

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

PETER LOEB

BERTRAM WALSH

The equivalence of Harnack's principle and Harnack's inequality in the axiomatic system of Brelot

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 15, n° 2 (1965), p. 597-600

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1965__15_2_597_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1965, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE EQUIVALENCE OF HARNACK'S PRINCIPLE AND HARNACK'S INEQUALITY IN THE AXIOMATIC SYSTEM OF BRELOT

by PETER A. LOEB ⁽¹⁾ AND BERTRAM WALSH ⁽²⁾

During the last ten years, Marcel Brelot [2] and others have investigated elliptic differential equations in an abstract setting, a setting in which the Harnack principle is assumed to be valid. When necessary, the Harnack principle has been replaced by another axiom which establishes a form of the Harnack inequality. In 1964, Gabriel Mokobodzki showed that the two axioms are equivalent when the underlying space has a countable base for its topology (see [1], pp. 16-18). We shall show that this restriction is unnecessary. First we recall some basic definitions.

Let W be a locally compact Hausdorff space which is connected and locally connected but not compact. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a class of real-valued continuous functions with open domains in W such that for each open set $\Omega \subseteq W$ the set $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$, (consisting of all functions in $\mathfrak{H}$) with domains equal to Ω , is a real vector space. An open subset Ω of W is said to be *regular* for $\mathfrak{H}$ or *regular* iff its closure in W is compact and for every continuous real-valued function f defined on $\partial\Omega$ there is a *unique* continuous function h defined on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$h|_{\partial\Omega} = f, \quad h|_{\Omega} \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad \text{and} \quad h \geq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad f \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the class $\mathfrak{H}$ is called a *harmonic class* on W if it satisfies the following three axioms which are due to Brelot [2]:

AXIOM I. — *A function g with an open domain $\Omega \subseteq W$ is an element of $\mathfrak{H}$ if for every point $x \in \Omega$ there is a function $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and an open set ω with $x \in \omega \subseteq \Omega$ such that $g|_{\omega} = h|_{\omega}$.*

Supported by National Science Foundation research grants ⁽¹⁾GP-1988 and ⁽²⁾GP-4563 respectively.

AXIOM II. — *There is a base for the topology of W such that each set in the base is a regular region (non empty connected open set).*

AXIOM III. — *If $\mathfrak{F}$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$, where Ω is a region in W , and $\mathfrak{F}$ is directed by increasing order on Ω , then the upper envelope of $\mathfrak{F}$ is either identically $+\infty$ or is a function in $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$.*

It follows immediately from Axiom I that if h is in $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$, then the restriction of h to any nonempty open subset of its domain is again in $\mathfrak{H}$. Given Axioms I and II, Constantinescu and Cornea ([3], p. 344 and p. 378) have shown that the following axioms are equivalent to Axiom III:

AXIOM III₁. — *If Ω is a region in W and $\{h_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of functions in $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$, then either $\lim_n h_n$ is identically $+\infty$ or $\lim_n h_n$ is in $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$.*

AXIOM III₂. — *If Ω is a region in W , K a compact subset of Ω , and x_0 a point in K , then there is a constant $M \geq 1$ such that every nonnegative function $h \in \mathfrak{H}_\Omega$ satisfies the inequality*

$$h(x) \leq M \cdot h(x_0)$$

at every point $x \in K$.

Given Axioms I and II, we shall show that the following axiom is equivalent to Axiom III.

AXIOM III₃. — *If Ω is a region in W then every nonnegative function in $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$ is either identically 0 or has no zeros in Ω . Furthermore, for any point $x_0 \in \Omega$ the set*

$$\Phi_{x_0} = \{h \in \mathfrak{H}_\Omega : h \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h(x_0) = 1\}$$

is equicontinuous at x_0 .

Axiom III₁ is, of course, just the Harnack principle, and Axiom III₂ gives a « weak » Harnack inequality for $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$. On the other hand, a consequence of Axiom III₃ is the fact that for any region Ω and any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ there is a constant $M \geq 1$ such that for every nonnegative $h \in \mathfrak{H}_\Omega$ and every pair of points x_1 and x_2 in K the relation

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{M} \cdot h(x_1) \leq h(x_2) \leq M \cdot h(x_1)$$

holds. Moreover, for any point x in Ω and any constant $M > 1$ there is a compact neighborhood K of x in which (1) holds. Thus Axiom III_3 establishes a strong Harnack inequality for $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega$. Mokobodzki has established the equivalence of III_3 and III for the case in which the topology of W has a countable base; it is this restriction which we shall now remove.

That Axioms III and III_3 are equivalent follows from the

THEOREM. — *Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a harmonic class and Ω be a region in W . Let x_0 be a point in Ω , and set $\Phi = \{h \in \mathfrak{H}_\Omega : h \geq 0 \text{ and } h(x_0) = 1\}$. Then Φ is equicontinuous at x_0 .*

Proof. — Let ω be a regular region and K a compact neighborhood of x_0 such that $x_0 \in K \subset \omega \subset \bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$. Each continuous function f on $\partial\omega$ has a unique extension $H(f) \in \mathfrak{H}_\omega$, and for each $x \in \omega$ the mapping $f \rightarrow H(f)(x)$ from $C(\partial\omega)$ into the reals is a nonnegative Radon measure on $\partial\omega$, which we denote by ρ_x . Axiom III_2 (which follows from Axiom III) gives for each pair of points x_1 and x_2 in ω a constant M (depending on those points) for which $H(f)(x_1) \leq M \cdot H(f)(x_2)$, i.e.

$$\rho_{x_1} \leq M \cdot \rho_{x_2}$$

in the usual ordering of measures on $\partial\omega$. Hence all the measures $\{\rho_x\}_{x \in \omega}$ are absolutely continuous with respect to one another, and the Radon-Nikodym density of any one with respect to any other is essentially bounded (« essentially » being unambiguous because all the measures have the same null sets). Following an idea of Mokobodzki's, we now consider for each $x \in \omega$ the Radon-Nikodym density of ρ_x with respect to ρ_{x_0} , which we denote by g_x ; each g_x is essentially bounded, and $d\rho_x = g_x \cdot d\rho_{x_0}$.

Let $A = \{h|_{\partial\omega} : h \in \Phi\}$. Axiom III_2 states that the functions in A are uniformly bounded on $\partial\omega$, and of course they are continuous there. Thus, if S is any countably infinite subset of A , there is a function $f \in L^\infty(\rho_{x_0})$ which is an accumulation point of S with respect to the weak* topology of $L^\infty(\rho_{x_0})$ (i.e. the topology determined by $L^1(\rho_{x_0})$; see [4], p. 424). Since $L^\infty(\rho_{x_0}) \subset L^1(\rho_{x_0})$, f is also an accumulation point of S with respect to the weak topology of $L^1(\rho_{x_0})$ (i.e. the topology determined by $L^\infty(\rho_{x_0})$). Thus by the Eberlein-Šmulian theorem

([4], p. 430), any sequence in A has a subsequence which converges weakly to an element of $L^1(\rho_{x_0})$. Since each

$$g_x \in L^\infty(\rho_{x_0}) = L^1(\rho_{x_0})^*,$$

it follows that any sequence $\{h_n\}$ in Φ has a subsequence (which we may also denote by $\{h_n\}$) for which

$$h_n(x) = \int_{\partial\omega} h_n(y) g_x(y) d\rho_{x_0}(y)$$

converges for each $x \in \omega$; the pointwise limit function h on ω belongs to $\mathfrak{H}_\omega$ since it is the extension in $\mathfrak{H}_\omega$ of the weak limit (in $L^1(\rho_{x_0})$) of the sequence $\{h_n|_{\partial\omega}\}$. By a result of R.-M. Hervé ([5], p. 432)

$$h = \sup_n \left(\inf_{k > n} h_k \right)$$

where $\hat{f}(x) = \sup_{\delta} \left(\inf_{y \in \delta} f(y) \right)$ as δ ranges over the neighborhood system of x . Thus h is the limit of the increasing sequence of lower-semicontinuous functions $\inf_{k > n} h_k$, and that limit is attained uniformly on the compact set K . It follows that $h_n \rightarrow h$ uniformly on K , and thus $\Phi|_K$ is relatively sequentially compact, hence relatively compact, in the uniform norm topology of $C(K)$. So $\Phi|_K$ is equicontinuous (Arzelà; see [4], p. 266), whence Φ is equicontinuous at the interior points of K , and in particular at x_0 .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. BRELOT, Axiomatique des Fonctions Harmoniques, *Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures* (Été 1965), University of Montreal.
- [2] M. BRELOT, Lectures on Potential Theory, *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research*, Bombay (1960).
- [3] C. CONSTANTINESCU and A. CORNEA, On the Axiomatic of Harmonic Functions I, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 13/2 (1963), 373-378.
- [4] N. DUNFORD and J. SCHWARTZ, Linear Operators, Part I, General Theory, *Interscience Publishers, Inc.*, New York, (1958).
- [5] R.-M. HERVÉ, Recherches Axiomatiques sur la Théorie des Fonctions Surharmoniques et du Potentiel, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, Grenoble, 12 (1962) 415-571.

Manuscrit reçu le 23 novembre 1965.

Peter A. LOEB and B. WALSH,
Department of Mathematics,
University of California,
Los Angeles, Calif. (U.S.A.).