem 9.2 gives the result.

Remark 9.5. - Let $\chi_{0}$ be the trivial character. To keep the situation simple, just assume $u=1$. Then the statement analogous to Theorem 9.2 can be proved ${ }^{(6)}$ only if $s \neq 2$. Indeed, $C_{F^{\prime}, \chi_{0}}$ is trivial, and we need to show

$$
\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{K} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{K}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{K}\right)
$$

if $s=1$ or $s>2$. For $s=1$ we have $c_{K}=1$ and $h_{K, p}=1$, so both modules are trivial. Assuming $s>2$ we shall prove the formula above in the course of the proof of the following result.

Corollary 9.6. - Assume that $u=1$, so $F^{\prime}=L$. Then

$$
\pi \cdot \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]}(C)
$$

Proof. - Let $\chi_{0}$ be the trivial character. Lemma 4.3 states

$$
\left|\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}}\right|=\left|\left(\left(E_{K} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{K}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right|=\left|C_{\chi_{0}}\right| \cdot c_{K}
$$

where $c_{K}=1$ if $s=1$ and $c_{K}=p^{-1}$ if $s>1$. Moreover

$$
\left(\left(E_{F} / E_{L}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]
$$

is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]$-module and $C_{\chi_{0}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]$-module. Hence
$\operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi 0}}=\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}}$ and we have the following inclusion (which is an equality if $s>1$ )

$$
\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi 0}} \subseteq \operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(C_{\chi_{0}}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(C_{\chi_{0}}\right) \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that if $s \geqslant 3$ then $C_{\chi_{0}}$ is not cyclic due to genus theory and we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(C_{\chi_{0}}\right) \subseteq\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right) \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(C_{\chi_{0}}\right),
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]}\left(C_{\chi_{0}}\right)
$$

which proves the statement of Remark 9.5. Inclusion (9.7) means

$$
\pi \cdot \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi_{0}}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi_{0}}\right)
$$

[^6]For any nontrivial character $\chi$ Theorem 9.2 states that

$$
\pi \cdot \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(\left(E_{F} / \operatorname{Cyc}_{F} E_{F^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{e_{\chi}} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\langle\sigma\rangle]}\left(C_{\chi}\right)
$$

and the corollary follows.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ The main difference with respect to [10] is that we consider only auxiliary primes $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod M)$ and for each such prime $\ell$ we do not take the compositum with the $\ell$ th cyclotomic field but only with its subfield of absolute degree $M$. The reason is that we want each ramified prime to have trivial Frobenius automorphism on these auxiliary fields, see (7.4).

[^2]:    ${ }^{(2)}$ We assume this because we want $F$ to satisfy Assumption 1 ; the only wildly ramified cyclic field of degree $p^{u}$ satisfying Assumption 1 is just the abelian field $K$ of degree $p^{u}$ and conductor $p^{u+1}$. We allow this $K$ as even in this situation we can have nontrivial $C_{F}$ : for example for $p=3, u=1$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{43})$ we have $h_{K}=1, h_{L}=1$ and $h_{F}=3$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{(3)}$ We allow any behaviour of $p_{i}$ for $i>g$; it can be (partially) inert or even (partially) ramified in $L / \mathbb{Q}$. Let us mention that we can have nontrivial $C_{F, \chi}$ even if $g=0$ and $h_{L}=1$. [Example: let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ where $\theta^{3}+\theta^{2}-576 \theta+1665=0$. Then $K$ is an abelian cubic field of conductor $1729=7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$. Let $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{11})$, then 7,13 , and 19 are all inert in $L, h_{L}=1, h_{K}=9$, and $h_{F}=27$ (the nontrivial class groups are 3-elementary).]

[^4]:    ${ }^{(4)}$ R. Greenberg used there a different definition of circular units which gives only a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cyc}_{L}$. Nevertheless their relative index is not divisible by $p$ as $p \nmid[L: \mathbb{Q}]$, so the quotients $E_{L} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{L} E_{L}^{M}$ are isomorphic for these two definitions (see [7] for more details).

[^5]:    ${ }^{(5)}$ We do not have equality here in general. [Example: let us take again $K=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ where $\theta^{3}+\theta^{2}-576 \theta+1665=0$, so $K$ is an abelian cubic field of conductor $1729=7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$. Let $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{11})$, then 7, 13, and 19 are all inert in $L$ and $g=0$. Since $h_{L}=1, h_{K}=9$, and $h_{F}=27$, we have $\left|C_{F^{\prime}, \chi}\right|=1$ and $\left|C_{\chi}\right|=3$, so $\left|C_{\chi} / N^{\prime} C_{\chi}\right|=3$.]

[^6]:    ${ }^{(6)}$ Each of the two cubic fields $K$ of conductor $7 \cdot 13$ has class number $h_{K}=3$ and $c_{K}=p^{-1}$ implies that $\left(E_{K} / \mathrm{Cyc}_{K}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is trivial.

